BEFORE THE 1 FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION 2 3 DOCKET NO. 990149-TP In the Matter of : 4 Petition by MediaOne Florida Telecommunications, Inc. for 5 : arbitration of an intercon-: nection agreement with 6 BellSouth Telecommunications, : 7 Inc. pursuant to Section 252(b) : of the Telecommunications Act : 8 of 1996. 9 10 VOLUME 1 11 Pages 1 through 211 12 13 PROCEEDINGS: HEARING 14 COMMISSIONER J. TERRY DEASON 15 BEFORE: COMMISSIONER SUSAN F. CLARK COMMISSIONER E. LEON JACOBS, JR. 16 17 Friday, July 9, 1999 DATE : 18 Commenced at 9:30 a.m. TIME: 19 Betty Easley Conference Center PLACE: Room 148 20 4075 Esplanade Way Tallahassee, Florida 21 DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE REPORTED BY: KIMBERLY K. BERENS, CSR, RPR 22 FPSC Commission Reporter JOY KELLY, CSR, RPR 23 Bureau Chief, Reporting 24 082 25

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

66 신

1	APPEARANCES :
2	PHILLIP J. CARVER AND NANCY WHITE, BellSouth
3	Telecommunications, Inc., 4300 Southern Bell Center,
4	675 West Peachtree Street, Northeast, Atlanta, Georgia
5	30375-0001, appearing on behalf of <b>BellSouth</b>
6	Telecommunications, Inc.
7	WILLIAM B. GRAHAM, Graham & Moody, 101 North
8	Gadsden Street, Tallahassee, Florida 32301; and SUSAN
9	KEESEN and DICK KARRE, 188 Inverness Drive West, 6th
10	Floor, Englewood, Colorado 80112, appearing on behalf
11	of MediaOne Florida Telecommunications, Inc.
12	C. LEE FORDHAM, Florida Public Service
13	Commission, Division of Legal Services, 2540 Shumard
14	Oak Boulevard, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0870,
15	appearing on behalf of the Commission Staff.
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

2

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

~

1		INDEX	
2	WITN	ISSES	
3	GARY	LANE	
4		Direct Examination By Mr. Graham Prefiled Direct Testimony Inserted	22 28
5	GREG	BEVERIDGE Direct Examination By Mr. Craham	4.0
6		Cross Examination By Mr. Carver	49 66
7		Prefiled Rebuttal Testimony Inserted	86
8		Cross Examination By Mr. Fordham Redirect Examination By Mr. Graham Recross Examination By Mr. Carver	130 131 140
9	1 1 INJ 1271	TTU MIINED	
10		Direct Examination By Ms. White	145
11		Prefiled Rebuttal Testimony Inserted	148 164
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

EXHIBITS	5
----------	---

1		EXHIBITS		
2	NUMB	ER	ID.	ADMTD
3	1	Official Recognition List	6	6
4	2	Stip-1 BellSouth's responses to Staff's interrogatories	7	9
5	3	Stip Con-1 BellSouth's confidential responses to Staff's interrogatories	7	9
7 8 9	4	Stip-2 BellSouth's responses to Staff's request for production of documents	7	9
10 11	5	Stip Con-2 BellSouth's confidential responses to Staff's request for production of documents	8	9
12	6	Stip-3 MediaOne's response to Staff's interrogatories	8	9
14 15	7	Stip-4 MediaOne's responses to Staff's request for production of documents	8	9
16	8	DDC-2 Deposition of Caldwell	8	9
17 18	9	GB-10 Deposition of Beveridge	8	9
19	10	GL-2 Deposition of Lane	8	9
20	11	JM-3 Deposition of Maher	9	9
21	12	Exhibits attached to direct testimony of Beveridge	70	144
22 23	13	Exhibits attached to rebuttal testimony of Beveridge	70	144
24 25	14	WKM-1 through 5	147	

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	(Hearing convened at 9:35 a.m.)
3	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Call the hearing to
4	order. Can I have the Notice read, please.
5	MR. FORDHAM: Commissioners, we are here
6	today pursuant to Notice of hearing given in Docket
7	No. 990149-TP, wherein MediaOne Florida
8	Telecommunications, Inc. petitioned for arbitration of
9	various unresolved issues in an interconnection
10	agreement with BellSouth Telecommunications Inc.
11	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Thank you. Take
12	appearances.
13	MR. CARVER: On behalf of BellSouth, Nancy
14	White and Phil Carver, 675 West Peachtree Street,
15	Atlanta, Georgia.
16	MR. GRAHAM: On behalf of MediaOne, Bill
17	Graham, Graham and Moody law firm here in Tallahassee.
18	101 North Gadsden, Tallahassee, Florida 32301. Also
19	with me today are two representatives of MediaOne from
20	their corporate office in Denver.
21	MR. KARRE: Richard Karre, K-A-R-R-E, with
22	MediaOne. My address is 188 Inverness Drive West,
23	Englewood, Colorado 80112.
24	MS. KEESEN: And I'm Susan Keesen,
25	K-E-E-S-E-N, also at 188 Inverness Drive West, Suite

600, Englewood, Colorado 80112. 1 MR. FORDHAM: Staff counsel for the Florida 2 Public Service Commission, Lee Fordham. 3 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Okay. Thank you. 4 5 Preliminary matters, Mr. Fordham. 6 MR. FORDHAM: Yes. Commissioner, there are 7 quite a number in orders and documents that we wish to 8 request official recognition of and rather than read 9 them off, each of the panel has a listing and at this point I would move that the panel take official 10 recognition of those items on that list. 11 12 COMMISSIONER DEASON: And there are no objections to this; is that correct? 13 MR. CARVER: No objections from BellSouth. 14 MR. GRAHAM: No objections from MediaOne. 15 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Very well. We shall 16 identify then the Official Recognition List as Exhibit 17 No. 1. And without objection, it shall be admitted 18 19 into the record. Okay. 20 (Exhibit 1 marked for identification and received in evidence.) 21 MR. FORDHAM: Commissioner, the next item, 22 we also have quite a list of exhibits and at this 23 point we would ask that they be numbered, and the 24 25 Commissioner has already given No. 1 to Official

6

Recognition List. The next item would be BellSouth's 1 2 responses to Staff's interrogatories. COMMISSIONER DEASON: Okay. And this is 3 identified as Stip-1; is that correct? 4 MR. FORDHAM: The Official Recognition 5 List -- oh, yes, Your Honor, or Commissioner. Stip-1. 6 7 COMMISSIONER DEASON: That will be identified then as Exhibit No. 2. 8 (Exhibit 2 marked for identification.) 9 MR. FORDHAM: And Stip Con-1 is BellSouth's 10 Confidential Responses to Staff's Interrogatories. 11 COMMISSIONER DEASON: We will identify it as 12 Exhibit No. 3. 13 (Exhibit 3 marked for identification.) 14 MR. FORDHAM: Stip-2 is BellSouth's 15 Responses to Staff's Request for Production of 16 17 Documents. COMMISSIONER DEASON: That will be Exhibit 18 19 No. 4. (Exhibit 4 marked for identification.) 20 MR. FORDHAM: And Stip Con-2 is BellSouth's 21 Confidential Responses to Staff's Request for 22 Production of Documents. 23 COMMISSIONER DEASON: That will be 24 25 identified as Exhibit No. 5.

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

(Exhibit 5 marked for identification.) 1 2 MR. FORDHAM: Stip-3 is MediaOne's Response to Staff's Interrogatories. 3 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Exhibit 6. 4 (Exhibit 6 marked for identification.) 5 MR. FORDHAM: Stip-4 is MediaOne's Responses 6 to Staff's Request for Production of Documents. 7 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Exhibit 7. 8 (Exhibit 7 marked for identification.) 9 MR. FORDHAM: DDC-2 is BellSouth's Witness 10 Caldwell deposition. 11 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Exhibit 8. 12 (Exhibit 8 marked for identification.) 13 MR. FORDHAM: GB-10 is the deposition of 14 MediaOne's witness Beveridge. 15 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Okay. That would we 16 Exhibit 9. 17 (Exhibit 9 marked for identification.) 18 MR. FORDHAM: Okay. GL-2 is the deposition 19 of MediaOne's witness Lane. 20 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Exhibit 10. 21 (Exhibit 10 marked for identification.) 22 MR. FORDHAM: And JM-3 is the deposition of 23 MediaOne's witness Maher. 24 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Exhibit 11. 25

8

1	(Exhibit 11 marked for identification.)
2	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Now, I understand that
3	some of these the transcripts in some of these
4	depositions are not available. Is there going to be
5	any objection to identifying these transcripts and
6	admitting them into the record when they do become
7	available?
8	MR. CARVER: No objection.
9	MR. GRAHAM: None from MediaOne.
10	MR. FORDHAM: Commissioner, we expect those
11	to be brought into the room at any moment and the
12	cover sheets are prepared. So at this point,
13	Commissioner, I don't think we have any objection. We
14	circulated the list in advance in the interest of
15	expediency and time. At this point we would move all
16	of these exhibits into the official record.
17	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Any objection to
18	exhibits that have just been identified, Exhibits 2
19	through 11?
20	MR. CARVER: No, sir.
21	MR. GRAHAM: None from MediaOne.
22	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Very well. Then show
23	that Exhibits 2 through 11 are admitted.
24	(Exhibits 2-11 received in evidence.)
25	MR. FORDHAM: Commissioner, the next item

1	under preliminary matters, during the prehearing
2	MediaOne had requested to perform a demonstration of
3	wiring a box during the hearing. There was some
4	discussion of it, and as a possible option, the idea
5	of making a video came up. Commissioner Jacobs, at
6	the prehearing, had asked the two parties to work out
7	among them or between them how they would handle that
8	and there is no real resolution to that. So that
9	might be an item we wish to address under pretrial
10	matters. I understand the video is almost 20 minutes
11	long. MediaOne has represented that the demonstration
12	would be less than half of that time.
13	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Mr. Graham.
14	MR. GRAHAM: Yes, Commissioner Deason. One
15	of the primary issues that we'll address here today is
16	the appropriate means by which MediaOne will connect
17	with the network terminating wire, and one of our
18	witnesses, Mr. Greg Beveridge, addresses that in his
19	Direct and Rebuttal Testimony.
20	It's our behalf that a very short
21	demonstration with a model that he brought to show to
22	the Commission this morning would help explain the
23	simplicity and the ease of what we're proposing. When
24	we first came upon this idea, I made Staff aware as
25	early as possible that it was something that we

1	intended to suggest, and we also made counsel for
2	BellSouth aware of it as early as possible too.
3	We submitted to the Staff and BellSouth
4	photographs of the model, along with our prehearing
5	statement so that there would be a minimum of any
6	surprise. And to further avoid any claim of surprise,
7	we made a video tape of the actual demonstration that
8	will allow Mr. Carver to get a feel for the
9	demonstration.
10	Additionally, after the video tape was done,
11	Mr. Carver had the opportunity to depose
12	Mr. Beveridge, who will perform the model
13	demonstration. We believe that it would help explain
14	our position and we could either perform the demo live
15	or you could, I guess, look at the video tape.
16	Frankly, the video takes longer than the demonstration
17	that we would propose this morning. I will represent
18	to you that the demo would not last more than four or
19	five minutes.
20	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Mr. Carver.
21	MR. CARVER: Well, I object. And my
22	objection is based more on the video than on what they
23	may be planning to do. I'd like to talk a little bit
24	about the background of this.
25	We took depositions last week, so at the

prehearing conference prior to those depositions I 1 2 requested to have the opportunity to depose the witness on this in person and to see the 3 demonstration. He was not able to come to Tallahassee 4 for his deposition, so as an alternative MediaOne 5 6 provided us with this video tape and represented that 7 he would speaking live, but what he said live would 8 track the video tape.

9 I've reviewed the video tape. It's about -it's between 17 and 18 minutes long. And there is 10 some very artful editing in it that I think basically 11 succeeds in putting a lot of material into 18 minutes. 12 13 My guess is that if he did this live it would take 14 longer than 18 minutes if he did everything. Now, if 15 they're going to cut it back to four or five minutes, that's different. But what I saw looked like probably 16 about 30 minutes of live testimony and that's really 17 the problem. In a couple of instances --18

19 COMMISSIONER DEASON: I'm sorry. What is 20 the problem; the length of the demonstration or your 21 ability to cross examine?

MR. CARVER: That essentially it's nothing more than live testimony. Looking at it, it strays outside of his prefiled testimony a little bit. But his prefiled testimony is only 22 pages long. That's

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

I	
1	both direct and rebuttal. He could read all of that
2	in less than the 20 minutes of the video.
3	Basically what he has done is he has sort of
4	reconstituted that and what they have on the video is
5	a presentation that is, in effect, all of his live
6	testimony.
7	Now, the Commission rules require parties to
8	prefile testimony. I don't think there is any rule
9	that contemplates the parties will prefile, then come
10	to the hearing and give live testimony that is just
11	cumulative of everything that they prefiled. And
12	again, based on what's in the video tape, that's all
13	of his testimony pretty much. So what you would be
14	doing is basically give him the opportunity to testify
15	live about things that he's already prefiled and that
16	you will have in the record before you anyway.
17	I don't think it's necessary because the
18	issues in this case are relatively simple. There are
19	only three of them and this one is not complicated and
20	you'll have everything in the record you will need to
21	look at anyway. Beyond that, I think, frankly, it
22	prejudices BellSouth somewhat
23	COMMISSIONER CLARK: Can I ask a question?
24	MR. CARVER: because our intention was to
25	follow the rules. So we prefiled. We don't have a

witness ready to come up here and tell you everything 1 2 that they say in their testimony. And I think to give 3 one party leave to do that, particularly when they 4 haven't moved for it in advance, and not allow the 5 other party that, is not really fair. And again, it's 6 not contemplated by the Commission rules. So we 7 believe that since all of this is going to be in the 8 record anyway, there is no reason to have live 9 cumulative testimony.

10 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Mr. Graham, is it the 11 purpose of this demonstration to expand testimony that 12 has been prefiled?

MR. GRAHAM: Not at all. The purpose is to 13 make more clear to the Commission the direct 14 15 testimony. I think as the Commissioners can 16 appreciate, it is hard to, in written form, describe 17 how you will perform an operation where you move a 18 wire from here to there. That's all we're trying to 19 show is the simplicity and ease of the proposed manner of performing the network terminating wire connection. 20 21 COMMISSIONER CLARK: Mr. Chairman, can I ask 22 a question? I thought we were put on notice that this 23 was going to happen because the prefiled direct 24 testimony indicates there will be a demonstration at 25 this hearing. That's what I read in the testimony.

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

1 Am I mistaken?

2	MR. CARVER: We were put on notice that
3	something was going to happen. Now, at the prehearing
4	conference we asked to take a deposition and to see
5	the demonstration. What we worked out as an
6	alternative, since the witness couldn't come to
7	Tallahassee is he made the video tape and sent it to
8	us.

9 So, it was only last week that I actually 10 saw the substance of what they were going to do. And 11 again, my objection is not so much that it's outside 12 of the scope of his testimony, but that it is his 13 testimony; pretty much all of his testimony.

14 **COMMISSIONER CLARK:** Well, Mr. Chairman, I 15 realize the ruling is yours, but I was anticipating 16 having a demonstration so I would better understand 17 what is happening because I, frankly, didn't 18 understand the mechanics of it and I'm not very good 19 at translating written instructions.

20 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Well, Mr. Graham has 21 indicated to me it's not his intent to expand upon the 22 nature of the testimony that was prefiled. Obviously, 23 there may be questions from the Commissioners if we do 24 have the demonstration and certainly that is within 25 the discretion and latitude of the Commission.

I'm going to allow the demonstration, live 1 demonstration. We will forgo the video. I'm going to 2 hold you to your time commitment, and to your 3 representation that the purpose of the demonstration 4 is not to expand the nature and the content of the 5 prefiled testimony. 6 Mr. Carver, if we stray from that, 7 obviously, I would invite you to object if the 8 demonstration goes beyond the nature and content of 9 the prefiled testimony. 10 And when the witness -- is it your intent to 11 do the demonstration at the very first? Which witness 12 is going to present the demonstration? 13 MR. GRAHAM: I think it would make more 14 15 sense if it followed his summary of his direct and 16 rebuttal testimony. 17 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Greg Beveridge; is 18 that correct? 19 MR. GRAHAM: Yes, Commissioner. 20 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Okay. That's the first witness. 21 MR. GRAHAM: It will be our second witness 22 23 actually. COMMISSIONER DEASON: Okay. Do we have 24 changes then in the witness list, the order of 25

1	witnesses? I'm looking at Page 5 of the prehearing
2	order.
3	MR. GRAHAM: Yes. Mr. Lane will be the
4	first witness.
5	MR. CARVER: Commissioner Deason
6	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Yes.
7	MR. CARVER: we don't have anything
8	prepared, but if Mr. Beveridge is going to be able to
9	give this presentation, I'd like to request that
10	Mr. Milner when he takes the stand have a brief
11	opportunity to rebut, and in fact, to have his own
12	demonstration. Again, we don't have anything
13	prepared, but I think in light of the fact that this
14	is going to be done live it would be appropriate for
15	him to be able to take at least a couple of minutes in
16	addition to his summary to rebut things that you see
17	in the demonstration.
18	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Who is this?
19	Mr. Milner?
20	MR. CARVER: Yes, sir.
21	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Is there an objection
22	to that?
23	MR. GRAHAM: No objection.
24	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Staff have an
25	objection?

MR. FORDHAM: No objection. 1 COMMISSIONER DEASON: No objection. Very 2 well. You'll be granted that latitude. 3 MR. CARVER: Thank you. 4 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Okay. Other 5 preliminary matters? 6 7 MR. FORDHAM: Commissioner Deason, Staff has no additional preliminary matters. 8 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Okay. Mr. Carver, do 9 10 you have any preliminary matters? MR. CARVER: Just one thing that I wanted to 11 mention. In the prehearing order there is an 12 indication that there is a deferral of the issue of 13 14 whether Issues 2 and 3 are legal in nature or whether 15 evidence should be taken on them. And to the extent 16 the Commission wishes to hear argument on that, I'm 17 prepared to do so. 18 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Okay. Mr. Graham, do 19 you have any preliminary matters? 20 MR. GRAHAM: Yes, Commissioner. We filed a 21 couple weeks ago a Request for Qualified 22 Representation Status for Mrs. Keesen and Mr. Karre 23 and we haven't actually had an order come back 24 affirming that. 25 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Oh, you have not had

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

an order? 1 MR. GRAHAM: No, we have not. 2 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Commissioner Jacobs, 3 is that something that you're contemplating or do you 4 want to address it here at the hearing? 5 COMMISSIONER JACOBS: We can go ahead and 6 grant it here. I'm not sure if it's been signed. I 7 saw several on this docket. 8 9 MR. GRAHAM: Yes. There were several, I 10 believe, filed by BellSouth and we filed after them, and I just figured it slipped through the cracks. 11 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Is there any objection 12 13 by any party to the request? MR. FORDHAM: None from the Staff, Your 14 Honor, or Commissioner. 15 MR. CARVER: No objection by BellSouth. 16 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Okay. Then we'll just 17 18 grant the request at this time then. 19 MR. GRAHAM: Thank you. 20 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Consider your request 21 granted. 22 MR. GRAHAM: Thank you. COMMISSIONER DEASON: Okay. Any other 23 preliminary matters? (No response.) 24 Okay. Now, Mr. Carver, you raised the 25

question about the nature of Issues 2 and 3, whether 1 they were legal, factual or policy or a combination. 2 And it was contemplated that there would be a brief 3 argument on the nature of those issues; is that 4 correct? 5 MR. CARVER: Yes, sir. And I don't 6 necessarily need to have that argument myself. But it 7 was in the prehearing order so I just wanted to 8 mention that if you'd like to hear that now I can 9 speak to that. 10 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Commissioner Jacobs. 11 COMMISSIONER JACOBS: The concern came about 12 as to whether or not factual witnesses should be 13 presenting testimony on the issue. Quite frankly, if 14 15 the parties don't have a problem with the witnesses testifying on this issue, I don't think it's a 16 17 problem. If no one has a problem with the witness testifying on this issue, I don't have a problem with 18 19 it. COMMISSIONER DEASON: Mr. Carver. 20 MR. CARVER: Our preference would also be 21 for witnesses to testify. 22 MR. GRAHAM: That's fine with us. 23 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Appears not to be a 24 matter of contention then. 25

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

MR. GRAHAM: Beg your pardon? 1 2 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Appears not to be a matter of contention. 3 MR. GRAHAM: That's correct. 4 5 COMMISSIONER DEASON: We'll just take the witnesses as they come and they will testify on the 6 7 issues consistent with the prefiled testimony. MR. CARVER: Thank you. 8 9 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Any other preliminary matters? 10 MR. FORDHAM: None by Staff. 11 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Okay. I don't believe 12 that opening arguments were contemplated in the 13 Prehearing Order; is that correct? 14 MR. FORDHAM: That's correct, Commissioner. 15 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Okay. I'm going to 16 ask all witnesses then to please stand and raise your 17 right hand. 18 (Witnesses collectively sworn.) 19 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Thank you. Please be 20 seated. Mr. Graham, you may call your first witness. 21 MR. GRAHAM: Thank you. MediaOne would like 22 to call as its first witness Mr. Gary Lane. 23 24 25

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

1	GARY LANE
2	was called as a witness on behalf of MediaOne Florida
3	Telecommunications, Inc. and, having been duly sworn,
4	testified as follows:
5	DIRECT EXAMINATION
6	BY MR. GRAHAM:
7	<b>Q</b> Mr. Lane, you'll need to turn on the
8	microphone when we commence. Mr. Lane, could you
9	please state for the record your name and address?
10	<b>A</b> My name is Gary Lane. My address is 9785
11	Maroon Circle, Englewood, Colorado.
12	<b>Q</b> Could you please describe your current job
13	and in some shorthand fashion your experience in the
14	telecommunications industry?
15	<b>A</b> Yes. I'm responsible for telecommunications
16	services for the National Markets Group. The National
17	Markets Group is actually includes several states;
18	Florida, Virginia, New York and Minnesota. I have
19	about 20 years of experience in the telecommunications
20	industry. The last eight, specifically working in the
21	cable telecommunications industry with three different
22	companies.
23	<b>Q</b> Thank you. Did you prepare some prefiled
24	testimony in this docket?
25	A Yes.

And have you had a chance to review that 1 Q 2 prefiled testimony? Α 3 Yes. What was the purpose of that testimony? 4 0 Primarily just to state the position that we 5 Α have in these various issues. 6 Okay. If I asked you the same questions 7 0 today that were posed in that written testimony, would 8 you respond in the same manner? 9 Α Yes. 10 So there would be no necessary 11 0 modifications? 12 13 Α No. Thank you. At this time I would ask that 14 Q 15 you please give a summary of the testimony that you 16 provided. All right. As I said, my name is Gary Lane 17 Α and I am responsible for telecommunications services 18 specifically in the operations side for the National 19 Markets Group. And again, as I said a few seconds 20 ago, I am responsible for telecommunications services 21in four different states. 22 23 As the Commissioners may recall from the visit we had last November, we, MediaOne, are 24 committed to delivering high quality, competitive 25

23

facilities-based local exchange service in the Florida
 marketplace.

3	On a day-to-day basis, and this speaks
4	specifically to me, I concentrate on ensuring that
5	we're delivering our services in a manner that
6	satisfies our customers and allows us to succeed in
7	business. That's basically what I do every day.
8	My testimony, therefore, is based and
9	focused at the business level. My associates who
10	follow will talk about technical issues in more
11	detail.
12	My prefiled testimony covers a number of
13	subjects. Fortunately many of those have been
14	resolved in discussions with BellSouth. There are
15	three remaining open issues; that is, network
16	terminating wire, BellSouth's proposed CNAM query
17	price, and compensation for terminating ISP-bound
18	traffic. So I'll address those one at a time.
19	The first one is network terminating wire.
20	Approximately 40% of the homes our network passes are
21	multiple dwelling units, MDUs we call them. Obviously
22	this is an important very important part of our
23	market. There is no practical solution that provides
24	MediaOne with an opportunity to utilize its cable
25	facilities within MDUs to deliver telephone service.
	1

For that reason, reasonable access to BellSouth's terminating wire, network terminating wire, is essential to our effort to bring the benefits of local competition to MDU residents.

5 Additionally, coordinating a new 6 installation with a customer is a very difficult thing 7 to do. Adding a third party to this effort in the 8 form of a BellSouth technician, which the BellSouth 9 proposal requires, makes the process virtually 10 unworkable.

More importantly, it makes the entire 11 process and experience for the customer more complex 12 and reduces the attractiveness of a competitive offer. 13 Because BellSouth does not provide reasonable access 14 to its network terminating wire, we serve very few 15 16 MDUs today. Mr. Beveridge will discuss the specific drawbacks with BellSouth's network terminating wire 17 proposal. 18

He will also describe MediaOne's proposal which resolves those problems and provides a level playing field for all local competitors.

The second issue is calling name, or CNAM, query price. MediaOne provides Caller ID to every one of its customers. CNAM database provides us with the name associated with the telephone number of the party

#### FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

calling a MediaOne customer. With that information we
 can provide our customers with the name of the calling
 party. We can get this information only from
 BellSouth's CNAM database. Though BellSouth does
 provide access to its CNAM database, we do have a
 couple of issues.

7 First, BellSouth argues that the PSC should not consider the CNAM database as an unbundled network 8 9 element. That implies that BellSouth could choose not 10 to offer CNAM access to its competitors. It also 11 suggests that BellSouth can set the price for access 12 to that database at whatever level it chooses, and 13 they have proposed a price we think is excessive. In 14 fact, this price could cost us more than \$2 per 15 customer per month.

We believe that the Commission should determine that access to the CNAM database is an unbundled network element which BellSouth is obliged to make available to its local competitors and which BellSouth must price at cost plus a reasonable profit. Mr. Maher will discuss this issue in greater detail.

The third item is ISP compensation. The issue of appropriate compensation to be paid to a LEC for terminating calls to an Internet Service Provider has been debated at great length before many state

#### FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

ļ	
1	commissions and the FCC. Recently, the FCC issued a
2	decision holding that calls to ISPs are
3	jurisdictionally interstate. Most ILECs, including
4	BellSouth, have argued that this decision precludes
5	the state commissions from treating calls to ISPs as
6	local traffic for reciprocal compensation purposes.
7	Our attorneys tell me they disagree with that
8	contention and they will argue our position in briefs
9	they will submit in this proceeding. I will leave
10	that to them.
11	I only know that a call placed to an ISP
12	looks to us just like a local call, and gives rise to
13	the same costs. So I believe they should be treated
14	like local calls in determining appropriate reciprocal
15	compensation.
16	MR. GRAHAM: Thank you. If that concludes
17	your summary, I will ask, Commissioner Deason, that
18	the testimony be entered into the record as read.
19	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Without objection, it
20	shall be so inserted.
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
1	

# <u>1</u>

# INTRODUCTION AND SUMMARY

<u>2</u>	Q.	Please state your name, position and business address.
<u>3</u>		My name is Gary Lane. I am the Vice President for Telephony Operations
<u>4</u>		for the National Markets Group of MediaOne. My business address is
<u>5</u>		9785 Maroon Circle, Englewood, CO 80112.
<u>6</u>	Q.	Please describe your current responsibilities for MediaOne.
<u>7</u>	A.	I have overall responsibility for MediaOne's local telephony operations in
<u>8</u>		Florida, Virginia, and Minnesota. I oversee the planning and
<u>9</u>		implementation work necessary to launch local telephony service, as well as
<u>10</u>		the marketing and operations aspects of providing service once we have
<u>11</u>		completed the launch. To date, the National Markets Group has begun to
<u>12</u>		provide local telephony in Jacksonville and Pompano Beach in Florida, and
<u>13</u>		in the Richmond, Virginia, area; we are in the process of planning our
<u>14</u>		service launch in Minnesota.
<u>15</u>	Q.	What is the purpose of your testimony?
<u>16</u>	A.	In my testimony –
<u>17</u>		I will provide an introduction and overview of MediaOne and its
<u>18</u>		operations in the State of Florida.
<u>19</u>		I will generally describe the issues raised by this proceeding, summarize
<u>20</u>		MediaOne's view of those issues, and identify the witnesses who will testify
<u>21</u>		on its behalf.
<u>22</u>		Finally, I will provide more detailed testimony on six issues:
<u>23</u>		the impact of BellSouth's position regarding unbundled network
<u>24</u>		terminating wire;

- the need for additional performance measurements in the Interconnection
   agreement;
- $\underline{3}$  the need for performance incentives in the Interconnection Agreement;
- $\underline{4}$  the need for expanded audit provisions;
- $\underline{5}$  reciprocal compensation for ISP traffic; and
- $\underline{6}$  the pricing of CNAM database access.
- 7 Q. Please describe MediaOne.
- <u>8</u> A. MediaOne is the third-largest provider of broadband services in the United
   <u>9</u> States, providing video services to over 5 million subscribers nationally.
- 10 Over the past year, MediaOne has begun to provide local telephone service
- <u>11</u> in California, Georgia, Massachusetts, Virginia, and right here in Florida.;
- we will expand that service to additional states in the future. At the end of
   1998, MediaOne was serving over 10,000 residential telephone customers.
- 14 We also provide high speed Internet access ("HSD") service in many areas.
- 15 MediaOne is in the process of a nation-wide capital program to upgrade its
- <u>16</u> network to a 750 MHz system capable of carrying expanded video service,
- 17 local telephone service, and two-way HSD over the same hybrid-fiber
- 18 coaxial cable system. This enables MediaOne to provide facilities-based
- 19 local telephone services, and we will be one of the very few to target
- <u>20</u> residential customers.
- $\underline{21}$ As a facilities-based provider of telephony service, MediaOne needs little $\underline{22}$ from the incumbent local exchange providers. We must interconnect with $\underline{23}$ the incumbents on reasonable terms, including reciprocal compensation for $\underline{24}$ the exchange of traffic. We must have access to certain operations support

029

1 systems and functions. And, in the case of BellSouth, we must have 2 reasonable access to the telephone wiring under BellSouth's control within <u>3</u> multiple dwelling units. These interconnection needs are vital to 4 MediaOne's ability to give Florida consumers a choice of local telephone <u>5</u> providers. 6 Q. Please describe MediaOne's operations in Florida. 7 Α. MediaOne's systems provide video services to approximately 550,000 <u>8</u> subscribers in Jacksonville and Naples, and in Dade and Broward Counties. 9 We also provide local switched telephone services to residential customers 10 in Jacksonville, and in the Pompano Beach area. 11 UNBUNDLED NETWORK TERMINATING WIRE 12 Q. You indicated that BellSouth's UNTW proposal impacts MediaOne's ability to provide telephone service to MDU residents. How is that? <u>13</u> 14 Put simply, BellSouth's UNTW proposal effectively precludes MediaOne 15 from serving MDU residents. Greg Beveridge will describe the difficulties 16 with BellSouth's position in some detail. From my perspective, however, 17 its most significant shortcoming is that it requires the dispatch of a 18 BellSouth technician - at MediaOne's expense - every time MediaOne 19 wants to get access to UNTW. This obviously drives up our costs, and 20 particularly so in relation to BellSouth, which does not have to pay for the <u>21</u> services of a MediaOne technician when it provisions service to an MDU resident. 22 23 Even worse, because we must have a BellSouth technician present to

030

<u>24</u> provision service, we must coordinate the presence of our technician, the

- customer, and BellSouth's technician, over whom we have no control. It
- $\underline{2}$  simply is not workable.
- <u>3</u> As a result of these problems, MediaOne cannot serve the residents of
   <u>4</u> MDUs in the areas in which it now provides local telephone service.
   <u>5</u> Is that a substantial portion of the market?
- <u>6</u> A. Yes, it is. In Jacksonville, MDUs constitute 37% of the homes passed by
  <u>7</u> our system; in Pompano Beach, they are 47% of homes passed. Until we
  <u>8</u> can get reasonable access to NTW, these consumers will be denied an
  <u>9</u> alternative to BellSouth.
- 10

1

### ADDITIONAL PERFORMANCE MEASUREMENTS

- $\underline{11}$  Q. Why does MediaOne believe the Interconnection Agreement should
- <u>12</u> contain performance measurements in addition to those it already has?
- 13 A. Attachment 10 to the proposed Interconnection Agreement contains the
- <u>14</u> performance measurements BellSouth has agreed to. These performance
- <u>15</u> measurements are fine, as far as they go, but they do not include all the
- <u>16</u> standards and measurements critical to facilities-based carriers, like
- $\underline{17}$  MediaOne, for the successful implementation of LNP. The BellSouth
- <u>18</u> proposal has the following specific shortcomings:
- 19BellSouth proposes to measure local number portability (LNP) provisioning20only in the context of a customer conversion associated with the purchase21of unbundled loops by an alternative local exchange carrier (ALEC). As a22facilities-based carrier, MediaOne does not purchase unbundled loops, so23the measurement proposed by BellSouth would not apply to us. We need24a performance measurement that addresses standalone LNP conversions.

<u>1</u>	As proposed by BellSouth, the Firm Order Commitment (FOC) function of
<u>2</u>	the Ordering category does not clearly include FOCs on order for LNP.
<u>3</u>	Nearly all of the customers who subscribe to MediaOne service want to
<u>4</u>	retain their current telephone numbers. Thus LNP provisioning is essential
<u>5</u>	to the successful provisioning of MediaOne service in the vast majority of
<u>6</u>	cases. Without an FOC, MediaOne cannot properly schedule service
7	provisioning. For that reason, the Ordering category of the performance
<u>8</u>	measurements must clearly establish that a timely FOC response includes
<u>9</u>	responses to standalone LNP orders - a function vital to MediaOne.
<u>10</u>	The Provisioning category needs several additional measurements
<u>11</u>	addressing the following matters:
<u>12</u>	Notification to NPAC concurrent with the return of the FOC to
<u>13</u>	MediaOne. Once NPAC has received the FOC authorizing the porting of
<u>14</u>	a number, it allows only 18 business-hours to complete the porting of the
<u>15</u>	number, or we must re-start the process, thereby possibly delaying service
<u>16</u>	to our customer. If BellSouth does not return the FOC to MediaOne at
<u>17</u>	the same time the number is authorized for porting, MediaOne will not
<u>18</u>	know that the 18-hour "clock" has started running. It is thus essential that
<u>19</u>	we receive the FOC concurrent with the NPAC; otherwise, MediaOne will
<u>20</u>	lose irreplaceable time in completing its part of the porting process. The
<u>21</u>	Interconnection Agreement should require BellSouth to measure its
<u>22</u>	performance in completing this function.
<u>23</u>	Update of the BellSouth Local Service Management System (LSMS) within

•

<u>1</u>	15 minutes. Once a customer's service has been moved from BellSouth to
<u>2</u>	MediaOne, the customer will be unable to receive calls until BellSouth has
<u>3</u>	completed the port activation. It is thus vital to MediaOne to have the
<u>4</u>	activation completed in a timely manner to minimize the time the customer
<u>5</u>	is out of service. The industry standard for completing this process is
<u>6</u>	within 15 minutes after a number has been ported, and the Interconnection
<u>7</u>	Agreement should include a performance measurement reflecting that
<u>8</u>	standard.
<u>9</u>	General availability of the LSMS system. If LSMS does not work properly,
<u>10</u>	LNP will not function. Given the critical nature of this system, its
<u>11</u>	availability should be the subject of a separate performance measurement.
<u>12</u>	Timely advance notice of LNP system maintenance requirements.
<u>13</u>	Recently, BellSouth provided MediaOne with seven days' notice that the
<u>14</u>	LNP system would be "down" for a period of time to perform routine
<u>15</u>	maintenance activities. MediaOne provisions service on an eight-day
<u>16</u>	schedule; receiving only seven days' notice disrupted that provisioning
<u>17</u>	cycle, forcing us to re-schedule a number of customers. The
<u>18</u>	Interconnection Agreement should require BellSouth to give us at least
<u>19</u>	thirty days' notice of such scheduled outages.
<u>20</u>	The performance measurements proposed by BellSouth do not include
<u>21</u>	Provisioning Trouble reports in connection with LNP-only orders.
<u>22</u>	BellSouth thus would not measure its performance in provisioning LNP for
<u>23</u>	facilities-based carriers such as MediaOne. This measurement needs to be

.

 $\underline{1}$  added.

2 Without these additional measurements, MediaOne cannot know whether

<u>3</u> BellSouth is appropriately performing its obligations under the

- <u>4</u> Interconnection Agreement.
- 5 <u>PERFORMANCE INCENTIVES</u>

О. Why must the Interconnection Agreement include performance incentives? 6 In the typical commercial relationship, both parties have an interest in 7 Α. 8 performing. For example, if I rent an apartment, I have an interest in paying the rent, so that I will continue to have the use of the apartment; 9 my landlord, on the other hand, has an interest in maintaining the 10 11 apartment and allowing me to use it, so that I will continue to pay the rent. 12 Given these mutual incentives, both parties will likely perform their 13 obligations.

14 The Interconnection Agreement at issue in this proceeding is - to state the obvious - not a typical commercial relationship. MediaOne certainly has 15 16 an incentive to fulfill its end of the bargain: by doing so, it obtains access to facilities and services it needs to stay in business. But BellSouth has no 17 such incentive. By providing facilities and services to MediaOne, BellSouth 18 19 gives MediaOne the wherewithal to compete successfully in the local 20 marketplace, thereby taking business from BellSouth. BellSouth thus has a <u>disincentive</u> to fulfill its obligations under the Interconnection Agreement. 21 22 Indeed, absent legal compulsion, BellSouth would never agree to an <u>23</u> Interconnection Agreement with any ALEC.

034

I do not mean to suggest that BellSouth would deliberately set out to
 violate the Interconnection Agreement. But when performing its
 obligations under that agreement hurts BellSouth (by enabling MediaOne
 to compete successfully for its customers), those charged with that
 performance will do the minimum they can get away with. To think
 otherwise ignores human nature.

 $\underline{7}$  Q. What position has BellSouth taken on performance incentives?

<u>8</u> A. BellSouth refuses even to discuss them. BellSouth must believe it should
<u>9</u> be allowed to perform as poorly as it wants, with no consequences.

<u>10</u> Q. What sort of performance incentives does MediaOne propose?

11 Α. To provide BellSouth an incentive to perform its obligations, the 12 Interconnection Agreement must include performance incentives in the 13 form of monetary penalties for performance that does not meet the 14 performance measurements. Other states have recognized that monetary 15 incentives are the only effective enforcement mechanism in these 16 circumstances. They typically apply a "two-tier" program of liquidated 17 damages, including payments for the ILEC's failure to perform a specific 18 function in a timely manner and payments for its failure to meet 19 performance standards over a given period of time. The incentive 20 payments should be specific to each of the performance measurements, and 21 perhaps vary depending on the severity of the specific shortfall or pattern 22 of shortfalls. Above all, the incentives should be set at a level high enough 23 so that BellSouth cannot simply treat them as a cost of doing business; the 24 incentives must have real teeth.

035

<u>1</u> Q. Why has MediaOne presented no specific proposal for performance
 <u>2</u> incentives?

<u>3</u>	А.	First, because BellSouth refuses to discuss the issue, we have had no
<u>4</u>		opportunity to explore the issue with them so as to come up with
<u>5</u>		reasonable alternatives. More important, the area of performance
<u>6</u>		incentives is an emerging issue in ILEC-ALEC relations. MediaOne and
<u>7</u>		its ALEC counterparts have been working with regulatory commissions in
<u>8</u>		other states to develop a reasonable program of performance incentives,
<u>9</u>		but no such program is in place yet, so far as I am aware. When we have
<u>10</u>		such a program, we would hope - with the Commission's support - to bring
<u>11</u>		it to Florida. Without performance incentives, bringing the benefits of
<u>12</u>		effective competition to Florida consumers will be that much more difficult
<u>13</u>		and uncertain. For purposes of this proceeding, our Interconnection
<u>14</u>		Agreement with BellSouth could provide simply that the parties will
<u>15</u>		incorporate any program of performance incentives that this Commission
<u>16</u>		(or the FCC) finds appropriate in a subsequent proceeding.

- <u>17</u> EXPANDED AUDIT PROVISIONS
- <u>18</u> Q. What audit rights does the proposed Interconnection Agreement give<u>19</u> MediaOne?
- <u>20</u> A. As proposed by BellSouth, the Interconnection Agreement would give
   <u>21</u> MediaOne only very limited audit rights. We would have only the right to
- <u>22</u> audit the bills BellSouth sends us for services provided under the
- <u>23</u> Agreement. That is insufficient.
- 24 Q. What additional audit rights does MediaOne want?

036
1 Α. MediaOne should have the right to audit any Interconnection Service, 2 Ancillary Service (such as database access and usage) or additional function 3 (such as the LNP process for moving a customer from BellSouth to 4 MediaOne) provided or performed by BellSouth under the Interconnection 5 Agreement. BellSouth's performance in all these areas is critical to 6 MediaOne's ability to develop and maintain a viable market presence. 7 Limiting MediaOne's audit rights to the bills rendered by BellSouth leaves 8 many aspects of BellSouth's performance without adequate oversight. It 9 would force MediaOne to use the Agreement's dispute resolution 10 procedures when less drastic measures would otherwise suffice. Without 11 the right to audit BellSouth's total performance under the Agreement, 12 MediaOne cannot determine with certainty that BellSouth has fulfilled its 13 obligations, and that may force us to use the dispute resolution procedures 14 just so we can find out. That cannot be an efficient use of MediaOne's 15 resources, or of BellSouth's.

<u>16</u> Q. Has BellSouth provided an explanation for their refusal to expand the audit<u>17</u> provisions?

18A.Though BellSouth has granted greater audit rights in other agreements, it19refuses to grant them to MediaOne. BellSouth contends that MediaOne20can use the raw data BellSouth will provide to measure BellSouth's21performance and then use the dispute resolution provisions of the22Agreement to enforce the Agreement. That simply makes no sense; it is23not a proper use of dispute resolution procedures.

037

038

<u>1</u>

## RECIPROCAL COMPENSATION FOR ISP TRAFFIC

- <u>2</u> Q. How do the stipulation and the Interconnection Agreement treat reciprocal
  <u>3</u> compensation for local traffic?
- The 1996 Act requires interconnected carriers to compensate one another Α. 4 for terminating traffic. That is, if a subscriber to carrier A originates a call 5 <u>6</u> to a subscriber of carrier B, carrier B provides the termination that 7 enables the call to reach its destination. The 1996 Act requires carrier A 8 to compensate carrier B for that use of carrier B's network. Both the 9 stipulation and the proposed Interconnection Agreement obligate 10 MediaOne and BellSouth to compensate one another in just this fashion for the termination of one carrier's local traffic over the network of the 11 12 other.
- 13 Q. What is ISP traffic?

 $\underline{14}$ A.ISP traffic is the calls placed by BellSouth customers to Internet service $\underline{15}$ providers (ISPs) served by MediaOne. A customer reaches an ISP by using $\underline{16}$ a computer to dial the ISP's local number; the ISP's equipment answers the $\underline{17}$ call, reads the customer's name and password, and then connects the18customer to the Internet.

19 Q. How, in MediaOne's opinion, should ISP traffic be categorized?

 $\underline{20}$  A. For purposes of our network and services, ISP traffic is no different than

 $\underline{21}$  any other call to a local number. It looks like local traffic to us, and we

<u>22</u> believe it should be treated as such for purposes of reciprocal

<u>23</u> compensation.

 $\underline{1}$  Q. How does BellSouth designate ISP traffic?

<u>2</u>	Α.	BellSouth takes the position that ISP traffic is inherently interstate because
<u>3</u>		the Internet is interstate. For that reason, BellSouth has refused to pay
<u>4</u>		MediaOne any compensation for terminating calls placed by BellSouth
<u>5</u>		customers to ISPs served by MediaOne, and it proposes to have the
<u>6</u>		Interconnection Agreement expressly preclude such payments, at least until
2		the issue is resolved in some "final" manner.
<u>8</u>	Q.	Why does MediaOne believe ISP traffic should be considered local?
<u>9</u>	Α.	As I stated, calls to ISPs look for all the world like local calls to us. The
<u>10</u>		customer's computer dials a local number and then is connected to the
<u>11</u>		ISP's equipment. At that point, a local telephone call has been completed,
<u>12</u>		just as any other local call. What the ISP does after that should have no
<u>13</u>		impact on that basic fact.
<u>14</u>	Q.	Is that not also the case with an ordinary long distance call?
<u>15</u>	А.	No. When a customer places a long distance call, the customer is never
<u>16</u>		connected to the long distance provider's local equipment; the call is not
<u>17</u>		completed until it is answered at the distant location. In the case of ISP
<u>18</u>		traffic, the call is answered locally by the ISP's equipment.
<u>19</u>	Q.	Do local exchange carriers ordinarily compensate one another for
<u>20</u>		delivering interstate traffic to the long distance providers?
<u>21</u>	A.	Yes. If a MediaOne customer in Jacksonville places an interstate call,
<u>22</u>		MediaOne delivers that call to the BellSouth tandem; BellSouth then
<u>23</u>		delivers the call to the point of presence of the caller's long distance

1 provider. MediaOne and BellSouth each bill their portion of the 2 originating switched access charges to the long distance provider; BellSouth 3 receives compensation because BellSouth "terminated" the call to the long distance provider. (In some cases, the incumbent bills the entire amount, 4 5 and then pays the ALEC its share of the charges.) Unfortunately, this 6 model does not work for ISP traffic because the FCC has held that local exchange carriers may not impose access charges on ISPs. Therefore, 7 8 unless MediaOne receives reciprocal compensation from BellSouth, it will <u>9</u> receive no compensation at all for terminating ISP traffic.

