BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF INAL

In re: Application for transfer)	DOCKET NO. 971220-WS
of Certificate Nos. 592-W and	
509-S from Cypress Lakes)	Submitted for Filing:
Associates, Ltd., to Cypress Lakes)	September 10, 1999
Utilities, Inc., in Polk County.	-
)	

UTILITY'S RESPONSE TO COMMISSION STAFF'S FIRST REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a copy of the attached Utility's Response to Commission Staff's First Request for Production of Documents has been sent to Harold McLean, Esq. Office of Public Counsel, 111 W. Madison St., Tallahassee, FL 32399-1400; Roger A. Larson, Esq., attorney for Cypress Lakes Associates, Ltd., P.O. Box 1368, Clearwater, FL 33757; and to Jennifer Brubaker, Esq., Division of Legal Services, Florida Public Service Commission, 2540 Shumard Oak Blvd., Tallahassee FL 32399-0850, by U.S. mail this 10th day of September, 1999.

Ben E. Girtman FL BAR NO. 186039 1020 E. Lafayette St. Suite 207 Tallahassee, FL 32301

Attorney for Utilities, Inc. and Cypress Lakes Utilities, Inc.

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

Docket No. 971220-WS

Application for Transfer to of Certificate Nos. 592-W and 509-S from Cypress Lakes Associates, Ltd. To Cypress Lakes Utilities, Inc. in Polk County

Commission Staff's First Request for Production of Documents

1. Please provide copies of any documents used in responding to Staff's First Set of Interrogatories, Interrogatory No. 4, relating to an evaluation of the Cypress Lakes Utility system by Utilities, Inc.

See attached.

(813) 949-3681 • (813) 949-3196 FAX
Northfork Professional Center
1519 N. Dale Mabry, Suite 100 • Lutz, FL 33549
Post Office Box 1647 (33549-1647)

MEMORANDUM

TO: James Camaren, Andrew Dopuch, Donald Rasmussen

FROM: Jerry Buhr

SUBJECT: First Report - Cypress Lakes

DATE: June 26, 1997

I have completed my preliminary review of the above-referenced site, and selected documents. I have not conducted an exhaustive review of every document available, however, I have obtained and reviewed copies of documents from Polk County, DEP, and Andy As a part of my site review, I observed the wastewater treatment plant ("WWTP") in operation, observed the water treatment plant in operation, one effluent storage pond at the golf course, and observed one (1) typical lift station. I discussed the utility with an official at Polk County, DEP inspectors Joe Squitieri and Michelle (Hennessy) Duggan, N.D. Walker of the PSC water and sewer division, former owner's agent Tom Goodell, P.E., William Murchie, P.E., the project's engineer under a prior owner (prior to current owner), and briefly with George macFarlane, C.P.A., who handled the financial aspects of the utility. I have a call into George MacFarlane to discuss the utility in more detail, however, he will not be back from his vacation until July. Prior to concluding my review, someone should contact the current owners and engineers

about several questions raised in my review.

PSC documents should be reviewed once the PSC has completed its review, and the client should request a number of documents from the seller as part of the purchase agreement. The client should also have an engineer review the most critical aspects of treatment plant compliance (i.e. capacity of WWTP and effluent disposal). In addition, I always advise that commercial real estate purchases be preceded by environmental assessment of the site. Supplemental environmental reviews should be performed if indicated by the Phase I assessment. Failure to perform these assessments could cause you to incurr to significant cleanup liability for prior owners' unlawful discharges of hazardous substances on the site.

My impression of the WWTP after site observation of the facility is that the facility appears clean, and there were no immediately apparent operational faults. Utilities, Inc., should by now be familiar with the good, the bad and the ugly in this respect by now, having operated the facilities for a few months. However, you should note, and probably have noted that you are not operating the facility at its peak. The DEP records appear to show the peak period for this facility between November and March, with some peaking in October and April.

Capacity

It appears clear from the records that the facility has reached its effective capacity, if not its rated capacity, as surges are reported as a major problem. It is possible that the effective

capacity could be improved with improved operation provided by Utilities, Inc. B. Murchie said that in 1994 - 1995 he observed that the facility had a lot of potential, but was poorly operated. As for capacity, he had designed a new surge tank which he believed had been installed. He said he felt that the golf course probably could not handle the full build-out (1500 units) of the subdivision because it lacked adequate storage capacity at that time. If the storage is provided, the golf course could handle the effluent. Tom Goodell felt that there was adequate storage capacity "if adequately operated." He commented that poor operation was the plant's primary problem. My conclusion from the records and phone conversations is that an engineer needs to verify capacity of both the WWTP (including surge capacity) and the golf course reuse system.

