1   2	FLORIDA	BEFOR A PUBLIC SE	E THE RVICE COMMIS	SSION	
3					
4	In the Matt	ter of	: DOCKET N	10. 981591-E	3
5	Petition for authimplement Good Co		· :		
6	Conversion Progra		: :		
7					
8	******** *	*****	*****	*****	**
9			NS OF THIS T	TRANSCRIPT AND ARE NOT	*
10	* THE OF	FICIAL TRAN	SCRIPT OF THE PREFILED	HE HEARING	*
11	*			*****	*
12					
13	PROCEEDINGS:	PREHEARING	CONFERENCE		
14					
15	BEFORE:	COMMISSION Prehearing	ER J. TERRY	DEASON	
16			0111001		(600)
17	DATE:	Wednesday,	September	8, 1999	
18	TIME:		at 1:30 p.m at 1:50 p.m		1 5 7 5 7 5 7 5 7 5 7 5 7 5 7 5 7 5 7 5
19	PLACE:		ey Conferen		16.00 OF
20		Room 148 4075 Espla	_		
21			ee, Florida		
22	REPORTED BY:		C. BERENS, C ssion Repor		
23		(850) 413-	_		
24					
25					
				DOCUMENT	HUMPER-DATE

## **APPEARANCES:**

RUSSELL BADDERS, Beggs & Lane, 700 Blount
Building, 3 West Garden Street, Post Office Box 12950,
Pensacola, Florida 32576-2950, appearing on behalf of
Gulf Power Company (Gulf).

ANSLEY WATSON, JR., Macfarlane, Ferguson & McMullen, P. O. Box 1531, 111 Madison Street, #2300
Tampa, Florida 33601, appearing on behalf of Peoples
Gas System (PGS).

TIFFANY R. COLLINS, Florida Public Service Commission, Division of Legal Services, 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0870, appearing on behalf of the Commission Staff (STAFF).

PROCEEDINGS 1 (Hearing convened at 1:30 p.m.) 2 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Call the prehearing 3 conference to order. Can I have the Notice read, 4 5 please. MS. COLLINS: Pursuant to Notice issued 6 August 11, 1999 a prehearing conference has been set 7 for this time and place for Docket No. 981591-EG, 8 petition for authority to implement Good Cents 9 Conversion Program by Gulf Power Company. 10 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Thank you. Take 11 12 appearances. MR. WATSON: Ansley Watson of Macfarlane 13 Ferguson & McMullen, P.O. Box 1531, Tampa, Florida 14 33601, appearing for the Intervenor Peoples Gas. 15 MR. BADDERS: Russell Badders, Beggs & Lane, 16 3 West Garden Street, Pensacola, Florida 32501. 17 here appearing on behalf of Gulf Power. 18 MS. COLLINS: Tiffany Collins representing 19 the Commission Staff. 20 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Okay. Ms. Collins, 21 are there any preliminary matters? 22 MS. COLLINS: Commissioner Deason, a draft 23 prehearing order was distributed on September 2, 1999. 24

A revised draft was given to you, as well as the

1	parties, yesterday afternoon and changes and have been		
2	incorporated as of this morning. I believe this draft		
3	reflects all of those corrections. Are there any		
4	corrections?		
5	MR. WATSON: Did you incorporate the two I		
6	called you about this morning?		
7	MS. COLLINS: Yes. Those charges have been		
8	incorporated.		
9	MR. BADDERS: We have one additional change		
10	to Issue No. 5 to the wording on our position. It		
11	should now read in the second sentence, "a reduction		
12	in annual kWh consumption and/or" Instead of jus		
13	"and" it should be "and/or a reduction in".		
14	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Which line is that,		
15	Mr. Badders?		
16	MR. BADDERS: It would be Line 3 on Issue 5.		
17	COMMISSIONER DEASON: And it would be		
18	could you read how it will read?		
19	MR. BADDERS: Yes, sir. "Yes. The Good		
20	Cents Conversion Program meets the requirements of		
21	FEECA because the program would result in a reduction		
22	in annual kWh consumption and/or a reduction in"		
23	continuing to the end.		
24	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Okay. Thank you.		