<u>10</u> <u>CNAM DATABASE QUERIES</u>

<u>11</u> Q. What is the CNAM Database?

12A.The Calling Name (CNAM) Database furnishes the name to associate with13a calling number, so that local provider can include the name of the calling14party as part of the Caller ID feature. The incumbents, including15BellSouth, generally provide access to their CNAM Databases to other16local providers. MediaOne will utilize BellSouth's CNAM database here in17Florida.

18 Q. What does BellSouth propose to charge MediaOne for CNAM access?

19 A. BellSouth proposes to charge MediaOne 1.6 cents per CNAM query.

<u>20</u> Q. Is that a reasonable price?

<u>21</u> A. We do not know. We have never seen any cost or other data to justify this
 <u>22</u> price. In Georgia, BellSouth has been charging MediaOne only \$50 per

<u>23</u> 1,000 lines per month, which works out to about 5 cents per line per

24 month. Given that our customers typically receive several calls a day, this

1		pricing is obviously a tiny fraction of 1.6 cents per query. I should point
<u>2</u>		out that BellSouth is attempting to increase its charge to 1.6 cents per
<u>3</u>		query in our interconnection negotiations in Georgia.
<u>4</u>	Q.	What price should the Commission require BellSouth to charge?
<u>5</u>	A.	Obviously, we would prefer the pricing scheme BellSouth currently has in
<u>6</u>		place in Georgia, but I cannot say that is a reasonable price. Unless the
<u>7</u>		Commission requires BellSouth to prove the cost of providing CNAM, it
<u>8</u>		will have no way of determining whether BellSouth's proposal is
<u>9</u>		reasonable, or what would be a reasonable price for this service.
<u>10</u>		SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION
<u>11</u>	Q.	If MediaOne prevails on the issues raised in this proceeding, how will that
<u>12</u>		affect Florida's telephone consumers?
<u>13</u>	А.	If the Commission rules in MediaOne's favor on these issues, I believe we
<u>14</u>		will begin to fulfill the promise of the Telecommunications Act of 1996.
<u>15</u>		MediaOne can bring the benefits of local competition - real competition -
<u>16</u>		to Florida consumers. We do not need much from BellSouth to be able to
<u>17</u>		do this, but we must have what we have requested in this proceeding.
<u>18</u>		Without it, local, residence competition faces a long, difficult and uncertain
<u>19</u>		road in Florida.
<u>20</u>	Q.	Does this conclude your testimony?
<u>21</u>	A.	Yes.

. .

MR. GRAHAM: Thank you. 1 2 COMMISSIONER DEASON: And this witness has no prefiled exhibits? 3 MR. GRAHAM: That's correct. 4 COMMISSIONER DEASON: You tender the witness 5 for cross? 6 7 MR. GRAHAM: We do. COMMISSIONER DEASON: Mr. Carver. 8 MR. CARVER: We have no questions. 9 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Staff. 10 11 MR. FORDHAM: No questions, Commissioner. 12 **COMMISSIONER DEASON:** Commissioners, questions? Commissioner Clark is going to check real 13 quick like. 14 15 MR. GRAHAM: No hurry. COMMISSIONER CLARK: If nobody has any 16 17 questions, why didn't we stipulate the testimony? 18 COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Who is the gentleman 19 you indicated would walk us through particularly the 20 network terminating wire issue? 21 WITNESS LANE: Mr. Beveridge. 22 COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Beveridge. Okay. 23 COMMISSIONER CLARK: Let me ask you a question with respect to the FCC's order on, I guess, 24 it was a Declaratory Ruling having to do with the ISP 25

## FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

traffic. Do you know, is that a final order and has 1 it been appealed, do you know? 2 WITNESS LANE: I do not know. I do not 3 4 know. COMMISSIONER CLARK: All right. 5 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Well, let me ask 6 7 another question on this and maybe one of the following witnesses could add more to that. 8 Hypothetically, if the Commission were to determine 9 that there were -- was not to be treated as local, 10 that is ISP traffic would not be considered local for 11 compensation purposes, how do we measure such traffic 12 13 so it can be excluded from that compensation process? WITNESS LANE: Well, the traffic --14 virtually all traffic can be measured in the network 15 as it passes through the various network elements, and 16 17 specifically switches, so I think that can be done. 18 COMMISSIONER DEASON: So we can measure the 19 traffic that is terminated to an ISP? That can be 20 done? WITNESS LANE: Yes. That's being done 21 today, every day, specifically for reciprocal 22 23 compensation purposes as we look at local traffic, and it's also done for interexchange access purposes. 24 25 COMMISSIONER DEASON: But if you're going to

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

segregate that out -- so you're saying that it can 1 2 readily be identified and segregated? WITNESS LANE: Yes. 3 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Okay. 4 COMMISSIONER CLARK: Let me ask a question. 5 Is the issue of audit rights still in contention? 6 WITNESS LANE: I'm sorry. I can't answer 7 that question. 8 MR. GRAHAM: No, ma'am. That's been 9 resolved by the parties. 10 COMMISSIONER CLARK: Okay. 11 COMMISSIONER JACOBS: What tariff would an 12 ISP purchase from? 13 WITNESS LANE: I'm sorry? 14 15 COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Which of your tariffs 16 would an ISP purchase from? 17 WITNESS LANE: It would purchase from the 18 same tariff that any local exchange provider has on 19 record. 20 COMMISSIONER CLARK: It's a business tariff, 21 isn't it? 22 WITNESS LANE: Yes. 23 COMMISSIONER CLARK: It's a business --24 WITNESS LANE: Oh, I'm sorry. If you mean business or residence, yes, it's a business. 25

44

l	
1	COMMISSIONER JACOBS: But it's also a local?
2	WITNESS LANE: Yes.
3	COMMISSIONER JACOBS: You also provide do
4	you provide any other long distance or any other
5	multi-state services?
6	WITNESS LANE: We are not providing that
7	today.
8	COMMISSIONER JACOBS: If you were, would
9	an ISP would still buy from this tariff, correct?
10	WITNESS LANE: Yes. They could actually buy
11	from both tariffs depending upon what service they
12	were purchasing. If they were purchasing local
13	service, they would purchase, of course, from the
14	business tariff, but they would buy local service
15	based upon the local tariff and
16	COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Buy separate?
17	WITNESS LANE: Yes.
18	COMMISSIONER CLARK: I just have to
19	indicate, my testimony was missing Page 13 and I would
20	assume you will make sure that whatever the court
21	reporter has, has Page 13.
22	MR. GRAHAM: Thank you. We will certainly
23	do that.
24	COMMISSIONER CLARK: I have another
25	question. If for ISP traffic, they purchase a

business line, a local business line, and you are the 1 provider. If it's your customer, you're the provider 2 of that line. 3 WITNESS LANE: Of the local business line, 4 5 yes. COMMISSIONER CLARK: Right. And then once 6 7 it gets to the ISP's terminal they can then send it 8 out over their lines? WITNESS LANE: If they have -- well, yes, if 9 they have network to do so. They might logically send 10 it back to a LEC switching office for other switching 11 or other transmission, that could happen, depending 12 13 upon the routing of the traffic. COMMISSIONER CLARK: But, in effect, by 14 saying that that call from an end user to the ISP end 15 server, the FCC has said that is interstate, is that 16 correct, if it goes to an ISP end server, because 17 generally those sites that it would visit will not be 18 local? 19 WITNESS LANE: Well, there are components of 20 21 the entire transmission that would cross -- that could cross interstate boundaries; interstate boundaries and 22 23 local boundaries. And it really all depends upon who 24 is calling and where the server is that is associated 25 with the ISP provider. It really all depends upon

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

1 those things.

2	COMMISSIONER CLARK: Well, what I understand
3	the FCC has said is because what takes place after it
4	reaches the ISP provider would not be local. And in
5	some instances, and I think they say in the majority
6	of instances it will not be local, then it's
7	interstate, even the call to the ISP provider.
8	WITNESS LANE: I believe that's what they've
9	said, yes.
10	COMMISSIONER CLARK: Are you then considered
11	a provider of interstate service because you provide
12	that line?
13	WITNESS LANE: I can't answer that
14	officially. My opinion is we're not, simply because
15	we provide no interstate service at all. We provide
16	only local exchange service.
17	COMMISSIONER CLARK: Well, I guess my
18	question is, if the FCC concludes that that call from
19	the end user to the ISP is, in fact, an interstate
20	call, then aren't you an interstate service provider?
21	Regardless of what you think it should be, based on
22	their conclusion, doesn't that mean that it is
23	interstate service?
24	WITNESS LANE: If you base it on their
25	conclusion, yes, that has to be correct. Yes.
I	1

1 COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Help me understand 2 this. You provide the ISP that line. When it reaches 3 their point of presence, the ISP's point of presence, 4 it goes into the Internet backbone. Do you own that 5 backbone? 6 WITNESS LANE: No. 7 COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Okay. What happens in 8 terms of hand shaking at the point that you hand off 9 the Internet provider's traffic that you've taken at local level into the backbone? What has to happen 10 11 there in terms of security measures; in terms of all 12 the other things that have to happen when you take that traffic and deliver it to someone else? 13 WITNESS LANE: I'm really not very well 14 15 qualified to answer that question. 16 COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Okay. Is there 17 someone else that might answer that? 18 WITNESS LANE: I can't answer that as well. 19 COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Okay. 20 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Redirect. 21 MR. GRAHAM: No redirect. Thank you very 22 much. 23 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Okay. Very well. 24 There's no rebuttal testimony for this witness, correct? 25

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

MR. GRAHAM: That's correct. 1 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Okay. Thank you, 2 3 Mr. Lane. You're excused. (Witness Lane excused.) 4 MR. GRAHAM: MediaOne would like to call as 5 its next witness Mr. Greg Beveridge. 6 COMMISSIONER DEASON: And we're going to do 7 direct and rebuttal? 8 9 MR. GRAHAM: Correct. COMMISSIONER DEASON: Very well. 10 11 12 GREG BEVERIDGE was called as a witness on behalf of MediaOne Florida 13 Telecommunications, Inc. and, having been duly sworn, 14 testified as follows: 15 16 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. GRAHAM: 17 Mr. Beveridge, can you state your name and 18 Q address for the record? 19 My name is Greg Beveridge, Gregory J. 20 Α Yes. Beveridge. I'm vice president of technology strategy 21 for MediaOne. My business address is 188 Inverness 22 Drive West, Suite 200, in Englewood, Colorado. Zip is 23 24 80112. Thank you. Can you describe for us your 25 Q

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

current employment with MediaOne and some of your 1 2 experience in the telecommunications industry? I have 30 years total experience in 3 Α Yes. 4 telecommunications beginning with the five years or so 5 in radio and television broadcasting. About 24 years 6 in the traditional telephone company and related 7 businesses. And the last year -- a little over a year with MediaOne in Denver, Colorado. 8 9 Q And your current position with MediaOne is 10 what? I have the responsibility for technology 11 A strategy, and that is basically the collection of work 12 that I do with regard to engineering strategy for our 13 domestic, within the United States, operations as they 14 need engineering strategy in advance of those 15 16 operating systems. I'm responsible for intellectual property, patents, patent applications from the 17 Engineering Department. I provide support in a 18 variety of public policy matters, and also am 19 20 responsible for CLI; that is, leakage index, proof of performance and emergency alert system, FCC compliance 21 22 matters throughout our operations. 23 0 Okay. You've prepared some direct written 24 testimony as well as rebuttal testimony in this

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

docket. Have you had a chance to review that

25

testimony?

1

Yes, I have. Α

2 3 If I were to ask you those same questions Q today as you were posed in that testimony, would you 4 respond in the same fashion? 5 6 Yes, I will. Α 7 Okay. I ask you now to please provide a 0 summary of both the direct and rebuttal testimony. 8 9 In my testimony I will discuss BellSouth's Ά 10 proposal for the provision of unbundled network 11 terminating wire, or NTW; the problems that that 12 proposal presents to MediaOne; and MediaOne's 13 counter-proposal which resolves those problems and 14 creates a level playing field for all alternative 15 local exchange carrier, or ALEC, competitors. 16 BellSouth has proposed to install an access cross-connect panel near the cross-connect panel that 17 18 interconnects BellSouth's distribution plant with 19 network terminating wire in MDUs where MediaOne is to 20 interconnect its distribution plant. A BellSouth 21 technician then uses a jumper wire to cross-connect 22 the access panel to the panel where BellSouth's distribution facilities connect to the NTW. BellSouth 23 reserves the first NTW pair for its own use and agrees 24 25 to relinquish this first pair to a MediaOne customer

1	only if all spare pairs are in use and the end user
2	wants to change service from BellSouth to MediaOne.
3	BellSouth proposes a charge of \$171 for
4	first-time site preparation and connection of up to 25
5	NTW pairs. It would charge \$40.47 for every
6	subsequent site visit and 60 cents per month charge
7	for each NTW pair provided. Because only BellSouth
8	has access to its original cross-connect panel,
9	BellSouth must send a technician to reconfigure the
10	wiring at the MDU entrance in order to provision an
11	NTW pair to an ALEC.
12	When BellSouth provisions service for one of
13	its own customers in the MDU, it, however, does not
14	need to call out an ALEC technician even if it is
15	disconnecting a competitor's service. In contrast,
16	BellSouth's proposal means competitors must pay \$40.47
17	every time a new customer orders service after the
18	first site preparation visit since a BellSouth
19	technician must rearrange the jumper wires between the
20	cross-connects, or an ALEC can order NTW pairs for
21	every unit in the building, thereby reducing its
22	nonrecurring charges, but then it must pay BellSouth
23	60 cents per month for each pair whether or not the
24	pair is being used by a customer.
25	BellSouth's proposal also now requires a new
I	

- 11	
1	element in the living unit known as a condominium
2	network interface device, or NID. In order to
3	properly install this NID, the ALEC technician must
4	locate the first jack within a giving living unit and
5	reconnect the inside wiring to the NTW pair that
6	BellSouth allows the competitor to use. The jacks are
7	not labeled so the ALEC technician has no direct way
8	of knowing which is the first jack without removing
9	each jack, inspecting it, and testing it to
10	conclusively locate the first jack.
11	If BellSouth wins back the customer, it will
12	not have to go through this process because the ALEC
13	will have located the first jack, and because wiring
14	from the access CSX, or cross-connect facility,
15	through the network terminating wire is electrically
16	continuous to the jacks in that living unit.
17	In short, BellSouth's NTW proposal places
18	competitors at a serious disadvantage because it
19	forces ALECs to pay for BellSouth technicians to
20	perform work that serves no useful purpose or could be
21	performed by an ALEC. BellSouth's proposal would
22	substantially increase MediaOne's cost of
23	provisioning.
24	MediaOne's proposal, on the other hand,
25	would allow ALEC access to all NTW pairs without the
ł	

1	
1	new intermediate cross-connect block proposed by
2	BellSouth and without the condominium NID. MediaOne
3	and all facilities-based ALECs would have equal access
4	to all NTW pairs on equal footing with BellSouth.
5	MediaOne's proposal eliminates the cost
6	disadvantage imposed on ALECs, eliminates the need to
7	coordinate technicians from two companies and
8	minimizes rearrangements inside living units, greatly
9	reducing inconvenience to customers.
10	<b>Q</b> Thank you. You also prepared some exhibits
11	for your direct and rebuttal testimony. Have you had
12	a chance to look at those?
13	A Yes, I have.
14	<b>Q</b> Would you make any changes to the exhibits
15	themselves?
16	A No, I would not.
17	<b>Q</b> Thank you very much. We've spoken this
18	morning about you demonstrating a short demo and I'd
19	like to ask for you to perform that right now.
20	MR. GRAHAM: Commissioners, it might make
21	more sense for Mr. Beveridge to come up here from a
22	viewing sense. You might be able to see it a bit
23	better.
24	COMMISSIONER DEASON: That's fine. As long
25	as he has access to a microphone.
	ll second se

1	WITNESS BEVERIDGE: I'm wearing a lapel
2	mike. I assume it's on. Can you hear me?
3	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Yes.
4	WITNESS BEVERIDGE: I will try not to
5	scratch the surface here once I get untangled.
6	Commissioners, what I am showing you right
7	now is an example of what a wiring closet would appear
8	in the typical case. And what we have on your left is
9	the terminal block labeled "MediaOne digital
10	telephone." In the middle it's labeled "MDU riser
11	cable," or NTW as we're calling it in this proceeding.
12	And on your right this is the ILEC outside plant
13	termination or distribution facilities terminal block.
14	So these three terminal blocks take
15	multi-pair cables, in this case from the riser or
16	house cable inside the building, from MediaOne's
17	distribution facilities, and in this case Bell South's
18	distribution facilities.
19	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Let me interrupt and
20	ask a question. If there is a multiple dwelling unit
21	that you currently do not provide service to, you have
22	no customers there, what facilities currently exist?
23	The two terminal blocks that are on my right side?
24	Those exist? Those are BellSouth facilities?
25	WITNESS BEVERIDGE: That's correct,

1	Commissioner.
2	<b>COMMISSIONER DEASON:</b> If you identify an MDU
3	which you wish to provide service, it is your
4	responsibility to install the terminal block on my far
5	left?
6	WITNESS BEVERIDGE: This block, yes. That's
7	correct.
8	COMMISSIONER DEASON: And you would have to
9	gain access into some type of a terminal room of some
10	sort at the facility that I assume that is owned by
11	the person that owns that MDU?
12	WITNESS BEVERIDGE: That's correct.
13	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Okay. Has that been a
14	problem for you to gain access to put in that terminal
15	block?
16	WITNESS BEVERIDGE: I can't answer that
17	question directly. Perhaps Mr. Lane can.
18	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Okay. Fine. Please
19	proceed.
20	WITNESS BEVERIDGE: Unfortunately, I'll have
21	to put this down in order to be able to perform the
22	simple operation of removing and installing a
23	cross-connect. What I will do is first remove the
24	cross-connect
25	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Before you do that,

I'm going to take the liberty. I'm going to walk down 1 there and actually see you do the process. And, 2 Commissioners, I invite you to do the same if you 3 wish. 4 (Commissioner Deason, Commissioner Clark and 5 Commissioner Jacobs came forward to observe 6 7 demonstration.) WITNESS BEVERIDGE: What I will do to begin 8 with is a simple example of removing the so-called 9 jumper wire, connecting a given pair for the outside 10 plant termination here on the BellSouth block from the 11 network terminating wire appearance on the center 12 block. Once I --13 14 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Let me ask a question. 15 The incumbent LEC outside plant termination, is that normally what you see in the big green boxes outside 16 by the street corner or is that located somewhere 17 else? 18 WITNESS BEVERIDGE: This would be inside a 19 20 wiring closet. COMMISSIONER DEASON: Both of these would be 21 inside the wiring closet? 22 WITNESS BEVERIDGE: That's correct. So the 23 24 first operation would be to remove the end of the 25 jumper wire that presents the service from the

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

BellSouth block by simply gently lifting and removing 1 the pairs. There is no residue left and no wire 2 scraps. And then I would either remove it in this end 3 and run a new one, but in the sake of time for the 4 Commissioner, I had previously applied the punching on 5 this one for that customer and redressed the 6 7 cross-connect to the particular tip and ring termination of the service provided by MediaOne. So 8 again, I have to make sure that it's in the right 9 place, take the wire pairs and dress them first, and 10 we'll say that it's No. 6 here. After trimming a 11 little of this access -- I don't have the trimming 12 tool with me. This tool has a sharp edge and a dull 13 edge and I face the sharp edge away from the wire pair 14 jumper. Simply apply it over the lug, and in one 15 16 operation, it's -- in a compression fashion the 17 insulation is stripped away automatically in that one 18 operation and it makes electrical contact. And dress 19 the wire in that and then in the associated ring position in like fashion. And then I remove the 20 remaining insulated wire scrap and this cross-connect 21 22 is complete. 23 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Let me ask another question. This connect -- this device here in the 24

25 || middle of your demonstration exhibit, is that

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

considered property of BellSouth or is that inside 1 wire and belongs to the condominium owner or whatever 2 the facility might be. 3 WITNESS BEVERIDGE: It is the property of 4 BellSouth, as I understand it. 5 COMMISSIONER CLARK: Let me ask a question. 6 Is that true in other jurisdictions? If we followed 7 what the FCC said, would that, in fact, be considered 8 9 BellSouth property? WITNESS BEVERIDGE: I believe it is 10 considered BellSouth property. In other 11 jurisdictions, you mean other states that we may 12 operate in? The rules vary, but in terms of this 13 termination itself, it's access rather than ownership. 14 15 COMMISSIONER CLARK: Let me put it this way. Is this the minimum point of entry then in other --16 WITNESS BEVERIDGE: This would be one form 17 of expression would be minimum point of entry or in 18 some jurisdictions minimum point of penetration, MPOP. 19 COMMISSIONER CLARK: Okay. If it is 20 considered minimum point of entry, then is this 21 considered inside wire? 22 WITNESS BEVERIDGE: In the case where it has 23 24 been officially designated as MPOE, yes. 25 COMMISSIONER CLARK: Okay.

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Help me understand the 1 coordination issue that you brought up, i.e, what you 2 3 have to know what -- about what BellSouth has had installed previously and how that has to coordinate 4 when you move to your block? 5 **WITNESS BEVERIDGE:** Before performing any 6 work we must, of course, know exactly which appearance 7 of network terminating wire represents the other end 8 of that customer's line. So, either with records, 9 with markings on the blocks, or in absence of any 10 records or markings, a test tone could be applied at 11 the particular apartment unit and then located easily 12 with ordinary techniques that are used on frames. 13 COMMISSIONER JACOBS: So you don't have to 14 15 go over here? You can determine that on this one? WITNESS BEVERIDGE: I first need to 16 17 determine conclusively so that we don't interrupt service to any other incorrectly -- to any other 18 19 customer -- that, in fact, it's the third punching or the fifth punching and so forth. Then identifying 20 21 that cross, again, absent records, I can then identify by trailing the jumper to which particular cable pair 22 terminates from the distribution facilities of 23 BellSouth. And then carefully remove first from that 24 end to avoid hazard to any service here and then run a 25

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

new cross-connect, as I've done, to our distribution 1 facility termination. So that's the sequence. 2 COMMISSIONER JACOBS: And that -- that's 3 right. This is BellSouth's property. So there 4 wouldn't be any kind of documentation residing on site 5 that would have that? That is pretty much always 6 7 going to be with the company? WITNESS BEVERIDGE: There may be 8 9 documentation or at least markings. As you see here, there is a space for writing and sometimes it's 10 legible, sometimes not, on the side here. 11 COMMISSIONER JACOBS: But it's not reliable? 12 13 WITNESS BEVERIDGE: I think good practice 14 would want to make sure that, in fact, it's that 15 customer's pair and confirm that. 16 COMMISSIONER DEASON: What is your 17 understanding of the procedure which would, under 18 BellSouth's interpretation, would be required by their 19 technician? What part of this process would that 20 individual have to perform? 21 WITNESS BEVERIDGE: My understanding is that 22 the process would be very similar. We assume the existence of records, but if, in fact, the records are 23 not complete or not available for whatever reason, 24 they would be faced with much the same activity that I 25

## FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

1 just described.

2 **COMMISSIONER DEASON:** Well, would it be --3 it would be necessary for there to be your technician 4 and a BellSouth technician on the premises at the same 5 time to perform this function?

6 WITNESS BEVERIDGE: No. For the case where 7 no records exist and I obtain access to the customer's 8 living unit, it's a simple matter for one technician, 9 either us or them, to apply test tone, locate that 10 appearance of that specific cable pair in the NTW, and 11 then perform all of the successive operations as I've 12 shown here.

13 COMMISSIONER CLARK: I don't think you've 14 really answered his question. Under their proposal, 15 they want their technician there to make that change 16 right there; is that correct?

17 WITNESS BEVERIDGE: Their proposal basically 18 requires yet another block that is not shown here in 19 this little demo unit that would wire out or cross-connect with jumper wires only those pairs which 20 21 MediaOne has requested. And then -- so that requires 22 some physical activity, either on a per pair basis, 23 per visit with a BellSouth technician or on a block of 24 pairs. For example, 25 pairs could be wired out or you could wire out the entire building for the 25

1 appropriate nonrecurring charges. Once that happens, 2 then we would then cross-connect from this new intermediate block, which has those particular 3 customers' pairs wired out to this block. That would 4 be our access to our distribution facilities. So the 5 BellSouth proposal seeks to place another intermediate 6 7 terminal block, seeks to have a BellSouth technician present, either on a group of pairs basis or on a per 8 9 pair basis if we choose to order them that way. And then we would run cross-connects. So we would not 10 have access to all of the NTW pairs, only a selected 11 12 subset of those pairs on this new intermediate block. COMMISSIONER JACOBS: You would be able to 13 14 bid for each one of those customers, but what this 15 intermediate block is, essentially, a capacity of how 16 you would offload; is that correct? In other words, 17 you're not restricted from which apartment you can go 18 and serve. It's just a matter of whether or not you 19 will have the capacity on this intermediate block. Is20 that a fair statement? 21 WITNESS BEVERIDGE: That is a fair 22 statement. We do not have -- under BellSouth's 23 proposal, we do not have access for permission to run 24 cross-connects. This is the ideal situation that 25 MediaOne prefers.

## FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

1	COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Let me ask you this.
2	I can understand perhaps that there would be some
3	sensitivity to allow you access to theirs. And if I
4	understood you correctly, you have to disconnect from
5	theirs first before you can take from that one and go
6	to yours, right?
7	WITNESS BEVERIDGE: That's correct.
8	COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Do you is it
9	absolutely required that that physical wire has to be
10	pulled? Can something be done by BellSouth or by your
11	technician that simply disables that wire so if
12	they if it's your technician, they don't have to
13	actually go in and deal with their block, or does it
14	require that physical touching?
15	WITNESS BEVERIDGE: In order to make sure,
16	at the end of their facilities the typical would be to
17	remove the jumper at this location. While it is
18	possible to leave jumpers in place, having them loose
19	at one end would, in fact, present a potential
20	difficulty to either the line or other facilities. So
21	that's why and that's also why I removed it from
22	this end first in the case of MediaOne's preferred
23	arrangement.
24	COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Okay.
25	MR. CARVER: Commissioner Deason, if the

Commission has no further questions, can I ask my 1 first couple of cross exam questions here? Because I 2 think if you can look at this, maybe a couple of 3 clarifying questions would kind of give us a picture 4 of what I'm going to ask him about when we return to 5 our seats. 6 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Proceed. So it's okay 7 for us to continue to look while you ask your 8 9 questions? MR. CARVER: Yes, sir. In fact, I prefer 10 that for the first few and then I will go back to my 11 12 set. COMMISSIONER DEASON: All right. 13 COMMISSIONER CLARK: I want to ask a 14 question first. You skipped a step in there which I 15 don't understand. You said in order -- when you were 16 demonstrating it, you took it off there and put it 17 around yours, you said you skipped a step with respect 18 19 to the riser cable. What step was that that you 20 skipped? WITNESS BEVERIDGE: Instead of running a 21 brand new wire -- punching it twice, I simply left 22 that one on because that was the customer's pair 23 24 appearance. COMMISSIONER CLARK: But in actuality, you 25

65

would un --1 WITNESS BEVERIDGE: Take it off here. 2 COMMISSIONER CLARK: Take it off there. 3 WITNESS BEVERIDGE: And take it off --4 COMMISSIONER CLARK: What would you do with 5 it? 6 WITNESS BEVERIDGE: Then I would either 7 discard that jumper, because it's probably not long 8 enough for specific -- it's used in any case -- and 9 run a brand new jumper from this same customer 10 appearance that was just disconnected from BellSouth, 11 punching it down here and on our distribution 12 facilities, thereby establishing MediaOne service. 13 COMMISSIONER CLARK: Why don't you just take 14 15 it off there and leave it dangling from --WITNESS BEVERIDGE: From here? 16 COMMISSIONER CLARK: Yes. 17 WITNESS BEVERIDGE: Because that would set 18 up a potential hazard. In other words, the wire could 19 be laying loose, come into contact with the ground or 20 some other pair. So, the safest thing is to remove 21 22 both and not have sort of open jumpers at both ends, 23 or at one end. 24 CROSS EXAMINATION 25 BY MR. CARVER:

Mr. Beveridge, my name is Phil Carver. We 1 Q met a little bit earlier in the day. And what I'd 2 like to do is ask you couple of questions while we're 3 all here around the exhibit, and then return to our 4 seats and I'll have some more. But first of all, what 5 I want to do is see if we can just understand the rest 6 of the architecture that surrounds this cross-connect 7 Now, let's assume for purposes of really all 8 point. the questions I'm going to ask you, that we're talking 9 about a multiple dwelling unit. In other words -- or 10 In other words, a facility where a number of 11 units. people live, a number of businesses do business, 12 whatever. Now, under the Commission's current 13 demarcation rule, the demarcation point between 14 network facilities and customer inside wire is at the 15 customer premise, correct? 16 That's my understanding. 17 Α So, let's assume -- and this would be 18 Okay. Q either in a garden terminal or a wiring closet that is 19 somewhere other than the customer's facility? 20 21 А That's correct. So, basically, let's assume that we're going 22 0 to trace through the path to a particular customer. 23 24 Α Okay. Okay. Let's say the unit is right here. 25 Q

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

1	This is where the customer lives.
2	<b>A</b> Uh-huh.
3	<b>Q</b> The demarcation point where BellSouth
4	facilities end would be right here at the customer
5	premise, correct?
6	<b>A</b> That's correct. Just inside the unit.
7	<b>Q</b> So what we would have running into this
8	block are distribution facilities, in other words, a
9	sort of a cable, that belongs to BellSouth that would
10	connect into that block, correct?
11	A Correct.
12	<b>Q</b> Now, before you go into this, in other
13	words, when BellSouth is serving the customer, there
14	would be network terminating wire that BellSouth would
15	use to serve the customer that would run from that
16	block to the customer, correct?
17	A From the center block.
18	<b>Q</b> From the center block. And all of this is
19	part of BellSouth's network, correct?
20	A That's correct.
21	<b>Q</b> Now, under what you're contemplating, you
22	would run MediaOne facilities, that is distribution
23	facilities, into this block?
24	A Uh-huh.
25	<b>Q</b> And then you would use BellSouth's

terminating wire to get to the customer? 1 2 Α That's correct. Okay. So, in other words, what you're doing 3 Q is you're connecting into BellSouth's network and 4 using a portion of its facilities to get to the 5 customer? 6 7 That's correct. Α And under your proposal, MediaOne would do 8 Q this without a BellSouth technician being present to 9 see what you're doing to the BellSouth network? 10 That's our proposal. 11 Α That's all I have for here. I can 12 0 Okay. 13 ask the rest at my seat. 14 Α Would you like me to leave this out? 15 Q Actually, if it's okay, I think Mr. Milner 16 would like to use it when he gets up and he has some 17 points to make too. 18 (Commissioners Deason, Clark and Jacobs 19 returned to their seats.) 20 0 (By Mr. Carver) Mr. Beveridge, just let me know whenever you're settled in and I will ask you a 21 22 few more questions. 23 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Just a moment. We 24 were actually, I guess, concluding the summary phase. I don't think that we've even inserted his testimony 25

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

in the record. 1 2 MR. GRAHAM: That's correct. 3 COMMISSIONER DEASON: So a few more preliminaries, Mr. Carver. 4 5 MR. CARVER: I'm sorry. COMMISSIONER DEASON: I know you're anxious, 6 7 but --MR. GRAHAM: Commissioner Deason, at this 8 time I would I ask that the exhibits, as well as his 9 direct and rebuttal, be entered into the record. 10 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Okay. The direct and 11 rebuttal will be inserted into the record. The 12 prefiled exhibits attached to his Direct Testimony 13 will be identified as Exhibit 12, and the exhibits 14 15 attached to his Prefiled Rebuttal Testimony will be 16 identified as Exhibit 13. And I'll allow you to move those exhibits after we conclude cross examination. 17 18 MR. GRAHAM: Thank you. 19 (Exhibits 12 and 13 marked for identification.) 20 21 22 23 24 25

<u>4</u> Drive West, Englewood, Colorado 80112.

1

2

3

- 5 Q: By whom are you employed and in what position?
- <u>6</u> A: I am employed by MediaOne, Inc. My job title is Vice President -

7 Technology Strategy. My responsibilities include development of the

- $\underline{8}$  engineering strategy for technology and the use of capital, the identification
- <u>9</u> of strategic projects in MediaOne Labs that support engineering methods
- 10 and new products, senior management oversight of FCC compliance
- <u>11</u> matters, and intellectual property/patents development.
- 12 Q: Please relate your experience in the telecommunications industry.
- 1<u>3</u> A: Since 1968, I have held a variety of positions in the telecommunications <u>14</u> industry, starting with television and radio broadcast transmitter operations 15 and maintenance for the U.S. Army during a tour in South Vietnam, and 16 five years in broadcasting subsequent to my military tour. I have spent 25 17 years in the telephone industry, first with U S WEST, and now with 18 MediaOne. In that time, I have worked in microwave radio engineering. 19 digital carrier system design and acceptance engineering, fiber optic system <u>20</u> design, architecture development, international business development, 21 competitive intelligence, and a variety of other sub-disciplines related to <u>22</u> network technologies. My current position involves technologies from both <u>23</u> the traditional cable television industry and the traditional telephone

1		industry. I have been published in a variety of trade magazines and IEEE
<u>2</u>		journals, represented U S WEST and MediaOne in various public policy
<u>3</u>		efforts to FCC and other governmental entities, and been part of senior
<u>4</u>		negotiating teams for various business efforts throughout the world. I have
<u>5</u>		also led various standards efforts in the industry that have resulted in new
<u>6</u>		national standards for telecommunications protocols (e.g., Zero Byte Time
7		Slot Interchange, ZBTSI, for Clear Channel Capability).
<u>8</u>	Q:	What is the purpose of your testimony?
<u>9</u>	A:	My testimony will describe the proposal BellSouth Telecommunications
<u>10</u>		(BellSouth) has advocated in its interconnection negotiations with
<u>11</u>		MediaOne for the provision of unbundled network terminating wire
<u>12</u>		(UNTW) in multiple dwelling unit (MDU) buildings. I will also describe
<u>13</u>		the problems BellSouth's proposal creates for competitive local exchange
<u>14</u>		carriers (CLECs) who wish to serve MDU residents. Finally, I will present
<u>15</u>		the proposal MediaOne has advocated in the negotiations and explain why
<u>16</u>		that proposal will create complete parity among all local exchange carriers
<u>17</u>		(LECs) who serve MDU residents, without jeopardizing any customer's
<u>18</u>		service.
<u>19</u>		BELLSOUTH'S INITIAL UNTW PROPOSAL

<u>20</u> Q: Please describe BellSouth's UNTW proposal.

<u>21</u> A: On August 17, 1998, BellSouth presented its proposal to provide UNTW as <u>22</u> an unbundled network element in a document entitled, "Unbundled <u>23</u>

Network Terminating Wire - MediaOne Information Package." I have
<u>1</u>		attached a copy of that document to my testimony as Attachment 1. More
<u>2</u>		recently, BellSouth has presented specific contract language, which revises
<u>3</u>		their position in some respects. I have attached that document to my
<u>4</u>		testimony as Attachment 2. I believe a separate discussion of these two
<u>5</u>		proposals will better enable me to explain their shortcomings.
<u>6</u>	Q.	How does BellSouth's initial proposal describe UNTW?
<u>7</u>	А.	Referring to Attachment 1, BellSouth describes UNTW as a dedicated
<u>8</u>		transmission facility connecting BellSouth's loop distribution facilities to the
<u>9</u>		end-user premises in an MDU. The interconnection point between the
<u>10</u>		distribution plant and UNTW will usually be found in a wiring closet, a
<u>11</u>		garden terminal, or another type of cross-connect facility, and is typically at
<u>12</u>		a minimum point of entry (MPOE) to the building. BellSouth proposes to
<u>13</u>		provide UNTW circuits as non-designed 2- or 4-wire elements, without a
<u>14</u>		network interface device (Attachment 1, p. 4).
<u>15</u>	Q:	How does BellSouth propose to provide UNTW?
<u>16</u>	A:	BellSouth's initial proposal (Attachment 1) describes two "scenarios," a
<u>17</u>		"Wiring Closet Scenario" (Attachment 1, p. 5) and a "Garden Terminal
<u>18</u>		Scenario" (Attachment 1, p. 6); they are functionally identical. I will
<u>19</u>		describe the Wiring Closet Scenario; you might find it helpful to refer to
<u>20</u>		Attachment 3 to my testimony, which is taken directly from Attachment 1.
<u>21</u>		BellSouth proposes to install an "access" cross-connect panel (ACCESS
<u>22</u>		CSX) near the cross-connect panel that interconnects BellSouth's
<u>23</u>		distribution plant with the UNTW (BST CSX); the CLECs interconnect

,

.

		0.7.4
1	L	their distribution plant to the ACCESS CSX. A BellSouth technician then
2	) <u>-</u>	uses a cross-connect "jumper" wire to cross-connect the ACCESS CSX to
<u>3</u>	5	the BST CSX to provide the CLEC access to the appropriate UNTW pair.
<u>4</u>	Q:	Are all UNTW pairs available to the CLECs?
<u>5</u>	A:	No. BellSouth reserves a minimum of one pair, the "first" pair, for its own
<u>6</u>		use (Attachment 1, p. 4). BellSouth's initial proposal indicates it will
7		provide the first pair to a CLEC only if all "spare" pairs are in use and the
<u>8</u>		customer wishes to change service from BellSouth to the CLEC. It does
<u>9</u>		not indicate that BellSouth would surrender the first pair to enable a
<u>10</u>		CLEC to provide an additional line after it has displaced BellSouth for a
<u>11</u>		subscriber's primary line, thus implying that BellSouth would not make the
<u>12</u>		first pair available in that circumstance.
<u>13</u>	Q:	What does BellSouth propose to charge for providing UNTW?
<u>14</u>	<b>A</b> :	BellSouth proposes a charge of \$94 for "first time site preparation," which
<u>15</u>		apparently includes the connection of up to 25 UNTW pairs. Every
<u>16</u>		subsequent site visit to the same location would then incur a charge of
<u>17</u>		\$33.50. In addition, BellSouth would charge \$0.49 per month per UNTW
<u>18</u>		pair provided (Attachment 1, p. 10).
<u>19</u>	Q:	Why does MediaOne object to BellSouth's initial UNTW proposal?
<u>20</u>	A:	BellSouth's initial proposal is inefficient, costly, inconvenient to customers,
<u>21</u>		and it discriminates against the CLECs. It indeed makes a CLEC's use of
<u>22</u>		UNTW virtually impossible. Mr. Lane will explain how this proposal has
<u>23</u>		hindered MediaOne's efforts to market telephone service to MDU

.

4

.

<u>1</u> residents.

<u>2</u> Q: How does BellSouth's UNTW proposal hinder MediaOne's efforts to
 <u>3</u> market telephone service to MDU residents?

- 4 **A**: Only BellSouth has access to its original cross-connect (BST CSX). Under <u>5</u> BellSouth's proposal, provisioning a UNTW pair for a CLEC requires <u>6</u> BellSouth to send out a technician to reconfigure the wiring at or near the 7 building entrance. When BellSouth provisions service for one of its own 8 retail MDU customers, it has no need to call out a CLEC technician, even <u>9</u> if it is disconnecting CLEC service. Indeed, BellSouth can often provision 10 service without dispatching a technician; yet, its proposal would always 11 require the presence of a BellSouth technician, at CLEC expense, when the 12 CLEC provisions service.
- <u>13</u> Q. How would this proposal impede MediaOne's ability to serve MDU<u>14</u> customers?
- <u>15</u> A. The disparity between BellSouth's provision of UNTW to CLECs and its
   <u>16</u> own use of those facilities imposes significant and totally unnecessary
   <u>17</u> burdens on CLECs in at least three ways.
- 18 First, the CLEC must pay BellSouth every time BellSouth sends a
- <u>19</u> technician to provision a UNTW pair for the CLEC. The CLEC can
- <u>20</u> reduce these charges by ordering UNTW pairs to every unit in the
- <u>21</u> building, but it then must pay BellSouth \$0.49 a month for each pair,
- 22 whether it has a customer for that pair, or not. Moreover, because a
- 23 significant proportion of MediaOne's customers purchase two lines,
- 24 obtaining only one pair per MDU unit would still require MediaOne to pay

1 BellSouth for dispatching a technician in many instances. Obtaining two 2 UNTW pairs to each unit in an MDU (if they are available) doubles the <u>3</u> monthly cost to the CLEC, regardless whether it has any customers. <u>4</u> Alternatively, the CLEC can choose to order UNTW pairs only as it <u>5</u> acquires customers, but then it must pay \$33.50 every time (after the first <u>6</u> time) BellSouth dispatches a technician to rearrange the jumper wires 7 between the cross-connects. Either way, the CLEC's expenses are driven 8 up dramatically, and particularly so in comparison to BellSouth's. <u>9</u> Second, unless the CLEC chooses to pre-wire UNTW pairs to all units, it 10 will need to coordinate visits by its own technician and a BellSouth 11 technician to ensure that BellSouth has completed its work before the 12 MediaOne technician arrives, or else the service will not work. Given the <u>13</u> size of our service area, particularly in Jacksonville, merely coordinating <u>14</u> our technicians' schedules with our customers' is a significant task. If we <u>15</u> have to bring in a BellSouth technician as well, I fear it could become <u>16</u> impossible.

Finally, because this proposal does not include a network interface device
(NID), the CLEC must undertake the task of locating the "first" jack within
the unit - the point at which UNTW enters the unit. As I will explain
below, this is a significant task, and it would add significantly to the
CLECs' costs.

<u>22</u> Q: You mentioned that BellSouth's initial proposal is inconvenient to<u>23</u> customers. Why is that?

076

1 Α. Except in limited circumstances, BellSouth demands exclusive access to the 2 "first" UNTW pair. Therefore, when a CLEC wins an MDU customer, it <u>3</u> must reconnect the inside wiring within the unit to the particular UNTW <u>4</u> pair that BellSouth will allow it to use. In many MDUs, BellSouth has not 5 installed NIDs in the individual units, claiming that the demarcation point <u>6</u> between the UNTW and the inside wiring within the unit is behind the 7 "first" jack, the point at which UNTW enters the unit. In those cases the 8 CLEC technician must locate the first jack, disconnect the first UNTW 9 pair, and connect the CLEC pair.

<u>10</u> Q: Is that a difficult task?

11A:It can be very time-consuming. There is no practical way to know which is12the "first" telephone jack inside an end-user's premises: they are not13labeled. Since telephone inside wire typically takes the form of multipair14cable "looping through" all jacks, a jack-by-jack removal, inspection,15rewiring and repeated testing is the only conclusive way to determine16where the UNTW ends and the premises inside wire begins. I will17demonstrate this at hearing.

<u>18</u> Q. Would BellSouth have to go through this exercise if it subsequently serves
 <u>19</u> a customer in that unit?

 $\underline{20}$  A. They will have to send a technician to the unit to rearrange the wiring,

21 though they will not have to locate the first jack, since the CLEC will
22 already have done that.

 $\underline{23}$  Q. Do these problems exist where BellSouth has installed a NID in each unit?

Unlike the first jack, a NID is readily identifiable, so the CLEC technician 1 Α. 2 would not have to locate the point of entry into the unit. But the CLEC <u>3</u> must still dispatch a technician to rearrange the wiring inside the unit - as 4 will BellSouth if it again serves a customer in that particular unit. 5 Q. Please summarize the defects in BellSouth's initial proposal. 6 Α. BellSouth's initial proposal puts the CLECs at an enormous competitive 7 disadvantage as they attempt to serve MDU customers. First, the CLEC <u>8</u> must arrange and pay for the dispatch of a BellSouth technician to <u>9</u> rearrange the UNTW. Second, a CLEC technician must locate the first 10 jack in the unit and rearrange the wiring there. These tasks are not at all <u>11</u> necessary; they simply drive up the CLECs' costs and make it more difficult <u>12</u> for the CLECs to win customers in MDUs.