Michelle Duggan stated that capacity was a big issue because there has been so much "erroneous information" provided to DEP in the past. They question the reliability of flow measurements. The owners might have used the wrong "cams" for the flow meter; calibration may be wrong; they could have been reading wrong chart; according to Duggan, "anything is possible". It is possible, nontheless, that all of the problem could have been operational. Only one filter had automatic backwash and so only one of the two separate treatment "trains" was working at night. During the peak season, it was too much flow with sidestreams, and the plant would send to reject; then the reject would pump back to the plant

overloading the surge capacity - it became a domino effect. Duggan said that she had calculated a \$44,500 penalty and presented it to them at a meeting, however, they do not believe that they will actually make them pay that much. Joe Squitieri had told me earlier that if Utilities, Inc. purchases, they might not charge any penalty. Duggan said that whether Utilities, Inc. buys or not it will not affect the enforcement. It is my understanding that Squitieri is Duggan's supervisor. When asked regarding a consent order, Duggan said she felt that only a "short form" consent order would be needed, as they will likely have corrected the problems by the time a long form consent order was negotiated and approved. This normally tells me that they do not consider the facility to be in severe need of structural improvement.

Duggan said that the utility has committed 162,800 gallons per day at their 160,000 gallon per day WWTP, so no new connections will be approved without plans for expansion, or reasonable assurances that the facility has not over-committed its capacity. It should be noted, however, that correspondence from the file in 1993 & 1994 show that the facility had committed .166 MGD and .166, respectively. Needless to say, a close review of the capacity issues needs to be made by an engineer in order to determine the magnitude of your exposure to lose for this issue. Further, it is not clear whether additional surge capacity is also needed, as the DEP sent a letter to the owners in 1995 saying that an operator believed it was inadequate, yet, it is entirely possible that

inadequate or incompetent operations caused the surges with sidestream flows. In spite of all these issues and DEP's apparent belief that the project has over-committed already, Gaylor Engineering (owner's engineers) signed off on a Capacity Analysis Report dated November 6, 1996, stating that the facility only exceeded 50% capacity on a peak three month basis in February 1995, and would need expansion to meet flows expected in January, 2000.

Gaylor Engineering wrote letter on March 31, 1997, in response to noncompliance letters from DEP, saying that the flow meter was not calibrated on an annual basis as required, and that peaking problems were caused by shutting down the 60,000 gallon per day portion of the plant at night because it lacks automatic backwashing (see above). Duggan told me that DEP would not close the enforcement matter until the utility had:

- Modified the filter at the 60,000 gpd plant to backwash automatically. The Gaylor Engineering letter promises to accomplish that.
- Replace the present chlorine meter with an appropriate meter. The Gaylor Engineering letter promises to accomplish that.
- 3. Provide " O & M" manual. The Gaylor Engineering letter does not address an O & M manual, but makes reference to providing an Operating Protocol for the reuse system.

In addition, in a letter dated May 12, 1997, Gaylor Engineering states that the flow meter is being replaced.

Miscellaneous WWTP Issues

While at the site, I observed that the storage ponds did not have the required warning signs, and there were severe tears in the reject pond lining. There was a generator at the WWTP, however, the operator told me it would not run the necessary facilities in a power outage. If this is so, it will need to be replaced. Tom Goodell told me that they picked that generator up "used", and he felt that it would not be long before it would have to be replaced. Other than these issues, the effluent was very clear, and the biomass appeared in good health.

Water Treatment Plant

The WTP facility is regulated by the Polk County Public Health Unit (HRS) by delegation from DEP, with DEP only taking a secondary role. I could not contact Gene Jeffers at Polk County at this writing because he was on annual leave, however, a friend of mine at DEP looked the facility up on the DEP/Polk County computer. According to Bill Dunn of DEP, the WTP has a design capacity of 940,000 gpd, with a 940,000 max day, and average consumption of 400,000 or roughly half of the design, and has 20,000 gallons of storage capacity. There are no outstanding enforcement issues, and the last noncompliance letter was sent to them in 1995 regarding failure to sample. Bill could find no enforcement issues back to 1994 except for other failures to sample in 1994 and 1996. At one time a bacteriological analysis ostensibly for potable water was performed on the wastewater effluent in error. DEP records show 766 connections, but do not rely on that number.

Nitrate/nitrites were last sampled in 1996 and were at 0.0. There will be a requirement to perform the large chemical analysis at the end of this year (\$1,000-\$2,000). They should be monitoring quarterly for pesticides and PCBs, however, they have a waiver on VOCs to once per three years. They are on revised monitoring for lead and copper, as the only lead "hit" was in 1994 at .08 mg/l, and copper comes in at way less than 1.