Mr. Watson, you submitted two changes this morning; is

that correct?

MR. WATSON: Yes, sir.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Okay. The version that I reviewed last night, obviously, does not have those changes. Could you review those changes for me please?

MR. WATSON: Yes, I could. It's a sentence that starts on the bottom of Page 3. It says, "if a party's position has not changed since the issuance of a prehearing order, a post hearing statement may simply restate the prehearing position; however, if the prehearing position is longer than 50 words" --. We had agreed that that would be changed to 100. "It must be reduced to no more than" -- the 50 should be 100. It goes with the change from 50 to 100 on the -- in the sentence that precedes that.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Okay.

MR. BADDERS: And I guess we had discussed that at the last meeting. It was my understanding that was for Issue No. 2, not for all the issues. I'm not sure what exactly is the intent here. As written it would be for each of the issues.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Do you have an objection?

MR. BADDERS: I do not. I was trying to

- 11	
1	make sure exactly what we wanted to be doing.
2	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Just change it at this
3	point.
4	MR. WATSON: Peoples has no objection if you
5	want to limit that 100 word the application of the
6	100 word limit to Issue 2 and make the other issues
7	50.
8	COMMISSIONER DEASON: It's just going to be
9	simpler to leave it as 100 for all. We'll just do it
-0	that way. So is that the only change that needs to be
1	made to change the wording limit is that one citation?
12	Okay. You have another change, Mr. Watson.
L3	MR. WATSON: That's the only one.
4	COMMISSIONER DEASON: That's the only one.
L5	Okay. Do the parties have any preliminary matters?
16	MS. COLLINS: Yesterday Peoples Gas filed a
L7	motion to strike portions of Ted Spangenberg's
L8	testimony. I don't know if we'd like to address that
L9	issue now.
20	MR. BADDERS: Gulf Power received notice of
21	that yesterday and we have not yet filed a response
22	and we would like the opportunity to do so.
23	COMMISSIONER DEASON: When is the time
24	when does the time run for your response?

MR. BADDERS: I have not calculated, but we

intend to file a response tomorrow.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Very well.

MR. WATSON: I think it's something that could be taken up at the hearing.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Well, that would be probably -- that would be my desire unless there's some reason to expedite this motion.

MR. WATSON: No need.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Okay. All right. I have just a few questions concerning the draft prehearing order. Since all of the changes seem to have been incorporated, unless there is an objection by the parties, we'll dispense going issue by issue unless there's some need. Is there any need to go issue by issue, review all of these matters?

MS. COLLINS: We see no need.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Very well. I have just a few questions. The first deals with the order of witnesses on Page 4. At least it's Page 4 of my version. I noticed that the witness for Peoples Gas, Mr. McCormick, he apparently is not addressing Issue 4; is that correct?

MR. WATSON: That's correct.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Okay. Well, then that raises another question, Mr. Badders. How can you

have rebuttal testimony addressing Issue 4 if there's not a direct witness addressing Issue 4? MR. BADDERS: At that time that it was filed this was an issue and we are not aware that they would not take a position. I'm not sure if Staff is going 5 to take a position on this. But you're right. We 6 would not have rebuttal that would address that 7 8 directly. COMMISSIONER DEASON: So you wish to delete 9 Issue 4 then from the rebuttal issues? 10 MR. BADDERS: If I may for just a moment 11 check something? 12 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Sure. 13 MR. BADDERS: I believe we could withdraw 14 that if Peoples was to take no position. What they 15 have at the moment is no position at this time. 16 COMMISSIONER DEASON: I intend to ask that 17 question as well. So, while we're on it, I'll ask it 18 right now. Mr. Watson, do you intend to take a 19 position on Issue 4 or do you intend to take no 20 position? 2.1 MR. WATSON: There will be no position taken 22 23 by the company.