 $\underline{13}$  Q. Why do you say these tasks are unnecessary?

14 Α. They serve no useful purpose. As I will explain below, CLEC technicians 15 are fully capable of rearranging UNTW without disrupting other customers' 16 service or otherwise harming BellSouth's facilities. And, if the CLECs can 17 use the first UNTW pair to serve an MDU customer, there is no need to 18 rearrange the wiring inside the unit. Ironically, BellSouth's initial proposal 19 does nothing to reduce BellSouth's costs when it regains the right to serve 20 an MDU unit. By retaining exclusive control of the first pair, BellSouth 21 avoids having to rearrange the UNTW (which takes only a few minutes). 22 but it still must dispatch a technician to rearrange the wiring within the <u>23</u> unit: The initial proposal thus simply drives up CLEC costs with no cost

078

<u>1</u> benefit to BellSouth.

## <u>2</u> <u>BELLSOUTH'S PROPOSED CONTRACT LANGUAGE</u>

- <u>3</u> Q. How does BellSouth's contract language modify its initial proposal?
- 4 Α. BellSouth's proposed contract language (Attachment 2) apparently differs <u>5</u> from its initial proposal in that it changes the circumstances in which <u>6</u> BellSouth will allow MediaOne to use the first UNTW pair, and it calls for 7 the installation of a NID in each MDU unit. I say "apparently" because <u>8</u> the first change may not have been intended and the second is a mirage. 9 Neither change does anything to correct the deficiencies of BellSouth's 10 initial proposal; indeed, I believe the proposed contract language 11 represents a step backward.
- <u>12</u> Q. How does the proposed contract language appear to change the criteria for<u>13</u> CLEC access to the first UNTW pair?
- <u>14</u> A. The proposed contract language (Attachment 2) addresses this issue in its
   <u>15</u> definition of "Spare Capacity or Spare Pair," which it defines as:
- 16a pair that (1) is not the Provisioning Party's first pair or (2) is not17being utilized by the Provisioning Party [BellSouth] or by a third18party to provide an end user with working service or (3) is being19utilized by the Provisioning Party or a third party to provide an end-20user with service(s), but such service is subject to disconnect request21from the end user . . .

Because the three criteria are separated by the disjunctive "or," this passage
 creates an implication that any pair meeting any of the criteria qualifies as

1 Spare Capacity and is thus eligible for provision to the "Requesting Party" 2 (MediaOne). If that was BellSouth's intent, however, the "first" pair would <u>3</u> become eligible for provision to MediaOne if it is not in use, or if the <u>4</u> service using it is subject to a disconnect request. But if BellSouth intends 5 to provide a first pair anytime it meets either criterion (2) or (3), there is <u>6</u> no reason for criterion (1), which implicitly affords the first pair some sort 7 of special treatment. I cannot discern from reading the contract language 8 when, if ever, BellSouth intends to allow MediaOne to use the first pair. <u>9</u> In any case, as I will explain below, MediaOne should have access to the <u>10</u> first pair any time it is available, that is, when it is not in use by BellSouth 11 or another CLEC. <u>12</u> Q. Please explain what the proposed contract language has to say about <u>13</u> installing a NID. <u>14</u> A: The proposed contract language states that whenever either party installs <u>15</u> UNTW in a new residential apartment complex, it will install NIDs <u>16</u> "incorporat[ing] plug and jack connectivity that facilitates an end user's 17 access to either or both carriers' services" (Section 6.4.2). This suggests <u>18</u> that the party installing UNTW should bear the responsibility for installing 19 NIDs. Yet, in existing MDUs in which BellSouth has not already installed <u>20</u> NIDs, MediaOne must install a NID (again with modular plug and jack <u>21</u> capability) whenever it wishes to serve a customer there (Section 4.1.1). 22 BellSouth does not explain why it should not be responsible for installing <u>23</u> NIDs in existing MDUs. After all, a NID in each unit is necessary only

10

080

٠.

- because BellSouth demands exclusive (or near exclusive) access to the first
   pair; MediaOne gets no benefit from it.
- $\underline{3}$  Q. How difficult is it to install a NID?
- $\underline{4}$  A. As described in the proposed contract language, it would be impossible.
- As I mentioned, BellSouth defines the NID to include "modular plug and
   jack and jack connectivity that facilitates an end user's access to either or
   both carriers' services." BellSouth sometimes refers to this as a
- 8 "condominium" NID. Whatever they wish to call it, no such device exists.
- <u>9</u> If it did, it would be quite expensive, at least as described in the proposed
   <u>10</u> contract language.
- 11 Q. Why do you say that?

12 A. The proposed contract language includes a definition of "Network 13 Interface Device," which states that it "provides a protective ground 14 connection." Grounding provides protection against unplanned electrical 15 charges on the wiring, most obviously (and dangerously) from lightning 16 strikes. Premises wiring should be grounded at the MPOE, where it enters 17 the building; if that is done properly, there is no need to ground the 18 facilities at each unit. Incorporating into the NID a grounding capability <u>19</u> sufficient to protect against lightning strikes would be very costly.

<u>20</u> Q. How difficult would it be to install NIDs?

<u>21</u> A. Assuming the existence of a "condominium" NID, or the use of some other
 <u>22</u> type of NID, the installation process is simple in new construction and very
 <u>23</u> difficult in existing buildings. In a new MDU – where BellSouth agrees it

	<u>1</u>	will install NIDs - NIDs can be installed when the building is wired, so the
, 1	2	technician will know where the wiring enters the unit. The installation
-	3	itself is not difficult. In an existing MDU - where BellSouth would require
4	<u>ŧ</u>	MediaOne to install the NIDs - the technician must go through the
4	5	exercise of locating the first jack, as I described above.
ć	<u>ó</u> Q.	What benefit would MediaOne obtain from installing NIDs in existing
7	7	MDUs?
<u>8</u>	А.	None.
<u>9</u>	Q.	Would customers benefit from having NIDs installed in their apartments?
<u>10</u>	Α.	No. If the customer decides to change to a different LEC, the new LEC
<u>11</u>		will need to rewire the NID, forcing the customer to be home to give the
<u>12</u>		technician access to the premises, which most people find inconvenient.
<u>13</u>	Q.	What if the customer has a "condominium" NID in their unit?
<u>14</u>	A.	If such a device existed, it would still require the customer to unplug the
<u>15</u>		modular plug and plug it into the appropriate jack within the unit. None
<u>16</u>		of this is necessary.
<u>17</u>	Q.	Why do you say that?
<u>18</u>	А.	Because the new LEC can perform the necessary rearrangements to the
<u>19</u>		UNTW without ever entering the unit, and with no inconvenience to the
<u>20</u>		customer, if only BellSouth will permit it.
<u>21</u>		MEDIAONE'S UNTW PROPOSAL
<u>22</u>	Q:	Please describe MediaOne's UNTW proposal.

.

. . .

23 A: Referring to Attachment 3, recall that, as BellSouth portrays its proposal,

	1	both its distribution facilities and the UNTW terminate on the same "BST
ź	2	CSX." That does not accurately portray the situation. In fact, the "BST
	<u>3</u>	CSX" has two cross-connect "blocks" in close proximity, one for the
4	Ŀ	distribution facilities, and one for the UNTW; BellSouth provisions service
5		by connecting the two cross-connects with short "jumper" wires.
<u>6</u>		MediaOne's proposal, as depicted on Attachment 4, modifies BellSouth's
7		proposal in three respects:
<u>8</u>		First, we would separate the two cross-connects that constitute "BST CSX"
<u>9</u>		in BellSouth's proposal. (Depending on the physical configuration, this
<u>10</u>		might not require any actual rearrangement in some cases.)
<u>11</u>		Second, because the cross-connect on which the UNTW terminates is now
<u>12</u>		physically separate, it functionally becomes the "ACCESS CSX" for all
<u>13</u>		UNTW pairs. The additional cross-connect ("ACCESS CSX" on
<u>14</u>		Attachment 3) is no longer needed and is thus eliminated.
<u>15</u>		Third - and most important - all LECs have equal access to the "ACCESS
<u>16</u>		CSX," enabling all of them to provision service quickly, easily and on an
<u>17</u>		equal footing.
<u>18</u>	Q:	How would they do that?
<u>19</u>	A:	Assume an existing BellSouth customer. Referring to Attachment 4,
<u>20</u>		BellSouth provisioned that customer's service by connecting "BST CSX" to
<u>21</u>		"ACCESS CSX" by means of a cross-connect jumper wire. If CLEC-1 wins
<u>22</u>		that customer's business, its technician will simply disconnect BellSouth's

•

<u>1</u>		jumper, both at "BST CSX" and at "ACCESS CSX," and connect a new
<u>2</u>		jumper between "CLEC-1 CSX" and "ACCESS CSX," thereby connecting
<u>3</u>		its distribution facilities to the first UNTW pair. If another LEC, including
<u>4</u>		BellSouth, subsequently wins the customer, it can provision service in the
<u>5</u>		same manner.
<u>6</u>	Q:	Is this a difficult procedure?
2	A:	Not at all. Any competent technician can perform these tasks in minutes.
<u>8</u>		I will demonstrate that at hearing.
<u>9</u>	Q:	How will the CLECs' technicians know which terminations to disconnect
<u>10</u>		and then reconnect?
<u>11</u>	A:	BellSouth has that information in its Design Layout Records (DLRs),
<u>12</u>		which indicate exactly which UNTW pairs serve which units. Access to the
<u>13</u>		DLRs is thus key to MediaOne's proposal. For whatever reason, however,
<u>14</u>		BellSouth says it will not provide them (Attachment 1, p. 7). If the
<u>15</u>		Commission adopts MediaOne's proposal, it must require BellSouth to
<u>16</u>		provide copies of its DLRs.
<u>17</u>	Q:	Does MediaOne's proposal resolve all the problems you noted with
<u>18</u>		BellSouth's proposal?
<u>19</u>	A:	Yes. Unlike BellSouth's UNTW proposal, MediaOne's proposal would
<u>20</u>		provide all LECs with the same access to the "ACCESS CSX" thus
<u>21</u>		enabling them to provision service to a customer without involving the
<u>22</u>		customer's current LEC. That eliminates the cost disadvantage imposed on
<u>23</u>		the CLECs by BellSouth's proposal. It also eliminates the need to

coordinate the scheduling of technicians from the two companies. Finally, 1 it establishes the demarcation point at the MPOE, rather than within the <u>2</u> individual units. That means customers need not suffer the inconvenience <u>3</u> <u>4</u> of having a technician enter their home to install or rewire a NID every <u>5</u> time they change local providers. Indeed, under MediaOne's proposal, a <u>6</u> CLEC can provision service to a unit without ever having to enter that 1 unit. MediaOne's proposal puts all LECs on an equal footing, and it will 8 finally bring real competition to the MDUs in MediaOne's serving territory. 9 Would MediaOne's proposal jeopardize the service of other BellSouth **Q**: 10 customers? 11 **A**: No. So long as each CLEC has access to the Design Layout Record, its 12 technicians can effect the necessary rearrangements in moments, with no jeopardy to other customers' service. The arrangement proposed by <u>13</u> <u>14</u> MediaOne is very similar to rearrangement and maintenance access found 15 between certified carriers at IXC/LEC points of presence, and connection 16 activities between local exchange carriers. Both parties are responsible to 17 safeguard customer service and networks.

- 18 Q: Does that conclude your testimony?
- <u>19</u> A: Yes.

085

- 1 Q: Please state your name.
- 2 A: My name is Greg Beveridge.
- 3 Q: Did you previously submit Direct Testimony in this proceeding.
- 4 A: Yes.

•

- 5 Q: What is the purpose of your Rebuttal Testimony?
- A: I will rebut the testimony of W. Keith Milner of BellSouth Telecommunications (BST) on
  the issue of network terminating wire (NTW).
- 8 Q: In his testimony, Mr. Milner takes issue with your use of the term "cross-connect facility," 9 claiming that this item of equipment is commonly referred to as a "garden terminal." Is 10 that correct?
- 11 A: A "garden terminal" is simply one type of cross-connect facility. In my Direct Testimony,
- 12 I used the more generic term in order to cover both "Scenarios" addressed in the
- 13 document entitled "Unbundled Network Terminating Wire, MediaOne Information
- 14 Package" (Attachment 1 to my Direct Testimony), which was provided to MediaOne by
- 15 BST. Pages 5 and 6 of that document depict a "Wiring Closet Scenario" and a "Garden
- 16 Terminal Scenario" for access to NTW. Note that both scenarios depict a number of
- 17 "CSX" devices; "CSX" is the standard industry abbreviation for a cross-connect facility.
- 18 The "Garden Terminal" and "Access Terminal" depicted in the Garden Terminal Scenario
- 19 are also cross-connect facilities. Thus my use of the terminology was correct.
- 20 Q: Are you saying Mr. Milner's use of the terminology was incorrect?

1	<b>A</b> :	Not at all. He apparently intended to limit his testimony to the "Garden Terminal
2		Scenario," so using that terminology would be appropriate in that context. By doing so,
3		however, he excluded any discussion of the "Wiring Closet Scenario," which does not
4		utilize any device called a "garden terminal."
5	Q:	What do you conclude from that?
6	<b>A</b> :	I can only conclude that BST has no quarrel with MediaOne's proposal as to the "Wiring
7		Closet Scenario."
8	Q:	In your Direct Testimony, you indicated that MediaOne objects to the installation of an
9		Access Terminal, as proposed by BST. Has BST agreed to provide NTW to other
10		ALECs without an Access Terminal?
11	<b>A</b> :	I am aware that BST has entered into at least one interconnection agreement with an
12		ALEC under which BST provides the ALEC access to NTW without an intervening
13		Access Terminal. Attachment 1 to my Rebuttal Testimony is a copy of the
14		Interconnection Agreement between BST and Comcast Telephony Communications of
15		Florida and Comcast MH Telephony Communications of Florida. Section 5(a) of that
16		Agreement provides for BST to furnish NTW in a "Garden Terminal Interconnection"
17		without the use of an Access Terminal. Specifically, Section 5(a)(2) of that Agreement
18		states:
19		
20		"The Requesting Party will extend an interconnect cable from its cross-connect block to
21		the Provisioning Party's Garden Terminal."
22		

•

.

Section 5(b)(2) of that Agreement apparently does require an Access Terminal for a 1 Wiring Closet Interconnection (though it refers to the device as a "Common Connecting 2 Block"), so I must assume that the omission of an Access Terminal from the Section on 3 Garden Terminal Interconnection was not accidental. 4 5 Q: Do you know of any legitimate reason to require an Access Terminal for a Wiring Closet Interconnection, but not for a Garden Terminal Interconnection? 6 A: No; I do not believe that an Access Terminal is required for either situation. Because BST 7 has chosen not to address a Wiring Closet Interconnection in this proceeding, we can only 8 speculate why they required an Access Terminal only for that form of interconnection in 9 the Comcast Agreement. 10 Q: Should the Commission sustain BST's demand for an Access Terminal in a Garden 11 12 **Terminal Interconnection? A**: Obviously not. As I mentioned, BST's agreement with Comcast calls for direct 13 interconnection between Comcast's cross-connect facility and BST's Garden Terminal. 14 To require MediaOne to pay for the installation of an Access Terminal would be 15 discriminatory. 16 Mr. Milner claims that providing access to NTW at a garden terminal is technically **Q**: 17 infeasible. Do you agree? 18 No. Mr. Milner rests his position on the contention that "MediaOne's technicians could, **A**: 19 20 intentionally or unintentionally, disrupt the service provided by BellSouth" (page 5, lines 10-11, emphasis added) because "a garden terminal is a relatively small device with no 21 22 means of protecting against intentional or unintentional disruption once access to the 23 interior of the garden terminal has been made." (page 6, lines 4-7) In other words, Mr.

3

1		Milner argues that this point of access is technically infeasible because MediaOne's
2		technicians could disrupt BST's service, either intentionally or unintentionally. He thus
3		implies that MediaOne's technicians are either dishonest or incompetent, though he
4		presents no evidence to support this implicit contention, and it is certainly not true. In any
5		event, his claims do not meet the FCC's standard for "technical infeasibility."
6	Q:	What is that standard?
7	A:	Mr. Milner quotes a portion of paragraph 203 of the FCC's First Report and Order in CC
8		Docket No. 96-98 (August 8, 1996) for the proposition that network reliability and
9		security are legitimate factors in assessing technical feasibility. He omitted the following,
10		which appears in the same paragraph.
11		
12		"Thus, with regard to network reliability and security, to justify a refusal to provide
13		interconnection or access at a point requested by another carrier, incumbent LECs must
14		prove to the state commission, with clear and convincing evidence, that specific and
15		significant adverse impacts would result from the requested interconnection or access."
16		(emphasis added)
17		
18		Mr. Milner has not even claimed that providing MediaOne access to NTW at the garden
19		terminal would produce "specific and significant adverse impacts" to BST's service, and he
20		provides no evidence, let alone "clear and convincing evidence," to support such a
21		contention.
22	<b>Q</b> :	Do other incumbents allow competitive LECs access to NTW in the manner proposed by
23		MediaOne?

.

<b>A</b> :	I do not know the interconnection practices of all the incumbents, but I do know that
	U S WEST allows competitive LECs direct access to cross connect devices that are
	virtually identical to BST's garden terminals. This enables the competitors to have easy
	access to the inside wire in MDUs.
Q:	Is that inside wire part of U S WEST's network?
<b>A</b> :	No. US WEST typically establishes the demarcation point at a minimum point of entry
	into the building, so the facilities that BST calls "NTW" are simply inside wire to
	U S WEST.
Q:	Does that matter?
<b>A</b> :	No; it is a "distinction without a difference." The facilities are virtually identical;
	functionally, they are identical. Granting access to them raises the same risks (or the lack
	thereof) in either case. In the First Report and Order, paragraph 198 (another paragraph
	quoted in part by Mr. Milner), the FCC stated:
	"We also conclude that preexisting interconnection or access at a particular point
	evidences the technical feasibility of interconnection or access at substantially similar
	points."
	U S WEST's experience demonstrates the technical feasibility of granting access to NTW
	at the garden terminal.
Q:	Mr. Milner claims that BST offers MediaOne a reasonable method of access to NTW. Do
	you agree?
	A: Q: A: Q: A:

1	<b>A</b> :	No. In my direct testimony, I noted the operational difficulties with BST's proposal that
2		make it unworkable for MediaOne, in particular, the need to coordinate (and pay for) the
3		presence of a BST technician every time MediaOne wants access to an NTW pair. Mr.
4		Milner notes (at page 7, lines 6-8) that BST will pre-wire NTW pairs for MediaOne, thus
5		obviating the need to have a BST technician present when MediaOne wishes to provision
6		service. He does not mention that BST will then charge MediaOne for every pre-wired
7		pair, whether MediaOne has a customer for that pair, or not. That makes pre-wiring
8		uneconomic. I should note that, under BST's Interconnection Agreement with Comcast,
9		BST will terminate spare pairs on the Access Terminal ("Common Connecting Block") in
10		a Wiring Closet Interconnection, but BST charges Comcast for the pre-wired pairs only
11		after Comcast begins to use them to provide service (Attachment 1, Section 5(b)(2) and
12		Attachment A1, note 2). BST has declined to make that arrangement available to
13		MediaOne.
14	<b>Q</b> :	Mr. Milner claims that MediaOne is asking the Commission to redefine the demarcation
15		point, so that NTW will become inside wire. Is that true?
16	<b>A</b> :	No. MediaOne is not asking this Commission to move BST's demarcation point in this
17		proceeding. We may choose to seek that remedy, either here or before the FCC, but it is
18		not an issue here.
19	<b>Q</b> :	Should the Florida PSC treat NTW as an unbundled network element?

•

As long as BST claims NTW as part of its network, the PSC should definitely categorize **A**: 1 NTW as an unbundled network element (UNE). The PSC should take note of Mr. 2 Varner's testimony (page 15, lines 7-9), in which he states that BST will "reconsider" 3 whether to continue offering NTW to MediaOne and other ALECs in light of the FCC's 4 proceeding on the remand of its rule defining UNEs. To me, that says BST will likely 5 refuse to provide NTW to its competitors, unless it is required to do so. This would be an 6 intolerable development; it would require MediaOne to purchase an entire unbundled loop 7 from BST, rather just the NTW, which would make MediaOne's service uneconomic. Mr. 8 Varner implies (at page 15, lines 4-6) that only the FCC can define specific UNEs. As I 9 understand it, the FCC's list of UNEs is only a minimum; the states are free to require the 10 incumbents to provide additional UNEs. The PSC can and should require BST to provide 11 NTW as a UNE. If it does not, Florida citizens who reside in MDUs are unlikely ever to 12 have a competitive alternative to BST. 13 Does this conclude your testimony? 14 Q:

15 A: Yes.

1	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Mr. Carver.
2	MR. CARVER: Thank you.
3	<b>Q</b> (By Mr. Carver) Mr. Beveridge, I want to
4	talk about the connect at the access cross-connect
5	some more. But before we do that, I want to talk
6	about the other proposal that BellSouth has made.
7	Now, BellSouth has also proposed that as an
8	alternative to cross-connecting here, that MediaOne
9	would be allowed to connect at the demarcation point
10	at the customer premise, correct?
11	<b>A</b> That's correct.
12	${f Q}$ Okay. Now, if MediaOne were to do that, in
13	terms of the cost to it, the piece of equipment that
14	it would need to make this connection cost at retail,
15	\$7.48, correct?
16	<b>A</b> That \$7.48 is correct.
17	<b>Q</b> And, again, that's the retail price?
18	<b>A</b> That's the retail price, yes.
19	<b>Q</b> So if MediaOne bought these in bulk, your
20	equipment, it would probably in other words, this
21	piece of equipment would probably cost even less than
22	\$7.48?
23	A I would assume so.
24	<b>Q</b> And you wouldn't have any other equipment
25	cost related to connecting at that point, would you?
	1

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the case of using network terminating Α 1 2 wire, no. Okay. Now, when you cross-connect at the 3 Q customer premise, the wires are color-coded, are they 4 not, so that you can tell which pair is the first 5 pair, which pair is the second pair, et cetera? 6 7 Α Yes. Now, in your opinion, are MediaOne 8 Q technicians going to be competent to look at those 9 wires and know the color-coding scheme and tell which 10 is the first pair and which is the second pair? 11 12 Α Yes. 13 0 So --COMMISSIONER CLARK: Let me ask a question. 14 15 What do you mean by first pair, second pair? Is it first pair in the -- just physically there or is it 16 the first pair to a customer's premises? 17 WITNESS BEVERIDGE: As BellSouth uses it, 18 it's the first pair into a particular living unit. 19 20 Ordinarily it would be the blue-white pair as it's 21 known. COMMISSIONER CLARK: You would have those 22 23 pairs on that riser cable in the middle strip you have 24 there? That's correct. 25 WITNESS BEVERIDGE:

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

- i	
1	COMMISSIONER CLARK: For each terminal you
2	would only have one wire; is that right?
3	WITNESS BEVERIDGE: That's correct. So for
4	a pair of wires we have two terminals. One has a blue
5	and the other has a white, for example, to designate
6	the two conductors in that pair.
7	COMMISSIONER CLARK: And that's what
8	color are the first pairs?
9	WITNESS BEVERIDGE: The first pair is
10	blue-white. It may take a different color as it
11	appears in this frame block, but in the first pair
12	designation it is typically blue-white.
13	COMMISSIONER CLARK: What is the
14	significance of retaining access to the first pair as
15	opposed to using the second pair? Is the first pair
16	better?
17	WITNESS BEVERIDGE: The first pair is
18	already connected to the Line 1 jack appearance in a
19	given apartment or living unit. So it's absent the
20	requirement for a condominium NID or using different
21	pairs. This would already be electrically continuous
22	from that middle block, the appearance of the NTW in
23	this wiring closet in this case.
24	COMMISSIONER CLARK: All right. So if you
25	have to use if the first pair is being used, or in

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

this case, BellSouth wants to reserve the first pair 1 for themselves, right? 2 WITNESS BEVERIDGE: That's correct. 3 COMMISSIONER CLARK: So you go to the second 4 pair. And when you use that you have to go up to the 5 unit, the apartment unit, and connect it? 6 7 WITNESS BEVERIDGE: Yes. COMMISSIONER CLARK: And you have to 8 determine -- when you go up to the apartment unit you 9 have to find -- where do you find the end of that 10 second pair of wires? 11 WITNESS BEVERIDGE: That is exactly the 12 problem that we are faced with. Because the jacks 13 aren't marked, we do not know where the NTW wiring 14 ends and where the rest of the inside -- true inside 15 wiring, as defined here, continues for the jacks in 16 the apartment. So, if -- for example, if I make a 17 mistake and select the wrong jack, I correct -- I have 18 the correct appearance of MediaOne's telephone service 19 using pair No. 2 moved to Line No. 1 jack appearance 20 only for that jack and any that are beyond it, and not 21 22 for the rest of the jacks. So it would generate a trouble call for us, by way of example. 23 COMMISSIONER CLARK: All right. Let's say 24 25 this customer is changing all its service to MediaOne.

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

So you would do the first jack. You would do that. 1 Suppose they're not. Suppose they want a second line 2 from you. 3 WITNESS BEVERIDGE: Two different service 4 5 providers? COMMISSIONER CLARK: Yes. They want to keep 6 7 BellSouth and then they want a second line from you. WITNESS BEVERIDGE: The second line would 8 then appear on pair two, if available, and would wire 9 10 through and is also generally continuous in most apartment wiring situations and would appear on the 11 12 Line 2 portion of these single telephone jacks. The problem is that the Line 2 appearance, which would be 13 14 Line 1 for MediaOne's service, isn't directly 15 available to single line instruments directly without 16 some sort of rewiring or some adapter jacks. 17 COMMISSIONER CLARK: If the apartment itself is not wired to accept that; is that right? 18 19 WITNESS BEVERIDGE: The apartments are 20 generally wired to accept two-line service but only 21 have single line jacks as a practical matter. The 22 telephone jack has four connections available in it, 23 only two of which are Line 1. The remaining two would 24 be the appearance of Line 2. And you can purchase 25 telephone instruments that have two line buttons on

97

them that plug into those same single jacks. Does 1 that answer your question, Commissioner? 2 COMMISSIONER CLARK: I think so. 3 COMMISSIONER JACOBS: And they're coming 4 from that wiring closet -- and I forget to ask this. 5 This is at the CO; is that correct? 6 WITNESS BEVERIDGE: This example here would 7 be in a wiring closet inside a basement terminal room 8 or a wiring closet and, of course, there is an 9 associated appearance of these wired pairs in the 10 other end of the distribution plant at the serving 11 central office. 12 COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Okay. In this 13 scenario that you just described, i.e., where you have 14 access points I'll call them, or jacks, for multiple 15 16 lines in one apartment --17 WITNESS BEVERIDGE: Uh-huh. 18 COMMISSIONER JACOBS: -- those are going to 19 come from one serving wire from this closet; is that correct? Or you're going to have multiple pairs 20 21 coming out of this closet? WITNESS BEVERIDGE: Multiple pairs to each 22 23 living unit. COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Coming out of this 24 closet? 25

98

1	
1	WITNESS BEVERIDGE: Coming into this closet.
2	COMMISSIONER JACOBS: And when you go to
3	this wiring panel, you then have to identify which
4	pair goes to which jack in that apartment?
5	WITNESS BEVERIDGE: That's correct. I think
6	there may be a bit of miscommunication, and I
7	apologize if I've done that. All of the jacks in a
8	given apartment are tied to the same pair. So the
9	Line 1 appearance for jack No. 1, 2, 3, 4, is
10	continuous. So there aren't we don't have
11	individual wire pairs per jack, but, rather per line.
12	COMMISSIONER JACOBS: You have service
13	lines, limited access lines?
14	WITNESS BEVERIDGE: That's correct.
15	COMMISSIONER JACOBS: So for each access
16	line going to that apartment or however many jacks are
17	involved for that access line, you will have a serving
18	pair out of here?
19	WITNESS BEVERIDGE: That's correct.
20	COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Okay. And what I
21	understand you saying, is then at the apartment you
22	you have a difficulty getting into that and I'm
23	sorry. I didn't remember the terminology you used.
24	But you said that there could be one or several access
25	points at the apartment. You would have a difficulty

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

breaking into -- that's bad terminology. You would 1 have a problem accessing that piece of equipment at 2 the apartment building just if you want to serve one 3 line and BellSouth keeps another line? Is that what I 4 5 understand you to say? WITNESS BEVERIDGE: Yes. 6 COMMISSIONER JACOBS: So that if that 7 scenario did exist, what would you do? If that were 8 the case, what would be your way of serving that 9 10 apartment, that second line? The second line customer WITNESS BEVERIDGE: 11 would, according to BellSouth's proposal, require 12 first the location or the placement of the condominium 13 NID, which allows test access for a two-line 14 15 situation. So Line 1, Line 2 have little test jacks 16 that can be accessed by the customer what the work is 17 finished in the case of subsequent trouble with either 18 Line 1 or 2 service. In the case of the second line 19 customer, of course, then has to have either rewired jacks or adaptors that allow electrical access to Line 20 21 2, which is MediaOne's first service --22 COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Okay. WITNESS BEVERIDGE: -- in the case of 23 24 BellSouth continuing to provide the first line. 25 COMMISSIONER JACOBS: And that will require

ł	
1	work physical work both at both ends?
2	WITNESS BEVERIDGE: Yes. In fact, in either
3	first or second first line only or two-line
4	service, to give an apartment under BellSouth's
5	proposal, a condominium NID is required in any event
6	as we understand it.
7	COMMISSIONER JACOBS: And so regardless of
8	whatever work BellSouth does, you're going to have to
9	have your technicians there to do that?
10	WITNESS BEVERIDGE: Yes.
11	COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Okay.
12	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Let me ask a question.
13	Let's assume that we have a BellSouth customer
14	residing in an apartment. They subscribe to just one
15	access line; they have one telephone number. They
16	have two jacks in their apartment; one in the kitchen
17	and one in the bedroom, and they have two telephones.
18	Both of those telephones can be used to receive or
19	make calls based on that one access line and that one
20	telephone number. And assume that that customer still
21	is a customer of BellSouth, but wants a second
22	telephone number and wants the kitchen to be the
23	number that was activated before and they want a
24	second telephone number, a second access line in the
25	bedroom. It would be two separate lines, two separate
	II

telephones, two separate telephone numbers. How does 1 BellSouth do that now when the customer requests that? 2 What do they actually go through, the technician do to 3 provide that service to the customer? 4 WITNESS BEVERIDGE: I don't know BellSouth's 5 practice for providing second lines in MDU. 6 COMMISSIONER DEASON: So you don't know --7 so I will ask the BellSouth witness how they do that. 8 If -- and I think Commissioner Jacobs just kind of 9 went over this with you. If that customer chooses to 10 have the second line provided by MediaOne, that's 11 where you get into, under BellSouth's proposal, the 12 condo NID or whatever you call that, being -- and that 13 would be your responsibility to put that device in 14 15 place; is that correct? 16 WITNESS BEVERIDGE: That's correct. Under 17 their proposal, we, at our cost, would be placing the 18 condominium NID at the location of the first appearance of where NTW pairs appear in that living 19 20 unit. 21 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Okay. Now under your proposal, to provide a second line to the apartment, 22 23 first line continued to be provided by BellSouth, 24 second line to be provided by you, how would you accomplish that under your proposal? 25

1.	WITNESS BEVERIDGE: Under our proposal, line
2	or pair No. 2, if that's a spare pair, would typically
3	be wired through existing jacks throughout the
4	apartment. So if the in the case of your example,
5	if the second line were to appear or to be needed only
6	in the bedroom, let's say, then a two-jack wallplate
7	would replace the single jack wallplate or, in the
8	alternative, a customer could procure for themselves
9	or we could provide a so-called splitter jack that
10	brings out Line 2, as well as Line 1, on a single
11	adaptor so that ordinary single line instruments would
12	have access to the second line, which would be ours in
13	that case.
14	COMMISSIONER DEASON: So that the customer,
15	if he or she wanted to, they could that actual
16	telephone instrument in the bedroom, they could unplug
17	it in from the second telephone number and plug it
18	into the first and actually they would be getting
19	service then in the bedroom from BellSouth for that
20	particular call for whatever reason they wanted to do
21	that.
22	WITNESS BEVERIDGE: That's correct.
23	COMMISSIONER DEASON: That would be their
24	option?
25	WITNESS BEVERIDGE: That will be their

1	option.
2	MR. CARVER: Thank you.
3	Q (By Mr. Carver) Let me just ask a few
4	follow-up questions, Mr. Beveridge. First of all, we
5	talked about a device that cost, at retail, \$7.48.
6	That's the condominium NID, correct?
7	<b>A</b> That's correct.
8	<b>Q</b> So, if basically if MediaOne wanted to
9	connect at the unit in the way that BellSouth
10	proposes, or rather, one of its proposals, that's what
11	they would have that buy is to buy that \$7 spend
12	the \$7 or less to buy that NID, correct?
13	<b>A</b> That's by understanding, yes.
14	COMMISSIONER CLARK: Can I interrupt just a
15	minute. I'm confused. I thought you, in your
16	testimony, said that the condominium NID didn't exist.
17	WITNESS BEVERIDGE: BellSouth had defined
18	NID in the information package provided to MediaOne as
19	a device which included also protective ground, a more
20	traditional network interface device found in the case
21	of single family residence. So this, as they defined
22	network interface device or NID, the device later
23	identified in the case of the condominium NID didn't
24	have that feature, and in fact, provides simply the
25	test jack feature. So it's a different it's not a

## FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

NID as they defined it. It's a NID -- a modified NID 1 2 if I can --COMMISSIONER CLARK: Well, let me ask it 3 this way. They're not taking issue with it being a 4 device that will qualify as a NID condominium unit? 5 WITNESS BEVERIDGE: BellSouth is not? 6 COMMISSIONER CLARK: I'm asking you that. 7 I don't believe so. WITNESS BEVERIDGE: 8 COMMISSIONER CLARK: Okay. And it's been 9 cleared up for you in the sense that you thought a NID 10 had to have a grounding wire or a device, and now they 11 have apparently conceded that it doesn't need it when 12 it is in a condominium? 13 WITNESS BEVERIDGE: Yes. 14 15 COMMISSIONER CLARK: Okay. 16 (By Mr. Carver) One other thing that I'd Q 17 like to clarify. Under the BellSouth proposal, 18 MediaOne would have an option of either having BellSouth connect into their facilities, that is, 19 20 connect the MediaOne facilities into theirs by way of 21 a jumper at the cross-connect, or you could do your own connection at the customer premises? You would 22 23 have a choice, correct? That's correct. 24 Α You don't need to do both. In other words, 25 0

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

one connection is all you need? 1 Work is required at both ends under the Α 2 proposal, as I understand it. 3 Well, but, if you decided to simply go to 4 0 the demarcation point at the customer's premise, you 5 could make your connection right there. I know you 6 don't want to, but technically you could do that, 7 8 could you not? 9 Α Yes. And your proposal is that rather than going 10 0 to the demarcation point at the customer's premise, 11 what MediaOne would do is go into BellSouth's network 12 and do the connection at the cross-connect, and when I 13 say do the work, I mean MediaOne would do the work and 14 15 no one from BellSouth would be there, right? That's correct. 16 Α 17 Q Okay. COMMISSIONER CLARK: Just so I'm clear. In 18 effect, that wire coming -- device on the end here, 19 you would run that cable up into the building and to 20 each individual unit; is that right? 21 WITNESS BEVERIDGE: If you're referring to 22 23 the -- that silver connector on the far block --24 COMMISSIONER CLARK: Yes. WITNESS BEVERIDGE: That would actually 25

H	
ı	terminate our distribution facilities so we have
2	equipment located in or near where that block appears
3	that then is made available for cross-connect by
4	virtue of plugging that connector into the equipment
5	bay.
6	COMMISSIONER CLARK: I thought what
7	Mr. Carver was asking you is, do you have the
8	opportunity to run that cable, bypass BellSouth's
9	riser cable altogether and run it up through the
10	buildings and connect that way. Am I mistaken? Is
11	that what you were asking, Mr. Carver?
12	MR. CARVER: That's what not what I was
13	asking, but it's a good question.
14	COMMISSIONER CLARK: Well, I don't
15	understand what you were asking and I don't understand
16	the response then when you indicated he could go to
17	the customer's premises and connect.
18	MR. CARVER: Well, first of all well, I'd
19	be happy to explain my point, but I'd like to have him
20	answer your question, too, because I think that is an
21	alternative. Let me ask that one first.
22	<b>Q</b> (By Mr. Carver) You could simply do what
23	Commissioner Clark suggested and run your own
24	facilities to the customer, could you not?
25	A Given building owner permissions we could,

in fact, run, yes, an additional cable. 1 Now, what my question was, was you could, 2 Q under BellSouth's proposal, basically make your 3 connection at the customer premise so that basically 4 you are using BellSouth's network terminating wire to 5 get to the customer, but you're just not messing with 6 BellSouth's network? Instead you're making your 7 connection at the premise? 8 COMMISSIONER CLARK: When you say "premise", 9 do you mean the end user, the apartment, or do you 10 mean down in the closet? 11 MR. CARVER: No. I mean at the apartment. 12 And let me -- if I may. This is what I was trying to 13 clarify earlier. Here's where the customer lives 14 right here. And under the Commission's rule, this is 15 where the demarcation point is and this is where 16 BellSouth's network ends. And this is BellSouth wire 17 that runs from the connection to the customer. 18 19 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Mr. Carver, are you testifying or explaining your question? 20 21 MR. CARVER: I'm trying to explain my I apologize if I'm going too far. So when 22 question. 23 I say premise, I'm talking about right here. And let me ask the witness that question. 24 25 (By Mr. Carver) This is not at the 0
customer premise, is it? 1 2 That's at the wiring closet. А No. And that would be somewhere either in the 3 Q building or outside of the building close to where the 4 customers are, but not actually at their premise, 5 correct? 6 7 That's correct. Α 8 MR. CARVER: Does that clarify? COMMISSIONER CLARK: Now ask your question 9 about MediaOne connecting at the customer premise. 10 (By Mr. Carver) My question was, under 11 0 BellSouth's proposal, MediaOne could simply come to 12 13 the demarcation point, connect in there for purposes 14 of serving the customer, and that would avoid the need 15 to connect into BellSouth's network at all, correct? 16 Α That's correct. COMMISSIONER CLARK: How is that different 17 18 than running your own riser cable? 19 WITNESS BEVERIDGE: It isn't. 20 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Well, wouldn't you be using BellSouth's riser cable by doing that under 21 22 their proposal? 23 WITNESS BEVERIDGE: Under their -- one of 24 their proposals which allows a direct connection at 25 the demarcation, that's one arrangement. The proposal

#### FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

1	that we make is to, in fact, interconnect at a wiring
2	closet as in this example to those wire pairs because
3	of the unlikelihood of being able to go in and rewire
4	an existing MDU.
5	COMMISSIONER DEASON: But BellSouth's
6	proposal, as you understand it, is that you would be
7	required to put in place the condo NID and connect
8	that device with their NID to actually connect the
9	customer; is that correct?
10	WITNESS BEVERIDGE: BellSouth's proposal
11	with regard to the condominium NID requires that we
12	place at our expense the \$7.48 item interpositioned or
13	at the end of their network terminating wire, and
14	thereafter, the remaining wire inside the unit is true
15	inside wire as defined by the Commission here.
16	COMMISSIONER DEASON: I guess the NID
17	that you would be required to install under
18	BellSouth's proposal, how does that actually terminate
19	a telephone call? Where is the connection made?
20	Where is the flow? Define that for me please.
21	WITNESS BEVERIDGE: Yes. The network
22	terminating wire would attach to screw terminals on
23	this condominium NID.
24	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Whose network
25	terminating wire?

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

1	1
1	WITNESS BEVERIDGE: Which would be the
2	network the end of BellSouth's network at this
3	minimum point of entry into that individual living
4	unit. Then it would connect to the jack that's very
5	similar in appearance, just a little different
6	mounting, that actually offers the ability to unplug
7	the plug a very short plug that goes into it. And
8	in the event of a case of trouble with the service,
9	the customer can directly access by unplugging the
10	little short plug, plugging in a known good telephone
11	instrument and determine whether or not the service is
12	good at that point, which would say that the
13	customer would infer that the customer had a
14	problem somewhere within the apartment or a defective
15	instrument. So this is a device that terminates Line
16	1 and Line 2, so it's capable of handling two pair
17	only as the termination of network terminating wire in
18	the apartment.
19	COMMISSIONER DEASON: And where would that
20	physically be located?
21	WITNESS BEVERIDGE: In order to have
22	access proper access to the services through all
23	subsequent jacks in the apartment, it's necessary to
24	locate that at the end of network terminating wire.
25	So it, in effect, becomes the test jack appearance at

# FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

1 the demarcation physical location.

2	COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Let me make sure I
3	understand. Let's go back to the cross-connect. The
4	proposal would be the BellSouth proposal would be
5	that you would have this intermediate block and you
6	would by 25 connects there. Am I to understand that
7	you wire those as you please until you run out and
8	then you buy 25 more? Is that how that would work?
9	WITNESS BEVERIDGE: Yes. We can order in
10	several different ways. Either on a per pair basis or
11	a multiple of 25.
12	COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Okay. So, here are
13	the facts. You're going to do a second wire for an
14	existing customer at this multi MDU. Okay. You'll
15	have to find that second wire under BellSouth's block,
16	hook it into one of your 25 or do you have to go
17	through the middle block first?
18	WITNESS BEVERIDGE: In the case of
19	BellSouth's proposal, we would have to have a
20	BellSouth technician
21	COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Come in?
22	WITNESS BEVERIDGE: Come in and identify
23	which spare pair they would agree to release to us for
24	that second line service to a given customer. And
25	then we would subsequently cross-connect from that new

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

intermediate block they propose to our distribution 1 facilities, and that completes the electrical link to 2 our service. 3 COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Now, when you say that 4 they'll release to you, what does that refer to? 5 WITNESS BEVERIDGE: There are a number of 6 7 spare pairs that are --COMMISSIONER JACOBS: On their block on --8 WITNESS BEVERIDGE: On their block, and they 9 10 would bring those out to this new intermediate block, 11 either in a group or on a per pair basis. COMMISSIONER JACOBS: He's not -- but the 12 BellSouth technician is not going to take that and put 13 it to this new -- to one of your 25? 14 WITNESS BEVERIDGE: No. They would bring it 15 to this intermediate block, and then it would be our 16 17 responsibility thereafter to take it to our 18 distribution facilities block with a second jumper. COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Then that is going to 19 20 go out still on BellSouth's wire, going out to the 21 unit, correct? 22 WITNESS BEVERIDGE: Yes. 23 COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Now, you get to the unit. Now let's talk about the NID. Now, when you 24 get there, you're not going to go into BellSouth's NID 25

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

1 at all?

2 WITNESS BEVERIDGE: If there is no existing
3 NID which is the majority --

4 COMMISSIONER JACOBS: There will be now
5 because they're already there and you're just serving
6 a second line; is that correct?

WITNESS BEVERIDGE: If -- well, there are 7 two cases, if I may. The first case is an older 8 apartment unit that has no NID or test jack in place, 9 10 so the requirement in their proposal for MediaOne is that in that situation we would provide, at our 11 expense -- we would add this device. So the NID 12 itself performs the easy identification of where the 13 14 first jack is in the newer apartment buildings. In 15 the older apartment buildings, it's inconclusive, 16 unless we go through some testing, to locate where that network terminating wire ends, and at the end of 17 18 that wire is the demarcation, according to the rules 19 as I understand them here.