As with the WWTP, at my site review the facility itself appeared clean and well maintained. There appeared to be a form of generator with automatic switchover, but I could not determine whether the generator system was sufficient to meet the rule. An engineer would need to determine the adequacy of the generator. Bill Murchie said that the generator ran fine, however, if problems arise, the electrical system is a total nightmare to repair or even figure out. The installers refused to provide a schematic of the design, and Bill recommended to the owners that they have an electrical expert do a schematic from scratch. I do not know if that was ever done. According to Bill, the problem is that the installers charge a lot to check and repair the system, and hope to keep their job by refusing to turn over the schematics.

I also noted that the WTP site is used for storage of all manner of supplies and equipment for the subdivision. It may not be included in the sale, however, this will obviously be resolved in negotiations. It does appear from the records that there are some

water mains which are leased rather than owned by the utility. Tom Goodell told me that this was done to avoid the income tax "gross up". The utility was advised that if the lines were never deeded to the utilities, they would never have to pay an income tax for the CIAC, and therefore, would never have to obtain the tax gross up from the developer to pay the taxes. Since the legislature has now eliminated that tax, you should insist that they donate those lines as part of the sale. Carl Wenz should be consulted to see what the best way of making that transfer for rate purposes is today.

Tom Goodell also informed me that, at least when they owned the system, there were 230 units of homes that did not have water meters because the service for that first phase was included in the use of the property. If this is true, it is entirely possible that those 230 units will never have to pay for service to anyone, as their "contract" could exclude them and their successors from such payment. This obviously bears further investigation, because if the property is rented, then this could be changed; however, if the property is owned, it will be unlikely that it could be changed.

General Issues

 Any real property transfer should be evaluated under at least a phase one assessment performed by competent environmental science specialists.

- 2. CIAC transfers between the developer and the related utility corporation should be reviewed to ensure that there is little or no risk of future deductions for such transfers. The PSC review of the utility pursuant to taking over ownership is unlikely to have revealed such transfers, however, it is likely that John Sheahan would have performed that evaluation when he did the Polk County review of the utility's rates.
- 3. A complete resolution of the DEP issues should be obtained prior to transfer, or a consent order entered which states all issues and the manner and time for resolving such issues.
- 4. The cost of making the second filter bank automatic should be considered unless the seller has completed that work.
- 5. The cost of expanding the WWTP should be considered to the extent that capacity fees for additional units have already been collected or otherwise promised. Either way, such expansion plans should be initiated immediately.
- 6. I could find no agreement concerning the rights and duties of the utility and golf course owners for handling the reuse water. I could only find a covenant running with the land which stated the right of the utility to spray on the golf course in very broad terms. The agreement should either be obtained, or if one does not exist, a more precise description of duties between the golf course and utility should be drafted as part of the sale.
- 7. N.D. Walker of the PSC said that the certificates had been issued for the utility, and the rates set; however, he would not say whether any latent rate-making issues like CIAC had been

resolved by the PSC review, but said that he felt certain that if such issues existed, Sheahan would have made an issued of them in the last Polk County rate case.

Other Issues/Review to be resolved or completed (preliminary list)

- 1. Need to discuss capacity and WWTP improvement issues with the engineers at Gaylor, and the sellers.
- Need to discuss and resolve the 230 unmetered units, the leased lines, and the golf course reuse agreement.
- 3. Need to discuss WTP with Jeffers of Polk County Health Unit.
- 4. Need to discuss any potential rate-making or tariff issues with Sheahan because the PSC has not closely reviewed those matters at this time.
- 5. Need to discuss the utility with Fred Babb and obtain his representations concerning the utility system operating properly except as already shown in DEP documents.
- 6. If possible, discuss the system with prior operators to see if they are aware of any latent defects not already discovered.
- 7. Easements for all lines up to and including the meters for the water and up to and including sewer lateral "wyes". You should consider the cost/benefit of having the lines located and verified in the easements, or obtaining economically-backed warranties that such lines are in the easements.

8. Need to reconcile commitments for service with capacity at the treatment plants, and to the extent possible, collection/distribution systems.

Further documents helpful in review (if they exist)

- 1. Contracts for service to existing homes.
- Contracts showing obligation to provide service to future units. -
- 3. Any other contracts which will obligate new owner.
- 4. Effluent reuse agreement for golf course.
- 5. Hydrogeologic reports predicting golf course capability to dispose of effluent (for review by engineer).
- 6. Prospectus for subdivision (if any) showing rights and obligations concerning water and wastewater service especially concerning the initial 230 units discussed above.