1

2

3

4

24

25

can strike at this time at the end of the Peoples'

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Very well. Staff, you

position on Issue 4 and simply have it read no position. With that change, you can -- okay. Very well. With that change then, we will show that there is not an Issue 4 in the rebuttal phase of Mr. Spangenberg; is that correct? How is that pronounced?

MR. BADDERS: That's correct.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Very well.

The next question I have is for Mr. Watson and that deals with Page 7 and your lengthy position in response to Issue 2. It appears to me that there's some duplication. Was that intentional?

MR. WATSON: Probably not. What happened was that there were originally Issues 6, 7 and 8 that went to the assumptions for summer peak demand, assumptions for winter peak demand and assumptions for kWh consumption. And the parties agreed, I guess, a couple of days ago that we would combine Issue 6, 7 and 8 and roll them into Issue 2.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: I just request that you, to the extent possible, remove the duplication and make that response a little shorter than it is now if that's possible.

MR. WATSON: It's possible and I can get that up here no later than tomorrow.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Very well. On Issue 3 there is a statement by Peoples that there's no position at this time, but then it makes an observation about Gulf's analysis. I guess I need some clarification. Is this a position or is this not a position?

MR. WATSON: I think that position should be changed to, no position on this issue. Well, I don't think we can do that.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: I'm just trying to get the prehearing order as clear as possible.

MR. WATSON: I think we can change the position to read "Gulf's analysis indicates that the program is designed to replace electric air conditioning equipment at or near the end of its useful life."

## COMMISSIONER DEASON: Very well.

The last question we've already preliminarily addressed, and that is the pending motion to strike, and we'll take that up at the beginning of the hearing. I will just ask parties to be prepared to address that at that time and Staff likewise should be prepared to address that at the beginning of the hearing.

Are there any other matters that need to be

- 1	II
1	brought before the prehearing officer at this time?
2	MS. COLLINS: Commissioner Deason, we have a
3	question for Peoples Gas. I'm sorry. For Gulf. We
4	noticed that the witness is not proffering any
5	rebuttal testimony to Issue 6 and we'd just like to
6	know if that needs to be corrected?
7	MR. BADDERS: There is for Ted Spangenberg.
8	I believe when it was renumbered this may have been
9	dropped out. He should be on Issue 6 also.
10	MS. COLLINS: Okay. Thank you.
11	COMMISSIONER DEASON: I'm sorry. Clarify
12	that for me, please.
13	MR. BADDERS: For Mr. Spangenberg on his
14	rebuttal, as far as the issue, 6 should be added to
15	that.
16	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Further questions from
17	Staff?
18	MS. COLLINS: No, Commissioner Deason.
19	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Let me ask just. For
20	planning purposes we have one day scheduled and we
21	only have two witnesses; two on direct and two on
22	rebuttal. I assume there is no problem concluding
23	this hearing in one day. Do you the parties agree
24	with that?

MR. BADDERS: We agree. It should be no

problem. MS. COLLINS: Yes. COMMISSIONER DEASON: Very well. If there's nothing else then, this prehearing conference is adjourned. Thank you all. MR. WATSON: Thank you. (Thereupon, the hearing concluded at 1:50 p.m.) 

STATE OF FLORIDA) 1 CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER 2 COUNTY OF LEON 3 I, KIMBERLY K. BERENS, CSR, RPR, Official Commission Reporter, 4 DO HEREBY CERTIFY that the Prehearing 5 Conference in Docket No. 981591-EG was heard by the Prehearing Officer at the time and place herein stated; it is further 6 7 CERTIFIED that I stenographically reported the said proceedings; that the same has been transcribed by me; and that this transcript, 8 consisting of 12 pages, constitutes a true transcription of my notes of said proceedings. 9 10 DATED this 10th day of September, 1999. 11 12 13 14 Florida Public Service Commission Official Commission Reporter 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24