20 COMMISSIONER JACOBS: And the kind of thing 21 that I want to be clear about is that -- okay. We've 22 already established that at that end both your 23 technicians are going to have to come in and do some 24 work. At the condo end, are we saying the same thing? 25 That if -- let's go to the scenario that you just

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

]]	
1	indicated. There is an existing NID there, you're
2	just coming in with a second line. Sounds like both
3	technicians are going to have to come there as well;
4	is that correct?
5	WITNESS BEVERIDGE: In the case of the
6	second in the case of
7	COMMISSIONER JACOBS: I'm sorry. I reversed
8	it. In the case of the one where there is no existing
9	NID.
10	WITNESS BEVERIDGE: If there is no existing
11	NID, and pairs 1 and 2 which are typically wired
12	through to all of the jacks, we have a continuous
13	electrical continuity, if you will, from this network
14	terminating wire in the wiring closet, all the way
15	through to all customer jacks for Line 1 and Line 2.
16	COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Okay. So the answer
17	is no?
18	WITNESS BEVERIDGE: (Witness nodding head.)
19	COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Now then proposal,
20	in contrast, and I'm trying to figure out now, in
21	contrast, you would want this end to only be one.
22	That would be your guy. He could come in and do this?
23	WITNESS BEVERIDGE: That's correct.
24	COMMISSIONER JACOBS: And alternatively, the
25	alternative position would be that BellSouth could

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

come in, figure out which one of these and make 1 that -- make those changes to the center block and 2 then you could do it from there to your block. So 3 alternatively both of your guys may have to do that, 4 but in your proposal you'd only want one of them? 5 WITNESS BEVERIDGE: That's correct. 6 COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Okay. Now, so we come 7 out of that wire and we go to the apartment building. 8 Your proposal says what there? 9 10 WITNESS BEVERIDGE: When we go to the 11 apartment building --COMMISSIONER JACOBS: When you get to the 12 apartment building and now we're discussing the NID 13 In your proposal with regard to the NID? 14 issue. WITNESS BEVERIDGE: With regard to the NID, 15 we do not believe that a NID is required --16 COMMISSIONER JACOBS: And you will --17 WITNESS BEVERIDGE: In the individual 18 apartment unit, if that's your question. 19 20 COMMISSIONER JACOBS: And so, you're going to be essentially wiring into the same block that they 21 have there? 22 WITNESS BEVERIDGE: 23 Yes. 24 COMMISSIONER JACOBS: I'm sorry. The terminology is not -- the block -- but you know what 25

1 || I'm speaking about?

WITNESS BEVERIDGE: I believe that I do. We 2 believe that in the majority of cases it requires only 3 craft activity workers to be involved in this block 4 5 and the wiring closet connection. COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Now, it was my 6 7 understanding earlier that you said, if you're doing the second line, there has to be rewiring at the MDU. 8 WITNESS BEVERIDGE: Some -- depending on 9 10 what the customer's request is for it to appear 11 everywhere as in this Commissioner's question. 12 COMMISSIONER JACOBS: If the customer's 13 request is to do a second line and BellSouth keeps the 14 first line. Okay? 15 WITNESS BEVERIDGE: Yes. COMMISSIONER JACOBS: There will need to be 16 17 rewiring, is that my understanding? 18 WITNESS BEVERIDGE: That's correct. COMMISSIONER JACOBS: What happens in your 19 20 proposal there? Your guy is going to come in and do 21 the rewiring from yours to the NID? 22 In our proposal we would WITNESS BEVERIDGE: 23 not have a NID. We would identify the first jack, 24 perform the necessary --25 COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Oh, I'm sorry. Ι

understand now. And that would have been coordinated 1 2 here? WITNESS BEVERIDGE: Right. 3 COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Okay. Thank you. 4 COMMISSIONER CLARK: Let me just follow up. 5 What is your understanding of why BellSouth is 6 7 suggesting you put in that NID? WITNESS BEVERIDGE: My understanding of the 8 9 requirement for a NID is to offer the capability for 10 customers, as they change service, to also obtain 11 benefit of test jack access for, in this case, a 12 two-line device. In today's --13 COMMISSIONER CLARK: So they're suggesting that as a benefit to that particular customer, that 14 15 you put it in as a benefit --16 WITNESS BEVERIDGE: That's correct. 17 COMMISSIONER CLARK: Are they requiring it 18 or suggesting it? 19 WITNESS BEVERIDGE: My understanding is 20 they're requiring it as part of their proposal. 21 COMMISSIONER CLARK: Okay. 22 Q (By Mr. Carver) Just to clarify. First of 23 all, let's assume that this is a residential 24 condominium, for example. That way we can talk about 25 this as being an apartment rather than a premise. If

118

1	
1	MediaOne is going to connect at the apartment, if it's
2	going to go to them and connect at the apartment in
3	other words, if it's going to take that part of
4	BellSouth's proposal and connect at the apartment, you
5	don't need a BellSouth technician present to do that,
6	do you?
7	A No.
8	<b>Q</b> Okay. Under BellSouth's proposal you would
9	only need a BellSouth technician if the connection
10	were going to be made into BellSouth's network at the
11	access cross-connect; is that right?
12	<b>A</b> That's correct.
13	<b>Q</b> Okay. And again, it's one or the other? I
14	mean, you can connect in at one point under
15	BellSouth's proposal, you can connect in at the other,
16	but you don't need to do both, right?
17	<b>A</b> That's correct.
18	<b>Q</b> Okay. Now
19	COMMISSIONER CLARK: I had trouble following
20	that and it's because we seem to be mixing terms
21	again. Would you please ask the question again.
22	MR. CARVER: Let me try and ask the question
23	again using the demonstrative aid and maybe I will
24	make it a little clearer.
25	<b>Q (By Mr. Carver)</b> All right. Just for

illustrative purposes, again, we are assuming this is 1 an apartment building. Okay. Let's assume that this 2 wiring closet is in the basement. And let's assume 3 that this is the customer apartment. Under 4 BellSouth's proposal, one of the two options that 5 MediaOne would have would be to make the connection 6 7 using the condominium NID at the customer's apartment, correct? 8 9 Α That's correct. 10 And if you did that, you would not need a 0 11 BellSouth technician to come out to the premise with 12 you, right? 13 That's correct. Α Now, BellSouth's other proposal is that you 14 0 15 would connect -- or rather, the connection would be 16 made into the block that's down in the basement, but 17 since it's BellSouth's facilities, BellSouth believes its technician should do that connection; is that 18 19 correct? 20 That's their proposal, yes. Α 21 Okay. Q 22 MR. CARVER: Does that clarify? 23 COMMISSIONER CLARK: But to make the 24 connection directly at the customer's premises you 25 have to put in your own riser cable.

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

WITNESS BEVERIDGE: That's correct. We have to establish facilities that deliver telephone service in some fashion.

4 (By Mr. Carver) Well, you've confused me 0 5 now. Let's assume you're going to connect in at the jack. Couldn't you simply elect to use BellSouth's 6 7 network terminating wire and then just connect in? So 8 in other words, couldn't you pay BellSouth the 60 9 cents a month for this terminating wire and use that 10 to get to the customer and make your connection right 11 there?

12 That, in fact, is our proposal. Α То 13 connect -- in other words, the existing connection in 14 the apartment is electrically continuous from this 15 wiring closet. So if we elect to use the option of 16 obtaining network terminating wire from BellSouth, and 17 there are no other rearrangements required, for 18 example, if we modify the BellSouth proposal to be simply no NID or condominium NID is added, then it 19 20 only requires work at this location by two technicians. 21 22 And my question is, basically --Q

23 COMMISSIONER CLARK: When you say at this
 24 location, you mean in the closet; is that correct?
 25 WITNESS BEVERIDGE: In the wiring closet.

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

Q (By Mr. Carver) And either way, under
either proposal and under either connection point, you
would still be using BellSouth's network terminating
wire to get to the customer, correct?

A As I understood your earlier question, it
suggested to me that we would establish alternative
facilities to an individual customer's living unit,
not using any of BellSouth's network terminating wire.
That's how I understood your --

Q My question was, under one of two BellSouth proposals, you simply could do the connection at the customer apartment, at the demarcation point, and then in effect pay BellSouth for the use of its network terminating wire to get there?

A If we established connection at the apartment directly, in other words, that was the termination of our facilities by having run our own riser cable, for example, then we would not be using BellSouth's network terminating wire, to answer your question.

Q Well, that was not my question. My question had to do with BellSouth's proposal. And under BellSouth's proposal, you could connect at the customer apartment where the demarcation point is, use BellSouth's network terminating wire and pay BellSouth

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

1	for that terminating wire, correct?
2	<b>A</b> That is my understanding of BellSouth's
3	proposal is that we would use network terminating wire
4	and also some work is required in the apartment.
5	Q Now
6	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Excuse me, though.
7	But how if you're going to use BellSouth's network
8	terminating wire, you've still got to make the
9	connection in the wiring closet to your network,
10	correct?
11	WITNESS BEVERIDGE: That's correct.
12	COMMISSIONER DEASON: So you're still I
13	guess the problem I'm having, is BellSouth going to
14	allow you to do that without their technician being
15	there or is their technician going to have to be there
16	for you to do that?
17	WITNESS BEVERIDGE: Their proposal allows
18	two arrangements; a number of pairs brought out to a
19	new intermediate block where we could visit at perhaps
20	a different time
21	COMMISSIONER DEASON: So they would have to
22	send their technician out to connect to the
23	intermediate and then you would come out later and
24	then make the connection to your network?
25	WITNESS BEVERIDGE: And as a practical

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

•

matter, because a service likely cannot be 1 disconnected or left in a nonservice mode for very 2 long, that these two activities -- two technician 3 activities would need to occur reasonably quickly. 4 One either at the same time, in the same visit, or 5 very close thereon. 6 (By Mr. Carver) Now, under your proposal, 7 0 that is if you connect it at the block, at the access 8 9 terminal, you would use BellSouth's network terminating wire to get to the customer's apartment, 10 11 right? That's correct. 12 Α 13 And the charge that BellSouth proposes for Q this is -- or rather the recurring charge is 60 cents 14 15 per month? That's my understanding. 16 Α And MediaOne has no objection to that 17 Q 18 charge, do you? 19 Α No. The only real objection you have, as I 20 Q understand it, is that you don't want to pay a 21 nonrecurring charge to have a BellSouth technician 22 come out to make the connection at the access 23 24 cross-connect? 25 Α That's correct.

Okay. And basically, MediaOne's alternative 1 Q to that would be you just make the connection in 2 BellSouth's network at the cross-connect yourself? 3 That's correct. 4 Α MR. CARVER: I'm going to take just a moment 5 and shuffle through my notes because I think the 6 questions covered a lot of what I was going to ask 7 8 already? COMMISSIONER CLARK: Maybe I can take that 9 opportunity to ask, on Page 3, I guess, of your 10 Rebuttal Testimony, you indicate that BellSouth has 11 entered into at least one interconnection agreement 12 13 with an ALEC under which BellSouth provides access to 14 the network terminating wire -- is that NTW -- without 15 an intervening access terminal. Page 2, I guess, of 16 your rebuttal. I'm sorry. Did I say 3? 17 WITNESS BEVERIDGE: What line number, if I 18 may ask? COMMISSIONER CLARK: 19 11. 20 WITNESS BEVERIDGE: I'm there. COMMISSIONER CLARK: I guess my question is, 21 22 if this is a provision in another agreement, why can't 23 you elect to use that agreement? As I understand it, 24 under the ruling of the court, you can pick and choose elements of another agreement that you like and get 25

### FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

service under that. Why don't you do that? 1 WITNESS BEVERIDGE: If that's permitted, and 2 I defer to counsel on that one, that certainly would 3 4 be an alternative. COMMISSIONER CLARK: All ready. So what you 5 understand is in the other ALEC Interconnection 6 Agreement would be acceptable to you? 7 WITNESS BEVERIDGE: As we understand it, 8 9 yes. 10 COMMISSIONER CLARK: Okay. 11 (By Mr. Carver) Let's talk for awhile 0 12 about the block is down in the basement, the access cross-connect. So let's leave the apartment for a 13 little bit and talk about the your proposal to connect 14 in BellSouth's network. The demonstration that you 15 showed us earlier, basically what that is, is a 16 17 process where let's say it's a BellSouth customer and 18 then they decide to change over to MediaOne. Basically your technician would go in, disconnect 19 20 BellSouth's distribution facilities, and then reconnect MediaOne's distribution facilities to that 21 block, correct? 22 23 А That's correct. 24 And it would be up to -- well, if no Q 25 BellSouth technician were there to see this, it would

be up to MediaOne to tell BellSouth that it had done 1 2 this, correct? 3 Α Yes. And if MediaOne did not tell BellSouth that 0 4 they had done it, then BellSouth wouldn't know to 5 charge you for network terminating wire to get to the 6 7 customer, would it? If that were the only reporting mechanism, 8 Α 9 yes. 10 I'm sorry? Q I believe the answer is yes. 11 Α 12 Now, MediaOne's proposal is that every ALEC 0 in the state of Florida would be able to interconnect 13 or to connect at the access terminal in BellSouth's 14 15 network in exactly the way you're proposing, correct? 16 Α That's correct. 17 0 Do you know how many certificated ALECs 18 there are in Florida? 19 I understand the number is somewhere around Α 20 50. 21 No, sir. Actually 50 was the number that I 0 22 used in a deposition as a hypothetical. Other than 23 what I told you in your deposition, do you have any 24 other information as to the number of ALECs? 25 Α I do not.

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

I have to tell you I was somewhat surprised 1 0 because I went back and in a BellSouth report that is 2 compiled from public documents, that I'd be happy to 3 show you if you'd like to see it, and we found that 4 there are approximately 275 certificated ALECs in 5 Florida. If you'd like to look at that report I can 6 show it to you, but just for talking purposes, can we 7 use that as a number? 8 Let's proceed. 9 Α And again, this is based on public 10 Q documents, so it can be confirmed in that way. 11 If there are -- well, with 275 ALECs in Florida, 12 13 basically any one of 275 companies could have their 14 technicians go into BellSouth and do this operation 15 that you've described, correct? That's correct. 16 Σ And under your proposal, they would all have 17 Q the ability to disconnect each others facilities also, 18 correct? 19 20 Α Yes, that's correct. And this would basically all be done on the 21 Q 22 In other words, each ALEC would have to honor system. 23 tell the other ALECs or BellSouth what they had done? 24 А That's correct. 25 Now, I know in your testimony you say that Q

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

1	your technicians are competent. MediaOne obviously
2	isn't in a position to vouch for the competence of the
3	technicians of 275 companies, are you?
4	<b>A</b> No, we are not.
5	${f Q}$ And you're not in a position to assure the
6	Commission that all 275 of the these companies will
7	diligently and honestly report whatever it is that
8	they've done to BellSouth's network, are you?
9	A Nope.
10	<b>Q</b> ALECs are not carriers of last resort, are
11	they?
12	A No.
13	<b>Q</b> BellSouth is the carrier of last resort,
14	correct?
15	A That's my understanding.
16	<b>Q</b> So hypothetically, let's assume and I
17	know MediaOne wouldn't do this but let's assume
18	that one of these other 275 ALECs went into the
19	cross-connect in BellSouth's network and made a mess
20	out of it. BellSouth, under the law in Florida, would
21	still have the responsibility to serve any customer in
22	that apartment building that wanted service, correct?
23	<b>A</b> That's correct.
24	<b>Q</b> Let me ask you, do you know of any
25	Commission anywhere in the United States that for any

purpose has said that one carrier has to allow another 1 carrier to connect into their network without their 2 3 personnel being present? I have no direct knowledge. 4 Α MR. CARVER: Thank you. That's all that I 5 6 have. 7 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Staff. MR. FORDHAM: Just a couple of questions. 8 9 Excuse me. 10 CROSS EXAMINATION 11 BY MR. FORDHAM: 12 Mr. Beveridge, did you have access to a copy 0 13 of MediaOne's July the 2nd response to Staff's 14 Interrogatory No. 15, wherein we asked whether 15 MediaOne proposed prices for network terminating wire? I believe that I've seen it. 16 Α That would be --0 17 I'm not sure I have it in front of me. 18 Α Okay. Do you concur that MediaOne's 19 Q 20 response to Interrogatory No. 15 is essentially that 21 MediaOne believes the network terminating wire should be priced at a TELRIC cost or, I think, quoting from 22 the response that MediaOne does not have the 23 information necessary to determine what those prices 24 25 should be? Is that essentially correct?

That is correct. 1 Α Are you aware that BellSouth Witness 2 Q Caldwell filed a cost study for network terminating 3 wire on April the 1st of 1999? 4 No, sir, I'm not. 5 Α So you've not seen that cost study that was 6 Q 7 provided? I have not reviewed a cost study. 8 Α 9 Q Would you think that significant to see that cost study if it were filed in this matter? 10 Α I don't know. I can't answer the question. 11 Q No further questions. 12 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Redirect. 13 MR. GRAHAM: Yes. Thank you. We'll ask a 14 few questions. 15 16 REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. GRAHAM: 17 Mr. Beveridge, I know it got a bit confusing 18 Q 19 there, but I'm going to walk back in that water again. Under BellSouth's proposal, they would suggest that 20 MediaOne should cross-connect at the customer's 21 premise at the demarcation point. We talked about 22 that some, correct? 23 That's correct. 24 Α Can you describe for the Commission, please, 25 Q

131

in shorthand fashion, the problems that that proposal 1 2 presents to MediaOne? 3 Connecting at the demarcation inside a given Α living unit premises location in apartment -- MDU 4 5 complex would require -- and not using any NTW from 6 BellSouth would require that MediaOne establish its 7 facilities in parallel or overbuild the apartment, in effect, all the way to those living units that were 8 potential customers. 9 And an overbuild, what's the problem with 10 0 that? 11 12 Α The cost and permissions to provide the 13 additional cabling and necessary hardware to deliver the service. 14 15 Q And in your experience, does a building 16 owner typically enjoy another ILEC coming in there and 17 running cabling all through the premises? 18 A No, sir. 19 COMMISSIONER CLARK: Mr. Graham, let me 20 interrupt just for a minute. With respect to the 21 other states, what other states do you operate in 22 again? 23 WITNESS BEVERIDGE: Well, in the Atlanta 24 region, Jacksonville, Pompano in Florida; Richmond, 25 Virginia; Boston --

### FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Let me ask you about 1 Georgia, Virginia and Massachusetts. Where is the 2 demarcation in multiple dwelling units in those 3 4 states? 5 WITNESS BEVERIDGE: In the state of Georgia, the same essential proposal is made by BellSouth. 6 7 COMMISSIONER CLARK: You've misunderstood Where is the point of demarcation in a multiple 8 me. 9 dwelling unit by law in Georgia, Virginia and Massachusetts? 10 WITNESS BEVERIDGE: If I may answer for the 11 other locations. I'm not sure in the state of 12 Georgia. I know BellSouth's proposal. In the case of 13 Massachusetts, in fact, they allow an MPOE, which is 14 exactly what our proposal or counter proposal is. So 15 16 that's Massachusetts. 17 COMMISSIONER CLARK: Let me ask it -- do you 18 not know what the law establishes the demarcation 19 point in those states? Because the demarcation point -- by that I mean, where is it inside wire and 20 where is it network wire? 21 22 WITNESS BEVERIDGE: In Massachusetts they 23 allow an MPOE according to the Commission's rules as I 24 understand them. 25 COMMISSIONER CLARK: Okay. An MPOE then in

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

this demonstration, the network wiring terminates in 1 2 that closet and what's beyond it is inside wire; is 3 that correct? WITNESS BEVERIDGE: That's correct. 4 5 COMMISSIONER CLARK: And what they allow you 6 to do then is you can make the changes that you're 7 suggesting you do here? You've been allowed to do that in those states? 8 9 WITNESS BEVERIDGE: Yes, and in every other 10 jurisdiction we operate in. 11 COMMISSIONER CLARK: Okay. 12 (By Mr. Graham) Following up on that Q point, let's talk about the condo NID. What entity or 13 14 who obtains the benefit from a condo NID under 15 BellSouth's proposal? 16 Α Under BellSouth's proposal BellSouth and whoever is connecting as an ALEC would obtain test 17 18 jack benefit. It simplifies determining whether its network trouble or trouble perhaps within the 19 20 apartment or the customer's instruments. 21 Q Can you explain why a condo NID is not 22 necessary under the proposal that MediaOne has 23 submitted? The relative stability of the inside wire 24 Α and not disturbing those connections essentially say 25

1 that for most cases the trouble it winds up being in 2 the network rather than in the apartment or inside the 3 building. So there's little or no trouble that occurs 4 as a practical matter within wiring that's not been 5 disturbed inside apartments.

Q Under the BellSouth proposal, if you were to
connect at the customer's premise in the actual
apartment, is it still necessary to go back into the
BellSouth wiring closet to activate that connection?

A No. And the reason for that is it bypasses BellSouth's network terminating wire. If you go directly to the apartment with new facilities, it connects basically to the remaining true inside wire as it's used here.

Q As you understand it, what is BellSouth's opposition to allowing a MediaOne technician access into their wiring closet or cross-connect facility?

A As I understand it, the cross-connect facility in a wiring closet, for example, the objection is based on the possibility that some disruption of service could occur in the case of our activity in that wiring closet.

Q In your experience, is that a common
occurrence or a likely occurrence?

25 A In my experience, it's an unlikely

occurrence, given properly trained craft technicians 1 2 who have the responsibility for safeguarding all 3 service, not just the particular customer that they're working with. 4 And MediaOne is a facilities-based carrier; 5 Q 6 is that right? 7 That's correct. Α And am I correct to say that its technicians 8 Q do this kind of work all the time? 9 That's correct. 10 Α COMMISSIONER DEASON: Let me ask a question. 11 12 When you refer to craft technician, that is a generally accepted term used to describe persons with 13 certain training, technical training; is that correct? 14 WITNESS BEVERIDGE: That's correct. 15 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Is that technical 16 training somehow able to be certified or -- so that 17 18 you can represent that your technician is capable of understanding the network and is aware that they could 19 do damage to a network if they did something 20 21 improperly? 22 WITNESS BEVERIDGE: That's correct. By 23 virtue of experience and by training, between those two categories, we make sure that our technicians are 24 25 competent and do not -- first of all, properly

1	establish service and, most importantly, do not
2	provide a hazard to the service of any
3	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Well, is there a
4	certification status available for technicians to
5	obtain?
6	WITNESS BEVERIDGE: I don't know the answer
7	to that question within our company in the form of a
8	certificate or a formal but there is training that
9	goes with the position.
10	COMMISSIONER DEASON: But that would be up
11	to each individual company and if there are 250
12	different companies, just hypothetically, you could
13	have 250 different standards for training and
14	experience?
15	WITNESS BEVERIDGE: That's correct.
16	<b>Q (By Mr. Graham)</b> Mr. Beveridge, under
17	MediaOne's proposal, how would MediaOne make BellSouth
18	aware of its intention to make use of certain aspects
19	of the network?
20	<b>A</b> If we had successfully marketed into a
21	particular customer in an MDU, we would be required to
22	do a variety of things beginning with notification,
23	setting the date of service switch from BellSouth to
24	MediaOne. There are a variety of other activities
25	that have to happen in parallel with that. For
i	

example, populating the database, making sure 1 2 directory assistance and E911 and the like are 3 properly reflected so that those emergency and other 4 directory services -- CNAM, as was mentioned in 5 previous testimony -- are all properly coordinated so 6 that the customer enjoys continuous service 7 performance as they change carriers. 8 0 So at each of those junctures, you would have a MediaOne employ addressing the issue with a 9 BellSouth employee at each one of those points along 10 the line; is that correct? 11 That's correct. 12 Α 13 Q So it's not a situation of MediaOne walks into the wiring closet, flips the switch over and off 14 15 you go? 16 Α No. 17 Q All right. We talked about the fact that in 18 Florida there evidently are 275 ALECs. How many of 19 those, in your knowledge, are facilities-based? 20 Α I don't know the exact number. I would estimate the number to be no more than two, perhaps 21 22 MediaOne and perhaps a wireless carrier that might be providing service. That would be my estimate. 23 24 Q The other 273, are they focused on providing 25 residential service in MDUs to your knowledge?

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

1AI don't have any direct knowledge with2regard to the remaining 273, but I would estimate3that --

4 MR. CARVER: Excuse me. I'm going to object to this. I mean, at this point counsel has gone 5 beyond the cross and he's inviting his witness to 6 7 speculate. I mean, Mr. Beveridge is beginning each of these answers with, "I don't have any direct knowledge 8 but." And he's basically just giving his speculation 9 10 as to the status of ALECs in Florida. I mean, in his 11 deposition he said he didn't really know anything about it and it's obvious he still doesn't know 12 13 anything about it, but he's giving opinions anyway. COMMISSIONER DEASON: Mr. Graham. 14 MR. GRAHAM: If I could respond. I let 15 16 Mr. Carver's suggestion that there were 275 ALECs in Florida go unchallenged. That's nowhere in the record 17 I think it would be fair to let me address 18 either. 19 that.

20 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Well, I'm having some 21 difficulty. If your witness doesn't know if it's 50 22 or 275, how all of a sudden he can say that there is 23 273 nonfacilities-based providers. So I'm going to 24 sustain the objection. You need to move to a 25 different line.

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

1 (By Mr. Graham) Let me ask the question 0 another way then. Would a nonfacilities-based carrier 2 3 have any need for interaction with an MDU? Α No. 4 And lastly, there was a question regarding a 5 Q TELRIC cost study that BellSouth has performed. 6 Do 7 you have any qualifications to review and comment on a 8 TELRIC cost study? 9 Α I do not. Thank you very much. I don't have anything 10 Q further. 11 MR. CARVER: Commissioner Deason, there were 12 13 some Commission questions that raised a new matter or two and I wonder if I might have to ask just one or 14 two questions to follow up and try to clarify his 15 answers? 16 COMMISSIONER DEASON: If they are only in 17 response to Commissioner questions and if it goes 18 beyond that, then there could be an objection. Limit 19 it to that. 20 MR. CARVER: Yes, sir. Thank you. 21 RECROSS EXAMINATION 22 23 BY MR. CARVER: 24 Mr. Beveridge, in Georgia the arbitration of Q 25 this matter has not occurred yet, right?

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

1 Α That's correct. 2 So Georgia hasn't ruled one way or the other 0 3 on your proposal? Α That's correct. 4 5 Now, we talked about Massachusetts. 0 In Massachusetts the demarcation point is -- it would 6 7 basically be here, down in the basement, as opposed to at the customer premise the way it would be under the 8 Florida rule, correct? 9 Α That's correct. 10 So in Massachusetts when you interconnect at 11 Q 12 this point, you're not in the middle of BellSouth's network, you're at the end of it, correct? 13 Α That's correct. 14 And BellSouth would have no responsibility 15 0 for service beyond this point, correct? 16 17 Α That's correct. So in other words, under the Massachusetts 18 Q rule, ultimately, someone other than BellSouth would 19 be responsible for any problems that occur between 20 here and the customer premise? 21 That's correct. 22 Α Now, under the Florida rule, if a customer 23 0 for some reason were dissatisfied with your service 24 25 and switched back to BellSouth and these facilities

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

1 had been damaged in some way, BellSouth would be 2 responsible for the repair to its own network, would 3 it not? 4 Α I believe so. 5 Q Thank you. That's all that I have. 6 COMMISSIONER JACOBS: What about if there 7 are certain provisions or features of your service that require a facilities -- well, I just realized 8 9 what the answer is. Your best scenario is that you 10 have your riser cable at the location, right? So that 11 you would tailor and specify all of your features from 12 there; is that correct? 13 WITNESS BEVERIDGE: I'm sorry. I don't understand the question. Was it with regard to 14 features? 15 16 COMMISSIONER JACOBS: My concern was -- my concern originally, the original question that I had 17 18 was, what if there are features that you want to add to someone's line. It's installed. You want to add. 19 20 And they come in, you offer something, they want to 21 add it to it. In that scenario, you're going to have 22 to come in and modify the service where? In this 23 closet here? 24 WITNESS BEVERIDGE: If the feature isn't a 25 physical feature, it's, for example, what we call

vertical service, we already offer it, for example, as 1 2 part of a package. But, if we were to structure, then 3 it's generally done at the switch. In other words, no 4 physical visit is required. 5 COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Okay. So nothing would be necessary to be done here? 6 7 WITNESS BEVERIDGE: NO. COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Now, let me -- then 8 let me go where I thought would be the answer. Your 9 suggestion with regard to allowing the -- your 10 facilities to be housed or sited at the MDU, is that 11 an attempt to overcome even any physical upgrades or 12 modifications that might arise subsequent to the 13 original connection, or is that -- does it have 14 15 anything to do with that at all? WITNESS BEVERIDGE: I don't believe it has 16 anything to do -- the collocation, if you will, in a 17 wiring closet simply allows a flexibility point to 18 establish service to a variety of customers. 19 COMMISSIONER JACOBS: And after that it has 20 no real further role? 21 WITNESS BEVERIDGE: No. 22 COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Okay. Thank you. 23 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Exhibits. 24 MR. GRAHAM: Yes. We ask that the exhibits 25

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

be entered into the record. COMMISSIONER DEASON: That's Exhibits 12 and 13. Without objection, Exhibits 12 and 13 are admitted. MR. GRAHAM: Thank you very much. (Exhibits 12 and 13 received in evidence.) COMMISSIONER DEASON: We're going to take a 15 minute recess. (Brief recess.)
1	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Call the hearing back
2	to order. BellSouth.
3	MS. WHITE: Yes. BellSouth would call
4	Keith Milner to the stand. He's out of order of the
5	witness list but MediaOne has indicated they have no
6	objection, and in this instance we can get all of his
7	technical testimony out of the way at one time.
8	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Very well.
9	
10	W. KEITH MILNER
11	was called as a witness on behalf of BellSouth
12	Telecommunications, Inc. and, having been duly sworn,
13	testified as follows:
14	DIRECT EXAMINATION
15	BY MS. WHITE:
16	<b>Q</b> Mr. Milner, would you please state your
17	name, address and place of employment for the record?
18	<b>A</b> Yes. My name is Keith Milner. My business
19	address is 675 West Peachtree Street, Atlanta,
20	Georgia, and I'm employed by BellSouth
21	Telecommunications, Incorporated, as Senior Director,
22	Interconnection Services.
23	<b>Q</b> Have you previously caused to be prepared
24	and prefiled in this case Direct Testimony consisting
25	of 16 pages and Rebuttal Testimony consisting of 23

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

- 1	
1	pages?
2	A Yes, that's correct.
3	<b>Q</b> Do you have any substantive additions,
4	changes or corrections to make to that testimony at
5	this time?
6	A No.
7	<b>Q</b> If I were to ask you the same questions that
8	are contained in your prefiled Direct and Rebuttal
9	Testimony today, would your answers to those questions
10	be the same?
11	A Yes, they would.
12	MS. WHITE: Commissioner Deason, I'd like to
13	have the Direct and Rebuttal Testimony of Mr. Milner
14	inserted into the record as if read.
15	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Without objection.
16	MR. GRAHAM: No objection.
17	COMMISSIONER DEASON: It shall be so
18	inserted.
19	Q (By Ms. White) Mr. Milner, did you prepare
20	five exhibits with your Direct Testimony labeled WKM-1
21	through 5?
22	<b>A</b> Yes, that's correct.
23	<b>Q</b> Do you have any changes to those exhibits?
24	A No, I don't.
25	MS. WHITE: I'd like to have those exhibits

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

1	attached to Mr. Milner's Direct Testimony marked for
2	identification.
3	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Exhibit 14.
4	(Exhibit 14 marked for identification.)
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	11

1		BELLSOUTH TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC.
2		DIRECT TESTIMONY OF W. KEITH MILNER
3		BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
4		DOCKET NO. 990149-TP
5		April 1, 1999
6		
7	Q.	PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME, YOUR BUSINESS ADDRESS AND
8		YOUR POSITION WITH BELLSOUTH TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC.
9		
10	Α.	My name is W. Keith Milner. My business address is 675 West
11		Peachtree Street, Atlanta, Georgia 30375. I am Senior Director -
12		Interconnection Services for BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.
13		("BellSouth"). I have served in my present role since February 1996,
14		and have been involved with the management of certain issues related
15		to local interconnection, resale, and unbundling.
16		
17	Q.	PLEASE SUMMARIZE YOUR BACKGROUND AND EXPERIENCE.
18		
19	A.	My business career spans over 28 years and includes responsibilities in
20		the areas of network planning, engineering, training, administration, and
21		operations. I have held positions of responsibility with a local exchange
22		telephone company, a long distance company, and a research and
23		development laboratory. I have extensive experience in all phases of
24		telecommunications network planning, deployment, and operations
25		(including research and development) in both the domestic and

international arenas.

`

.

1

2		
3		I graduated from Fayetteville Technical Institute in Fayetteville, North
4		Carolina, in 1970, with an Associate of Applied Science in Business
5		Administration degree. I later graduated from Georgia State University
6		in 1992 with a Master of Business Administration degree.
7		
8	Q.	HAVE YOU TESTIFIED PREVIOUSLY BEFORE ANY STATE PUBLIC
9		SERVICE COMMISSION, AND IF SO, BRIEFLY DESCRIBE THE
10		SUBJECT OF YOUR TESTIMONY?
11		
12	Α.	I have testified before the state Public Service Commissions in
13		Alabama, Florida, Georgia, Kentucky, Louisiana, Mississippi and South
14		Carolina, the Tennessee Regulatory Commission, and the Utilities
15		Commission in North Carolina on the issues of technical capabilities of
16		the switching and facilities network regarding the introduction of new
17		service offerings, expanded calling areas, unbundling, and network
18		interconnection.
19		
20	Q.	WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY BEING FILED
21		TODAY?
22		
23	Α.	In my testimony, I will address certain unresolved network-related
24		issues that have been raised for arbitration by MediaOne in this docket.
25		Those issues, in whole or in part, are issues 5, 6, 10 and 11.

2 Issue 5: What is the appropriate manner for MediaOne to have access to network terminating wire ("NTW") in multiple dwelling units ("MDUs")? 3 4 WHAT IS BELLSOUTH'S POSITION ON THESE ISSUES? 5 Q. 6 7 Α. Neither the 1996 Act nor the FCC requires that access to UNEs by Alternative Local Exchange Companies (ALECs) be "identical" to 8 9 BellSouth's use of its own facilities. Instead, the FCC specified six (6) technically feasible interconnection points.<sup>1</sup> The sixth interconnection 10 point listed covers "the points of access to unbundled elements." 11 12 Neither the 1996 Act nor the FCC specified Network Terminating Wire 13 ("NTW") to be an unbundled network element ("UNE"). However, at a minimum, a technically feasible form of access must be identified. 14 BellSouth believes the form of access to NTW proposed by MediaOne 15 cannot be found to be technically feasible as that term is defined by the 16 17 FCC. 18 HOW DOES THE FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION 19 Q. 20 (FCC) DEFINE THE TERM "TECHNICALLY FEASIBLE" AND ADDRESS NETWORK RELIABILITY AND SECURITY CONCERNS? 21 22 In its First Report and Order (CC Docket No. 96-98, released August 8, 23 Α. 24 1996) at paragraph 198, the FCC included the following statement:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> FCC's First Report and Order, CC Docket No. 96-325, at ¶ 212)

1	
2	"Specific, significant, and demonstrable network reliability concerns
3	associated with providing interconnection or access at particular point,
4	however, will be regarded as relevant evidence that interconnection or
5	access at that point is technically infeasible."
6	
7	The FCC elaborated further on this point at paragraph 203 of that same
8	order, by stating:
9	
10	"We also conclude, however, that legitimate threats to network reliability
11	and security must be considered in evaluating the technical feasibility of
12	interconnection or access to incumbent LEC networks. Negative
13	network reliability effects are necessarily contrary to a finding of
14	technical feasibility. Each carrier must be able to retain responsibility
15	for the management, control, and performance of its own network."
16	(emphasis added)
17	
18	Thus, the FCC's First Report and Order provides clear guidance to find
19	that the access to network terminating wire sought by MediaOne is not
20	technically feasible.
21	
22	In fact, one important aspect of the FCC's definition of "technical
23	feasibility" is the recognition that methods of interconnection or access
24	that adversely affect network reliability are "relevant evidence that
25	interconnection or access at that particular point is technically

infeasible." (First Report and Order, ¶¶ 198, 203) Thus, MediaOne's
 proposal must be examined in light of its adverse effect on network
 reliability and security.

Q. WHEN YOU EXAMINE MEDIAONE'S PROPOSAL IN LIGHT OF ITS
ADVERSE EFFECT ON NETWORK RELIABILITY AND SECURITY,
WHAT IMPACT COULD IT PRESENT ON END USER CUSTOMERS?

9 Closer examination of MediaOne's proposal immediately reveals that MediaOne's technicians could, intentionally or unintentionally, disrupt 10 the service provided by BellSouth to the end user customers. The FCC 11 requires that "each carrier must be able to retain responsibility for the 12 management, control, and performance of its own network." (First 13 Report and Order, ¶ 203) MediaOne's proposal strikes at the heart of 14 this provision and, if allowed, would render BellSouth incapable of 15 managing and controlling its network in the provision of service to its 16 17 end user customers. Clearly, the adoption of MediaOne's proposal 18 could place BellSouth in jeopardy of violating the FCC's rules.

19

4

8

20 Q. HOW DOES THE ADOPTION OF MEDIAONE'S PROPOSAL PUT21 BELLSOUTH IN JEOPARDY?

22

A. The "cross-connect facility" that has been referred to by MediaOne is
commonly referred to as a "garden terminal." The garden terminal is a
junction point between large outside plant cables and the smaller

5

1 cables that extend to each individual customer premises (e.g., 2 apartments or suites). An interior view of a typical garden terminal is 3 shown on Page 2 of Exhibit WKM-1 that is attached to this testimony. 4 As can readily be seen, a garden terminal is a relatively small device 5 with no means of protecting against intentional or unintentional 6 disruption once access to the interior of the garden terminal has been 7 made. For reasons of network reliability and security, BellSouth refuses 8 MediaOne direct access to the network facilities (i.e., the NTW) located 9 within the garden terminal.

10

#### 11 Q. WHAT DOES BELLSOUTH OFFER?

12

13 Α. BellSouth offers a reasonable method of access to the NTW in 14 BellSouth's garden terminal. Using BellSouth's proposed method, the 15 ALEC installs its own terminal in proximity to the BellSouth garden 16 terminal. BellSouth installs an access terminal that contains a cross-17 connect panel on which BellSouth will extend the ALEC requested NTW 18 pairs from the garden terminal. The ALEC will then extend a tie cable 19 from their terminal and connect to the pairs they have requested. The ALEC would then install its own Network Interface Device ("NID") within 20 21 the end-user apartment and connect the ALEC requested pair(s) to this 22 NID. This manner of access retains network reliability, integrity, and 23 security for both BellSouth's network and the ALEC's network. This 24 arrangement is shown schematically on Page 1 of Exhibit WKM-1 which 25 is attached to this testimony and in a photograph included as Page 3 of

Exhibit WKM-1. Note that the arrangement shown is one in actual use
 by another ALEC. Thus, other ALECs have agreed to and are using
 the form of access discussed above and are compensating BellSouth
 for such use.

At MediaOne's request, BellSouth will pre-wire NTW pairs, which would 6 obviate the need to have a BellSouth technician dispatched each time 7 8 MediaOne wants access to a given end user customer. Additionally, as an alternative to MediaOne installing its own NID, BellSouth offered the 9 10 option to have BellSouth install a NID for MediaOne's use with their requested NTW pairs instead of MediaOne dispatching a technician to 11 do the work. To date, MediaOne refuses to pay BellSouth for such pre-12 wired connections or to install the NID. 13

14

5

Q. DOES THE ALTERNATIVE TO HAVE BELLSOUTH INSTALL A NID
ASS OFFERED BY BELLSOUTH REQUIRE THAT A SERVICE
PROVIDER (THAT IS, BELLSOUTH OR MEDIAONE) ENTER THE
CUSTOMER'S PREMISES TO REARRANGE CONNECTIONS TO
THE INSIDE WIRE EACH TIME THE CUSTOMER CHANGES
SERVICE PROVIDER?

21

A. No; only an initial entry to a customer's premises would be required to
install the NID. BellSouth has discussed with MediaOne and other
ALECs the use of a new style of Network Interface Device (NID) that
allows the end user customer to connect the inside wire to the loop

1 facilities or either or both of two service providers. One such device is the Siecor INI 200 device manufactured by Siecor Corporation. Interior 2 3 and exterior views of this device are shown on pages 4 and 5 of Exhibit 4 WKM-1. The use of a device such as the INI 200 allows wiring flexibility 5 such that the end user could have one line provided by BellSouth and a second line provided by an ALEC such as MediaOne. Alternatively, the 6 7 Siecor INI 200 may be wired such that both first and second lines are 8 both provided by either BellSouth or by an ALEC such as MediaOne. As can be noted on the photographs in Exhibit WKM-1, the jacks may 9 be labeled as "BellSouth" and "MediaOne" for example such that the 10 11 end user customer need only plug the modular connector into the 12 appropriate jack and thus connect the inside wire to the chosen service 13 provider's loop facilities. Doing so would obviate the need for a service provider to visit the end user customer's premises after the initial 14 15 installation of this type of jack.

155

16

17 Q. IS BELLSOUTH'S POSITION COMPLIANT WITH THIS

18 COMMISSION'S RULES REGARDING DEMARCATION POINTS?

19

A. Yes. BellSouth's position is totally compliant with the rules created by
this Commission. Clearly, NTW is part of BellSouth's facilities as it is
on the network side of the demarcation point. MediaOne wants the
Commission to set aside its rules and re-define NTW as inside wire.
MediaOne's request that the Commission redefine the demarcation
point would create a morass of issues including jurisdiction, confiscation

1		of property, and customer confusion. BellSouth submits that the
2		Commission simply must not allow MediaOne's self interests to prevail
3		over the interests of BellSouth, other service providers who have
4		installed their NTW, building owners, and end user customers.
5		
6	Issue	e 6: What is the appropriate demarcation point for BellSouth's
7	netw	ork facilities serving multiple dwelling units?
8		
9	Q.	WHAT IS BELLSOUTH'S BASIC POSITION REGARDING HOW THE
10		DEMARCATION POINT SHOULD BE ESTABLISHED FOR
11		BUILDINGS SERVED BY BELLSOUTH?
12		
13	A.	The demarcation point should be established consistent with this
14		Commission's rule 25-4.0345-1B.
15		
16	Q.	WHAT IS YOUR UNDERSTANDING OF WHAT MEDIAONE IS
17		REQUESTING REGARDING ESTABLISHMENT OF THE
18		DEMARCATION POINT?
19		
20	Α.	First of all, it is not clear to me from reading MediaOne's Petition For
21		Arbitration exactly what it wants this Commission to decide relative to
22		this issue. However, MediaOne apparently wants this Commission to
23		find that BellSouth's network terminating wire is not part of BellSouth's
24		network but rather inside wire such that MediaOne would not have to
25		compensate BellSouth for access to and use of network terminating

wire. MediaOne would have this Commission believe that network
 terminating wire is not a sub-loop element belonging to BellSouth.
 Q. IS NETWORK TERMINATING WIRE CLASSIFIED AS INSIDE WIRE
 AS MEDIAONE SEEMS TO IMPLY?

A. No. Wiring which is on the customer's side of the network demarcation
point is classified as inside wire. Since network terminating wire is not
located on the customer's side of the network demarcation point, it is
not, by definition, "inside wire." BellSouth does not in any way restrict
the use of "inside wire"; that is, wiring on the customer's side of the
demarcation point.

13

14 BellSouth has not asserted that BellSouth owns, or controls, inside 15 wire. Inside wire is simply not the issue. BellSouth expects to be, and 16 is entitled to be, compensated for the parts of BellSouth's loop used by 17 an ALEC, including network terminating wire. Network terminating wire 18 is a part of the loop. The loop is on one side of the demarcation point or NID. The inside wire is on the customer side of that demarcation 19 20 point. The demarcation point has clearly been established by rules set 21 forth by this Commission. MediaOne apparently believes that by 22 confusing the status of network terminating wire as being inside wire, it 23 can avoid having to pay BellSouth for its use. The Commission should 24 not condone MediaOne's attempt to use BellSouth's facilities without 25 paying for them.

## 2 Q. WHAT ARE SUB-LOOP ELEMENTS?

3

A. Sub-loop elements are the piece parts that make up the entire loop that
extends from the BellSouth central office to the demarcation point
between BellSouth's network and the inside wire at the end user
customer's premises. Network terminating wire and riser cables are not
classified as inside wire. Rather, since network terminating wire is on
the network side of the demarcation point, it is part of BellSouth's loop
facilities.

11

12 Q. WAS THE ISSUE OF UNBUNDLING OF NETWORK TERMINATING
13 WIRE THE SUBJECT OF ARBITRATION PROCEEDINGS BEFORE
14 THIS AUTHORITY?

15

A. No, not directly. However, network terminating wire and/or riser cable
are properly thought of as "sub-sub-loop element unbundling" in that
network terminating wire is part of the sub-loop element Loop
Distribution.

20

21 Q. PLEASE GIVE A BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE TECHNOLOGY
22 BELLSOUTH USES IN PROVIDING CUSTOMER LOOPS.