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

Docket No. 971220-WS

Application for Transfer to of Certificate Nos. 592-W and 509-S from Cypress Lakes Associates, Ltd. To Cypress Lakes Utilities, Inc. in Polk County

Commission Staff's First Request for Production of Documents

2. Please provide copies of any documents used in responding to Staff's First Set of Interrogatories, Interrogatory No. 1, relating to letters, notices of violation or consent orders from the Florida Department of Environment of Protection or Polk County

See attached.

Department of Environmental Protection

10

Lawton Chiles Governor Southwest District 3804 Coconut Palm Drive Tampa, Florida 33619

Virginia B. Wetherell Secretary

CERTIFIED MAIL
RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

January 28 1997 Polk County-DW

Mr. M. Steven Sembler
Cypress Lakes Associates, Ltd.
c/o Sembler Investments
5858 Central Avenue
St. Petersburg, FL. 33707

re:

Warning Letter No. WL970001DW53SWD Cypress Lakes Wastewater Treatment Plant

Dear Mr. Sembler:

The purpose of this letter is to advise you of possible violations of law for which you may be responsible, and to seek your cooperation in resolving the matter. A field inspection and file review conducted on January 17, 1997 indicate that a violation of Florida Statutes and Rules may exist at the above described facility. Department of Environmental Protection personnel observed the following:

- 1. Monthly Operating Reports indicate that influent CBOD5 and TSS and effluent CBOD5, TSS and Nitrate are not being sampled every two weeks, as required.
- 2. Monthly Operating Reports indicate that the permit limit for Fecal Coliform counts was exceeded once in May and once in June, 1996.
- 3. The May and June, 1996 Monthly Operating Reports indicate that the geometric mean of the Fecal Coliform results was incorrectly calculated.
- 4. The February and March, 1996 Monthly Operating Reports indicate that Fecal Coliform was not sampled daily, as required.
- 5. Monthly Operating Reports indicate that Percent Capacity is being incorrectly calculated.
- Monthly Operating Reports indicate that CBOD5, TSS and Nitrate are not being correctly recorded on the summary page.
- 7. The November, 1996 Monthly Operating Report indicates that the Fecal Coliform sampling and analysis times are the same.
- 8. The flow measuring device has not been calibrated annually as required.
- 9. The surge tank was completely full and bypassing into the aeration tank. Therefore, the surge tank was not functioning properly.
- 10. Several air diffusers were leaking.

"Protect, Conserve and Manage Florida's Environment and Natural Resources"

Warning Letter No. WL970001DW53SWD Page Two

- 11. There were excessive solids on the surface of both clarifiers.
- 12. The stilling well of the east plant contained foam which was carrying over into the clarifier.
- 13. Solids were observed going over both weirs and entering the chlorine contact chamber.
- 14. The gas chlorination system lacked proper safety features. There was no ammonia solution and the scales were not being used.
- 15. The east sand filter was clogged.
- 16. The reuse system reject alarm light was lit. There was, however, no diversion of effluent to the reject pond taking place, indicating an override of the automatic reject system.
- 17. The reject pond had recently overflown its berms and flowed into a nearby wetland. However, the Department did not receive notification of this abnormal event.
- 18. The Department has not received the annual summary report of sludge removed from the plant.
- 19. The reject pond completely full, therefore, one day of storage in the pond was not being maintained.

Although not a violation, you are hereby requested to provide to the Department an update to your Operating Protocol required for public access reuse systems, pursuant to the guidelines for Operating Protocols established in Rule 62-610.320(6), Florida Administrative Code.

Rule 62-601.300(1), Florida Administrative Code, provides that wastewater treatment facilities shall monitor the influent for CBOD5 and suspended solids, and the reclaimed water for all reclaimed water limitations which are identified in the wastewater permit.

Rules 62-4.130 and 62-600.750, Florida Administrative Code, provide that if the permittee is temporarily unable to comply with any of the conditions of the permit due to breakdown of equipment or destruction by hazard of fire, wind or by other cause, the permittee shall immediately notify the Department.

Rule 62-600.740(2)(g), Florida Administrative Code, provides that the submission, by the owner, manager or operator of a domestic wastewater facility, or agent thereof, of false, misleading or inaccurate information or operational reports to the Department, either knowingly or through neglect, is prohibited.

Rule 62-600.440(5)(f), Florida Administrative Code, provides that for facilities to provide high-level disinfection shall be designed to result in a reclaimed water in which any one fecal coliform sample shall not exceed 25 fecal coliform values per 100mL of sample.

Rule 62-601.200(17), Florida Administrative Code, provides that a flow measuring device shall be calibrated annually.