23

A. Today, BellSouth uses many types of facilities and technologies to
provision loops to its customers. In some cases, the facility may be a

11

1		basic architecture consisting of a pair of copper wires that extend from
2		the Main Distributing Frame (MDF) of the central office (CO) to the NID
3		at the end user's premises. In other cases, BellSouth may use a
4		mixture of fiber optic cables, pairs of copper wires and sophisticated
5		electronics to provision a circuit from the CO to the customer. By
6		offering these different types of provisioning options, BellSouth is able
7		to provide optimum flexibility and cost-effectiveness during its service
8		processes. As an example, Digital Loop Carrier ("DLC") is one such
9		technology that uses a mixture of facilities and equipment to provide
10		loops to end users.
11		
12	Q.	PLEASE DESCRIBE THE NETWORK INTERFACE DEVICE (NID)
13		
14	A.	Simply stated, the NID provides a demarcation point between
15		BellSouth's facilities (that is, the loop) and the customer's facilities (that
16		is, the inside wire). Thus, the NID provides a way to connect the loop to
17		the inside wire.
18		
19	Q.	WHAT IS RISER CABLE?
20		
21	Α.	In multi-story buildings, riser cable is that part of BellSouth's loop
22		facilities extending from the building's cable entrance (often in the
23		basement or on the first floor) and rising to each floor served by that
24		cable. Here again, riser cable is a part of that sub-loop element
25		referred to as loop distribution and is located on the network side of the

- demarcation point between BellSouth's loop facilities and the inside
- 2 wire at an end user customer's premises.
- 3

1

# 4 Q. WHAT IS NETWORK TERMINATING WIRE?

- 6 Network terminating wire is another part of the BellSouth loop facilities Α. referred to as the sub-loop element loop distribution. In multi-story 7 buildings, network terminating wire is connected to the riser cable and 8 9 "fans out" the cable pairs to individual customer suites or rooms on a 10 given floor within that building. Where riser cable is not used, network 11 terminating wire is attached directly to BellSouth's loop distribution 12 cables. In this sense, network terminating wire is the "last" part of the 13 loop on the network side of the demarcation point. Thus, the NID 14 establishes the demarcation point between BellSouth's network and the 15 inside wire at the end user customer's premises with network 16 terminating wire being located on BellSouth's side of the demarcation 17 point and, thus, comprising part of BellSouth's network.
- 18

19 Issue 10: In implementing Local Number Portability ("LNP"), should
20 BellSouth and/or MediaOne be required to notify the Number Portability
21 Administration Center ("NPAC") of the date upon which BellSouth will
22 cut-over MediaOne customer numbers at the MediaOne requested time
23 concurrent with BellSouth's return of a Firm Order Commitment ("FOC")
24 to MediaOne?

25

#### Q. WHAT IS BELLSOUTH'S POSITION ON THIS ISSUE?

2

The local number portability ("LNP") provisioning flows that BellSouth 3 Α. uses are those adopted by the North American Numbering Council 4 ("NANC"), which was appointed by the FCC. In accordance with the 5 FCC's Telephone Number Portability Order (CC Docket No. 95-116), 6 7 Lockheed Martin was appointed by the FCC as a neutral third party who 8 administers, staffs, and operates the Number Portability Administration 9 Center ("NPAC"). The provisioning flow is such that when a BellSouth 10 end-user agrees to change service to MediaOne, MediaOne notifies 11 BellSouth of the change using a Local Service Request ("LSR"). 12 BellSouth then provides a Firm Order Confirmation ("FOC") to 13 MediaOne at which time both BellSouth and MediaOne will create and 14 process service orders. At this time, MediaOne sends a create 15 message to the NPAC who in turn notifies BellSouth of the proposed 16 porting activity. BellSouth will then send a concurrence message to 17 NPAC and provisioning subsequently proceeds under the control of 18 MediaOne until completion. Since BellSouth allows MediaOne to send 19 the create message to NPAC – as opposed to BellSouth -- MediaOne is 20 in control of when provisioning will begin and thus an 18 hour window is 21 not an issue.

14

Issue 11: Should BellSouth be required to provide a point of contact to
intervene in the execution of LNP orders when changes or supplements
are necessary for customer-related reasons, and, if so, what charge, if
any, should apply?

6

1

### 7 Q. WHAT IS BELLSOUTH'S POSITION ON THIS ISSUE?

8

9 Α. It is BellSouth's position that a point of contact is not necessary 10 because MediaOne, as the new service provider, is in control of when 11 end-user calls are routed to MediaOne's switch. MediaOne, as a 12 facilities-based carrier, does not purchase unbundled loops. Therefore, if MediaOne does not send the NPAC activate message, then the end-13 14 user calls will continue to route through BellSouth's switch. Should 15 changes or supplements become necessary for customer-related 16 reasons, MediaOne is required to send a supplemental LSR to 17 BellSouth.

18

19To the extent MediaOne desires a dedicated point of contact provided20by BellSouth, the Local Carrier Service Center (LCSC) is available 2421hours a day, 7 days a week to provide assistance as necessary. The22LCSC is dedicated to handling CLEC service requests and transactions23along with associated expedite requests and escalations. However,24what BellSouth does not provide is a dedicated individual, (available 2425hours a day, seven days a week for each of the hundreds of ALECs

1		with whom BellSouth does business), who would wait for a phone call
2		from the ALEC "just in case" assistance is required during an LNP
3		transition.
4		
5	Q.	DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY?
6		

7 A. YES.

1		BELLSOUTH TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC.
2		REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF W. KEITH MILNER
3		BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
4		DOCKET NO. 990149-TP
5		May 4, 1999
6		
7	Q.	PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME, YOUR BUSINESS ADDRESS AND
8		YOUR POSITION WITH BELLSOUTH TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC.
9		
10	Α.	My name is W. Keith Milner. My business address is 675 West
11		Peachtree Street, Atlanta, Georgia 30375. I am Senior Director -
12		Interconnection Services for BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.
13		("BellSouth"). I have served in my present role since February 1996,
14		and have been involved with the management of certain issues related
15		to local interconnection, resale, and unbundling.
16		
17	Q.	ARE YOU THE SAME W. KEITH MILNER WHO EARLIER FILED
18		DIRECT TESTIMONY IN THIS DOCKET?
19		
20	Α.	Yes.
21		
22	Q.	WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR REBUTTAL TESTIMONY BEING
23		FILED TODAY?
24		
25	А.	I will respond to the direct testimony of Mr. Greg Beveridge and Mr.

1 Gary Lane on behalf of MediaOne Florida Telecommunications, Inc. as it relates to issues associated with unbundled network terminating wire 2 3 and number portability. In my testimony, I will discuss two themes that run through the testimony of MediaOne's witnesses and discuss why 4 the assumptions underlying that theme are without merit. First, 5 MediaOne apparently believes it can provide service to its customers 6 7 without incurring a certain level of risk. I believe all businesses take on a certain level of risk in determining the methods by which it will serve 8 9 the market. However, MediaOne apparently wants the best of both worlds. For example, MediaOne wants the lower prices associated with 10 11 the pre-wiring of Network Terminating Wire (NTW) at Multiple Dwelling 12 Units (MDUs) (and thus avoiding additional dispatches of BellSouth 13 technicians to provide additional pairs) but only wants to pay for the 14 quantity of network terminating wire pairs actually being used to provide 15 service. Thus, MediaOne tries to inappropriately shift the risk of using 16 unbundled network elements from MediaOne to BellSouth.

165

17

18 Second, MediaOne appears to be concerned only with what it 19 determines is best for MediaOne. BellSouth has obligations as Carrier 20 Of Last Resort (COLR). If no other local service provider is willing to 21 serve a given area or customer within the BellSouth franchise area, 22 BellSouth is required to provide service upon request. Further, the 23 rules of this Commission require that BellSouth provide its facilities all 24 the way to the tenant in MDU complexes rather than stopping at the so-25 called Minimum Point of Entry (MPOE). MediaOne would seemingly

1		ignore BellSouth's COLR obligations as well as put service to end user
2		customers at risk by MediaOne's demand that BellSouth be required to
3		move its demarcation point to a MPOE in order for MediaOne to have
4		the access to NTW that MediaOne desires. Other local service
5		providers are using BellSouth's NTW to compete with BellSouth and to
6		win customers and those local service providers are doing so without
7		the unnecessary and disruptive changes to the demarcation point
8		sought by MediaOne.
9		
10	Q.	MEDIAONE WITNESS MR. BEVERIDGE, ON PAGE 3 OF HIS
11		TESTIMONY, INDICATES THAT THE INTERCONNECTION POINT
12		BETWEEN THE DISTRIBUTION PLANT AND UNBUNDLED
13		NETWORK TERMINATING WIRE (UNTW) WILL USUALLY BE
14		FOUND IN A WIRING CLOSET, A GARDEN TERMINAL, OR
15		ANOTHER TYPE OF CROSS-CONNECT FACILITY, AND IS
16		TYPICALLY AT A MINIMUM POINT OF ENTRY (MPOE) TO THE
17		BUILDING. DO YOU AGREE WITH HIS DESCRIPTION?
18		
19	Α.	No. According to rules established by this Commission, BellSouth must
20		extend its network facilities into each end-user's premises in a multi-
21		tenant building. BellSouth's demarcation policy conforms to those
22		rules. The MPOE referred to by MediaOne would require that a central
23		demarcation point be established for each building or complex in
24		violation of the PSC demarcation rule.
25		

Q. ON PAGE 4 OF HIS TESTIMONY, MR. BEVERIDGE INDICATES
 THAT ALL UNTW PAIRS ARE NOT AVAILABLE TO CLECS AND
 THAT BELLSOUTH RESERVES A MINIMUM OF ONE PAIR, THE
 "FIRST" PAIR, FOR ITS OWN USE. PLEASE COMMENT.

Mr. Beveridge is mistaken. BellSouth will give up the first pair in certain 6 Α. 7 cases. If no spare pairs are available and the end user is no longer using BellSouth's local service, BellSouth will relinquish the pair that it 8 holds in reserve (the first pair) in order for the Alternative Local 9 Exchange Carrier (ALEC) to provide service to the end user. BellSouth 10 11 expects that in cases where BellSouth has relinguished the first pair to 12 the ALEC under these circumstances and where the end user later decides to acquire local service from BellSouth, the ALEC will relinquish 13 14 that first pair back to BellSouth.

15

5

16 Q. MR. BEVERIDGE, ON PAGE 5 OF HIS TESTIMONY, CLAIMS THAT
17 "WHEN BELLSOUTH PROVISIONS SERVICE FOR ONE OF ITS
18 OWN RETAIL MDU CUSTOMERS, IT HAS NO NEED TO CALL OUT
19 A CLEC TECHNICIAN, EVEN IF IT IS DISCONNECTING CLEC
20 SERVICE", DO YOU AGREE?

21

A. No. For example, BellSouth would have to dispatch its technician if
Bellsouth had earlier surrendered its pairs. Another example is where
the end user customer has requested a second line be installed and
changes to inside wiring are required.

4

1		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
2	Q.	MR. BEVERIDGE FURTHER IMPLIES THAT BELLSOUTH'S
3		PROPOSAL WOULD ALWAYS REQUIRE THE PRESENCE OF A
4		BELLSOUTH TECHNICIAN, AT ALEC EXPENSE, WHEN THE ALEC
5		PROVISIONS SERVICE. IS THIS TRUE?
6		
7	Α.	No. BellSouth will pre-wire NTW pairs upon request which would
8		obviate the need to have a BellSouth technician dispatched each time
9		MediaOne wants access to a given end user customer.
10		
11	Q.	IN DESCRIBING BELLSOUTH'S PROVISION OF UNTW TO ALECS
12		AND ITS OWN USE, MR. BEVERIDGE STATES "FIRST, THE CLEC
13		MUST PAY BELLSOUTH EVERY TIME BELLSOUTH SENDS A
14		TECHNICIAN TO PROVISION A UNTW PAIR FOR THE CLEC".
15		PLEASE COMMENT.
16		
17	Α.	There is no need to dispatch a BellSouth technician each time the
18		ALEC connects its service to end users in a given MDU if the ALEC has
19		requested pre-wiring of a sufficient number of pairs during the initial
20		installation of UNTW. Only the ALEC can determine what it considers
21		to be a sufficient number of pairs. If, instead of pre-wiring pairs,
22		MediaOne elects to request UNTW pairs on a "pay as you go" basis,
23		BellSouth is entitled to recover the costs associated with such
24		dispatches.
25		

# Q. HOW CAN THE ALEC REDUCE CHARGES FOR PROVISIONING PAIRS?

3

10

A. As Mr. Beveridge correctly states on page 5 of his testimony, "The
CLEC can reduce these charges by ordering UNTW pairs to every unit
in the building, but it then must pay BellSouth \$0.49 a month for each
pair, whether it has a customer for that pair, or not". It's simply a case
of paying a minimum charge initially as opposed to paying a potentially
greater charge for provisioning later on.

I would like to make another point relative to the issue of MediaOne 11 12 reducing its costs. MediaOne incorrectly states that it must dispatch to 13 rewire the network interface unless BellSouth surrenders its first pair of 14 NTW. This is untrue. Typically, jacks accommodate two different 15 telephone lines (that is, they contain four pins, two of which are 16 connected to the first pair while the other two pins are connected to the second pair). Assuming MediaOne requests and is provided with the 17 18 second pair, all that would be necessary for end user connectivity is a 19 simple "splitter" jack which the end user would plug into any existing telephone jack. The "splitter" jack is in a "Y" configuration. Thus, with 20 21 the "splitter" plugged into the wall telephone jack, the end user could 22 simply plug a telephone into either Line 1 (BellSouth) or Line 2 23 (MediaOne). This "splitter" jack is a very simple, inexpensive device 24 that is used today by BellSouth to enable customers to pick and choose 25 between two lines at any particular jack location. Dispatching is

6

1		unnecessary when all that is required is end user access to a pre-
2		provisioned line provided on the second pair of NTW by MediaOne. As
3		a point of interest, I recently purchased a "splitter" from Radio Shack for
4		just over \$7.00 that allows a customer to connect two single-line
5		telephone devices to either of two phone lines. I believe that if I could
6		purchase this "splitter" at a retail cost of just over \$7.00, then an ALEC
7		such as MediaOne should be able to purchase a significant amount of
8		"splitters" at wholesale for a fraction of the unit cost of \$7.00 which I
9		paid.
10		
11		The use of such a "splitter" would obviate the need to rearrange inside
12		wire. The first NTW pair is extended to one jack on the "splitter" and
13		the second NTW pair is extended to a second jack on the "splitter".
14		Thus, an end user customer could simply plug a telephone into one jack
15		or the other and thus be connected to the service provider of the
16		customer's choosing.
17		
18	Q.	ON PAGE 6 OF HIS TESTIMONY, MR. BEVERIDGE INDICATES
19		THAT "OBTAINING TWO UNTW PAIRS TO EACH UNIT IN AN MDU
20		(IF THEY ARE AVAILABLE) DOUBLES THE MONTHLY COST TO
21		THE CLEC, REGARDLESS WHETHER IT HAS ANY CUSTOMERS".
22		PLEASE COMMENT.
23		
24	Α.	This is purely a function of doing business. MediaOne ignores the fact
25		that BellSouth pays the costs associated with equipment installed and

- - -- --

in service as well as for equipment installed but not yet in service. In
 most cases today, for example, BellSouth installs six pair NTW even
 though some users may only order one line. The same conceptual
 considerations apply to MediaOne; that is incurring costs upfront in
 order to reduce or eliminate possible future costs that are higher.

7 Q. MR. BEVERIDGE, ON PAGE 6 OF HIS TESTIMONY, STATES
8 "FINALLY, BECAUSE THIS PROPOSAL DOES NOT INCLUDE A
9 NETWORK INTERFACE DEVICE (NID), THE CLEC MUST
10 UNDERTAKE THE TASK OF LOCATING THE "FIRST" JACK WITHIN
11 THE UNIT – THE POINT AT WHICH UNTW ENTERS THE UNIT".

- 12 PLEASE COMMENT.
- 13

6

A. As an alternative to MediaOne installing its own NID, BellSouth has
offered the option to have BellSouth install a NID for MediaOne's use
with its requested NTW pairs instead of MediaOne dispatching a
technician to do the work. To date, MediaOne refuses to pay BellSouth
to install the NID. I would note, however, that the practice of using the
"first jack" as the demarcation point instead of a NID is a common
practice and fully compliant with all state and federal regulations.

21

22 Obviously, BellSouth's own technicians must routinely determine the 23 demarcation point (the "first jack" in some cases) to determine whether 24 the end user customer should be billed for any changes or repairs to 25 inside wire at the customer's premises. Far from being the "trial and

1		error" approach suggested by Mr. Beveridge, BellSouth's technicians
2		are adept at determining the likely entrance point to the individual
3		customer's premises and quickly locating the demarcation point.
4		believe that MediaOne's technicians are or could easily become equally
5		adept.
6		
7	Q.	ON PAGE 7 OF HIS TESTIMONY, MR. BEVERIDGE CLAIMS THAT
8		THE DEMARCATION POINT BETWEEN THE UNTW AND THE
9		INSIDE WIRING WITHIN A UNIT IS BEHIND THE "FIRST" JACK, THE
10		POINT AT WHICH UNTW ENTERS THE UNIT. IS THIS CORRECT?
11		
12	Α.	Mr. Beveridge is clearly mistaken. The demarcation point is the jack,
13		not behind the jack. The jack creates a clear delineation point between
14		the telecommunications service provider's network and the inside wire.
15		
16	Q.	ON PAGE 8 OF HIS TESTIMONY, MR. BEVERIDGE INDICATES
17		THAT BELLSOUTH'S INITIAL PROPOSAL PUTS ALECS AT A
18		COMPETITIVE DISADVANTAGE AND STATES "FIRST, THE CLEC
19		MUST ARRANGE AND PAY FOR THE DISPATCH OF A BELLSOUTH
20		TECHNICIAN TO REARRANGE THE UNTW". IS THIS TRUE?
21		
22	Α.	No. BellSouth will charge for provisioning UNTW just as BellSouth will
23		charge for provisioning of any of its services. As stated earlier, if the
24		ALEC at the initial provisioning of UNTW requests pre-wiring of spare
25		pairs, then a dispatch of a BellSouth technician is not necessary each

time the ALEC wishes to connect service to its end users. Furthermore,
 as I discussed in my direct testimony, only an initial entry to a
 customer's premises would be required to install the NID if the ALEC
 requests BellSouth to install a NID.

BellSouth has discussed with MediaOne and other ALECs the use of a 6 7 new style of NID that allows the end user customer to connect the 8 inside wire to the loop facilities of either or both of two service providers. One such device is the Siecor INI 200 device manufactured 9 10 by Siecor Corporation. The use of a device such as the INI 200 allows 11 wiring flexibility such that the end user could have one line provided by 12 BellSouth and a second line provided by an ALEC such as MediaOne. 13 Alternatively, the Siecor INI 200 may be wired such that both first and 14 second lines are both provided by either BellSouth or by an ALEC such 15 as MediaOne. Doing so would obviate the need for a service provider 16 to visit the end user customer's premises after the initial installation of 17 this type of jack.

18

5

19 Q. CONTINUING ON IN HIS DISCUSSION FROM ABOVE, MR.

20 BEVERIDGE STATES "SECOND, A CLEC TECHNICIAN MUST

LOCATE THE FIRST JACK IN THE UNIT AND REARRANGE THE
WIRING THERE". PLEASE COMMENT.

23

A. If MediaOne has difficulty in locating the demarcation point, BellSouth
will, as an alternative, locate the demarcation point in the unit as well as

- 1
- rearrange wiring upon MediaOne's request.
- 2

3 Q. MR. BEVERIDGE IMPLIES THAT THE ABOVE TASKS ARE UNNECESSARY AND SERVE NO USEFUL PURPOSE. HE STATES 4 "AS I WILL EXPLAIN BELOW, CLEC TECHNICIANS ARE FULLY 5 6 CAPABLE OF REARRANGING UNTW WITHOUT DISRUPTING 7 OTHER CUSTOMERS' SERVICE OR OTHERWISE HARMING 8 BELLSOUTH'S FACILITIES". WHAT IS BELLSOUTH'S POSITION?

10 Α. As fully capable as MediaOne's technicians may be, BellSouth is 11 entitled to protection of its network and even more importantly, to 12 protect the quality of service BellSouth provides to its customers, both 13 its end user customers as well as other local service providers who are 14 BellSouth's customers. MediaOne's technicians could, intentionally or unintentionally, disrupt the service provided by BellSouth to its end user 15 customers or the service provided by other ALECs using BellSouth's 16 17 UNTW. The FCC requires that "each carrier must be able to retain 18 responsibility for the management, control, and performance of its own 19 network." (First Report and Order 96-325, ¶ 203) MediaOne's proposal 20 strikes at the heart of this provision and, if allowed, would render 21 BellSouth incapable of managing and controlling its network in the 22 provision of service to its end user customers. Clearly, the adoption of 23 MediaOne's proposal stands at odds with the FCC's rules.

- 24
- 25 Further, BellSouth would be completely reliant on MediaOne self-

1 reporting how many UNTW pairs it uses. Any other ALEC could 2 likewise use UNTW pairs and would have to let BellSouth know that it 3 was so doing in order for BellSouth to recover its costs. How MediaOne believes accurate records of UNTW inventory and current 4 5 status (that is, in use, spare, or defective) would be maintained is a mystery. In reality, such accurate records could not be kept, thus 6 7 denying BellSouth any reasonable control over its property and 8 inevitably leading to service disruptions. 9

ON PAGE 8 OF HIS TESTIMONY, MR. BEVERIDGE STATES THAT 10 Q. 11 "BELLSOUTH'S INITIAL PROPOSAL DOES NOTHING TO REDUCE 12 BELLSOUTH'S COSTS WHEN IT REGAINS THE RIGHT TO SERVE 13 AN MDU UNIT. BY RETAINING EXCLUSIVE CONTROL OF THE 14 FIRST PAIR, BELLSOUTH AVOIDS HAVING TO REARRANGE THE 15 UNTW (WHICH TAKES ONLY A FEW MINUTES), BUT IT STILL 16 MUST DISPATCH A TECHNICIAN TO REARRANGE THE WIRING 17 WITHIN THE UNIT". WHAT IS YOUR RESPONSE?

18

A. Within its franchise area, BellSouth has obligations as COLR. If no
other local service provider is willing to serve a given area or customer,
BellSouth is required to provide service upon request. Further, the
rules of the Commission require BellSouth to provide its facilities all the
way to the tenant's dwelling in MDU complexes. In order to fulfill its
COLR obligations, BellSouth has paid for the installation of the wiring of
its network to the end user's unit. By maintaining the first pair of NTW

12

1		to the unit, BellSouth remains able to fulfill its obligation as the "carrier
2		of last resort" and causes minimum disruption to the end user if it
3		regains the right to serve the end user after being lost to a competitor.
4		If an end user decides to return to BellSouth for local service, BellSouth
5		does not necessarily have to dispatch since the first pair, in most cases,
6		is still connected through to the unit. Likewise, MediaOne is free to use
7		UNTW pairs in like manner should the customer again choose
8		MediaOne.
9		
10	Q.	MR. BEVERIDGE, ON PAGE 10 OF HIS TESTIMONY, SAYS "I
11		CANNOT DISCERN FROM READING THE CONTRACT LANGUAGE
12		WHEN, IF EVER, BELLSOUTH INTENDS TO ALLOW MEDIAONE TO
13		USE THE FIRST PAIR". PLEASE COMMENT.
14		
15	Α.	In Attachment 1 to Mr. Beveridge's testimony, the language clearly
16		indicates "BellSouth will maintain a minimum of one pair, which is called
17		the "first pair", of UNTW for the provision of its local services to its end
18		user customers. BellSouth will provision, to the CLEC, any additional
19		spare pairs (after the first pair) that are available. If all spare pairs to a
20		particular end user are being utilized and the end user wishes to
21		change service providers (e.g., from BellSouth to CLEC-1), BellSouth
22		will relinquish the pair that it holds in reserve (the first pair) in order for
23		the CLEC to provide service to the end user". I believe this language to
24		be completely clear.
25		

1 Q. FURTHER ON PAGE 10 OF HIS TESTIMONY, MR. BEVERIDGE STATES "BELLSOUTH DOES NOT EXPLAIN WHY IT SHOULD NOT 2 BE RESPONSIBLE FOR INSTALLING NIDS IN EXISTING MDUS. 3 AFTER ALL, A NID IN EACH UNIT IS NECESSARY ONLY BECAUSE 4 BELLSOUTH DEMANDS EXCLUSIVE (OR NEAR EXCLUSIVE) 5 ACCESS TO THE FIRST PAIR: MEDIAONE GETS NO BENEFIT 6 7 FROM IT". PLEASE COMMENT. 8 9 BellSouth is fully compliant with both state and federal regulations. Α. 10 Those regulations do not require a traditional NID in each unit so long 11 as the loop is properly grounded. I find it interesting that Mr. Beveridge 12 makes no reference to the inherent cost of installing such NIDs. If 13 MediaOne wants BellSouth to install NIDs, BellSouth has already offered to do so if MediaOne is willing to pay for the materials and work 14 15 required. 16 17 ON PAGE 11 OF HIS TESTIMONY, MR. BEVERIDGE INDICATES Q. THAT BELLSOUTH DESCRIBES A NID AND SOMETIMES REFERS 18 TO IT AS A "CONDOMINIUM" NID AND GOES ON TO SAY THAT NO 19 SUCH DEVICE EXISTS. IS HE CORRECT? 20 21 22 Mr. Beveridge is wrong. In my direct testimony, I showed pictures of Α. 23 this particular device called the Siecor INI 200 device manufactured by 24 Siecor Corporation. 25

177

Q. FURTHER ON PAGE 11 OF HIS TESTIMONY, MR. BEVERIDGE
 STATES THAT "PREMISES WIRING SHOULD BE GROUNDED AT
 THE MPOE, WHERE IT ENTERS THE BUILDING; IF THAT IS DONE
 PROPERLY, THERE IS NO NEED TO GROUND THE FACILITIES AT
 EACH UNIT". PLEASE COMMENT.

178

6

A. Pairs are grounded at a "garden" terminal or similar device located at
each building in a MDU environment, whereas in a single family
situation the pairs are grounded at each dwelling, typically using a NID.
Contrary to Mr. Beveridge's statements, according to the rules of this
Commission, there is no MPOE. Instead, BellSouth places a
demarcation within each dwelling within the MDU. BellSouth properly
grounds its loops according to safety codes and industry standards.

14

Q. IN MR. BEVERIDGE'S TESTIMONY ON PAGE 12, HE INDICATES
THAT CUSTOMERS WOULD NOT BENEFIT FROM HAVING NIDS
INSTALLED IN THEIR APARTMENTS AND THAT MOST PEOPLE
WOULD FIND IT INCONVENIENT. DO YOU AGREE WITH MR.
BEVERIDGE'S ASSESSMENT?

20

A. No. I believe that the customer could very easily change service
providers (that is, BellSouth or MediaOne). As I showed in my direct
testimony, the end user customer can change service providers simply
by moving the modular plug on the Siecor INI 200 from one jack to
another. I believe customers would want the flexibility supplied by such

- 1 an arrangement.
- 2

Q. MR. BEVERIDGE, ON PAGE 13 OF HIS TESTIMONY, DESCRIBES
MEDIAONE'S PROPOSAL TO GIVE ALL LECS EQUAL ACCESS TO
THE "ACCESS CSX", PLEASE RESPOND.

6

A. BellSouth makes UNTW available to ALECs that wish to order UNTW
through the process that has been set up to request, order, and
provision UNTW. Any ALEC that desires to, providing UNTW pairs are
available, can utilize this process to gain access to UNTW. MediaOne's
proposal raises the question of how BellSouth would know an ALEC
had used UNTW? BellSouth would not know, thus effectively denying
BellSouth control of its own property.

14

Q. MR. BEVERIDGE FURTHER STATES "IF CLEC-1 WINS THAT
CUSTOMER'S BUSINESS, ITS TECHNICIAN WILL SIMPLY
DISCONNECT BELLSOUTH'S JUMPER, BOTH AT "BST CSX" AND
AT "ACCESS CSX," AND CONNECT A NEW JUMPER BETWEEN
"CLEC-1 CSX" AND "ACCESS CSX," THEREBY CONNECTING ITS
DISTRIBUTION FACILITIES TO THE FIRST UNTW PAIR". WOULD
THIS BE AN APPROPRIATE METHOD TO TRANSITION SERVICE?

22

A. No. In a "room-mate" situation, for example, how would the ALEC know
it was appropriate to disconnect BellSouth's jumper or another ALECs
jumper? In this situation, the ALEC wouldn't know and could thus

16

1		cause service disruption to BellSouth's customers or the customers of
2		another ALEC using BellSouth's UNTW.
3		
4	Q.	ON PAGE 14 OF HIS TESTIMONY, MR. BEVERIDGE INDICATES
5		THAT BELLSOUTH KNOWS EXACTLY WHICH UNTW PAIRS SERVE
6		WHICH UNITS BASED ON INFORMATION IN BELLSOUTH'S
7		DESIGN LAYOUT RECORDS (DLRs) AND THAT ACCESS TO THE
8		DLRs IS KEY TO MEDIAONE'S PROPOSAL. PLEASE COMMENT.
9		
10	Α.	First of all, BellSouth will not provide DLRs, as there is no DLR on
11		UNTW. This is because UNTW is a non-designed service as well as a
12		non-inventoried item. DLRs are associated with designed UNE loops.
13		Second, if there were DLRs for UNTW, how could BellSouth keep DLRs
1 <b>4</b>		up to date if any service provider were allowed to change cross-
15		connections? The answer is simply that such records could not be kept
16		accurate and such inaccuracy would heighten the risk of service
17		disruptions.
18		
19	Q.	ON PAGE 14 OF HIS TESTIMONY, MR. BEVERIDGE REFLECTS
20		THAT UNLIKE BELLSOUTH'S PROPOSAL, MEDIAONE'S
21		PROPOSAL WOULD ESTABLISH THE DEMARCATION POINT AT
22		THE MPOE, RATHER THAN WITHIN THE INDIVIDUAL UNITS.
23		PLEASE COMMENT.
24		
25	A.	First of all, as BellSouth understands the Florida PSC's rules regarding
1		demarcation points, the MediaOne concept of a MPOE is not in
----	----	--
2		accordance with those rules. As I indicated earlier in this testimony,
3		according to Florida PSC rules, BellSouth must extend its network
4		facilities into each end-user's premises in a multi-tenant building. The
5		MPOE referred to by MediaOne would require that a central
6		demarcation point be established for each building or complex in
7		violation of the PSC demarcation rule. Second, MediaOne's proposal
8		would constitute taking of BellSouth property and would create a
9		morass of issues including jurisdiction, confiscation of property, and
10		customer confusion.
11		
12	Q.	IN MR. LANE'S TESTIMONY ON PAGE 3, HE CONTENDS THAT A
13		DISPATCH OF A BELLSOUTH TECHNICIAN - AT MEDIAONE'S
14		EXPENSE – IS REQUIRED EVERY TIME MEDIAONE WANTS TO
15		GET ACCESS TO UNTW. IS HE CORRECT?
16		
17	Α.	Mr. Lane is obviously misinformed. As I indicated in my direct
18		testimony, at MediaOne's request, BellSouth will pre-wire NTW pairs,
19		which would obviate the need to have a BellSouth technician
20		dispatched each time MediaOne wants access to a given end user
21		customer. Additionally, as an alternative to MediaOne installing its own
22		NID, BellSouth offered the option to have BellSouth install a NID for
23		MediaOne's use with their requested NTW pairs instead of MediaOne
24		dispatching a technician to do the work. To date, MediaOne refuses to
25		pay BellSouth for such pre-wired connections or to install the NID. In

· ·· –

1		addition, the use of previously mentioned splitter jacks will eliminate the
2		need to do any inside wiring work in many instances.
3		
4	Q.	MR. LANE GOES ON TO STATE "BECAUSE WE MUST HAVE A
5		BELLSOUTH TECHNICIAN PRESENT TO PROVISION SERVICE, WE
6		MUST COORDINATE THE PRESENCE OF OUR TECHNICIAN, THE
7		CUSTOMER, AND BELLSOUTH'S TECHNICIAN, OVER WHOM WE
8		HAVE NO CONTROL. IT SIMPLY IS NOT WORKABLE". IS HIS
9		ASSESSMENT CORRECT?
10		
11	Α.	No. As I previously stated, a BellSouth technician is not needed under
12		BellSouth's proposal to pre-wire UNTW pairs. If, alternatively,
13		MediaOne chooses the "pay as you go" alternative, it must accept the
14		coordination inherent in such a choice.
15		
16	Q.	MR. LANE STATES THAT MEDIAONE CANNOT SERVE THE
17		RESIDENTS OF MDUS IN THE AREAS IN WHICH IT NOW
18		PROVIDES LOCAL TELEPHONE SERVICE. PLEASE COMMENT.
19		
20	Α.	BellSouth's offer presents MediaOne with a reasonable method of
21		access to NTW. Other ALECs are in business, winning customers,
22		using BellSouth's UNTW.
23		
24	Q.	ON PAGE 5 OF HIS TESTIMONY, MR. LANE STATES "ONCE NPAC
25		HAS RECEIVED THE FOC AUTHORIZING THE PORTING OF A

NUMBER, IT ALLOWS ONLY 18 BUSINESS-HOURS TO COMPLETE 1 THE PORTING OF THE NUMBER, OR WE MUST RE-START THE 2 PROCESS, THEREBY POSSIBLY DELAYING SERVICE TO OUR 3 CUSTOMER. IF BELLSOUTH DOES NOT RETURN THE FOC TO 4 5 MEDIAONE AT THE SAME TIME THE NUMBER IS AUTHORIZED FOR PORTING, MEDIAONE WILL NOT KNOW THAT THE 18-HOUR 6 "CLOCK" HAS STARTED RUNNING". WHAT IS BELLSOUTH'S 7 8 **POSITION ON THIS ISSUE?** 

9

10 Α. MediaOne is incorrect. MediaOne is in complete control of notification 11 in the provisioning process concurrent with the 18 hour "clock". The 12 provisioning flow is such that when a BellSouth end-user agrees to 13 change service to MediaOne, MediaOne notifies BellSouth of the change using a Local Service Request ("LSR"). BellSouth then 14 provides a Firm Order Confirmation ("FOC") to MediaOne at which time 15 16 both BellSouth and MediaOne will create and process service orders. 17 At this time, MediaOne sends a create message to the Number 18 Portability Administration Center (NPAC) who in turn notifies BellSouth of the proposed porting activity. BellSouth will then send a concurrence 19 20 message to NPAC and provisioning subsequently proceeds under the 21 control of MediaOne until completion. Since BellSouth allows 22 MediaOne to send the create message to NPAC – as opposed to BellSouth -- MediaOne is in control of when provisioning will begin and 23 24 thus an 18 hour window is not an issue.

25

Q. HOW DO YOU RESPOND TO MR. LANE'S REQUEST FOR TIMELY
 ADVANCE NOTICE OF LNP SYSTEM MAINTENANCE
 REQUIREMENTS ON PAGES 6 & 7 OF HIS TESTIMONY?

4

5 Α. BellSouth's target availability for the Local Service Management 6 System (LSMS) is 24 hours per day, 7 days per week, except for regularly scheduled maintenance. These generally occur during the 7 8 published NPAC downtime for maintenance and updates on Sundays 9 between the hours of 7am and 1pm. Planned down times for routine 10 maintenance and updates and for major updates, which would require 11 the system to be down longer than from 7am to 1pm on Sundays, are 12 sent to NPAC 7 days ahead of time for broadcast to all affected service 13 providers.

14

15 Maintenance windows should be scheduled consistently among all 16 parties who maintain a portion of the systems and links used for LNP, 17 including LSMS. Partial failures will occur if each party schedules 18 maintenance independently. The maintenance window was discussed 19 at the NPAC Cross Regional Meeting of April 7, 1999. This meeting 20 was attended by 82 participants including a representative of 21 MediaOne. At that meeting, a 7 day notification process was agreed 22 upon. As a result, BellSouth is not willing to commit to the 30 day 23 notification interval proposed by MediaOne in Mr. Lane's testimony. 24

1 BellSouth is willing to consider another notification interval but believes 2 the interval should be consistent in the industry for the reasons 3 mentioned above. In an attempt to further clarify notification intervals, 4 BellSouth will initiate discussion among industry participants in the May 5 1999 meeting of the Local Number Portability Working Group. 6 7 Q. MR. LANE FURTHER STATES "ONCE A CUSTOMER'S SERVICE 8 HAS BEEN MOVED FROM BELLSOUTH TO MEDIAONE, THE CUSTOMER WILL BE UNABLE TO RECEIVE CALLS UNTIL 9 BELLSOUTH HAS COMPLETED THE PORT ACTIVATION". IS THIS 10 11 CORRECT? 12 13 Α. MediaOne, as the new service provider, is in control of when end-user calls are routed to MediaOne's switch. MediaOne, as a facilities-based 14 15 carrier, does not purchase unbundled loops. Therefore, if MediaOne 16 does not send the NPAC activate message, then the end-user calls will 17 continue to be routed through BellSouth's switch. 18 19 Q. IS THERE ANYTHING ELSE ON WHICH YOU WOULD LIKE TO 20 COMMENT? 21 22 Α. Yes. I would like at this time to make a correction to my direct 23 testimony filed in this proceeding. On page 15, line 20, the phrase 24 "Local Carrier Service Center (LCSC)" should be corrected to read 25 "Unbundled Network Element Center (UNEC)". Similarly, on page 15 at

22

1 line 22, the phrase "LCSC" should be corrected to read "UNEC". I

## 2 apologize for any confusion this error may have caused.

3

## 4 Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY?

- 5
- 6 A. Yes.

1	Q (By Ms. White) Mr. Milner, would you		
2	please give your summary.		
3	A Yes. Thank you.		
4	Good morning. My name is Keith Milner and I		
5	filed testimony in this proceeding regarding the form		
6	of access MediaOne will use to access BellSouth's		
7	network terminating wire, or NTW as we have been		
8	calling it, at multiple dwelling units, or MDUs.		
9	Neither the Telecommunications Act of 1996		
10	nor the FCC's rules require that access to unbundled		
11	network elements by ALECs be identical to BellSouth's		
12	use of its own facilities. Instead, the FCC specified		
13	various technically feasible points for		
14	interconnection, and one of those interconnection		
15	points covers the point of access to unbundled network		
16	elements.		
17	Now, while neither the 1996 Act nor the FCC		
18	specified network terminating wire to be an unbundled		
19	network element, at a minimum a technically feasible		
20	form of access must be identified.		
21	BellSouth believes the form of access to		
22	network terminating wire proposed by MediaOne cannot		
23	be found to be technically feasible as that term is		
24	defined by the FCC. The examination of MediaOne's		
25	proposal immediately reveals that MediaOne's		

technicians could, either intentionally or 1 unintentionally, disrupt the service provided by 2 BellSouth or by service provided by other ALECs to 3 their respective end-user customers. 4 5 The FCC requires that each carrier be able 6 to retain responsibility for the management, control 7 and performance of its own network. MediaOne's proposal strikes at the heart of this provision, and 8 if allowed, would render BellSouth incapable of 9 managing and controlling its network in the provision 10 of service to its end users, or in providing portions 11 of its network to other ALECs for their use in 12 13 providing service to their end users. 14 There are two different serving 15 arrangements. The so-called garden terminal 16 arrangement and the wiring closet arrangement. Now, 17 while they are physically different, the two 18 arrangements are functionally identical. 19 The garden terminal and the wiring closet both provide a junction point between large outside 20 21 plant cables and the smaller cables that extend to 22 each individual customer's premise; that is, the 23 apartment or the suite. The garden terminal is a 24 relatively small device and it has no means of 25 protecting against either intentional or unintentional

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

1	disruption once access to the interior of the garden	
2	terminal has been made. Similarly, the connector	
3	blocks used in the wiring closet arrangement provide	
4	no inherent prediction against service disruption.	
5	For reasons of network reliability and	
6	security, BellSouth has refused MediaOne direct access	
7	to the network facilities, that is the NTW, located	
8	within the garden terminal or the wiring closet.	
9	Instead, BellSouth offers a reasonable method of	
10	access to the NTW that's housed within the garden	
11	terminal or the wiring closet. And using BellSouth's	
12	proposed method, the ALEC provides its own terminal in	
13	proximity to the BellSouth garden terminal or	
14	connector block within the wiring closet.	
15	BellSouth then installs what it calls an	
16	access terminal that contains a cross-connect panel on	
17	which BellSouth will extend the ALEC-requested NTW	
18	pairs for the ALEC's use. The ALEC then extends a tie	
19	cable from its terminal and connects to that same	
20	access terminal to the pairs they have requested.	
21	This manner of access retains network	
22	reliability, integrity and security for both	
23	BellSouth's network and the ALEC's network. And I	
24	also note that the arrangement described is one that's	
25	in actual use here in Florida by another ALEC. Thus,	

1 other ALECs have agreed to, and are using, this form 2 of access.

At MediaOne's request, BellSouth will 3 pre-wire NTW pairs which would obviate the need to 4 have a BellSouth technician dispatched each time 5 MediaOne wants access to a given end-user customer. 6 BellSouth has also offered the option to have 7 BellSouth install a network interface device, or NID, 8 for MediaOne's use with their requested NTW pairs 9 instead of MediaOne dispatching a technician to do the 10 work. To date, however, MediaOne has refused to pay 11 BellSouth for such pre-wired connections or to install 12 the network interface device. 13

BellSouth has also discussed with MediaOne 14 and other ALECs the use of the so-called condominium 15 16 NID that allows the end-user customer to connect the 17 inside wire to the loop facilities of either/or both 18 of two service providers. And one such device is 19 manufactured by a company called Siecor, and that 20 device allows wiring flexibility such that the 21 end-user customer could have one line provided by 22 BellSouth and a second line provided by an ALEC such 23 as MediaOne. The jacks then could be labeled as BellSouth and MediaOne, such that the end-user 24 customer need only plug the modular connector into the 25

1	appropriate jack, and, thus, connect the inside wire		
2	to the chosen service provider's loop facilities.		
3	MediaOne can also elect to reduce charges		
4	associated with the dispatch of BellSouth's technician		
5	by ordering network terminating wire pairs to every		
6	unit in the building, for example, and, therefore,		
7	it's simply a case of paying a charge initially, as		
8	opposed to paying a potentially greater charge for		
9	provisioning later on.		
10	MediaOne has expressed its concern that to		
11	elect pre-wired pairs requires MediaOne to potentially		
12	pay for network terminating wire pairs whether or not		
13	it has an immediate need for those pairs. While this		
14	is true, this is purely a function of doing business.		
15	MediaOne ignores the fact that BellSouth pays the cost		
16	associated with equipment installed and in service, as		
17	well as the equipment installed but not yet in		
18	service. In many cases today, for example, BellSouth		
19	installs up to six pairs of wires to each apartment in		
20	a given complex, for example, even though some users		
21	may only order one line. The same conceptual		
22	considerations apply to MediaOne. That is, incurring		
23	costs up-front in order to reduce or eliminate		
24	possible future costs that are higher.		
25	Second, MediaOne has also expressed its		

concern that where a network interface device, or NID, 1 was not previously installed, that MediaOne's 2 technicians must first locate the first jack inside a 3 unit and make wiring changes. BellSouth has offered 4 MediaOne the option to have BellSouth install a NID 5 for MediaOne's use with its requested NTW pairs 6 instead of MediaOne dispatching its technician to do 7 the work. 8 I note, however, that the practice of using 9 the first jack as the demarcation point instead of a 10 NID is a common practice and it's fully compliant with 11 all state and federal regulations. 12 Obviously, BellSouth's own technicians must 13 routinely determine the demarcation point, that is the 14 first jack in some cases, to determine what the 15 end-user customer should be billed for any charges or 16 17 repairs to the inside wire. Far from being the trial-and-error approach that's been suggested, 18 BellSouth's technicians are adept in determining the 19 20 likely entry point to the individual customer's premises, and thereby quickly locating the demarcation 21 point. I believe that MediaOne's technicians are, or 22 23 could easily become, equally adept. 24 To summarize, that BellSouth is entitled to 25 protection of its network, and even more importantly

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

to protect the quality of service BellSouth provides 1 to its customer, both its end-user customers as well 2 as other local service providers who are BellSouth's 3 customers. MediaOne's technicians could intentionally 4 or unintentionally disrupt the service provided by 5 BellSouth to BellSouth's own end-user customers or to 6 7 the service provided by other ALECs who use BellSouth's NTW. 8 9 Further, BellSouth would be completely 10 reliant on MediaOne's self-reporting of how many 11 network terminating pairs it uses. Any other ALEC 12 could likewise use NTW pairs and would have to let BellSouth know it was so doing in order for BellSouth 13 to recover its cost. 14 15 How MediaOne believes accurate records of 16 NTW inventory and current status, that is in-use, 17 spare or defective could be maintained is far from 18 clear. In reality, such accurate records could not be 19 kept, thus denying BellSouth any reasonable control 20 over its property, and inevitably leading to service 21 disruption. BellSouth believes its proposed means of 22 23 giving MediaOne access to network terminating wire is 24 a reasonable compromise that will both give the access 25 MediaOne wants, while not degrading network

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

reliability unduly. 1 Thank you. That concludes my summary. 2 (By Ms. White) Do you have any 3 0 demonstrations to explain BellSouth's proposal, or 4 using MediaOne's exhibit, do you have anything you 5 could show the Commission? 6 There are two or three points I'd like 7 Ά Yes. 8 to make. Would you please do that? 9 0 Thank you. 10 Α (Witness demonstrates telephone 11 12 connections.) 13 MS. WHITE: Would it help the Commissioners to move down to where you are? 14 15 WITNESS MILNER: It probably would, yes. (Commissioners move to the floor to observe 16 17 the demonstration by Witness Milner.) MS. WHITE: Please don't forget to speak 18 19 into a microphone. WITNESS MILNER: First of all, I'd like to 20 thank MediaOne and Mr. Beveridge for allowing us the 21 22 use of his model. 23 I'd like to describe some things that are 24 not here and just clear up a couple of points that 25 might have been a little confusing as we went through.

What I have here is what we've called the 1 so-called condominium NID. The first point I'd like 2 to clarify is that we don't mean that this is an 3 appropriate NID for use in a condominium. I may have 4 invented that term, but what I meant by the term 5 "condominium," as that term means an apartment 6 7 building, is that MediaOne uses this part of the 8 building and BellSouth uses this part of the building. 9 I don't mean to say this is what we would always 10 recommend that there be used in a condominium but rather that both of our facilities occupy this same 11 12 device. 13 As you see at the bottom of this device,

there are a couple of modular connectors. And these 14 15 are the things that the inside wire, through these 16 terminals, would be connected to. The loop facilities 17 of either BellSouth or MediaOne would be connected to 18 these jacks at the bottom (indicating.) So an 19 end-user customer and these jacks on the cover would be labeled as to which service provider had the 20 21 corresponding loop that was attached to this jack.

So if this device has been installed, then the end-user customer can simply say, "I would like to use MediaOne's loop," and simply plug that in, which then extends the inside wire that's connected to this

11	
1	modular plug to the appropriate loop, or could plug
2	into the BellSouth loop or could have one of each.
3	Commissioner Deason, I believe you asked a question
4	about if I wanted to change the orientation of my line
5	in my kitchen and one in the bedroom, that's a pretty
6	simple procedure. This is the kitchen. You'd plug it
7	into here and you'd reverse them to change the
8	association of the inside wire, which runs from the
9	back of this and then through this wire (indicating)
10	and then out to the appropriate loop facilities.
11	The second point that I would make is that
12	what this issue is all about from BellSouth's
13	perspective is one of network reliability, about
14	security. And you can see a couple of things. The
15	blocks themselves are pretty small. There's a number
16	of pins in here. There's no protective cover over
17	here. These are not lockable in any fashion. Anyone
18	that's in here can pretty much get access to any part
19	of it. That means that if they do their work well,
20	then bad things don't happen. If they don't do their
21	work as well, then bad things can happen. I'm
22	certainly not suggesting that MediaOne would
23	intentionally cause problems to BellSouth's service or
24	anyone else's, but you can see that just the size of
25	the devices makes that a possibility.
	1

The second point I'd make is that the 1 labeling that's on here is the only recordkeeping 2 that's generally done in this wiring closet. There 3 are not books, you know, with pages in them, and you 4 can see that these labels are smudged easily or marked 5 through often. So the problem becomes one of 6 maintaining the relationship of these notations which 7 would show that this particular pair might go to 8 Apartment A and one below it to Apartment C and so 9 forth, which leads no my third point. 10 I believe that Mr. Beveridge says that in 11 12 most cases what they would do is to ensure that they've actually gotten on the correct network 13 terminating wire pair that they would put tone on that 14 pair. Well, there's only one way that I know that you 15 would do that and that's to go to the apartment, plug 16 a little device either into the jack or onto the wire 17 itself and then come back here and plug a headset in, 18 if you will, to listen for that tone. So my point is 19

20 that MediaOne is going to be in the apartment or in 21 the office suite in most or all cases.

First, to verify the accuracy that they've gotten the right pair, because if they've gotten the wrong pair either their service is not going to work or if there's working service there, they are going to

disconnect a customer that they didn't intend to. 1 Also, for second lines and that sort of thing, they 2 will be in the apartment unit anyway to make wiring 3 changes to the inside wire. So my belief is that in 4 most cases MediaOne is going to be in the apartment 5 6 unit anyway. We talked extensively earlier about the 7 so-called 8 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Let me interrupt for 9 just a second. I'm not so sure that that was a big 10 issue. As I understood the issue was is that you're 11 going to have to be there and you're going to dispatch 12 someone at \$40-something per trip and that's where the 13 14 concern was. WITNESS MILNER: Well, let me clarify that 15 point. Unless MediaOne wants BellSouth to do the work 16 in the apartment, there's no need for us to be there. 17 So that was not entirely correct. 18 Our work is done here in the wiring closet. 19 20 So if MediaOne says, "I need a network terminating wire pair to Apartment A," then we would move that --21 we would make a connection between the network 22 23 terminating wire and the so-called access terminal 24 that's not shown here. We would move -- you know, we would make a connection from here to here 25

1	(indicating).
2	COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Why would you need to
3	do that in every instance? I thought that they could
4	buy a block of those.
5	WITNESS MILNER: That is their alternative.
6	We can do it one at a time or they can say, "I would
7	like one pair to every apartment in that complex or in
8	that building." We'd send a technician out once and
9	we'd make all of those pairs available at that time.
10	COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Now, if you had the
11	whole block there and they only needed one, you want
12	them to pay the charge for the whole set help me
13	understand how that works.
14	WITNESS MILNER: That's right. If they say,
15	"I want one pair." Let's say there are ten apartments
16	in the one building. If they said, "I want one NTW
17	pair to each of those ten buildings," then we say,
18	"Then we'll charge you a monthly rate for each of
19	those ten pairs." For a couple of reasons. One,
20	we've got a direct cost. We pay for 100%. We'd like
21	to recover the cost of those pairs, which then are
22	able to be used exclusively by MediaOne; we'd like to
23	recover that cost from MediaOne.
24	COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Could another ALEC
25	come in and use some part of that?

-	WITHNESS WITHER. No. No. If another ALEC	
	WITNESS MILNER: NO. NO. II another Adde	
2	came to us and said, "I also would like pairs into	
3	each apartment," we'd say, "That's fine," if there was	
4	NTW pairs, we'd give them a certain option. NTW pairs	
5	as well.	
6	So our arrangement prevents or let me say	
7	it a different way our proposal has MediaOne	
8	working in its equipment, has BellSouth working in its	
9	equipment, but not the other way around.	
10	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Under your proposal,	
11	is there a physical limitation on the number of ALECs	
12	that could have a presence in any MDU?	
13	WITNESS MILNER: No, there's not. Now,	
14	obviously there's a finite amount of network	
15	terminating wires which generally is dependent on the	
16	age of the property. It ranges from about two to	
17	maybe six pairs. Going forward, we generally install	
18	six pairs from the wiring closet to each unit because	
19	we recognize that over time there are roommate	
20	situations where each would have their own telephone,	
21	they may even have second lines and each of those	
22	things as well as for maintenance and the repair,	
23	defective repair. Going forward, we're putting in	
24	network terminating wire that has six pairs in it.	
25	There's a finite limit. So we believe the network	

terminating wire, while it is a -- that's a finite 1 resource, you know, getting access into the wiring 2 closet, which is also of a finite size, is not as 3 critical a restriction. 4 Let me also clarify -- and BellSouth has 5 made a proposal to use --6 COMMISSIONER DEASON: But if you were going 7 to do the so-called pre-wiring so that you would not 8 actually have to dispatch a BellSouth technician, is 9 there a limitation on the number of pre-wires you can 10 do? Is it limited to six, the number of pairs, or is 11 12 there no limitation there? It is limited to 13 WITNESS MILNER: No. 14 however many network terminating pairs are physically 15 installed. Now, if the ALEC said, "I'd like more pre-wired connections than there are pairs," then we 16 could install more. We'd like them to bear the 17 expense of doing that. But if they said, "I'd like 12 18 pairs into each apartment, we're willing to do that 19 20 work but there would be a cost associated with doing that. 21 22 COMMISSIONER DEASON: So conceivably you 23 could have five ALECs where there would not have to be 24 additional -- in a new facility, where there would not 25 have to be additional network terminating wire

1 installed. But if a sixth ALEC wanted that and wanted 2 it pre-wired, they'd have to bear the cost of having -- they might as well do it themselves, 3 correct? 4 WITNESS MILNER: Well, no, not necessarily. 5 What we've said is that if BellSouth has a network 6 7 terminating wire pair but ours is not in use, we've agreed to relinquish that pair to a CLEC or ALEC that 8 9 does need it to serve. We believe other ALECs should 10 do likewise. Rather than having at some point 200 11 pairs into an apartment with only two of them working 12 service, we've said we'll give it up if somebody has a 13 need. We believe the ALEC should as well. 14 Now, that's three different alternatives --15 COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Did I understand your 16 answer just now to say that if you had that one 17 six-wire pair coming in, that any ALEC could use any one of those lines? 18 19 WITNESS MILNER: That's correct. 20 COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Because I thought that 21 was my earlier question, that -- so then what is the 22 point at which if a new ALEC comes in they have to have their own connection? Was that the -- I'm sorry, 23 I'm lost in my terminology. Go back and explain that 24 25 to me again.

## FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

1 WITNESS MILNER: Okay. Let me try again. 2 Let's say that there are only two network 3 terminating wire pairs between the wiring closet and 4 apartment, and there's a need for a third pair. Let's say there are roommates in there and the first 5 6 roommate has a primary line and second line for Internet access. And the second roommate says, "I 7 need a telephone as well." Then the network 8 9 terminating wire would have to be augmented and new pairs would need to be installed. So when we've 10 exhausted the capacity of the network terminating wire 11 between the wiring closet and each apartment is when 12 new construction would be. 13 COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Now, under your 14 proposal with this separate connecting point, actually 15 it's that capacity that's going to be the guiding 16 force, wouldn't it be? 17 WITNESS MILNER: No, not really. Again, 18 because that doesn't change the amount of network 19 20 terminating wire. It does -- you know, imagine other companies besides MediaOne having a block next to this 21 22 one, so they'd have access to the same network terminating wire. 23 COMMISSIONER JACOBS: So you all are 24 25 competing for space on that one.

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

1	WITNESS MILNER: Yes. That's a better way	
2	to say it.	
3	Now, the other point I'd like to clarify is	
4	MediaOne has a number of different alternatives within	
5	the apartment unit itself. I believe your question	
6	earlier, Commissioner, was does BellSouth require the	
7	use of this so-called condo NID? This is my	
8	understanding I'll have to go back and check	
9	this but I believe we have suggested the use of	
10	this because of the flexibility but I don't believe	
11	we've required it. If that's true	
12	COMMISSIONER CLARK: Let me just that	
13	really has nothing to do with the issue of access to	
14	here. Once you install that, it makes it easier to	
15	determine what lines are what within the apartment.	
16	WITNESS MILNER: Right.	
17	COMMISSIONER CLARK: It really doesn't help	
18	with the access issue here.	
19	WITNESS MILNER: You're exactly right. And	
20	that was my point. MediaOne can rewire the jacks that	
21	are already in the apartment, or they can use this	
22	device, or they can have they can install this	
23	device or they can have BellSouth install a device	
24	like this. But that really is a separate issue than	
25	this.	

Now, I said there are three alternatives.
 There are actually four. This is the splitter we've
 talked about (indicating). You've probably seen them
 in hardware stories. This one happened to come from
 Radio Shack. It was a few dollars.

6 The question of first line and second line 7 is really important, or most important, in terms of 8 this little device in the jack. If you could see 9 inside here you'd see there are four little pins. And 10 generally the two in the middle are the first line and the two on the outside are the second line. But what 11 this little splitter allows, presuming that both Line 12 13 1 and 2 appeared -- pretend this is not a condo jack 14 for a moment but just a regular jack like in your 15 house -- presuming that Line 1 and Line 2 have been 16 pre-wired out to this jack as it often usually is, 17 then it's simply a matter of plugging this liter in here and then you'll see that the splitter itself is 18 labeled "Line 1, Line 2." So this overcomes, I 19 20 believe, MediaOne's objection that they have to do extensive rewiring of the inside wire. If both the 21 22 lines are already here, that is Line 1 and Line 2, and 23 BellSouth makes available Line 2, then you plug this in here and you plug the phone for MediaOne's service 24 25 into this little jack that says "Line 2."

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

1 So there are a number of alternatives of how MediaOne could get access to the so-called Line 2. 2 They could rewire the existing jack. They can use 3 this (indicating). They can use a splitter. 4 5 COMMISSIONER CLARK: But if they use a splitter, then doesn't the line from the splitter have 6 to go to every phone in the house, presumably outside 7 the walls, in order to accomplish the separate lines? 8 WITNESS MILNER: No. What you would do 9 would be to plug -- again imagine this is just a jack 10 in the bedroom. In each room you wanted a phone from 11 MediaOne you'd plug a splitter like this into the jack 12 and thereby get access to Line 2. So if you wanted 13 three telephones --14 COMMISSIONER CLARK: So you would do that in 15 16 every room. That you wanted WITNESS MILNER: Yes. 17 access to MediaOne. 18 COMMISSIONER CLARK: So they don't have to 19 do the testing to determine what is the first jack. 20 Is that right? 21 WITNESS MILNER: That's my point. That's my 22 23 point. Now -- and then I believe this is the last 24 point I would make. (Moves mike toward witness.) 25

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

1 Thank you.

2	The notion that it's somehow difficult to		
3	find which the first jack is and let me explain		
4	that in other states in fact, in all of BellSouth's		
5	states, except Florida, we follow the FCC's Part 68		
6	Rules on where demarcation points are located. And it		
7	says that where there is a premises demarc, that the		
8	demarcation point is at the network interface device,		
9	if there is one, and absent a network interface		
10	device, it's at the first jack inside the apartment or		
11	suite, but within roughly 10 to 12 inches.		
12	So the notion of there being a great deal of		
13	difficulty in figuring out which is the first jack, I		
14	believe, is a little bit overblown in that even the		
15	instructions that come with this network interface		
16	device say simply you know, there's about five		
17	steps to installing this. "Remove the plug from the		
18	bottom of the jack. Remove the screws from the cover.		
19	Remove the cover. Connect your premises wiring to the		
20	red and green wires at the connecting screw." So the		
21	first pair is red and green. The second pair is		
22	yellow and black. I don't think it could be a whole		
23	lot simpler than that. So the wire that BellSouth		
24	uses is color coded, and the colors match the wires		
25	inside the network interface device. So it's simply a		
	1		

matter of taking the cover off, figuring out where the 1 2 red and green pair is versus the black and yellow 3 pair, and making any changes, if any are needed 4 accordingly. 5 And I believe that was all of the points 6 that I wanted to clarify. 7 MR. GRAHAM: Commissioner Deason, I know 8 we're going out of the order here, but we have been 9 all morning. Would it make any sense for us to cross 10 examine Mr. Milner with regard to this demonstration while he is before the demo? 11 COMMISSIONER DEASON: I have no objection if 12 BellSouth has no objection. 13 MS. WHITE: No, we don't have any objection. 14 MR. KARRE: Just to clarify, you were 15 talking about the simplicity of installing the 16 condominium NID. That has to be installed at the 17 first location of the first jack. Am I correct in 18 that? 19 WITNESS MILNER: This one would be, that's 20 21 correct, yes. MR. KARRE: I think that's it. Thank you. 22 COMMISSIONER JACOBS: As I understand it, 23 this is -- the only limitation into the number of 24 lines here is what's -- that box has or what comes out 25

1 of the riser.

\_ \_ . .

2	WITNESS MILNER: Good question. This device
3	just happens to accommodate two network terminating
4	wire pairs. If there were to be more, you could
5	simply locate one of these next to the first one.
6	COMMISSIONER CLARK: Mr. Milner, I just had
7	one question. What's the difficulty in locating the
8	first jack?
9	WITNESS MILNER: I'm not sure that it's all
10	that difficult. It's just a matter of taking the
11	faceplate off the jack and seeing whether the inside
12	wire and the network terminating wire come together
13	there or not. If they do, then you do the work there.
14	If they don't, then you go to the next one and check
15	again.
16	COMMISSIONER CLARK: But you have to go to
17	each jack until you find it?
18	WITNESS MILNER: Yes. But as I mentioned in
19	my summary, our technicians have gotten pretty good at
20	figuring out, based on the location of the garden
21	terminal on the side of the wall, on the outside of
22	the building, where the cable is likely to come into
23	the apartment.
24	COMMISSIONER CLARK: I see. Okay.
25	Let me ask another question. You had
ļ	1 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

indicated that this is only a problem in Florida because of where we put the demarcation point. It strikes me that if we go to our rulemaking -- I understand we are doing rulemaking on where the line of demarcation should be -- if it is moved, does that resolve this question for you?

7 WITNESS MILNER: No, ma'am, it doesn't. If
8 I led you to believe that it's only an issue in
9 Florida, then I misled you. The issue is one of how
10 an ALEC, such as MediaOne, gets access to BellSouth's
11 facilities.

12 You may know that BellSouth's policy is that 13 we place our demarcation at the minimum point of entry only where the property owner -- outside of Florida 14 15 let me say -- we do that where the property owner has the right to tell us that that's what they want us to 16 17 do and we do that. In that case, this is not an issue because our facilities would end at this first 18 connector block. So in the case of an MPOE, we don't 19 put the network terminating wire in so it's simply not 20 21 an issue. 22 COMMISSIONER CLARK: Right. So this issue

23 would go away if we put the line of demarcation where 24 the minimum point of entry is.

25

WITNESS MILNER: It would solve this issue.

I believe it would cause other issues --COMMISSIONER CLARK: I understand that. WITNESS MILNER: Cause other issues to rise. MS. WHITE: Mr. Milner is now available for cross examination. MR. KARRE: Did you put his testimony in? I'm sorry. MS. WHITE: I thought I did. I believe I did. COMMISSIONER DEASON: Yes, I believe it was inserted. MR. KARRE: My apologies. COMMISSIONER DEASON: If not, it shall be inserted. You may proceed. (Transcript continues in Volume 2.) 

		ALEC's 189/18 199/23
1171 bab	6	ALEC-requested 189/17
\$171 52/3 \$2 26/14	6 4/3, 4/12, 8/4, 8/5, 58/11 60 52/6, 52/23, 121/8, 124/14	ALEUS 53/19, 54/3, 54/6, 127/17, 127/24, 128/5, 128/12, 128/23, 129/10, 129/18, 138/18, 139/10.
\$40-something 198/13	600 6/1	139/16, 187/11, 188/3, 188/12, 190/1, 190/15, 193/7,
\$40.47 52/5, 52/16   \$7 104/11, 104/12	66 3/6 675 2/4 5/14 145/19	alert 50/21
\$7.48 93/15, 93/16, 93/22, 104/5, 110/12	68 207/5	allow 11/8, 14/4, 16/1, 53/25, 64/3, 70/16, 100/20,
	6th 2/9	123/14, 130/1, 133/14, 133/23, 134/5 allowed 93/9, 134/7, 188/9
		allowing 135/16, 143/10, 194/21
& 2/7		allows 24/6, 53/6, 100/14, 109/24, 123/17, 143/18, 190/16, 190/20, 205/12
		alternative 12/5, 15/6, 51/14, 93/8, 103/8, 107/21,
	71 3/6	115/25, 122/6, 125/1, 126/4, 199/5 alternatives 202/14, 204/4, 205/1, 206/1
1 1/10, 1/11, 4/3, 6/18, 6/20, 6/25, 95/18, 96/20, 97/14 97/23 99/9 100/18 100/18 102/10 111/16		amount 200/14, 203/19
115/11, 115/15, 205/13, 205/15, 205/19, 205/22		answer 44/7, 47/13, 48/15, 48/17, 48/18, 56/16, 98/2, 107/20, 115/16, 122/19, 127/11, 131/11, 133/11, 137/6
10 4/19, 8/21, 8/22, 207/11	8 4/10, 4/12, 4/14, 4/16, 4/17, 4/19, 8/12, 8/13	142/9, 143/9, 202/16
101 2/7, 5/18	80112 2/10, 5/23, 6/1, 49/24 86 3/7	answered 62/14 answers 139/2, 140/16 146/9
11 4/20, 8/25, 9/1, 9/19, 9/23, 125/19 12 4/21 20/14 20/19 14/2 14/2 14/2 201/29		anticipating 15/15
12 4/21, 70/14, 70/19, 144/2, 144/3, 144/6, 201/18, 207/11	9	anxious 70/6 apartment 60/12 63/17 95/19 96/6 96/9 96/17
13 4/22, 45/19, 45/21, 70/16, 70/19, 144/3, 144/6	9 1/17, 4/4, 4/5, 4/7, 4/10, 4/12, 4/14, 4/16, 4/17,	97/11, 97/17, 98/16, 99/4, 99/8, 99/16, 99/21, 99/25,
131 3/8	4/19, 4/20, 8/17, 8/18	100/3, 100/10, 101/4, 101/14, 101/16, 102/22, 103/4, 108/10, 108/12, 111/14, 111/18, 111/23, 114/9, 114/14, 114/14, 11
14 4/24, 147/3, 147/4	990149-TP 1/3, 5/7	114/15, 116/8, 116/11, 116/13, 116/19, 118/25, 119/1,
140 3/8 144 4/21, 4/22	9:30 1/18	119/2, 119/4, 120/2, 120/4, 120/7, 121/14, 122/12,
145 3/10	9:35 5/2	132/7, 134/20, 135/2, 135/8, 135/12, 188/23, 191/19,
147 4/24 148 1/20 3/10		195/6, 197/9, 197/16, 197/20, 198/3, 198/5, 198/17,
15 130/14, 130/20, 144/8		-198/21, 199/1, 200/3, 201/19, 202/11, 203/4, 203/12, -204/5, 204/15, 204/21, 207/10, 209/23
16 145/25 164 3/11	ability 12/21, 111/6, 128/18	apartments 97/19, 135/5, 199/15
17 12/10	absence 60/10	apologize 99/7, 108/22
18 12/12, 12/14 199 2/0 5/22 5/25 40/22	absent 60/21, 95/19, 207/9 accept 97/18, 97/20	appealed 43/2
<b>1996</b> 1/8, 187/9, 187/17	acceptable 126/7	appearance 57/12, 60/7, 62/10, 65/24, 66/11, 95/18, 95/22, 96/19, 96/20, 97/13, 97/24, 98/10, 99/9, 102/19,
1999 1/17, 131/4	accepted 136/13 access 25/1, 25/14, 26/5, 26/10, 26/11, 26/17, 43/24,	111/5, 111/25
	51/16, 51/22, 52/8, 53/14, 53/25, 54/3, 54/25, 56/9,	APPEARANCES 2/1, 5/12 applications 50/17
	56/14, 58/12, 59/14, 62/7, 63/5, 63/11, 63/23, 64/3, 93/4, 95/14, 98/15, 99/13, 99/15, 99/17, 99/24, 100/14,	applied 58/5, 60/11
7 4/4 7/8 7/0 9/18 9/23 18/14 20/1 05/20 07/12	100/20, 101/15, 101/19, 101/24, 103/12, 111/9, 111/22,	apply 58/15, 62/9, 191/22 appreciate 14/16
<b>97/13, 97/24, 99/9, 100/15, 100/18, 100/21, 103/2</b> ,	118/11, 119/11, 124/8, 124/23, 125/13, 125/15, 126/12, 127/14 130/12 135/16 187/6 187/10 187/15 187/20	approach 192/18
103/10, 111/16, 115/11, 115/15, 125/15, 205/13,	187/21, 189/1, 189/6, 189/10, 189/16, 189/20, 189/21,	appropriate 10/16, 17/14, 26/23, 27/14, 63/1, 191/1, 195/4, 196/1, 196/10
<b>2-11</b> 9/24	190/2, 190/6, 193/23, 193/24, 196/18, 198/23, 201/2, 203/7, 203/22, 204/13, 204/18, 206/2, 206/13, 206/18	April 131/4
20 10/10, 13/2, 22/19	210/10	arbitration 1/5, 5/8, 140/24 architecture 67/7
<b>211</b> 1/11	accessed 100/16	argue 27/8
22 3/3, 12/25	accommodate 209/3	argued 27/4
23 145/25 24 50/5	accomplish 102/25, 206/8	argument 18/16, 20/4, 20/7
25 52/4, 62/24, 112/6, 112/8, 112/11, 112/16, 113/14	accurate 193/15, 193/18	arguments 21/13
250 137/11, 137/13 252 1/7	Act 1/7, 187/9, 187/17	arise 145/15 arrangement 64/23, 109/25, 188/16, 189/3, 189/24,
2540 2/13	activated 101/23	200/6
273 138/24, 139/2, 139/23 275 128/5 128/12 128/13 129/3 129/6 129/19	activities 124/3, 124/4, 137/24	arrangements 123/18, 188/15, 188/18 artful 12/11
138/18, 139/16, 139/22	actuality 65/25	aspects 137/18
28 3/4 2nd 130/13	adapter 97/16	assistance 138/2 associated 25/25, 46/24, 58/19, 98/10, 191/4, 191/16,
	adaptor 103/11 – adaptors 100/20	201/20
	add 43/8, 114/12, 142/18, 142/19, 142/21	associates 24/9 association 196/8
3 4/5, 7/13, 7/14, 18/14, 20/1, 99/9, 125/10, 125/16	Adding 25/7	assure 129/5
30 12/17, 50/3	additions 146/3	Atlanta 2/4, 5/15, 132/23, 145/19 attach 110/22
32301 2/8, 5/18	address 5/22, 10/9, 10/15, 19/5, 22/9, 22/10, 24/18, 49/19, 49/22, 139/18, 145/17, 145/19	attached 4/21, 4/22, 70/13, 70/15, 147/1, 195/21
32399-0870 2/14	addresses 10/18	attempt 143/12 attorneys 27/7
	adept 192/19, 192/23	attractiveness 25/13
t. D <mark>ig standarden eta servica en energia de sua servica en esta teta de transforma en esta de transforma de trans</mark>	admitted 6/18, 9/23, 144/4	augmented 203/9
4 4/7, 7/19, 7/20, 99/9 40% 24/20	ADMTD 4/2	automatically 58/17
4075 1/20	advance 9/14, 14/4, 50/15	avanable 9/4, 9/7, 26/19, 61/24, 97/9, 97/15, 97/22, 107/3, 137/4, 199/9, 205/23, 211/4
4300 2/3 49 3/5	anirming 18/24 age 200/16	avoid 11/6, 60/25, 109/14
	agree 112/23	
	agreement 1/6, 5/10, 125/12, 125/22, 125/23, 125/25.	B
5 4/10, 4/24, 7/25, 8/1, 17/1, 146/21	126/7	backbone 48/4, 48/5, 48/10 background 11/24
50 127/20, 127/21, 139/21	aid 119/23	bad 100/1, 196/20, 196/21
	ALEC 51/15, 52/11, 52/14, 52/20, 53/3, 53/7, 53/12,	base 47/24 based 11/22 13/12 24/8 48/18 47/21 101/10
	189/12, 189/18, 189/25, 190/22, 193/11, 199/24, 200/1.	128/10, 135/20, 209/20
	201/15, 202/1, 202/8, 202/13, 202/17, 202/22, 210/10	basement 98/8, 120/3, 120/16, 126/12, 141/7
	1	0000 4410, 04144, 0010, 0017, 114/10, 113/11

bay 107/5 bear 201/17, 202/2 bedroom 101/17, 101/25, 103/6, 103/16, 103/19, 196/5, 206/11 Beg 21/1 belief 198/4 Bell 2/3, 55/17 BellSouth 1/6, 2/2, 2/5, 5/10, 5/13, 6/14, 11/2, 11/3, 13/22, 19/10, 19/16, 24/14, 25/8, 25/14, 26/4, 26/7 26/9, 26/11, 26/18, 26/20, 27/4, 51/16, 51/20, 51/23, 52/2, 52/3, 52/7, 52/9, 52/12, 52/18, 52/22, 53/6, 53/11, 53/19, 54/2, 54/4, 55/24, 57/11, 58/1, 59/1, 59/5, 59/9, 59/11, 60/3, 60/24, 62/4, 62/23, 63/6, 63/7, 64/10, 66/11, 68/3, 68/9, 68/13, 68/14, 69/9, 69/10, 93/6, 93/7, 94/18, 96/1, 97/7, 100/4, 100/24, 101/8, 101/13, 101/21, 102/2, 102/8, 102/23, 103/19, 104/9, 104/17, 105/6, 105/17, 105/19, 106/15, 108/17, 112/4, 112/20, 113/13, 115/25, 117/13, 118/6, 119/5, 119/9, 120/11, 120/17, 121/8, 121/16, 121/18, 122/10, 122/13, 122/25, 123/13, 124/13, 124/22, 125/11, 125/13, 126/17, 126/25, 127/1, 127/4, 127/5, 128/2, 128/14, 128/23, 129/13, 129/20, 131/2, 132/6, 133/6, 134/16, 135/6, 135/9, 137/17, 137/23, 138/10, 140/6, 141/15, 141/19, 141/25, 142/1, 145/2, 145/3, 145/11, 145/20, 187/21, 188/3, 188/9, 189/6, 189/9, 189/13, 189/15, 189/17, 190/3, 190/5, 190/7, 190/8, 190/12, 190/14, 190/22, 190/24, 191/15, 191/18, 192/4, 192/5, 192/24, 193/1, 193/6, 193/9, 193/13, 193/19, 193/22, 195/8, 195/17, 196/2, 198/16, 200/8, 201/5, 201/9, 202/6, 204/6, 204/23, 205/23, 207/23, 208/13 BellSouth's 4/4, 4/5, 4/7, 4/10, 7/1, 7/10, 7/15, 7/21, 8/10, 24/16, 25/1, 25/17, 26/4, 51/9, 51/18, 51/22, 52/16, 52/25, 53/17, 53/21, 61/4, 61/18, 63/22, 68/19, 68/25, 69/4, 100/12, 101/4, 102/5, 102/12, 106/12, 107/8, 108/3, 108/5, 108/7, 108/17, 109/12, 109/15, 109/21, 110/5, 110/10, 110/18, 111/2, 112/15, 112/19, 113/20, 113/25, 119/4, 119/8, 119/10, 119/15, 120/5, 120/14, 120/17, 121/6, 122/3, 122/8, 122/19, 122/22, 122/23, 122/25, 123/2, 123/7, 124/9, 125/3, 126/15, 126/20, 127/14, 129/8, 129/19, 131/20, 133/13, 134/15, 134/16, 135/11, 135/15, 141/12, 187/6, 187/11, 189/11, 189/23, 191/4, 192/13, 192/19, 193/3, 193/6, 193/8, 194/4, 196/12, 196/23, 207/4, 210/10, 210/12 benefit 118/11, 118/14, 118/15, 134/14, 134/18 benefits 25/3 BERENS 1/22 Betty 1/19 BEVERIDGE 3/5, 4/18, 4/21, 4/23, 8/15, 10/18, 16/17, 42/22, 49/6, 49/12, 49/20, 49/21, 55/1, 55/4, 55/25, 56/6, 56/12, 56/16, 56/20, 57/8, 57/19, 57/23, 59/4, 59/10, 59/17, 59/23, 60/6, 60/16, 61/8, 61/23, 61/2, 62/6, 62/17, 63/21, 64/7, 64/15, 65/21, 66/2, 66/4, 66/7, 66/16, 66/18, 94/18, 94/12, 95/3, 95/9, 95/17, 96/3, 96/7, 96/12, 97/4, 97/8, 97/19, 98/7, 98/17, 98/22, 99/1, 99/5, 99/14, 99/19, 100/6, 100/11, 100/23, 101/2, 101/10, 102/5, 102/16, 103/1, 103/22, 103/25, 104/17, 105/6, 105/8, 105/14, 106/22, 106/25, 109/19, 109/23, 110/10, 110/21, 111/1, 111/21, 112/9,  $\begin{array}{l} 105/19, \ 105/25, \ 110/10, \ 110/21, \ 111/1, \ 111/21, \ 111/2, \ 112/2, \ 112/18, \ 112/22, \ 113/6, \ 113/9, \ 113/15, \ 113/12, \ 113/22, \ 114/2, \ 115/13, \ 115/23, \ 115/13, \ 115/13, \ 116/16, \ 116/10, \ 116/15, \ 115/18, \ 116/23, \ 117/2, \ 117/2, \ 117/15, \ 117/18, \ 117/12, \ 118/19, \ 121/1, \ 121/25, \ 118/19, \ 121/1, \ 121/25, \ 118/19, \ 121/1, \ 121/25, \ 118/19, \ 121/1, \ 121/25, \ 118/19, \ 118/19, \ 121/1, \ 121/25, \ 118/19, \ 121/10$ 123/11, 123/17, 123/25, 125/17, 125/20, 126/2, 126/8, 132/23, 133/5, 133/11, 133/22, 134/4, 134/9, 136/15, 136/22, 137/6, 137/15, 142/13, 142/24, 143/7, 143/16, 143/22 bid 63/14 big 57/16, 198/10 Bill 5/16 billed 192/16 bit 11/23, 12/24, 54/22, 67/2, 99/6, 126/14, 131/18, 207/14 black 207/22, 208/2 block 54/1, 55/9, 55/13, 56/4, 56/6, 56/15, 57/11, 57/13, 58/1, 60/5, 62/18, 62/23, 63/3, 63/4, 63/7, 63/12, 63/15, 63/19, 64/13, 68/8, 68/10, 68/16, 68/17, 68/18, 68/23, 95/11, 95/22, 106/23, 107/2, 112/5, 112/15, 112/17, 113/1, 113/8, 113/9, 113/10, 113/16, 113/18, 116/2, 116/3, 116/21, 116/25, 117/4, 120/16, 123/19, 124/8, 126/12, 126/22, 189/14, 199/4, 199/11, 203/21, 210/19 blocks 55/14, 55/23, 60/10, 189/3, 196/15 blue 95/4 blue-white 94/20, 95/10, 95/12 books 197/4 Boston 132/25 bottom 195/13, 195/18, 207/18 bought 93/19 Boulevard 2/14 boundaries 46/22, 46/23 box 10/3, 208/25 boxes 57/16 brand 65/22, 66/10

breaking 100/1 brief 17/10, 20/3, 144/9 briefs 27/8 bring 25/3, 113/10, 113/15 brings 103/10 broadcasting 50/5 brought 9/11, 10/21, 60/2, 123/18 building 52/21, 55/16, 62/25, 100/3, 106/20, 107/25, 109/4, 116/8, 116/11, 116/13, 120/2, 129/22, 132/15, 135/3, 191/6, 195/7, 195/8, 199/8, 199/16, 209/22 buildings 107/10, 114/14, 114/15, 199/17 bulk 93/19 Bureau 1/23 business 24/7, 24/9, 44/20, 44/23, 44/25, 45/14, 46/1, 46/4, 49/22, 67/12, 145/18, 191/14 businesses 50/7, 67/12 buttons 97/25 buy 45/9, 45/10, 45/14, 45/16, 104/11, 104/12, 112/8, 199/4 bypass 107/8 bypasses 135/10 С cable 22/21, 24/24, 55/11, 55/16, 60/22, 62/10, 65/19, 68/9, 94/23, 106/20, 107/8, 107/9, 108/1, 109/18, 109/21, 120/25, 122/18, 142/10, 189/19, 209/22 cables 55/15, 188/21 cabling 132/13, 132/17 Caldwell 4/16, 8/11, 131/3 Call 5/3, 21/21, 21/23, 24/21, 27/11, 27/12, 46/15, 47/7, 47/18, 47/20, 49/5, 52/14, 96/23, 98/15, 102/13, 103/20, 110/19, 142/25, 145/1, 145/3 Caller 25/23 calls 26/24, 27/2, 27/5, 27/14, 101/19, 189/15 came 10/5, 10/24, 20/12, 57/6, 200/2 capability 118/9 capacity 63/15, 63/19, 203/11, 203/16 carefully 60/24 carrier 51/15, 129/13, 130/1, 130/2, 136/5, 138/22, 140/2, 188/5 carriers 129/10, 138/7 CARVER 2/2, 5/14, 67/1 case 13/18, 55/8, 55/15, 55/17, 59/23, 62/6, 64/22, 66/9, 94/1, 95/23, 96/1, 100/9, 100/17, 100/18, 100/23, 103/4, 103/13, 104/20, 104/23, 111/8, 112/18, 114/8, 115/5, 115/6, 115/8, 118/11, 133/13, 135/21, 145/24, 191/7, 210/17, 210/19 cases 114/8, 117/3, 135/1, 191/18, 192/15, 197/12, 197/21, 198/5 categories 136/24 caused 145/23 Center 1/19, 2/3, 57/12, 68/17, 68/18, 116/2 central 98/12 cents 52/6, 52/23, 121/9, 124/14 certificate 137/8 certificated 127/17, 128/5 certification 137/4 certified 136/17 chance 23/1, 50/25, 54/12 change 52/2, 62/15, 118/10, 126/18, 138/7, 196/4, 196/7, 203/19 changes 16/25, 54/14, 116/2, 134/6, 146/4, 146/23, 192/4, 198/4, 208/3 changing 96/25 charge 52/3, 52/5, 52/6, 124/13, 124/14, 124/18, 124/22, 127/6, 191/7, 191/8, 199/12, 199/18 charges 52/22, 63/1, 191/3, 192/16 check 42/13, 204/8, 209/14 Chief 1/23 choice 105/23 choose 26/9, 63/9, 125/24 chooses 26/12, 102/10 chosen 191/2 Circle 22/11 circulated 9/14 claim 11/6 clarify 105/17, 108/14, 109/8, 118/22, 120/22, 140/15, 195/3, 198/15, 201/5, 204/3, 208/6, 208/15 clarifying 65/4 CLARK 1/15, 13/23, 14/21, 15/14, 42/13, 42/16, 42/23, 43/5, 44/5, 44/11, 44/20, 44/23, 45/18, 45/24, 46/6, 46/14, 47/2, 47/10, 47/17, 57/5, 59/6, 59/15, 59/20, 59/25, 62/13, 65/14, 65/25, 66/3, 66/5, 66/14, 66/17, 69/18, 94/14, 94/22, 95/1, 95/7, 95/13, 95/24, 96/4, 96/8, 96/24, 97/6, 97/17, 98/3, 104/14, 105/3, 105/7, 105/9, 105/15, 106/18, 106/24, 107/6, 107/14, 107/23, 108/9, 109/9, 109/17, 118/5, 118/13, 118/17 118/21, 119/19, 120/23, 121/23, 125/9, 125/19, 125/21, 126/5, 126/10, 132/19, 133/1, 133/7, 133/17, 133/25, 134/5, 134/11, 204/12, 204/17, 206/5, 206/15, 206/19, 209/6, 209/16, 209/24, 210/22, 211/2 clear 14/14, 106/18, 114/21, 193/18, 194/24

cleared 105/10 clearer 119/24 CLEC 202/8 CLI 50/20 close 109/4, 124/6 close 109/4, 124/6 closet 55/7, 57/20, 57/22, 67/19, 95/23, 98/5, 98/8, 98/9, 98/19, 98/21, 98/25, 99/1, 108/11, 109/2, 110/2, 115/14, 117/5, 120/3, 121/15, 121/24, 121/25, 123/9, 134/2, 135/9, 135/17, 135/19, 135/22, 138/14, 142/23, 143/18, 188/16, 188/19, 189/3, 189/8, 189/11, 189/14, 197/3, 198/19, 200/18, 201/3, 203/3, 203/12 CNAM 24/16, 25/22, 25/24, 26/4, 26/5, 26/8, 26/10, 26/17, 138/4 coded 207/24 collection 50/12 collocation 143/17 color 95/8, 95/10, 207/24 color-coded 94/4 color-coding 94/10 Colorado 2/10, 5/23, 6/1, 22/11, 49/23, 50/8 colors 207/24 combination 20/2 commence 22/8 Commenced 1/18 comment 140/7 COMMISSION 1/1, 1/22, 2/13, 2/15, 6/3, 10/22, 13/7, 14/6, 14/14, 15/25, 18/16, 26/16, 43/9, 65/1, 110/15, 129/6, 129/25, 131/25, 140/13, 194/6 Commission's 67/13, 108/15, 133/23 COMMISSIONER 1/15, 1/16, 5/3, 5/11, 6/4, 6/6, 6/12, 6/16, 6/22, 6/25, 7/3, 7/6, 7/7, 7/12, 7/18, 7/24, 8/4, 8/8, 8/12, 8/16, 8/21, 8/25, 9/2, 9/10, 9/13, 9/17, 9/22, 9/25, 10/5, 10/13, 10/14, 11/20, 12/19, 13/23, 14/10, 14/21, 15/14, 15/20, 16/17, 16/19, 16/20, 16/24, 17/5, 17/6, 17/18, 17/21, 17/24, 18/2, 18/5, 18/7, 18/9, 18/18, 18/20, 18/25, 19/3, 19/6, 19/12, 19/15, 19/17, 19/20, 19/23, 20/11, 20/12, 20/20, 20/24, 21/2, 21/5, 21/9, 11/12, 21/15, 21/16, 21/20, 27/17, 27/19, 42/2, 42/5, 42/8, 42/10, 42/11, 42/12, 42/13, 42/16, 42/18, 42/22, 42/23, 43/5, 43/6, 43/18, 43/25, 44/4, 44/5, 42/22, 42/23, 43/5, 43/6, 43/18, 43/25, 44/4, 44/5, 44/11, 44/12, 44/15, 44/20, 44/23, 45/1, 45/3, 45/8, 45/16, 45/18, 45/24, 46/6, 46/14, 47/2, 47/10, 47/17, 48/1, 48/7, 48/16, 48/19, 48/20, 48/23, 49/2, 49/7, 49/10, 54/24, 55/3, 55/19, 56/1, 56/2, 56/8, 56/13, 56/18, 56/25, 57/5, 57/6, 57/14, 57/21, 58/5, 58/23, 59/6, 59/15, 59/20, 59/25, 60/1, 60/14, 61/3, 61/12, 61/16, 62/2, 62/13, 63/13, 64/1, 64/8, 64/24, 64/25, 65/7, 65/13, 65/14, 65/25, 66/3, 66/5, 66/14, 66/7, 69/23, 70/3, 70/6, 70/8, 70/11, 93/1, 94/14, 94/22, 95/1, 95/7, 95/13, 95/24, 96/4, 96/8, 96/24, 97/6, 97/17, 98/2, 98/3, 98/4, 98/13, 98/18, 98/24, 99/2, 99/12, 99/15, 99/20, 100/7, 100/22, 100/25, 101/7, 101/11, 101/12, 102/7, 102/2, 102/21, 103/14, 103/23, 101/11, 101/12, 102/7, 102/9, 102/21, 103/14, 103/23, 104/14, 105/3, 105/7, 105/9, 105/15, 106/18, 106/24, 104/14, 105/3, 105/7, 105/9, 105/15, 106/18, 106/24, 107/6, 107/14, 107/23, 108/9, 108/19, 109/9, 109/17, 109/20, 110/5, 110/16, 110/24, 111/19, 112/2, 112/12, 112/21, 113/4, 113/8, 113/12, 113/19, 113/23, 114/4, 114/20, 115/7, 115/16, 115/19, 115/24, 116/7, 116/12, 116/17, 116/20, 116/24, 117/6, 117/12, 117/16, 117/19, 117/25, 118/4, 118/5, 118/13, 118/17, 118/21, 119/19, 120/23, 121/23, 123/6, 123/12, 123/21, 125/9, 125/19, 125/21, 126/5, 126/10, 130/7, 131/13, 132/19, 133/1, 133/7, 133/17, 133/25, 134/5, 134/11, 136/11, 136/16, 137/3, 137/10, 139/14, 139/20, 140/12, 140/17, 140/18, 142/6, 142/16, 143/5, 143/8, 143/20, 143/23, 143/24, 142/6, 142/16, 143/5, 143/8, 143/20, 143/23, 143/24, 144/2, 144/7, 145/1, 145/8, 146/12, 146/15, 146/17, 147/3, 196/3, 198/9, 199/2, 199/10, 199/24, 200/10, 201/7, 201/22, 202/15, 202/20, 203/14, 203/24, 204/6, 204/12, 204/17, 206/5, 206/15, 206/19, 208/7, 208/12, 208/23, 209/6, 209/16, 209/24, 210/22, 211/2, 211/10 Commissioner's 117/11 Commissioners 5/5, 14/15, 15/23, 23/23, 42/12, 54/20, 55/6, 57/3, 69/18, 194/13, 194/16 commissions 27/1, 27/5 commitment 16/3 committed 23/25 common 135/23, 192/11 companies 22/22, 54/7, 128/13, 129/3, 129/6, 137/12, 203/21 company 50/6, 61/7, 137/7, 137/11, 190/19 compensation 24/17, 26/22, 26/23, 27/6, 27/15, 43/12, 43/13, 43/23 competence 129/2 competent 94/9, 129/1, 136/25 competing 203/25 competition 25/4 competitive 23/25, 25/13 competitor 53/6 competitor's 52/15 competitors 25/21, 26/10, 26/19, 51/15, 52/16, 53/18 compiled 128/3 complete 58/22, 61/24 completes 113/2

complex 25/12, 132/5, 191/20, 199/7 compliance 50/21 compliant 192/11 complicated 13/19 components 46/20 compression 58/16 compromise 193/24 Con-1 4/5, 7/10 Con-2 4/10, 7/21 conceded 105/12 concentrate 24/4 conceptual 191/21 concern 20/12, 142/16, 142/17, 191/10, 192/1, 198/14 conclude 70/17 concludes 27/16, 47/18, 194/2 concluding 69/24 conclusion 47/22, 47/25 concur 130/19 condo 102/13, 110/7, 114/24, 134/13, 134/14, 134/21, 204/7, 205/13 condominium 53/1, 54/2, 59/2, 95/20, 100/13, 101/5, 102/18, 104/6, 104/16, 104/23, 105/5, 105/13, 110/11, 110/23, 118/24, 120/7, 121/19, 190/15, 195/2, 195/4, 195/6, 195/10, 208/17 conductors 95/6 Conference 1/19, 12/1, 15/4 confidential 4/6, 4/10, 7/11, 7/22 confirm 61/15 confirmed 128/11 confused 104/15, 121/4 confusing 131/18, 194/25 connect 10/16, 51/23, 58/24, 68/10, 93/4, 93/9, 96/6, 104/9, 105/19, 105/20, 107/10, 107/17, 109/13, 109/15, 110/7, 110/8, 111/4, 119/1, 119/2, 119/4, 119/14, 119/15, 120/15, 121/5, 121/7, 121/13, 122/23, 123/22, 124/8, 126/14, 127/14, 130/2, 135/7, 190/16, 191/1, 207/19 connected 95/18, 195/16, 195/17, 195/25 connecting 57/10, 69/4, 93/25, 109/10, 132/3, 134/17, 203/15, 207/20 connection 14/20, 52/4, 93/14, 105/22, 106/1, 106/6, 106/13, 108/4, 108/8, 108/18, 109/24, 110/19, 117/5, 119/9, 120/6, 120/15, 120/18, 120/24, 121/10, 121/13, 122/2, 122/11, 122/15, 123/9, 123/24, 124/23, 125/2, 135/9, 143/14, 198/22, 198/25, 202/23 connections 97/22, 134/25, 190/12, 194/12, 201/16 connector 106/23, 107/4, 189/2, 189/14, 190/25, 210/19 connectors 195/14 connects 112/6, 135/13, 189/19 considerations 191/22 consistent 21/7 construction 203/13 contact 58/18, 66/20 contained 146/8 contains 189/16 contemplated 14/6, 20/3, 21/13 contemplates 13/9 contemplating 19/4, 68/21 content 16/5, 16/9 contention 20/25, 21/3, 27/8, 44/6 continue 65/8 continued 102/23 continues 96/16 continuing 100/24 continuity 115/13 continuous 53/16, 95/21, 97/10, 99/10, 115/12, 121/14, 138/6 contrast 52/15, 115/20, 115/21 control 188/6, 193/19 controlling 188/10 convened 5/2 coordinate 54/7, 60/4 coordinated 118/1, 138/5 coordinating 25/5 coordination 60/2 copy 130/12 corner 57/17 corporate 5/20 corporate 5/20 correct 6/13, 7/4, 16/18, 20/5, 21/4, 21/14, 21/15, 42/4, 45/9, 46/17, 47/25, 48/25, 49/1, 49/9, 55/25, 56/7, 56/12, 57/23, 62/16, 63/16, 64/7, 67/16, 67/21, 68/5, 68/6, 68/10, 68/11, 68/16, 68/19, 68/20, 69/2, 69/7, 70/2, 93/10, 93/11, 93/15, 93/16, 94/25, 95/3, 96/3, 96/18, 96/19, 98/6, 98/20, 99/5, 99/14, 99/19, 97/2014 102/15, 102/16, 103/22, 104/6, 104/7, 104/12, 105/23, 105/24, 106/16, 109/6, 109/7, 109/15, 109/16, 110/9, 113/21, 114/6, 115/4, 115/23, 116/6, 117/18, 118/16, 119/12, 119/17, 120/8, 120/9, 120/13, 120/19, 121/1, 121/24, 122/4, 123/1, 123/10, 123/11, 124/12, 124/25, 125/4, 126/22, 126/23, 127/2, 127/15, 127/16, 128/15, 128/16, 128/19, 128/20, 128/24, 129/14, 129/22, 129/23, 130/25, 131/1, 131/23, 131/24, 134/3, 134/4,