Rule 62-600.410(6), Florida Administrative Code, provides that all facilities and equipment necessary for the treatment, reuse and disposal of domestic wastewater and domestic wastewater residuals shall be maintained, at a minimum, so as to function as intended.

Rule 62-600.740(2)(a), Florida Administrative Code, provides that it is a violation, and therefore prohibited, to release wastewater effluent or residuals without proper treatment.

Warning Letter No. WL970001DW53SWD Page Three

Section 403.088, Florida Statutes, and Rule 62-3.404, Florida Administrative Code, provide that it is a violation, and therefore prohibited, for a facility to cause or allow the disposal of pollutant materials onto the ground, if the disposal results in a discharge to groundwater.

Rule 62-610.462(1), Florida Administrative Code, provides that public access reuse systems shall have a minimum Class 1 reliability as described in Rule 62-610.300(4)(c), Florida Administrative Code.

Rule 62-640.700(3)(p), Florida Administrative Code, provides that records of residuals application rates must be maintained by the wastewater treatment plant permittee and must be available for inspection upon request by the Department. Rule 62-640.700(3)(p), F.A.C. further provides that a summary of the total domestic wastewater residuals, nitrogen and heavy metals applied on an annual basis shall be provided.

Rule 62-610.464(3), Florida Administrative Code, provides that reject water storage shall have, at a minimum, the volume equal to one day flow at the average daily design flow of the treatment plant, or the average daily permitted flow of the wastewater treatment plant, whichever is less.

The activities observed during the Department's field inspection and any other activities at your facility that may be contributing to violations of the above described statutes or rules should be ceased. Operation of a facility in violation of state statutes or rules may results in liability for damages and restoration, and the judicial imposition of civil penalties up to \$10,000.00 per violation per day pursuant to Sections 403.141 and 403.161, Florida Statutes.

YOU ARE HEREBY REQUESTED TO CONTACT MICHELE HENNESSY DUGGAN at (813) 7446100, extension 335 within 15 days of receipt of this Warning Letter to arrange a meeting to discuss this matter. The Department is interested in reviewing any facts you may have that will assist in determining whether any violations have occurred. You may bring anyone with you to the meeting that you feel could help resolve this matter.

PLEASE BE ADVISED that this Warning Letter is part of an agency investigation, preliminary to agency action in accordance with Section 120.57(4), Florida Statutes. We look forward to your cooperation in completing the investigation and resolution of this matter.

Sincerely,

Richard D. Garrity, Ph.D.

Director of District Management

Southwest District

RDG/mhd

cc: Michael Christopher, COS

714 046 P50 q

RECEIPT FOR CERTIFIED MAIL NO INSUEANCE COVENACE PRO: 050 NOT FOR INTERNATIONAL MAIL NATIONAL NATI

	Posimark or Date Cypress Lake WWTP Jan. 28, 1997	PS Form 3800,				
Ę	seed one egalsog JATOT	Jun				
	Date of Despite Second	June 1985				
	colar ang eseng due uso most Su most i datang golde	51				
	seg NAC NG CALLASTE					
	eu-€.	1				
	Sea Fee					
•	958180G					
33707	P.O. State and ZIP Code St. Petersburg, FL.					
	Sieer and No 5858 Central Ave.					
	Servio Sembler					
(9SIANAR AAS)						

Domestic Return Receipt		PS Form 3811, December 1904
		6. Signature: (Addressee or Agent)
beiseupen ii yinO) ssenbbA s' (biaq	eesserbbA .8 si eel bris	5. Received By: (Print Mame)
710 040 617 ype def def def def def def def d	4b. Service 7 enetalgeR Replatere N	3. Aricae Addressed to: M. Steven Sembler Cypress Lakes Associates c\o Sembler Investments 5858 Central Ave. St. Petersburg, FL 33707
I also wish to receive the following services (for an extra fee): 1.	nedmun e steb erit b	SENDER:



Department of Environmental Protection epartment of

Southw Frevironmental Protection 3804 Coconut Palm Drive

Lawton Chiles Governor

Tampa, Florida 33619

Secretary

Memorandum

TO:	Richard D. Garrity, Ph.D.	RETURN TO: Miche	ele Duggan
THROUGH:	Michael S. Hickey, P.E. Ed Snipes, P.E. Tom Gucciardo	8	
FROM:	Michele Duggan		
DATE:	January 24, 1997		
SUBJECT:	Compliance/Enforcement File R Domestic Wastewater Program		
FILE NAME:	Cypress Lakes Associates, Ltd.	(Cypress Lakes WWTF))
xx Warning Lette	er -		Draft Final Order (to OGC)
Draft NOV (to O	GC)		Final Order (D.D.M. sign)
Revised NOV (to	OGC)		Press Release Request to be sent to Talia.
Final NOV (D.D.	.M. sign)		(see attached form)
Draft CO (to OG Revised CO (to C	•		NOV/CO Closure OGC Case Closure Action Item
Final CO (Respon	ndent sign)		Draft Correspondence for D.D.M./W.F.A./Pro- gram Mgr/Compl/Enf Mgr
Final CO (D.D.M	1. sign)		Approval for Penalty by Secretary verified by OGC
Short Form CO (ICase Report (to O			Other
COMMENTS: Warnin	ng Letter addressing chronic opera	tion/maintenance viola	tions as well as failure to notify of

abnormal event and continuing reporting violations.

Facility: Cupille	lace-	GMS	ID No.: 40	<u>530:066</u>) 	
County: Polk	Permit No.	:D053-	31915C	Expiraton	Date:	7.23.98

1	S	ampling Fr	equency		60.8LC-82W	5 8-82W	12-8/20-E/W	-><- & - daily	
	Report		Flow0 160		CBOD	TSS	NO ₃	F.C.	Other
12	Mo.	Dt Rcvd	0.074	46.3	2.9 (2.7)	0,5	28/56	<1	
ر ادا	1/96	22296	0.079		18/2.6	24/11	4.4 /2.8	<1	
, 2.	- /	3 2596	0.082	\$ (49)	24/22	13/33	5.5/5.2		nort same class IC
ָ בי	مم	4.25.96	0.085	(51)	20/23	11/2.6	042/57	<1	NOTE TIMES AC NOTE TIMES NO SEMPLING FOR
"7	11/	5.31.96	0.073	(50)	2.0/2,1	1.1/2.6	2.87/0.08	<1	pofc + ne
<u></u>	Ship	6.26.96	0.054	(44)	J.5/2.2	1.6/1.6	3.70/2.82	< l	No FC +max
,	6/10	7.2496	0.052	(31)	2.2/2.0	1.1/2.7	496/8.39	<1 *	No FC times NO Sampling Inters that TNICLUIT
,†	7/00	8.26.96	0.050	(33) 31.3	2.2/2.0	1/2.2	10.7 /3.5	4	nofc times
Ī	8/9/3	92596	0 050	(32) 31.3	4.3/3.6	1.1/0.6	496/180	41	rospay/safer
7	9/90	10 28 96	0.052	32.5	26(2,5)	2.4	3.85	<]	
16	1946	11 2696	0062		(2.77) 30	15	0.559	4	NO not soupplers 1900
6	1/96	11.397	0.071	(3F) U4.4	ے کر ہ	(3,0) 1.4	19 (72)	<1 >	ত্যার করে। নিজেমীর ক্রমত বর্তনার করে। ত
T	T	otal	0.784		52.17			* not call sm	
	Average 0.065		2.087			correctly!			
A R F	ludge innual PZ Cer low Me	Analyses: Updates:S rtification eter Calib	n: ration:	a co.					L sample processing times and the
C	comment	ts: Mars h	ove addit	ioral Coop	alumos	or higher	id for ac	dditional Sa	mpler valles

Sampling 2x minimuly, net every 2 wirs for Obers 750 No3

BEFORE THE STATE OF FLORIDA

POLK COUNTY HEALTH DEPARTMENT

FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH POLK COUNTY HEALTH DEPARTMENT

IN THE OFFICE OF THE SOUTHWEST DISTRICT

Complainant,

vs:

OGC CASE NO.98-653PW5055A

Robert B. Young Cypress Lakes Utility, Inc.

Respondent.

CONSENT ORDER

This Consent Order is made and entered into between the Florida Department of Health, Polk County Health Department ("Department"), and Robert B. Young for Cypress Lakes Utility, Inc. ("Respondent") to reach settlement of certain matters at issue between the Department and Respondent.

The Department finds and the Respondent admits the following:

- 1. The Department is the administrative agency of the State of Florida charged with the duty to administer and enforce the provisions of the Florida Safe Drinking Water Act, Sections 403.850, et seq., Florida Statutes, and the rules promulgated thereunder, Florida Administrative Code Title 62. The Department has jurisdiction over the matters addressed in this Consent Order.
- 2. Respondent is a person within the meaning of Section 403.852(5), Florida Statutes.