136/7, 136/8, 136/10, 136/14, 136/15, 136/22, 137/15, 138/11, 138/12, 141/1, 141/4, 141/9, 141/10, 141/13, 141/14, 141/16, 141/17, 141/22, 142/12, 146/2, 146/2, 197/13, 198/18, 202/4, 202/19, 208/18, 208/21 corrections 146/4 correctly 64/4 corresponding 195/21 cost 26/14, 26/20, 53/22, 54/5, 93/13, 93/14, 93/21, 93/25, 102/17, 104/5, 130/22, 131/3, 131/6, 131/8, 131/10, 132/12, 140/6, 140/8, 191/15, 193/14, 199/20, 199/21, 199/23, 201/20, 202/2 costs 27/13, 191/23, 191/24 counsel 6/2, 11/1, 126/3, 139/5 counter 133/15 counter-proposal 51/13 couple 12/18, 17/15, 18/21, 26/6, 65/2, 65/3, 67/3, 130/8, 194/24, 195/14, 196/14, 199/19 course 45/13, 60/7, 98/9, 100/19 court 45/20, 125/24 cover 9/12, 195/19, 196/16, 207/18, 207/19, 208/1 covered 125/7 covers 24/12, 187/15 cracks 19/11 craft 117/4, 136/1, 136/12 creates 51/14 critical 201/4 Cross 3/6, 3/7, 12/21, 42/6, 46/21, 46/22, 60/21, 65/2, 66/24, 70/17, 130/10, 139/6, 208/9, 211/5 cross-connect 51/17, 51/21, 52/8, 53/14, 54/1, 56/23, 56/24, 58/7, 58/21, 61/1, 62/20, 63/2, 67/7, 93/4, 94/3, 105/21, 106/13, 107/3, 112/3, 112/25, 119/11, 124/24, 125/3, 126/13, 129/19, 131/21, 135/17, 135/18, 189/16 cross-connecting 93/8 cross-connects 52/20, 63/10, 63/24 CSR 1/22, 1/23 CSX 53/14 cumulative 13/11, 14/9 Currently 55/21, 55/22 customer 25/6, 25/12, 26/1, 26/15, 46/2, 51/25, 52/17, 52/24, 53/11, 58/6, 60/19, 66/10, 67/15, 67/16, 52/17, 52/24, 53/11, 56/3, 66/15, 66/16, 67/23, 68/15, 66/16, 69/1, 69/6, 93/10, 94/4, 96/25, 100/11, 100/16, 100/19, 101/13, 101/20, 101/21, 102/2, 102/4, 102/10, 103/8, 103/14, 105/22, 107/24, 108/4, 108/6, 108/14, 108/18, 109/1, 100/16, 100/14, 105/14, 105/14, 105/14, 103/14, 105/14, 105/14, 103/14, 105/14, 103/14, 105/14, 103/14, 105/14, 103/14 103/22, 10//2-, 103/2, 103/0, 103/0, 103/1, 103/12, 109/10, 109/14, 110/9, 111/9, 111/13, 112/14, 112/24, 115/15, 118/14, 120/4, 121/10, 122/4, 122/12, 122/24, 121/10, 122/4, 121/10, 122/4, 121/10, 122/4, 121/10, 122/4, 121/10, 122/4, 121/10, 122/4, 121/10, 122/4, 121/10, 122/4, 122/12, 122/24, 121/10, 122/4, 121/10, 120/4, 121/10, 120/4, 121/10, 120/4, 121/10, 120/4, 121/10, 120/4, 121/10, 120/4, 121/10, 120/4, 121/10, 120/4, 1 126/17, 127/7, 129/21, 136/3, 137/21, 138/6, 141/8, 141/21, 141/23, 190/6, 190/16, 190/21, 190/25, 192/16, 193/2, 195/19, 195/23, 198/1 customer's 60/9, 61/15, 62/7, 65/23, 67/20, 94/17, 106/5, 106/11, 107/17, 117/10, 117/12, 120/7, 120/24, 122/7, 124/10, 131/21, 134/20, 135/7, 188/22, 192/20 customers 24/6, 25/24, 26/2, 52/13, 54/9, 55/22, 63/14, 109/5, 118/10, 132/9, 143/19, 188/4, 193/2, 193/4, 193/6 customers' 63/4 cut 12/15 D damage 136/20 damaged 142/1

dangling 66/15 database 25/24, 26/4, 26/5, 26/8, 26/12, 26/17, 138/1 DATE 1/17, 137/23, 190/11 day 24/7, 43/22, 67/2 DDC-2 4/16, 8/10 deal 64/13, 207/12 DEASON 1/15, 5/3, 5/11, 6/4, 6/12, 6/16, 7/3, 7/7, DEASU(1 115, 5/5, 5/11, 6/4, 6/12, 6/16, 7/3, 7/7, 7/12, 7/18, 7/24, 8/4, 8/8, 8/12, 8/16, 8/21, 8/25, 9/2, 9/17, 9/22, 10/13, 10/14, 11/20, 12/19, 14/10, 15/20, 16/17, 16/20, 16/24, 17/5, 17/6, 17/18, 17/21, 17/24, 18/2, 18/5, 18/7, 18/9, 18/18, 18/25, 19/3, 19/12, 19/17, 19/20, 19/23, 20/11, 20/20, 20/24, 21/2, 21/5, 19/17, 19/25, 19/25, 20/11, 20/20, 20/24, 21/2, 21/3, 21/9, 21/12, 21/16, 21/20, 27/17, 27/19, 42/2, 42/2, 42/5, 42/8, 42/10, 42/12, 43/6, 43/18, 43/25, 44/4, 48/20, 48/23, 49/2, 49/2, 49/7, 49/10, 54/24, 55/3, 55/19, 56/2, 56/8, 56/13, 56/18, 56/25, 57/5, 57/14, 57/21, 58/23, 61/16, 62/2, 64/25, 65/7, 65/13, 69/18, 69/23, 70/3, 70/6, 70/8, 70/11, 93/1, 101/12, 102/7, 102/21, 103/14, 103/23, 108/19, 109/20, 110/5, 110/16, 110/24, 111/19, 123/6, 123/12, 123/21, 130/7, 131/13, 136/11, 136/16, 137/3, 137/10, 139/14, 139/20, 140/12, 140/17, 143/24, 144/2, 144/7, 145/1, 145/8, 146/12, 146/15, 146/17, 147/3, 196/3, 198/9, 200/10, 201/7, 201/22, 208/7, 208/12, 211/10 debated 26/25 decide 126/18 decided 106/4 decision 27/2, 27/4 Declaratory 42/25 defective 111/14, 193/17, 200/23 defer 126/3

deferral 18/13 Define 110/20 defined 96/16, 104/17, 104/21, 105/1, 110/15, 187/24 degrading 193/25 deliver 24/25, 48/13, 121/2, 132/13 delivering 23/25, 24/5 demarc 207/7 demarcation 67/14, 68/3, 93/9, 106/5, 106/11, 108/16, 109/13, 109/25, 112/1, 114/18, 122/12, 122/24, 131/22, 132/3, 133/3, 133/8, 133/18, 133/19, 141/6, 192/10, 192/14, 192/21, 207/6, 207/8, 210/2, 210/5, 210/13, 210/23 demo 11/14, 11/18, 54/18, 62/19, 208/11 demonstrates 194/11 demonstrating 54/18, 65/17 demonstration 10/2, 10/11, 10/21, 11/7, 11/9, 11/13, 11/16, 12/4, 12/20, 14/11, 14/24, 15/5, 15/16, 15/24, 16/1, 16/2, 16/4, 16/9, 16/12, 16/13, 17/12, 17/17, 57/7, 58/25, 126/15, 134/1, 194/17, 208/10 demonstrations 194/4 demonstrative 119/23 Denver 5/20, 50/8 denying 193/19 Department 50/18 dependent 200/15 depends 46/23, 46/25 depose 11/11, 12/2 Deposition 4/16, 4/17, 4/19, 4/20, 8/11, 8/14, 8/19, 8/23, 12/5, 15/4, 127/22, 127/23, 139/11 depositions 9/4, 11/25, 12/1 describe 14/16, 22/12, 25/19, 49/25, 131/25, 136/13, 194/23 described 62/1, 98/14, 128/15, 189/24 designate 95/5 designated 59/24 designation 95/12 detail 24/11, 26/21 detaii 24/11, 26/21 determine 26/17, 43/9, 60/15, 60/17, 96/9, 111/11, 130/24, 192/14, 192/15, 204/15, 206/20 determining 27/14, 134/18, 192/19 device 53/2, 58/24, 102/14, 104/5, 104/19, 104/20, 104/22, 105/5, 105/11, 106/19, 110/8, 111/15, 114/12, 118/12, 188/24, 190/8, 190/13, 190/18, 190/20, 192/1, 195/12, 195/13, 195/22, 197/17, 204/22, 204/23, 205/8, 207/8, 207/10, 207/16, 207/16, 209/2 207/8, 207/10, 207/16, 207/25, 209/2 devices 196/25 DICK 2/9 difficult 25/6, 207/2, 209/10 difficulty 64/20, 99/22, 99/25, 139/21, 207/13, 209/7 digital 55/9 Direct 3/3, 3/4, 3/5, 3/6, 3/10, 4/21, 10/19, 13/1, 14/14, 14/23, 16/15, 22/5, 49/8, 49/16, 50/23, 51/8, 53/7, 54/11, 70/10, 70/11, 70/13, 109/24, 130/4, 139/1, 139/8, 145/14, 145/24, 146/8, 146/13, 146/20, 147/1, 189/6, 199/20 Director 145/21 directory 138/2, 138/4 disables 64/11 disadvantage 53/18, 54/6 disagree 27/7 discard 66/8 disconnect 64/4, 126/19, 128/18, 198/1 disconnected 66/11, 124/2 disconnecting 52/15 discretion 15/25 discuss 25/16, 26/21, 51/9 discussed 190/14 discussing 116/13 discussion 10/4 discussions 24/14 dispatch 191/4, 198/12, 201/9 dispatched 190/5 dispatched 190/5 dispatching 190/10, 192/7 disrupt 188/2, 193/5 disruption 135/21, 189/1, 189/4, 193/21 dissatisfied 141/24 distance 45/4 distribution 51/18, 51/20, 51/23, 55/13, 55/17, 55/18, 60/23, 61/1, 63/5, 66/12, 68/8, 68/22, 98/11, 107/1, 113/1, 113/18, 126/20, 126/21 disturbed 135/5 disturbing 134/25 Division 2/13 DOCKET 1/3, 5/6, 19/8, 22/24, 50/25 documentation 61/5, 61/9 documents 4/9, 4/11, 4/15, 6/7, 7/17, 7/23, 8/7, 128/3, 128/11 doesn't 47/22, 105/12, 139/12, 139/21, 203/19, 204/17, 206/6, 210/7 dollars 205/5 domestic 50/14 drawbacks 25/17 dress 58/10, 58/18

Drive 2/9, 5/22, 5/25, 49/23 dull 58/13 during 10/1, 10/3	extend 188/21, 189/17 extends 189/18, 195/25	GRAHAM 2/7, 5/17 grant 19/7, 19/18 granted 18/3, 19/21
dwelling 24/21, 55/20, 67/10, 133/3, 133/9, 187/8		greater 26/21, 191/8
	face 58/14	green 57/16, 207/20, 207/21, 208/2 GREG 3/5, 16/17, 49/12, 49/20
PA11 130/2	faced 61/25, 96/13	Gregory
E911 138/2 case 10/23, 14/19	faceplate 209/11 facilities 24/25 51/23 55/13 55/17 55/18 55/22	ground 66/20, 104/19 grounding 105/11
easier 204/14	55/24, 60/23, 63/5, 64/16, 64/20, 66/13, 67/15, 68/4,	Group 22/16, 22/17, 23/20, 63/8, 113/11
casily 60/12, 192/23, 197/5 Easley 1/19	68/8, 68/22, 68/23, 69/5, 105/19, 105/20, 107/1,	guess 11/15, 12/13, 42/24, 47/17, 69/24, 110/16, 123/13, 125/10, 125/15, 125/21
easy 114/13	126/20, 126/21, 128/18, 132/7, 135/12, 141/25, 142/8.	guiding 203/16
edge 58/13, 58/14	143/11, 187/12, 189/7, 190/17, 191/2, 195/11, 195/16,	guy 115/22, 117/20
effect 13/5, 46/14, 106/19, 111/25, 122/13, 132/8	facilities-based 24/1, 54/3, 136/5, 138/19	Suga 110/4
effort 25/3, 25/7	facility 53/14, 56/10, 59/3, 61/2, 67/11, 67/20,	I
eight 22/20 either/or 190/17	135/17, 135/19, 201/24 fact 17/11, 17/13, 26/14, 47/19, 59/8, 60/19, 61/14	half 10/12
elect 121/6, 121/15, 125/23, 191/3, 191/11	61/23, 64/19, 65/10, 101/2, 104/24, 108/1, 110/1,	hand 21/18, 48/8, 53/24
electrical 58/18, 100/20, 113/2, 115/13 electrically 53/15, 95/21, 121/14	121/12, 133/14, 138/17, 191/15, 207/4 facta 112/13	handle 10/7 handling 111/16
element 26/9, 26/18, 53/1, 187/19	factual 20/2, 20/13	happy 107/19, 128/3
elements 43/16, 125/25, 187/11, 187/16 eliminate 191/23	fair 14/5, 63/20, 63/21, 139/18 family 104/21	hard 14/16
eliminates 54/5, 54/6	fashion 22/13, 51/5, 58/16, 58/20, 121/3, 132/1,	hazard 60/25, 66/19, 137/2
emergency 50/21, 138/3	196/17 ECC 27/1 46/16 47/2 47/18 50/21 50/2 187/10	head 115/18
employed 145/20	187/17, $187/24$ , $188/5$	headset 197/18 heart 188/8
employee 138/10	FCC's 42/24, 187/10, 207/5	help 10/22, 11/13, 48/1, 60/1, 194/13, 199/12, 204/17
employment 50/1, 145/17 end 46/15, 46/17, 47/19, 52/1, 57/24, 58/3, 60/8.	feasible 187/13, 187/19, 187/23 feature 104/24, 104/25, 142/24, 142/25	high 23/25 higher 191/24
60/25, 64/16, 64/19, 64/22, 66/23, 68/4, 96/10, 98/11,	features 142/7, 142/11, 142/15, 142/18	hold 16/3
106/19, 108/10, 110/13, 111/2, 111/24, 114/17, 114/22, 114/24, 115/21, 141/13, 199/11, 199/13, 210/19	federal 192/12 Fold 25/01 51/14	holding 27/2
end-user 188/4, 190/6, 190/16, 190/21, 190/24,	fifth 60/20	honestly 129/7
192/16, 193/2, 193/6, 195/19, 195/23	figure 115/20, 116/1	Honor 7/6, 19/15, 128/22
engineering 50/13, 101/1, 106/2, 108/17, 114/17 engineering 50/13, 50/15, 50/18	filed $18/20$ , $19/10$ , $131/3$ , $131/10$ , $187/5$ find $96/10$ , $112/15$ , $207/3$ , $209/17$	hook 112/16 house 55/16, 205/15, 206/7
Englewood 2/10, 5/23, 6/1, 22/11, 49/23	fine 20/23, 54/24, 56/18, 200/3	housed 143/11, 189/10
enjoy 132/16 enjoys 138/6	finished 100/17 finite 200/14 200/25 201/1 201/3	hurry 42/15 hypothetical 127/22
ensure 197/12	firm 5/17	in poinciaan in in an
ensuring 24/4 entered 27/18 70/10 125/12 144/1	first-time 52/4 five 11/19 12/15 50/4 146/20 201/23 207/16	
entitled 192/24	flexibility 143/18, 190/20, 204/10	ι Γ 60/2 98/14
entity 134/13	flips 138/14 Floor 2/10 104/16	ID 4/2, 25/23
entry 59/16, 59/18, 59/21, 111/3, 192/20, 210/13,	FLORIDA 1/1, 1/4, 1/21, 2/8, 2/11, 2/12, 2/14, 5/7,	idea 10/4, 10/24 ideal 63/24
210/24 agual 54/2 54/4	5/18, 6/2, 22/2, 22/18, 24/1, 49/13, 127/13, 127/18, 128/4 128/42, 129/20, 122/24, 128/12, 120/20, 120/27	identical 187/11, 188/18
equally 192/23	141/9, 141/23, 189/25, 207/5, 210/1, 210/9, 210/14	identification 6/20, 7/9, 7/14, 7/20, 8/1, 8/5, 8/9,
equipment 93/13, 93/20, 93/21, 93/24, 100/2, 107/2,	flow 110/20	identified 7/4, 7/8, 7/25, 9/18, 44/2, 70/14, 70/16,
Esplanade 1/20	focused 24/9, 138/24 follow 13/25, 24/10, 118/5, 140/15, 207/5	104/23, 187/20 identify 6/17 7/12 86/2 60/21 00/2 112/22 117/22
establish 121/2, 122/6, 132/6, 137/1, 143/19	follow-up 104/4	identifying 9/5, 60/20
established 114/22, 122/15 establishes 133/18	followed 16/15, 59/7 follows 22/4, 49/15, 145/13	ignores 191/15
establishing 66/13	footing 54/4	ILEC 55/12, 132/16 ILECs 27/3
estimate 138/21, 138/23, 139/2 event 101/5 111/8	force 203/17 forces 53/19	illustrative 120/1
evidence 6/21, 9/24, 18/15, 144/6	FORDHAM 2/12, 6/3	imagine 203/20, 206/10 immediate 191/13
exam 65/2 Examination 3/3 3/6 3/6 3/7 3/8 3/10 32/5	forgo 16/2 form 14/16 25/9 50/17 127/7 197/5 197/20	implies 26/9
49/16, 66/24, 70/17, 130/10, 131/16, 140/22, 145/14,	187/21, 190/1	imposed 54/6 improperty 136/21
187/24, 211/5	formal 137/8 Fortune talk 24/12	in-use 193/16
excessive 26/13	found 104/20, 128/4, 187/23	incapable 188/9
exchange 24/1, 44/18, 47/16, 51/15	four 11/18, 12/15, 23/22, 97/22, 205/2, 205/9	inconclusive 114/15
Excuse 123/6, 130/9, 139/4	frame 95/11	Inconvenience 54/9
excused 49/3, 49/4	frames 60/13	incorrectly 60/18
Exhibit 6/17, 6/20, 7/8, 7/9, 7/13, 7/14, 7/18, 7/20,	front 130/18	increase 53/22 incumbent 57/15
7/25, 8/1, 8/4, 8/5, 8/8, 8/9, 8/12, 8/13, 8/17, 8/18,	function 62/5, 191/14	incurring 191/22
8/21, 8/22, 8/23, 9/1, 38/25, 6//4, 70/14, 70/16, 14//3, 147/4, 194/5	functionally 188/18 future 191/24	INDEX 3/1, 50/20
EXHIBITS 4/1, 4/21, 4/22, 6/23, 9/16, 9/18, 9/23,		indicated 15/21, 42/19, 107/16, 115/1, 145/5, 210/1
9/24, 42/3, 54/10, 54/14, 70/9, 70/13, 70/14, 70/17, 70/19, 143/24, 143/25, 144/2, 144/3, 144/6, 146/20,	G	indicates 14/24
146/23, 146/25	Gadsden 2/8, 5/18	indication 18/13
exist 55/22, 55/24, 62/7, 100/8, 104/16 existence 61/23	gain 56/9, 56/14	industry 22/14, 22/20, 22/21, 50/2
existing 103/3, 110/4, 112/14, 114/2, 115/1, 115/8,	garuch 0//19, 188/13, 188/19, 188/23, 189/1, 189/8, 189/10, 189/13, 209/20	information 26/1, 26/3, 104/18, 127/24, 130/24
115/10, 121/13, 206/3 expand 14/11, 15/21, 16/5	GARÝ 3/3, 22/1, 22/10, 23/17	inherent 189/4
expect 9/10	GD-10 4/17, 8/14 generate 96/22	Inserted 3/4, 3/6, 3/7, 3/10, 3/11, 27/20, 69/25, 70/12, 146/14, 146/18, 211/11
expediency 9/15 expense 110/12, 114/12, 201/18	gentleman 42/18	inspecting 53/9
experience 22/13, 22/19, 25/12, 50/2, 50/3, 132/15,	genuy 58/1 Georgia 2/4, 5/15, 133/2, 133/5, 133/9, 133/13.	Install 51/16, 53/3, 56/4, 110/17, 190/8, 190/12, 192/5, 200/17, 201/17, 204/14, 204/22, 204/23
135/23, 135/25, 136/23, 137/14 expressed 191/10, 191/25	140/24, 141/2, 145/20	installation 25/6
expression 59/18	UL-2 4/19, 8/19	instanco 60/4, 142/19, 191/16, 191/17, 192/2, 195/22,

201/15, 202/1, 203/10, 208/17 installing 56/22, 207/17, 208/16 installs 189/15, 191/19 instructions 15/19, 207/15 instrument 103/16, 111/11, 111/15 instruments 97/15, 97/25, 103/11, 134/20 insulated 58/21 insulation 58/17 integrity 189/22 intellectual 50/16 intent 15/21, 16/11 intention 13/24, 137/18 intentional 188/25 intentionally 188/1, 193/4, 196/23 interaction 140/3 intercon 1/5 interconnect 51/20, 110/1, 127/13, 141/11 interconnection 5/9, 125/12, 126/6, 145/22, 187/14 interconnects 51/18 interest 9/14 interexchange 43/24 interface 53/2, 104/20, 104/22, 190/8, 190/13, 192/1, 207/8, 207/9, 207/15, 207/25 interior 189/1 intermediate 54/1, 63/3, 63/6, 63/12, 63/15, 63/19, 112/5, 113/1, 113/10, 113/16, 123/19, 123/23 Internet 26/24, 48/4, 48/9, 203/7 interpositioned 110/12 interpretation 61/18 interrogatories 4/4, 4/6, 4/13, 7/2, 7/11, 8/3 Interrogatory 130/14, 130/20 interrupt 55/19, 60/17, 104/14, 132/20, 198/9 interstate 27/3, 46/16, 46/22, 47/7, 47/11, 47/15, 47/19, 47/20, 47/23 intervening 125/15 invented 195/5 inventory 193/16 Inverness 2/9, 5/22, 5/25, 49/22 invite 16/8, 57/3 inviting 139/6 ISP 26/22, 27/11, 42/25, 43/11, 43/19, 44/13, 44/16, 45/9, 45/25, 46/15, 46/17, 46/25, 47/4, 47/7, 47/19, 48/2 ISP's 46/7, 48/3 ISP-bound 24/17 ISPs 27/2, 27/5 issue 18/13, 20/14, 20/16, 20/18, 25/22, 26/21, 26/23, 42/20, 44/6, 60/2, 105/4, 116/14, 138/9, 196/12, 198/11, 204/13, 204/18, 204/24, 210/8, 210/9, 210/17, 210/21, 210/22, 210/25 issued 27/1 issues 5/9, 10/15, 13/18, 18/14, 20/1, 20/4, 21/7, 23/6, 24/10, 24/15, 26/6, 211/1, 211/3 item 6/22, 7/1, 9/25, 10/9, 26/22, 110/12 items 6/11 J jack 53/4, 53/8, 53/9, 53/10, 53/13, 95/18, 96/18, 96/20, 96/21, 97/1, 97/22, 99/4, 99/9, 99/11, 103/7, 103/9, 104/25, 111/4, 111/25, 114/9, 114/14, 117/23, 118/11, 121/6, 134/18, 191/1, 192/3, 192/10, 192/15, 195/21, 197/17, 205/8, 205/13, 205/14, 205/16, 205/25, 206/3, 206/10, 206/12, 206/20, 207/3, 207/10, 207/13, 207/18, 208/18, 209/8, 209/11, 209/17 jacks 53/6, 53/16, 96/13, 96/16, 96/22, 97/12, 97/16, 97/21, 98/1, 98/15, 99/7, 99/16, 100/15, 100/20, 101/16, 103/3, 111/23, 115/12, 115/15, 190/23, 195/18, 195/19, 204/20 Jacksonville 132/24 JACOBS 1/16, 10/5, 19/3, 19/6, 20/11, 20/12, 42/18, 42/22, 44/12, 44/15, 45/1, 45/3, 45/8, 45/16, 48/1, 42/22, 44/12, 44/15, 45/1, 45/3, 45/8, 45/16, 48/1, 48/7, 48/16, 48/19, 57/6, 60/1, 60/14, 61/3, 61/12, 63/13, 64/1, 64/8, 64/24, 69/18, 98/4, 98/13, 98/18, 98/24, 99/2, 99/12, 99/15, 99/20, 100/7, 100/22, 100/25, 101/7, 101/11, 102/9, 112/2, 112/12, 112/21, 113/4, 113/8, 113/12, 113/19, 113/23, 114/4, 114/20, 115/7, 115/16, 115/19, 115/24, 116/7, 116/12, 116/17, 116/20, 116/24, 117/6, 117/12, 117/16, 117/19, 117/25, 118/4, 142/6, 142/16, 143/5, 143/8, 143/20, 143/23, 199/2, 199/10, 199/24, 202/15, 202/20, 203/14, 203/24, 208/23 JM-3 4/20, 8/23 job 22/12 JOY 1/23 July 1/17, 130/13 jumper 51/21, 52/19, 57/10, 57/25, 58/15, 60/22, 62/20, 64/17, 66/8, 66/10, 105/21, 113/18 jumpers 64/18, 66/22 junction 188/20 junctures 138/8

jurisdiction 134/10

jurisdictionally 27/3 jurisdictions 59/7, 59/12, 59/19

K K-A-R-R-E 5/21 K-E-E-S-E-N 5/25 KARRE 2/9, 5/21 KEESEN 2/9, 5/24 KEITH 3/9, 145/4, 145/10, 145/18, 187/4 KELLY 1/23 KIMBERLY 1/22 kitchen 101/16, 101/22, 196/5, 196/6 knowledge 130/4, 138/19, 138/25, 139/1, 139/8 known 53/1, 94/21, 111/10 T. labeled 53/7, 55/9, 55/10, 146/20, 190/23, 195/20, 205/19 labeling 197/2 labels 197/5 LANE 3/3, 4/19, 8/20, 21/23, 22/1, 22/10, 23/17, 42/21, 43/3, 43/14, 43/21, 44/3, 44/7, 44/14, 44/17, 44/22, 44/24, 45/2, 45/6, 45/10, 45/17, 46/4, 46/9, 46/20, 47/8, 47/13, 47/24, 48/6, 48/14, 48/18, 49/4 lapel 55/1 large 188/20 later 104/22, 123/23, 191/9 latitude 15/25, 18/3 law 5/17, 129/20, 133/9, 133/18 laying 66/20 leading 193/20 leads 197/10 leakage 50/20 leave 14/3, 27/9, 64/18, 66/15, 69/14, 126/13 LEC 26/23, 46/11, 57/15 led 210/8 LEE 2/12, 6/3 left 55/8, 56/5, 58/2, 65/22, 124/2 Legal 2/13, 18/14, 20/2 legible 61/11 length 12/20, 26/25 LEON 1/16 level 24/9, 25/20, 26/12, 48/10, 51/14 liberty 57/1 lifting 58/1 light 17/13 Limit 140/19, 200/25 limitation 200/11, 201/10, 201/12, 208/24 limited 99/13, 201/11, 201/13 line 46/1, 46/3, 46/4, 47/12, 48/2, 60/9, 64/20, 95/18, 96/20, 97/2, 97/7, 97/8, 97/12, 97/13, 97/14, 97/15, 97/21, 97/23, 97/24, 97/25, 99/9, 99/11, 99/16, 99/17, 5/141, 5/143, 5/144, 5/125, 59/7, 99/11, 99/16, 99/17, 100/4, 100/10, 100/11, 100/15, 100/18, 100/20, 100/24, 101/3, 101/15, 101/19, 101/24, 102/11, 102/22, 102/23, 102/24, 103/1, 103/5, 103/10, 103/11, 103/12, 111/15, 111/16, 112/24, 114/6, 115/2, 115/15, 117/8, 117/13, 117/14, 112/14, 114/6, 115/2, 115/15, 117/8, 117/13, 117/14, 112/14, 115/14, 15/14, 15/14, 15/14, 15/1 117/14, 125/17, 138/11, 139/25, 142/19, 190/21, 190/22, 191/21, 196/4, 203/6, 205/6, 205/10, 205/11, 205/12, 205/15, 205/19, 205/22, 205/23, 205/25, 206/2, 206/6, 206/13, 210/4, 210/23 lines 46/8, 98/16, 99/13, 101/25, 102/6, 198/2, 200/21, 202/18, 204/15, 205/22, 206/8, 208/25 link 113/2 List 4/3, 6/11, 6/17, 6/23, 7/1, 7/6, 9/14, 16/25, 145/5 listen 197/19 listing 6/9 liter 205/17 little 11/23, 12/24, 50/7, 58/12, 62/19, 67/2, 100/15, 111/5, 111/10, 119/24, 126/14, 135/3, 194/25, 197/17, 205/8, 205/9, 205/12, 205/25, 207/14 live 11/14, 12/7, 12/13, 12/17, 12/23, 13/5, 13/10, 13/15, 14/8, 16/1, 17/14, 67/12 lives 68/1, 108/14 living 53/1, 53/4, 53/16, 54/8, 62/8, 94/19, 95/19, 98/23, 102/19, 111/3, 122/7, 132/4, 132/8 local 24/1, 25/3, 25/21, 26/19, 27/6, 27/12, 27/14, 43/10, 43/11, 43/23, 44/18, 45/1, 45/12, 45/14, 45/15, 46/1, 46/4, 46/19, 46/23, 47/4, 47/6, 47/16, 48/10, 51/15, 193/3 locate 53/4, 53/10, 62/9, 111/24, 114/16, 192/3, 209/5 located 53/13, 57/17, 60/12, 107/2, 111/20, 189/7, 207/6 locating 192/21, 209/7 location 64/17, 100/13, 102/18, 112/1, 121/20, 121/24, 132/4, 142/10, 208/18, 209/20 locations 133/12 lockable 196/17 loop 190/17, 191/2, 195/16, 195/21, 195/24, 196/1, 196/2, 196/10 loose 64/18, 66/20 lost 202/24 lug 58/15

M Maher 4/20, 8/24 maintained 193/17 maintaining 197/7 maintenance 200/22 majority 47/5, 114/3, 117/3 management 188/6 management 188/10 managing 188/10 manner 14/19, 23/9, 24/5, 189/21 manufactured 190/19 marked 6/20, 7/9, 7/14, 7/20, 8/1, 8/5, 8/9, 8/13, 8/18, 8/22, 9/1, 70/19, 96/14, 147/1, 147/4, 197/5 8/18, 0/44, 71, 72, market 24/23 marketed 137/20 marketplace 24/2 Markets 22/16, 22/17, 23/20 markings 60/10, 60/11, 61/9 Maroon 22/11 Massachusetts 133/2, 133/10, 133/14, 133/16, 133/22, 141/5, 141/6, 141/11, 141/18 match 207/24 material 12/12 Matter 1/3, 20/25, 21/3, 62/8, 63/18, 97/21, 124/1, 131/10, 135/4, 140/13, 140/25, 205/17, 208/1, 209/10 matters 6/5, 10/1, 10/10, 18/6, 18/8, 18/10, 18/19, MDU 25/4, 52/10, 52/13, 55/10, 56/2, 56/11, 102/6, 110/4, 112/14, 117/8, 132/4, 137/21, 140/3, 143/11, 200/12 MDUs 24/21, 24/25, 25/16, 51/19, 138/25, 187/8 measure 43/12, 43/18 measured 43/15 measures 48/11 mechanics 15/18 mechanism 127/8 MediaOne 1/4, 2/11, 5/7, 5/16, 5/19, 5/22, 6/15, 9/9, 9/21, 10/2, 10/11, 10/16, 12/5, 21/22, 22/2, 23/24, 24/24, 25/23, 26/1, 49/5, 49/13, 49/22, 50/1, 50/8, 50/9, 51/12, 51/19, 51/25, 52/2, 54/2, 55/9, 58/8, 62/21, 63/25, 66/13, 68/22, 69/8, 93/8, 93/12, 93/19, 94/8, 96/25, 102/11, 104/8, 104/18, 105/18, 105/20, 106/12, 106/14, 109/10, 109/12, 114/10, 119/1, 120/6, 106/12, 106/14, 109/10, 109/12, 114/10, 119/1, 120/6, 124/17, 126/18, 127/1, 127/4, 129/1, 129/17, 130/15, 130/21, 130/23, 131/21, 132/2, 132/6, 134/22, 135/16, 136/5, 137/17, 137/24, 138/9, 138/13, 138/22, 145/5, 187/6, 187/22, 189/6, 190/6, 190/10, 190/11, 190/14, 187/6, 187/22, 189/6, 1900, 1900, 1900, 1900, 1900, 1900, 1900, 1900, 1900, 1900, 1900, 1900, 1910, 19 206/12, 206/18, 210/10 MediaOne's 4/12, 4/14, 8/2, 8/6, 8/15, 8/20, 8/24, 25/19, 51/12, 53/22, 53/24, 54/5, 55/16, 64/22, 96/19, 120/19 97/14, 100/21, 125/1, 126/21, 127/12, 130/13, 130/19, 137/17, 187/24, 187/25, 188/7, 190/3, 190/9, 192/2, 192/6, 192/22, 193/4, 193/10, 194/5, 195/24, 205/20, 205/24 mention 18/12, 20/9 mentioned 138/4, 209/18 mess 129/19 messing 108/6 met 67/2 method 189/9, 189/12 microphone 22/8, 54/25, 194/19 middle 55/10, 58/25, 94/23, 95/22, 112/17, 141/12, 205/10 mike 55/2, 206/25 MILNER 3/9, 145/4, 145/10, 145/18, 187/4, 194/15, 194/17, 194/20, 198/15, 199/5, 199/14, 200/1, 200/13, 201/13, 202/5, 202/19, 203/1, 203/18, 204/1, 204/16, 204/19, 206/9, 206/17, 206/22, 208/20, 209/2, 209/9, 209/18, 210/7, 210/25, 211/3 minimizes 54/8 minimum 11/5, 59/16, 59/18, 59/19, 59/21, 111/3, 187/19, 210/13, 210/24 Minnesota 22/18 minute 104/15, 132/20, 144/8 minutes 10/10, 11/19, 12/10, 12/12, 12/14, 12/15, 12/17, 13/2, 17/15 miscommunication 99/6 misled 210/9 missing 45/19 mistake 96/18 mistaken 15/1, 107/10 misunderstood 133/7 mixing 119/20 mode 124/2 modei 10/21, 11/4, 11/12, 194/22 modifications 23/12, 143/13 modified 105/1 modify 121/18, 142/22 modular 190/25, 195/14, 196/1
moment 9/11, 69/23, 125/5, 205/14 month 26/15, 52/6, 52/23, 121/9, 124/15 monthly 199/18 Moody 2/7, 5/17 morning 10/22, 11/17, 54/18, 187/4, 208/9 mounting 111/6 move 6/10, 9/15, 14/17, 60/5, 70/16, 139/24, 194/14, 194/16, 198/21, 198/24 moved 14/4, 96/20, 210/5 Moves 206/25 MPOE 59/24, 133/14, 133/23, 133/25, 210/19 MPOP 59/19 Mr. Beveridge 11/12, 17/8, 25/16, 42/21, 49/18, 54/21, 67/1, 69/20, 93/3, 104/4, 130/12, 131/18, 137/16, 139/7, 140/24, 194/21, 197/11 Mr. Carver 3/6, 3/8, 5/13, 6/14, 9/8, 9/20, 11/8, 11/11, 11/20, 11/21, 12/22, 13/24, 15/2, 16/7, 17/5, 17/7, 17/20, 18/4, 18/9, 18/11, 19/16, 19/25, 20/6, 20/20, 20/21, 21/8, 42/8, 42/9, 64/25, 65/10, 66/25, 69/20, 70/4, 70/5, 93/1, 93/2, 93/3, 104/2, 104/3, 105/16, 107/7, 107/11, 107/12, 107/18, 107/22, 108/12, 108/19, 108/21, 108/25, 109/8, 109/11, 118/22, 119/22, 119/25, 120/22, 121/4, 122/1, 124/7, 125/5, 126/11, 130/5, 139/4, 140/12, 140/21, 140/23 Mr. Carver's 139/16 Mr. Chairman 14/21, 15/14 Mr. Fordham 3/7, 5/5, 6/2, 6/5, 6/6, 6/22, 7/5, 7/10, 7/15, 7/21, 8/2, 8/6, 8/10, 8/14, 8/19, 8/23, 9/10, 9/25, 18/1, 18/7, 19/14, 21/11, 21/15, 42/11, 130/8, 130/11 Mr. Gary 21/23 Mr. Graham 3/3, 3/5, 3/8, 5/16, 6/15, 9/9, 9/21, 10/13, 10/14, 14/10, 14/13, 15/20, 16/14, 16/19, 16/22, 17/3, 17/23, 18/18, 18/20, 19/2, 19/9, 19/19, 19/22, 20/23, 21/1, 21/4, 21/21, 21/22, 22/6, 27/16, 42/1, 42/4, 42/7, 42/15, 44/9, 45/22, 48/21, 49/1, 49/5, 49/9, 49/17, 54/20, 70/2, 70/8, 70/18, 131/14, 131/17, 132/19, 134/12, 137/16, 139/14, 139/15, 140/1, 143/25, 144/5, 146/16, 208/7 Mr. Greg 10/18, 49/6 MR. KARRE 5/21, 18/22, 208/15, 208/22, 211/6, 211/12 Mr. Lane 17/3, 22/7, 22/8, 49/3, 56/17 Mr. Maher 26/21 Mr. Milner 17/10, 17/19, 69/15, 145/16, 146/13, 146/19, 187/1, 208/10, 209/6, 211/4 Mr. Milner's 147/1 Mrs. Keesen 18/22 MS. KEESEN 5/24 Ms. White 3/10, 145/3, 145/15, 146/12, 146/19, 146/25, 187/1, 194/3, 194/13, 194/18, 208/14, 211/4, 211/8 multi 112/14 multi-pair 55/15 multi-state 45/5 multiple 24/21, 55/20, 67/10, 98/15, 98/20, 98/22, 112/11, 133/3, 133/8, 187/8 N name 22/9, 22/10, 23/17, 25/22, 25/25, 26/2, 49/18, 49/20, 67/1, 145/17, 145/18, 187/4 NANCY 2/2, 5/13 National 22/16, 23/19 nature 15/22, 16/5, 16/9, 18/14, 20/1, 20/4 necessary 13/17, 23/11, 62/3, 111/23, 117/24, 130/24, 132/13, 134/22, 135/8, 143/6

nection 1/6 need 13/20, 20/7, 22/7, 50/15, 52/14, 54/6, 60/16, 93/14, 105/12, 105/25, 106/1, 109/14, 117/16, 119/5, 119/5, 119/16, 120/10, 124/4, 139/24, 140/3, 190/4, 190/25, 191/13, 198/17, 198/20, 199/2, 202/9, 202/13, 203/4, 203/8, 203/10 needed 103/5, 199/11, 208/3 Neither 187/9, 187/17 network 10/17, 14/20, 24/15, 24/19, 24/20, 25/2, 25/15, 25/17, 26/8, 26/18, 42/20, 43/15, 43/16, 46/10, 51/10, 51/19, 53/2, 53/15, 57/12, 60/8, 67/15, 68/14, 68/19, 69/4, 69/10, 94/1, 104/20, 104/22, 106/12, 108/5, 108/7, 108/17, 109/15, 110/13, 110/21, 110/24, 111/2, 111/17, 111/24, 114/17, 115/13, 119/10, 121/7, 121/16, 122/3, 122/8, 122/13, 122/19, 122/25, 123/3, 123/7, 123/9, 123/2, 124/9, 125/3, 125/14, 126/15, 127/6, 127/15, 129/8, 129/19, 130/2, 130/15, 130/21, 131/3, 133/21, 134/1, 134/19, 135/2, 135/11, 136/19, 136/20, 137/19, 141/13, 142/2, 187/17, 187/11, 187/15, 187/6 136/20, 137/19, 141/13, 142/2, 187/7, 187/11, 187/13, 187/18, 187/19, 187/22, 188/7, 188/10, 188/12, 189/5, 189/7, 189/21, 189/23, 190/3, 190/13, 191/5, 191/12, 192/1, 192/25, 193/11, 193/23, 193/25, 196/13, 197/13, 198/20, 198/22, 200/14, 200/24, 200/25, 201/14, 201/25, 202/6, 203/2, 203/8, 203/11, 203/19, 203/22, 207/8, 207/9, 207/15, 207/25, 209/3, 209/12, 210/20 New 22/18, 25/5, 52/17, 52/25, 54/1, 58/4, 61/1,