LIN LIN COLLOR DEDO 1 00/2041 2041 2041 1 20 14-10 F.C

OGC CASE NO.98-653PW5055A Page 2

- 3. Respondent is the owner of a community public water system, PWS #6535055, located at 10000 U.S. Highway 98 North, Lakeland, Florida (Latitude 28:10:30, Longitude 81:59:35) and known as Cypress Lakes Mobile Home Park.
- 4. System has failed to monitor for Primary Inorganics, Secondaries, Volatile Organic Contaminants, Pesticides and PCBs, Radiological and Group II Unregulated Contaminants in 1997 as required; System also failed to do public notification to users as required.

Respondent and the Department met on 02/17/98 at the Polk County Health Department in Bartow, Florida and reached a resolution of the matter, pursuant to Florida Administrative Code Rule 62-103.110(3), Respondent and the Department mutually agree and it is ORDERED:

- 5. Within fifteen (15) days of the effective date of this Consent Order, Respondent shall:
 - a) Monitor for Primary Inorganics and submit analyses results as required by Chapter 62-550.519 of The Florida Administrative Code Rule.
 - b) Monitor for Pesticides and PCBs and submit analyses results as required by Chapter 62-550.516 of the Florida Administrative Code Rule.
 - c) Monitor for Secondary Inorganic Contaminants and submit analyses results as required by Chapter 62-550.520 of the Florida Administrative Code Rule.
 - d) Monitor for Volatile Organic Contaminants and submit analyses results as required by Chapter 62-550.515 of the Florida Administrative Code Rule.
 - e) Monitor for Radionuclides and submit analyses results as required by Chapter 62-550.519 of the Florida Administrative Code Rule.
 - f) Monitor for Group II Unregulated Contaminants and submit analyses results as required by Chapter 62-550.521 of the Florida Administrative Code Rule.
 - g) Provide public notification to your customers for failure to do required monitoring in 1997 as required by Chapter 62-550 of the Florida Administrative Code Rule. This notice must be accomplished and verified to this Department in accordance with Chapter 62-560 of the Florida Administrative Code Rule.
- 6. Within ten days of execution of this Consent Order, Respondent shall pay the Department \$870.00 in settlement of the matters addressed in this Consent Order. This amount includes \$750.00 in civil penalties for alleged violations of Section 403.859, Florida Statutes, and of the Department's rules and \$120.00 for costs and expenses incurred by the Department during the investigation of this matter and the preparation and tracking of this Consent Order. Payment shall be made by cashier's check or money order. The instrument shall be made payable to the Polk County Health Department and shall include thereon the OGC number assigned to this Consent Order. The payment shall be sent to the Polk County Health Department, 2090 East Clower Street, Bartow, Florida 33830.

OGC CASE NO.98-653PW5055A Page 3

7. Persons who are not parties to this Consent Order but whose substantial interests are affected by this Consent Order have a right, pursuant to Section 120.57, Florida Statutes, to petition for an administrative hearing on it. The Petition must contain the information set forth below and must be filed (received) at the Department's Office of General Counsel, 2600 Blair Stone Road, Tallahassee, Florida, 32399-2400, within 21 days of receipt of this notice. A copy of the Petition must also be mailed at the time of filing to the District Office named above at the address indicated. Failure to file a petition within the 21 days constitutes a waiver of any right such person has to an administrative hearing pursuant to Section 120.57, Florida Statutes.

The petition shall contain the following information:

- a) The name, address, and telephone number of each petitioner; the Department's Consent Order identification number and the county in which the subject matter or activity is located;
- b) A statement of how and when each petitioner received notice of the Consent Order.
- c) A statement of how each petitioner's substantial interests are affected by the Consent Order;
- d) A statement of the material facts disputed by petitioner, if any;
- e) A statement of facts which petitioner contends warrant reversal or modification of the Consent Order:
- f) A statement of which rules or statutes petitioner contends require reversal or modification of the Consent Order;
- g) A statement of the relief sought by petitioner, stating precisely the action petitioner wants the Department to take with respect to the Consent Order.

If a petition is filed, the administrative hearing process is designed to formulate agency action. Accordingly, the Department's final action may be different from the position taken by it in this Notice. Persons whose substantial interests will be affected by any decision of the Department with regard to the subject Consent Order have the right to petition to become a party to the proceeding. The petition must conform to the requirements specified above and be filed (received) within 21 days of receipt of this notice in the Office of General Counsel at the above address of the Department. Failure to petition within the allowed time frame constitutes a waiver of any right such person has to request a hearing under Section 120.57, Florida Statutes, and to participate as a party to this proceeding. Any subsequent intervention will only be at the approval of the presiding officer upon motion filed pursuant to Rule 60Q-2.010, Florida Administrative Code.

8. Entry of this Consent Order does not relieve Respondent of the need to comply with the applicable federal, state or local laws, regulations or ordinances.

٤.

OGC CASE NO.98-653PW5055A Page 4

- 9. The terms and conditions set forth in this Consent Order may be enforced in a court of competent jurisdiction pursuant to Section 120.69 and 403.121, Florida Statutes. Failure to comply with the terms of this Consent Order shall constitute a violation of Section 403.859, Florida Statutes.
- 10. Respondent is fully aware that a violation of the terms of this Consent Order may subject Respondent to judicial imposition of damages, civil penalties of up to \$5,000.00 per offense, and criminal penalties.
- 11. Respondent shall allow all authorized representatives of the Department access to the property and plant at reasonable times for the purpose of determining compliance with this Consent Order and the rules of the Department.
- 12. All plans, applications, penalties, costs and expenses, and information required by this Consent Order to be submitted to the Department should be sent to Polk County Health Department, 2090 East Clower Street, Bartow, Florida 33830.
- 13. The Department hereby expressly reserves the right to initiate appropriate legal action to prevent or prohibit any violations of applicable statutes, or the rules promulgated thereunder that are not specifically addressed by the terms of this Consent Order.
- 14. The Department, for and in consideration of the complete and timely performance by Respondent of the obligations agreed to in this Consent Order, hereby waives its right to seek judicial imposition of damages or civil penalties for alleged violations outlined in this Consent Order. Respondent acknowledges but waives the right to an administrative hearing pursuant to Section 120.57 Florida Statutes, on the terms of this Consent Order. Respondent acknowledges the right to appeal the terms of this Consent Order pursuant to Section 120.68, Florida Statutes, but waives that right upon signing this Consent Order.
- 15. The provisions of this Consent Order shall apply to and be binding upon the parties, their officers, their directors, agents, servants, employees, successors, and assigns and all persons, firms and corporations acting under, through or for them and upon those persons, firms and corporations in active concert or participation with them.
- 16. No modifications of the terms of this Consent Order shall be effective until reduced to writing and executed by both Respondent and the Department.
- 17. If all of the requirements of this Consent Order have not been fully satisfied, Respondent shall, at least 14 days prior to a sale or conveyance of the property, (1) notify the Department of such sale or conveyance, and (2) provide a copy of this Consent Order with all attachments to the new owner.

ypress lakes Whileh, Inc

OGC CASE NO.98-653PW5055A Page 5

- 18. This Consent Order is a settlement of the Department's civil and administrative authority arising from Chapters 403 and 376, Florida Statutes, to pursue the allegations addressed herein. This Consent Order does not address settlement of any criminal liabilities which may arise from Sections 403.161(3) through (5), 403.413 (5), 403.727 (3) (b), 376.302 (3) and (4), or 376.3071 (10), Florida Statutes, nor does it address settlement of any violation which may be prosecuted criminally or civilly under federal law.
- 19. This Consent Order is final agency action of the Department pursuant to Section 120.69, Florida Statues, and Florida Administrative Code Rule 62-103.110 (3), and it is final and effective on the date filed with Clerk of the Department unless a Petition for Administrative Hearing is filed in accordance with Chapter 120, Florida Statutes. Upon the timely filing of a petition this Consent Order will not be effective until further order of the Department.

FOR THE RESPONDENT:

: 2//7/98 Date

Name

Tiela

_day of _ *FEBRUORY*______, 199

DONE AND ORDERED THIS in Bartow, Florida

FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH POLK COUNTY HEALTH DEPARTMENT

Donald R. Guthrie, P.E.

Administrator

Environmental Engineering 1290 Golfview Avenue 3rd Floor

Bartow, Florida 33830

OGC CASE NO.98-653PW5055A Pager 6

Daniel O. Haight M.D.

Director

Polk County Health Department 1290 Golfview Avenue 4th Floor Bartow, Florida 33830

Entered this 23 day of Jehruang . 199 in Bartow, Florida

Department Cle

Copies furnished to:

xc: Kenna Study

Department of Environmental Protection

2600 Blair Stone Road

Tallahassee, Florida 32399-3400

xc: Roland Reis, Legal Council
Polk County Health Department
1290 Golfview Avenue, 4th floor
Bartow, Florida 33830

COMMISSION STAFF'S FIRST REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF **DOCUMENTS** TO CYPRESS LAKES UTILITIES, INC. **DOCKET NO. 971220-WS**

I HEREBY DECLARE that the responses to the above interrogatories are true production and correct to my best knowledge and belief.

> Carl J. Wenz Vice President Regulatory Matters Utilities, Inc. 2335 Sanders Road Northbrook, IL 60062

> > By: Cal J. Wery

STATE OF ILLINOIS

COUNTY OF COOK

Notary Public

State of Illinois

My Commission Expires: 09-(8-01