63/2, 63/12, 65/22, 66/10, 112/25, 113/10, 113/14, 123/19, 135/12, 140/13, 201/24, 202/22, 203/9, 203/13 newer 114/14 NID 53/2, 53/3, 54/2, 95/20, 100/14, 101/5, 102/13, 102/18, 104/6, 104/12, 104/16, 104/18, 104/22, 104/23, 105/1, 105/5, 105/10, 110/7, 110/8, 110/11, 110/16, 110/23, 113/24, 113/25, 114/3, 114/9, 114/12, 115/1, 115/9, 115/11, 116/13, 116/14, 116/15, 116/16, 117/21, 117/23, 118/7, 118/9, 120/7, 121/19, 134/13, 134/14, 134/21, 190/8, 190/16, 192/1, 192/5, 192/11, 195/2, 195/4, 204/7, 208/17 nodding 115/18 nonfacilities-based 139/23, 140/2 nonrecurring 52/22, 63/1, 124/22 nonservice 124/2 normally 57/16 North 2/7, 5/18 Northeast 2/4 notations 197/7 note 189/24, 192/9 notes 125/6 Notice 5/4, 5/6, 14/22, 15/2 notification 137/22 notion 207/2, 207/12 November 23/24 NTW 51/11, 51/23, 51/24, 52/5, 52/7, 52/11, 52/20, 53/5, 53/17, 53/25, 54/4, 55/11, 62/10, 63/11, 95/22, 96/14, 102/19, 125/14, 132/5, 187/7, 189/7, 189/10, 189/17, 190/4, 190/9, 192/6, 193/8, 193/12, 193/16, 199/16, 200/4 NUMBER 4/2, 6/7, 24/12, 25/25, 67/11, 67/12, 101/15, 101/20, 101/22, 101/23, 101/24, 103/17, 113/6, 123/18, 125/17, 127/19, 127/21, 127/24, 128/8, 138/20, 138/21, 196/15, 200/11, 201/10, 201/11, 204/4, 206/1, 208/24 numbered 6/24 numbers 102/1

0

Oak 2/14 object 11/21, 16/8, 139/4 ouject 11/21, 16/8, 139/4 objection 6/18, 9/5, 9/8, 9/13, 9/17, 11/22, 15/11, 17/21, 17/23, 17/25, 18/1, 18/2, 19/12, 19/16, 27/19, 124/17, 124/20, 135/20, 139/24, 140/19, 144/3, 145/6, 146/15, 146/16, 205/20, 208/12, 208/13, 208/14 objections 6/13, 6/14, 6/15 obliged 26/18 observe 57/6, 194/16 obtain 62/7, 118/10, 134/17, 137/5 obtaining 121/16 obtains 134/14 obviate 190/4 occupy 195/11 occurrence 135/24, 136/1 offer 25/13, 26/10, 118/9, 142/20, 143/1 offered 190/7, 192/4 offers 11/6, 189/9 offices 111/6, 189/9 office 5/20, 46/11, 98/12, 197/21 Official 4/3, 6/8, 6/10, 6/17, 6/25, 7/5, 9/16 officially 47/14, 59/24 offload 63/16 older 114/8, 114/15 open 24/15, 66/22 opening 21/13 operate 59/13, 132/21, 134/10 operating 50/16 operation 14/17, 56/22, 57/24, 58/16, 58/18, 128/14 operations 23/19, 50/14, 50/22, 62/11 opinion 47/14, 94/8 opinions 139/13 opportunity 11/11, 12/2, 13/14, 17/11, 24/24, 107/8, 125/10 opposed 95/15, 141/7, 191/8 opposition 135/16 option 10/4, 103/24, 104/1, 105/18, 121/15, 190/7, 192/5, 200/4 options 120/5 order 5/4, 16/25, 17/2, 18/12, 18/23, 19/1, 20/8, 21/14, 42/24, 43/1, 52/10, 52/20, 53/2, 56/21, 63/9, 64/15, 65/16, 111/21, 112/9, 145/2, 145/4, 191/21, 191/23, 193/13, 206/8, 208/8 ordering 191/5 orders 6/7, 52/17 orientation 196/4 original 52/8, 142/17, 143/14 originally 142/17 overblown 207/14 overbuild 132/7, 132/10 overcome 143/12 overcomes 205/19 owned 56/10

owner 59/2, 107/25, 132/16, 210/14, 210/15

ownership 59/14 owns 56/11 P package 104/18, 143/2 Pages 1/11, 12/25, 145/25, 146/1, 197/4 paid 26/23 pair 51/24, 51/25, 52/7, 52/11, 52/23, 52/24, 53/5, 57/10, 58/14, 60/22, 61/15, 62/10, 62/22, 63/9, 65/23, 66/21, 94/5, 94/6, 94/11, 94/15, 94/16, 94/17, 94/19, 94/20, 95/4, 95/6, 95/9, 95/11, 95/14, 95/15, 95/17, 95/25, 96/1, 96/5, 96/11, 96/20, 97/9, 99/4, 99/8, 99/18, 103/2, 111/16, 112/10, 112/23, 113/11, 197/8, 197/14, 197/15, 197/23, 197/24, 198/21, 199/7, 199/15, 199/17, 202/7, 202/8, 202/17, 203/4, 207/21, 208/2, 208/3 pairs 52/1, 52/5, 52/20, 53/25, 54/4, 58/2, 58/10, 62/20, 62/24, 63/4, 63/8, 63/11, 63/12, 94/23, 95/8, 95/21, 98/10, 98/20, 98/22, 99/11, 102/19, 110/2, 113/7, 115/11, 123/18, 189/18, 189/20, 190/4, 190/9, 191/5, 191/11, 191/12, 191/13, 191/19, 192/6, 193/11, 193/12, 199/9, 199/19, 199/21, 200/2, 200/4, 200/17, 200/18, 200/24, 201/11, 201/14, 201/16, 201/19, 202/11, 203/3, 203/10, 209/4 panel 6/9, 6/10, 51/17, 51/22, 52/8, 99/3, 189/16 parallel 132/7, 137/25 pardon 21/1 part 24/22, 61/19, 68/19, 118/20, 119/3, 143/2, 195/7, 195/8, 196/18, 199/25, 207/5 parties 10/6, 13/7, 13/9, 20/15, 44/10 party 14/3, 14/5, 19/13, 25/7, 25/25, 26/3 passes 24/20, 43/16 patent 50/17 patents path 67/23 pay 52/16, 52/22, 53/19, 121/8, 122/13, 122/25, 124/21, 190/11, 191/12, 199/12, 199/20 paying 191/7, 191/8 pays 191/15 Peachtree 2/4, 5/14, 145/19 penetration 59/19 perform 10/2, 11/12, 11/14, 14/17, 53/20, 54/19, 56/21, 61/20, 62/5, 62/11, 117/24 performance 50/21, 138/7, 188/7 performed 53/21, 140/6 performing 14/20, 60/6 performs 114/13 permission 63/23 permissions 107/25, 132/12 permitted 126/2 personnel 130/3 perspective 196/13 Petition 1/4 petitioned 5/8 phase 69/24 Phil 5/14, 67/1 PHILLIP 2/2 phone 205/24, 206/7, 206/11 photographs 11/4 physical 62/22, 64/9, 64/14, 101/1, 112/1, 142/25, 143/4, 143/12, 200/11 physically 94/16, 111/20, 188/17, 201/14 pick 125/24 picture 65/4 piece 93/13, 93/21, 100/2 pins 196/16, 205/9 PLACE 1/19, 47/3, 58/10, 63/6, 64/18, 102/15, 110/7, 110/12, 114/9, 145/17, 210/13 placed 27/11 placement 100/13 places 53/17 placing 102/17 planning 11/23 plant 51/18, 51/20, 55/12, 57/11, 57/15, 98/11, 188/21 playing 25/21, 51/14 plug 98/1, 103/17, 111/7, 111/10, 190/25, 195/24, 196/1, 196/6, 197/16, 197/18, 205/23, 205/24, 206/10, 206/12, 207/17 plugging 107/4, 111/10, 205/17 plus 26/20 point 6/10, 6/24, 9/12, 9/15, 48/3, 48/8, 59/16, 59/18, 59/19, 59/21, 67/8, 67/14, 68/3, 93/9, 93/25, 106/5, 106/11, 107/19, 108/16, 109/13, 111/3, 111/12, 119/14, 122/2, 122/12, 122/24, 131/22, 133/8, 133/19, 133/20, 134/13, 139/5, 141/6, 141/12, 141/16, 143/18, 187/15,

188/20, 192/10, 192/14, 192/20, 192/22, 195/2, 196/11, 188/20, 192/10, 192/14, 192/20, 192/22, 195/2, 196/11, 197/1, 197/10, 197/19, 198/16, 202/10, 202/22, 203/15, 204/3, 204/20, 206/22, 206/23, 206/25, 207/8, 210/2,

points 69/17, 98/15, 99/25, 138/10, 187/13, 187/15,

210/13, 210/24

194/7, 194/24, 207/6, 208/5

policy 20/2, 50/19, 210/12

Pompano 132/24 populating 138/1 portion 69/5, 97/12 portions 188/11 posed 23/8, 51/4 position 11/14, 23/5, 27/8, 50/9, 58/20, 115/25, 129/2, 129/5, 137/9 possibility 135/20, 196/25 possible 10/4, 10/25, 11/2, 64/18, 191/24 potential 64/19, 66/19, 132/9 practice 61/13, 102/6, 192/9, 192/11 pre-wire 190/4 pre-wired 190/12, 191/11, 201/16, 202/2, 205/16 pre-wires 201/10 pre-wiring 201/8 precludes 27/4 prediction 189/4 prefer 65/10 preference 20/21 preferred 64/22 prefers 63/25 prefile 13/8, 13/9 Prefiled 3/4, 3/6, 3/7, 3/10, 3/11, 12/24, 12/25, 13/11, 13/15, 13/25, 14/12, 14/23, 15/22, 16/6, 16/10, 21/7, 22/23, 23/2, 24/12, 42/3, 70/13, 70/15, 145/24, 146/8 prehearing 10/1, 10/6, 11/4, 12/1, 15/3, 17/1, 18/12, 20/8. 21/14 prejudices 13/22 preliminaries 70/4 Preliminary 6/5, 10/1, 18/6, 18/8, 18/10, 18/19, 19/24, 21/9 premise 67/16, 68/5, 93/10, 94/4, 106/5, 106/11, 108/4, 108/8, 108/9, 108/23, 109/1, 109/5, 109/10, 118/25, 120/11, 131/22, 135/7, 141/8, 141/21, 188/22 premises 62/4, 94/17, 105/22, 107/17, 120/24, 132/4, 132/17, 192/21, 207/7, 207/19 preparation 52/4, 52/18 prepare 22/23, 146/19 prepared 9/12, 17/8, 17/13, 18/17, 50/23, 54/10, 145/23 presence 48/3, 200/12 presentation 13/5, 17/9 presenting 20/14 presents 51/12, 57/25, 132/2 president 49/21 presuming 205/12, 205/15 pretend 205/13 pretrial 10/9 pretty 13/13, 15/13, 61/6, 196/5, 196/15, 196/18, 209/19 prevents 200/6 price 24/17, 25/23, 26/11, 26/13, 26/14, 26/20, 93/17, 93/18 priced 130/22 prices 130/15, 130/24 primary 10/15, 203/6 problem 12/18, 12/20, 20/15, 20/17, 20/18, 56/14, 96/13, 97/13, 100/2, 111/14, 123/13, 132/10, 197/6, 210/1 problems 25/20, 51/11, 51/13, 132/1, 141/20, 196/23 procedure 61/17, 196/6 proceed 56/19, 65/7, 128/9 proceeding 27/9, 55/11, 187/5 PROCEEDINGS 1/13 process 25/9, 25/12, 43/13, 53/12, 57/2, 61/19, 61/22, 126/17 procure 103/8 production 4/8, 4/11, 4/15, 7/16, 7/23, 8/7 profit 26/20 proof 50/20 property 50/17, 59/1, 59/4, 59/9, 59/11, 61/4, 193/20, 200/16, 210/14, 210/15 proposal 25/9, 25/18, 25/19, 51/10, 51/12, 52/16 52/25, 53/17, 53/21, 53/24, 54/5, 62/14, 62/17, 63/6, 63/23, 69/8, 69/11, 93/6, 100/12, 101/5, 102/12, 102/17, 102/22, 102/25, 103/1, 105/17, 106/3, 106/10, 108/3, 109/12, 109/22, 109/25, 110/6, 110/10, 110/18, 112/4, 112/19, 114/10, 115/19, 116/5, 116/9, 116/14, 117/20, 117/22, 118/20, 119/4, 119/8, 119/15, 120/5, 120/14, 120/20, 121/12, 121/18, 122/2, 122/22, 122/23, 123/3, 123/17, 124/17, 126/14, 127/12, 128/17, 131/20, 123/3, 123/17, 124/17, 126/17, 126/17, 131/20, 132/1, 133/6, 133/13, 133/15, 134/15, 134/16, 134/22, 135/6, 137/17, 141/3, 187/25, 188/8, 194/4, 200/7, 200/10, 201/6, 203/15 proposals 104/10, 109/24, 122/11 propose 11/17, 113/1 proposed 14/19, 24/16, 26/13, 51/16, 54/1, 93/7, 130/15, 187/22, 189/12, 193/22 proposes 52/3, 104/10, 124/13 proposing 10/23, 127/15 protect 193/1 protecting 188/25

protection 192/25 protective 104/19, 196/16 provide 25/14, 26/2, 26/5, 45/3, 45/4, 47/11, 47/15, 48/2, 50/18, 51/7, 55/21, 56/3, 100/24, 102/4, 102/22, 103/9, 114/11, 132/12, 137/2, 188/20, 189/3 Provider 26/24, 44/18, 46/2, 46/25, 47/4, 47/7, 47/11, 47/20, 195/20 provider's 48/9, 191/2 providers 97/5, 139/23, 190/18, 193/3 provides 24/23, 25/20, 25/23, 25/24, 104/24, 125/13, 189/12. 193/1 provision 51/10, 52/10, 125/22, 188/8, 188/10 provisioning 53/23, 191/9 provisions 52/12, 142/7 proximity 189/13 PSC 26/7 PUBLIC 1/1, 2/12, 6/3, 50/19, 128/3, 128/10 pulled 64/10 punching 58/5, 60/19, 60/20, 65/22, 66/12 purchase 44/13, 44/16, 44/17, 45/13, 45/25, 97/24 purchasing 45/12 purpose 14/11, 14/13, 16/4, 23/4, 53/20, 130/1 purposes 27/6, 43/12, 43/23, 43/24, 67/8, 109/13, 120/1, 128/7 put 14/22, 15/2, 56/14, 56/21, 59/15, 65/17, 102/14, 110/7, 113/13, 118/7, 118/15, 120/25, 197/14, 210/2, 210/20, 210/23, 211/6 putting 12/12, 200/23 Q qualifications 140/7 Qualified 18/21, 48/15 qualify 105/5 quality 23/25, 193/1 query 24/16, 25/23 question 13/23, 14/22, 20/1, 42/24, 43/7, 44/5, 44/8, 45/25, 47/18, 48/15, 55/20, 56/17, 57/14, 58/24, 59/6, 62/14, 65/15, 94/14, 98/2, 101/12, 107/13, 107/20, 108/2, 108/20, 108/22, 108/24, 109/9, 109/11, 116/19, 117/11, 119/21, 119/22, 121/22, 122/5, 122/10, 122/20, 122/21, 125/21, 131/11, 136/11, 137/7, 140/1, 140/5, 142/14, 142/17, 196/3, 202/21, 204/5, 205/6, 209/2, 209/7, 209/25, 210/6 questions 15/23, 23/7, 42/9, 42/11, 42/13, 42/17, 51/3, 65/1, 65/2, 65/4, 65/9, 67/3, 67/9, 69/22, 104/4, 125/7, 130/8, 131/12, 131/15, 140/13, 140/15, 140/18, 146/7, 146/9 quick 42/14 quoting 130/22 R radio 50/5, 205/5 raise 21/17 raised 19/25, 140/13 ranges 200/16 rate 199/18 reaches 47/4, 48/2 read 5/4, 6/8, 13/1, 14/25, 27/18, 146/14 reality 193/18 rearrange 52/19 rearrangements 54/8, 121/17 reason 14/8, 25/1, 61/24, 103/20, 135/10, 141/24 reasonable 25/1, 25/14, 26/20, 189/9, 193/19, 193/24 reasons 189/5, 199/19 rebut 17/11, 17/16 Rebuttal 3/7, 3/11, 4/23, 10/19, 13/1, 16/16, 48/24, 49/8, 50/24, 51/8, 54/11, 70/10, 70/12, 70/15, 125/11, 125/16, 145/25, 146/8, 146/13 recall 23/23 receive 101/18 received 6/21, 9/24, 144/6 recess 144/8, 144/9 reciprocal 27/6, 27/14, 43/22 Recognition 4/3, 6/8, 6/11, 6/17, 7/1, 7/5 recommend 195/10 reconfigure 52/9 reconnect 53/5, 126/21 reconstituted 13/4 record 6/19, 9/6, 9/16, 13/16, 13/20, 14/8, 22/9, 27/18, 44/19, 49/19, 70/1, 70/10, 70/12, 139/17, 144/1, 145/17, 146/14 recordkeeping 197/2 records 60/9, 60/11, 60/21, 61/23, 62/7, 193/15, 193/18 recover 193/14, 199/21, 199/23 Recross 3/8, 140/22 recurring 124/14 red 207/20, 207/21, 208/2 Redirect 3/8, 48/20, 48/21, 131/13, 131/16 redressed 58/6

reduce 191/3, 191/23 reduces 25/13 reducing 52/21, 54/9 reflected 138/3 refused 189/6, 190/11 region 132/24 regular 205/14 regulations 192/12 related 50/6, 93/25 relationship 197/7 relative 134/24 release 112/23, 113/5 reliability 189/5, 189/22, 194/1, 196/13 reliable 61/12 reliant 193/10 relinquish 51/25, 202/8 remaining 24/15, 58/21, 97/23, 110/14, 135/13, 139/2 remember 99/23 remove 56/23, 57/24, 58/3, 58/20, 60/24, 64/17, 66/21, 207/17, 207/18, 207/19 removed 64/21 removing 53/8, 56/22, 57/9, 58/1 render 188/9 repair 142/2, 200/22, 200/23 repairs 192/17 replace 103/7 report 128/2, 128/6, 129/7 REPORTED 1/22 Reporter 45/21 Reporting 1/23, 127/8 represent 11/17, 136/18 representation 16/4, 18/22 representatives 5/19 represented 10/11, 12/6 represents 60/8 request 4/8, 4/11, 4/14, 6/8, 7/16, 7/22, 8/7, 17/9, 18/21, 19/13, 19/18, 19/20, 117/10, 117/13, 190/3 requested 10/2, 12/2, 62/21, 189/20, 190/9, 192/6 requests 102/2 require 13/7, 64/14, 100/12, 100/25, 132/5, 132/6, 142/8, 187/10, 204/6 required 61/18, 64/9, 101/5, 106/2, 110/7, 110/17, 116/16, 121/17, 123/4, 137/21, 143/4, 204/11 requirement 95/20, 114/10, 118/9 requires 25/9, 52/25, 62/18, 62/21, 110/11, 117/3, 121/20, 188/5, 191/11 requiring 118/17, 118/20 reserve 96/1 reserves 51/24 residence 44/25, 104/21 residential 118/23, 138/25 residents 25/4 residing 61/5, 101/14 residue 58/2 resolution 10/8 resolve 210/6 resolved 24/14, 44/10 resolves 25/20, 51/13 resort 129/10, 129/13 resource 201/2 respect 42/24, 65/18, 132/20 respond 23/9, 51/5, 139/15 response 4/12, 8/2, 19/24, 107/16, 130/13, 130/20, 130/23. 140/18 responses 4/4, 4/6, 4/8, 4/10, 4/14, 7/2, 7/11, 7/16, 7/22, 8/6 responsibility 50/11, 56/4, 102/14, 113/17, 129/21, 136/2, 141/15, 188/6 responsible 22/15, 23/18, 23/21, 50/16, 50/20, 141/20, 142/2 rest 67/6, 69/13, 96/15, 96/22 restricted 63/17 restriction 201/4 retail 93/14, 93/17, 93/18, 104/5 retain 188/6 retaining 95/14 retains 189/21 return 65/5, 67/4 returned 69/19 reveals 187/25 reverse 196/7 reversed 115/7 review 23/1, 50/25, 140/7 reviewed 12/9, 131/8 rewire 110/3, 204/20, 206/3 rewired 100/19 rewiring 97/16, 117/8, 117/17, 117/21, 205/21 Richard 5/21 Richmond 132/24 rights 44/6 ring 58/7, 58/19 rise 27/12, 211/3 riser 55/10, 55/15, 65/19, 94/23, 107/9, 109/18,

109/21, 120/25, 122/18, 142/10, 209/1 role 143/21 Room 1/20, 9/11, 56/9, 98/8, 206/11, 206/16 roommate 200/19, 203/6, 203/7 roommates 203/5 routinely 192/14 routing 46/13 RPR 1/22, 1/23 rule 13/8, 67/14, 108/15, 141/9, 141/19, 141/23 ruled 141/2 rulemaking 210/3, 210/4 rules 13/7, 13/25, 14/6, 59/13, 114/18, 133/23, 187/10, 207/6 ruling 15/15, 42/25, 125/24 run 58/4, 60/25, 63/10, 63/23, 66/10, 68/15, 68/22, 106/20, 107/8, 107/9, 107/23, 108/1, 112/7, 122/17 running 65/21, 68/7, 109/18, 132/17 runs 108/18, 196/8 S safeguarding 136/2 safest 66/21 satisfies 24/6 saw 12/16, 15/10, 19/8 scenario 98/14, 100/8, 114/25, 142/9, 142/21 scheme 94/10 scope 15/12 scrap 58/21 scraps 58/3 scratch 55/5 screw 110/22, 207/20 screws 207/18 seat 69/13 seated 21/21 seats 65/6, 67/5, 69/19 second 16/22, 25/22, 94/6, 94/11, 94/15, 95/15, 96/4, 96/11, 97/2, 97/7, 97/8, 100/10, 100/11, 100/18, 101/3, 101/21, 101/24, 102/6, 102/11, 102/22, 102/24, 103/5, 103/12, 103/17, 112/13, 112/15, 112/24, 113/18, 114/6, 115/2, 115/6, 117/8, 117/13, 190/22, 191/25, 196/11, 197/1, 198/2, 198/10, 200/21, 203/6, 203/7, 205/6, 205/11, 207/21 seconds 23/20 Section 1/7 security 48/11, 189/6, 189/22, 196/14 seeks 63/6, 63/7 segregate 44/1 segregated 44/2 select 96/18 selected 63/11 self-reporting 193/10 send 46/7, 46/10, 52/9, 123/22, 199/8 Senior 145/21 sense 16/15, 54/21, 54/22, 105/10, 208/9 sensitivity 64/3 sent 15/7 separate 45/16, 101/25, 102/1, 203/15, 204/24, 206/8 sequence 61/2 serious 53/18 serve 25/15, 63/18, 68/15, 100/3, 129/21, 202/9 server 46/16, 46/17, 46/24 serves 53/20 SERVICE 1/1, 2/12, 6/3, 24/1, 24/25, 26/24, 45/11, 45/13, 45/14, 47/11, 47/15, 47/16, 47/20, 47/23, 52/2, 52/12, 52/15, 52/17, 55/21, 56/3, 57/25, 58/8, 60/18, 60/25, 66/13, 96/19, 96/25, 97/4, 97/14, 97/20, 99/12, 100/18, 100/21, 101/4, 102/4, 103/19, 111/8, 111/11, 112/24, 113/3, 118/10, 121/2, 124/1, 126/1, 129/22, 132/14, 135/21, 136/3, 137/1, 137/2, 137/23, 138/6, 138/23, 138/25, 141/16, 141/24, 142/7, 142/22, 143/1, 143/19, 188/2, 188/3, 188/11, 188/13, 189/4, 190/18, 191/2, 191/16, 191/18, 193/1, 193/3, 193/5, 193/7, 193/20, 195/20, 196/23, 197/24, 197/25, 202/12, 205/24 Services 2/13, 22/16, 23/18, 23/21, 24/5, 45/5, 111/22, 138/4, 145/22 serving 68/13, 98/11, 98/19, 99/17, 100/9, 109/14, 114/5, 188/14 set 26/11, 65/12, 66/18, 199/12 setting 137/23 settled 69/21 Shack 205/5 shaking 48/8 sharp 58/13, 58/14 sheets 9/12 short 10/20, 53/17, 54/18, 111/7, 111/10 shorthand 22/13, 132/1 show 9/22, 10/21, 14/19, 128/4, 128/7, 194/6, 197/8 shuffle 125/6 Shumard 2/13 side 23/19, 55/23, 61/11, 209/21 Siecor 190/19 signed 19/7

significance 95/14 silver 106/23 simple 13/18, 56/22, 57/9, 62/8, 196/6 simpler 207/23 simplicity 10/23, 14/19, 208/16 simplifies 134/18 single 97/12, 97/15, 97/21, 98/1, 103/7, 103/10, 103/11, 104/21 site 52/4, 52/6, 52/18, 61/5 sited 143/11 sites 46/18 situation 63/24, 100/15, 114/11, 138/13 situations 97/11, 200/20 six 191/19, 200/17, 200/18, 200/24, 201/11 six-wire 202/17 sixth 202/1 size 196/24, 201/3 skipped 65/15, 65/18, 65/20 slipped 19/11 small 188/24, 196/15 smaller 188/21 smudged 197/5 solution 24/23 solve 210/25 sort 13/3, 56/10, 66/22, 68/9, 97/16, 198/2 Sounds 115/2 South's 55/17 Southern 2/3 space 61/10, 203/25 spare 52/1, 103/2, 112/23, 113/7, 193/17 specified 187/12, 187/18 specify 142/11 speculate 139/7 speculation 139/9 spend 104/11 splitter 103/9, 205/2, 205/12, 205/18, 206/4, 206/6, 206/12stability 134/24 Staff 2/15, 6/2, 10/24, 11/3, 17/24, 18/7, 19/14, 21/11, 42/10, 130/7 Staff's 4/4, 4/6, 4/8, 4/11, 4/13, 4/14, 7/2, 7/11, 7/16, 7/22, 8/3, 8/7, 130/13 stand 17/10, 21/17, 145/4 standards 137/13 state 22/9, 23/5, 26/25, 27/5, 49/18, 127/13, 133/5, 133/12, 145/16, 192/12 statement 11/5, 63/20, 63/22 states 22/17, 23/22, 50/14, 59/12, 129/25, 132/21, 133/4, 133/19, 134/8, 207/4, 207/5 Status 18/22, 137/4, 139/10, 193/16 step 65/15, 65/18, 65/19 steps 207/17 Stip 4/5, 4/10, 7/10, 7/21 Stip-1 4/4, 7/4, 7/6 Stip-2 4/7, 7/15 Stip-3 4/12, 8/2 Stip-4 4/14, 8/6 stipulate 42/17 stories 205/4 strategy 49/21, 50/12, 50/13, 50/15 stray 16/7 strays 12/23 Street 2/4, 2/8, 5/14, 57/17, 145/19 strikes 188/8, 210/3 strip 94/23 stripped 58/17 structure 143/2 study 131/3, 131/6, 131/8, 131/10, 140/6, 140/8 subjects 24/13 submit 27/9 submitted 11/3, 134/23 subscribe 101/14 subset 63/12 substance 15/10 substantive 146/3 succeed 24/6 succeeds 12/12 successfully 137/20 successive 62/11 suggestion 139/16, 143/10 Suite 5/25, 49/23, 188/23, 197/21, 207/11 summarize 192/24 summary 16/15, 17/16, 23/15, 27/17, 51/8, 69/24, 187/2, 194/2, 209/19 support 50/18 surface 55/5 surprise 11/6 surrounds 67/7 SUSAN 1/15, 2/8, 5/24 sustain 139/24 switch 137/23, 138/14, 143/3 switched 141/25 switches 43/17

sworn 21/19, 22/3, 49/14, 145/12 system 50/21, 128/22 systems 50/16 T tailor 142/11 talk 11/23, 24/10, 93/4, 93/5, 113/24, 118/24, 126/11, 126/14, 134/13 talked 104/5, 131/22, 138/17, 141/5, 198/7, 205/3 talking 67/9, 108/23, 128/7, 208/16 Tallahassee 1/21, 2/8, 2/14, 5/17, 5/18, 12/4, 15/7 tape 11/7, 11/10, 11/15, 12/6, 12/8, 12/9, 13/12, 15/7 tariff 44/12, 44/18, 44/20, 45/9, 45/14, 45/15 tariffs 44/15, 45/11 technical 24/10, 136/14, 136/16, 145/7 technician 25/8, 51/21, 52/9, 52/14, 52/19, 53/3, 53/7, 61/19, 62/3, 62/4, 62/8, 62/15, 62/23, 63/7, 64/11, 64/12, 69/9, 102/3, 112/20, 113/13, 119/5, 119/9, 120/11, 120/18, 123/14, 123/15, 123/22, 124/3, 124/22, 126/19, 126/25, 135/16, 136/12, 136/18, 190/5, 190/10, 191/4, 192/7, 199/8, 201/9 technicians 53/19, 54/7, 94/9, 101/9, 114/23, 115/3, 121/21, 128/14, 129/1, 129/3, 136/1, 136/8, 136/24, 137/4, 188/1, 192/3, 192/13, 192/19, 192/22, 193/4, 209/19 techniques 60/13 technology 49/21, 50/11 Telecommunications 1/5, 1/6, 1/7, 2/3, 2/6, 2/11, 5/8, 5/10, 22/3, 22/14, 22/15, 22/19, 22/21, 23/18, 23/21, 49/14, 50/2, 50/4, 145/12, 145/21, 187/9 telephone 24/25, 25/25, 50/6, 55/10, 96/19, 97/12, 97/22, 97/25, 101/15, 101/20, 101/22, 101/24, 102/1, 103/16, 103/17, 110/19, 111/10, 121/2, 194/11, 200/20, 203/8 telephones 101/17, 101/18, 102/1, 206/14 television 50/5 TELRIC 130/22, 140/6, 140/8 ten 199/15, 199/17, 199/19 tender 42/5 term 136/13, 187/23, 195/5, 195/6 terminal 46/7, 55/9, 55/13, 55/14, 55/23, 56/4, 56/9, 56/14, 63/7, 67/19, 95/1, 98/8, 124/9, 125/15, 127/14, 188/15, 188/19, 188/23, 189/2, 189/8, 189/11, 189/12, 189/13, 189/16, 189/19, 189/20, 198/23, 209/21 terminals 95/4, 110/22, 195/16 terminate 107/1, 110/18 terminated 43/19 terminates 60/23, 111/15, 134/1 terminating 10/17, 14/20, 24/16, 24/17, 24/19, 25/2, 25/15, 25/17, 26/24, 42/20, 51/11, 51/19, 53/15, 57/12, 60/8, 68/14, 69/1, 94/1, 108/5, 110/13, 110/22, 110/25, 111/17, 111/24, 114/17, 115/14, 121/7, 121/9, 121/16, 122/3, 122/8, 122/14, 122/19, 122/25, 123/1, 123/3, 123/8, 124/10, 125/14, 127/6, 130/15, 130/21, 131/3, 135/11, 187/7, 187/18, 187/22, 191/5, 191/12, 193/11, 193/23, 197/14, 198/20, 198/23, 200/15, 200/24, 201/1, 201/14, 201/25, 202/7, 203/3, 203/9, 203/11, 203/20, 203/23, 209/3, 209/12, 210/20 termination 55/13, 57/11, 57/15, 58/8, 59/14, 61/2, 111/17, 122/17 terminology 99/23, 100/1, 116/25, 202/24 terms 48/8, 48/11, 59/13, 93/13, 119/20, 205/7 TERRY 1/15 test 60/11, 62/9, 100/14, 100/15, 104/25, 111/25, 114/9, 118/11, 134/17 testified 22/4, 49/15, 145/13 testify 13/14, 20/22, 21/6 testifying 20/16, 20/18, 108/20 Testimony 3/4, 3/6, 3/7, 3/10, 3/11, 4/21, 4/23, 10/19, 12/17, 12/23, 12/24, 12/25, 13/6, 13/8, 13/10, 13/13, 14/2, 14/9, 14/11, 14/15, 14/24, 14/25, 15/12, 15/13, 15/22, 16/6, 16/10, 16/16, 20/14, 21/7, 22/24, 23/2, 23/4, 23/8, 23/15, 24/8, 24/12, 27/18, 42/17, 45/19, 48/24, 50/24, 51/1, 51/4, 51/8, 51/9, 54/11 69/25, 70/13, 70/15, 104/16, 125/11, 128/25, 138/5, 145/7, 145/24, 145/25, 146/4, 146/9, 146/13, 146/20, 147/1, 187/5, 211/6 testing 53/9, 114/16, 206/20 testing 53/9, 114/16, 206/20 Thank 5/11, 6/4, 18/4, 19/19, 19/22, 21/8, 21/20, 21/22, 22/23, 23/14, 27/16, 42/1, 45/22, 48/21, 49/2, 49/25, 54/10, 54/17, 70/18, 93/2, 104/2, 118/4, 130/5, 131/14, 140/10, 140/21, 142/5, 143/23, 144/5, 187/3, 194/2, 194/10, 194/21, 207/1, 208/22 theirs 64/3, 64/5, 105/20 they've 47/8, 129/8, 197/13, 197/22, 197/23 third 25/7, 26/22, 60/19, 197/10, 203/4 three 13/19, 22/21, 24/15, 55/14, 194/7, 202/14, 205/1, 206/14 tie 189/18 tied 99/8 TIME 1/18, 9/15, 10/12, 16/3, 19/18, 23/14, 24/18,

switching 46/11

52/17, 58/4, 62/5, 70/9, 123/20, 124/5, 136/9, 145/7, WILLIAM 2/7 146/5, 190/5, 199/6, 199/9, 200/19 willing 201/19 tip 58/7 winds 135/1 tone 60/11, 62/9, 197/14, 197/19 wins 53/11 tool 58/13 wire 10/17, 14/18, 14/20, 24/16, 24/19, 25/2, 25/15, touching 64/14 25/17, 42/20, 51/11, 51/19, 51/21, 53/15, 57/10, 57/12, trace 67/23 57/25, 58/2, 58/10, 58/14, 58/19, 58/21, 59/2, 59/22, track 12/8 60/8, 62/19, 62/25, 64/9, 64/11, 65/22, 66/19, 67/15, 68/14, 69/1, 94/2, 95/2, 97/9, 98/19, 99/11, 105/11, 106/19, 108/5, 108/17, 110/2, 110/13, 110/14, 110/15, traditional 50/6, 104/20 traffic 24/18, 27/6, 43/1, 43/11, 43/12, 43/14, 43/15, 43/19, 43/23, 45/25, 46/13, 48/9, 48/13 110/22, 110/25, 111/17, 111/24, 112/7, 112/13, 112/15, trailing 60/22 trained 136/1 113/20, 114/17, 114/18, 115/14, 116/8, 121/7, 121/9, 121/16, 122/4, 122/8, 122/14, 122/19, 122/25, 123/1, 123/3, 123/8, 124/10, 125/14, 122/19, 122/25, 123/1, 123/3, 123/8, 124/10, 125/14, 127/6, 130/15, 130/21, 131/4, 133/20, 133/21, 134/2, 134/24, 135/11, 135/13, 187/7, 187/18, 187/22, 190/17, 191/1, 191/5, 191/12, training 136/14, 136/17, 136/23, 137/8, 137/13 transcripts 9/3, 9/5 translating 15/19 transmission 46/12, 46/21 192/17, 193/23, 195/15, 195/25, 196/8, 196/9, 197/14, treated 27/13, 43/10 treating 27/5 trial-and-error 192/18 207/23, 209/4, 209/12, 210/20 trimming 58/11, 58/12 trip 198/13 wireless 138/22 trouble 96/23, 100/17, 111/8, 119/19, 134/19, 135/1, 135/3 200/15, 207/20, 207/24 true 59/7, 96/15, 110/14, 135/13, 191/14, 204/11 turn 22/7 two 5/19, 10/6, 54/7, 55/23, 95/4, 95/6, 97/4, 97/9, 97/23, 97/25, 101/16, 101/17, 101/25, 102/1, 111/16, 114/8, 120/5, 121/20, 122/10, 123/18, 124/3, 136/24, 138/21, 140/14, 140/15, 188/14, 188/17, 190/18, 194/7, 200/16, 202/11, 203/2, 205/10, 205/11, 209/3 two-jack 103/6 two-line 97/20, 100/14, 101/3, 118/12 wishes 18/16 type 56/9 U1 un 66/1 unbundled 26/8, 26/18, 51/10, 187/10, 187/15, 187/18 unchallenged 139/17 unintentional 188/25 unintentionally 188/2, 193/5 unit 52/21, 53/1, 53/4, 53/16, 55/20, 60/12, 62/8, 62/19, 67/10, 67/25, 68/6, 94/19, 95/19, 96/6, 96/9, 98/23, 102/20, 104/9, 105/5, 106/21, 110/14, 111/4, 113/21, 113/24, 114/9, 116/19, 122/7, 132/4, 133/9, 191/6, 192/4, 198/3, 198/6, 200/18, 204/5 United 50/14, 129/25 units 24/21, 54/8, 67/11, 132/8, 133/3, 187/8 unlikelihood 110/3 unplug 103/16, 111/6 unplugging 111/9 unresolved 5/9 untangled 55/5 unworkable 25/10 up-front 191/23 upgrades 143/12 useful 53/20 user 46/15, 47/19, 52/1, 108/10 users 188/11, 188/13, 191/20 utilize 24/24 211/3 21/6, 21/17, 21/19, 43/8 v WKM-1 4/24, 146/20 wonder 140/14 variety 50/19, 137/22, 137/24, 143/19 vary 59/13 verify 197/22 141/18, 143/3 vertical 143/1 vice 49/21 video 10/5, 10/10, 11/7, 11/10, 11/15, 11/16, 11/22, 12/6, 12/8, 12/9, 13/2, 13/4, 13/12, 15/7, 16/2 201/20. 209/13 viewing 54/22 Virginia 22/18, 132/25, 133/2, 133/9 virtue 107/4, 136/23 visit 23/24, 46/18, 52/6, 52/18, 62/23, 123/19, 124/5, works 199/13 143/4 writing 61/10 written 14/16, 15/19, 23/8, 50/23 **VOLUME** 1/10 vouch 129/2 wrong 96/18, 197/24 W Y walk 42/19, 57/1, 131/19 year 50/7 walks 138/13 years 22/19, 50/3, 50/4, 50/5 wall 209/21 yellow 207/22, 208/2 wallplate 103/6, 103/7 walls 206/8 York 22/18 water 131/19 wearing 55/1 Z week 11/25, 15/9 Zip 49/23 weeks 18/21 West 2/4, 2/9, 5/14, 5/22, 5/25, 49/23, 145/19 WHITE 2/2, 5/14, 95/5

197/17, 198/4, 198/21, 198/23, 200/24, 201/1, 201/25, 202/7, 203/3, 203/9, 203/11, 203/20, 203/23, 205/21, wired 62/24, 63/4, 97/18, 97/20, 98/10, 103/3, 115/11 wires 52/19, 62/20, 94/4, 94/10, 95/4, 96/11, 191/19, wiring 10/3, 52/10, 53/5, 53/13, 55/7, 57/20, 57/22, 67/19, 95/23, 96/14, 96/16, 97/11, 98/5, 98/8, 98/9, 99/3, 109/2, 110/1, 115/14, 116/21, 117/5, 120/3, 121/15, 121/25, 123/9, 134/1, 135/4, 135/9, 135/17, 135/19, 135/22, 138/14, 143/18, 188/16, 188/19, 189/3, 189/8, 189/11, 189/14, 190/20, 192/4, 197/3, 198/3, 198/19, 200/18, 201/2, 203/3, 203/12, 207/19 wish 6/7, 10/9, 56/3, 57/4 Witness 8/10, 8/15, 8/20, 8/24, 12/3, 14/1, 15/6, 16/11, 16/12, 16/21, 16/22, 16/25, 17/4, 20/17, 21/21, 21/23, 22/2, 42/2, 42/5, 42/21, 43/3, 43/14, 43/21, 21/23, 22/2, 42/2, 44/2, 44/2, 42/2, 42/2, 43/2, 43/3, 44/7, 44/2, 44/2, 45/2, 55/3, 55/3, 55/4, 55/25, 56/6, 56/22, 56/16, 56/20, 57/8, 57/19, 57/23, 59/4, 59/10, 59/17, 59/23, 60/6, 60/16, 61/8, 61/13, 61/21, 62/6, 62/17, 63/21, 64/7, 64/15, 65/21, 66/2, 66/4, 66/7, 66/16, 66/18, 94/18, 94/25, 95/3, 95/9, 95/17, 96/3, 96/7, 96/12, 97/4, 97/8, 97/19, 98/7, 98/17, 98/22, 99/1, 99/5, 99/14, 99/19, 100/6, 100/11, 100/23, 101/2, 101/10, 102/5, 102/8, 102/16, 103/1, 103/22, 103/25, 104/17, 105/6, 105/8, 105/14, 106/22, 106/25, 108/24, 109/19, 109/23, 110/10, 110/21, 111/1, 111/21, 112/9, 112/18, 112/22, 113/6, 113/9, 113/15, 113/22, 114/2, 114/7, 115/5, 115/10, 115/18, 115/23, 116/6, 116/10, 116/15, 116/18, 116/23, 117/2, 117/9, 117/15, 117/18, 117/22, 118/3, 118/8, 118/16, 118/19, 121/1, 121/25, 123/11, 123/17, 123/25, 125/17, 125/20, 126/2, 126/8, 131/2, 132/23, 133/5, 133/11, 133/22, 134/4, 134/9, 136/15, 136/22, 137/6, 137/15, 139/6, 139/21, 142/13, 142/24, 143/7, 143/16, 143/22, 145/5, 145/11, 194/11, 194/15, 194/17, 194/20, 198/15, 199/5, 199/14, 200/1, 200/13, 201/13, 202/5, 202/19, 203/1, 203/18, 204/1, 204/16, 204/19, 206/9, 206/17, 206/22, 206/25, 208/20, 209/2, 209/9, 209/18, 210/7, 210/25, WITNESSES 3/2, 10/18, 17/1, 20/13, 20/15, 20/22, words 63/16, 66/19, 67/10, 67/11, 68/8, 68/13, 69/3, 93/20, 105/25, 119/3, 121/8, 121/13, 122/16, 128/22, work 10/6, 50/12, 53/20, 60/7, 100/16, 101/1, 101/8, 106/2, 106/14, 112/8, 114/24, 121/20, 123/4, 136/9, 190/11, 192/8, 196/19, 196/21, 197/24, 198/16, 198/19, worked 15/5 workers 117/4 working 22/20, 136/4, 197/25, 200/8, 202/11