JAMES S. ALVES BRIAN H. BIBEAU RICHARD S. BRIGHTMAN KEVIN B. COVINGTON PETER C. CUNNINGHAM RALPH A. DEMEO RANDOLPH M. GIDDINGS WILLIAM H. GREEN WADE L. HOPPING GARY K. HUNTER, JR. JONATHAN T. JOHNSON ROBERT A. MANNING FRANK E. MATTHEWS RICHARD D. MELSON ANGELA R. MORRISON GABRIEL E. NIETO ERIC T. OLSEN

....

.

HOPPING GREEN SAMS & SMITH PROFESSIONAL ASSOCIATION ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELORS 123 SOUTH CALHOUN STREET POST OFFICE BOX 6526 TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32314 (850) 222-7500 FAX (850) 224-8551 FAX (850) 425-3415

> Writer's Direct Dial No. (850) 425-2313

September 14, 1999

ORIGINAI

GARY V. PERKO MICHAEL P. PETROVICH DAVID L. POWELL WILLIAM D. PRESTON CAROLYN S. RAEPPLE DOUGLAS S. ROBERTS GARY P. SAMS TIMOTHY G. SCHOENWALDER ROBERT P. SMITH DAN R. STENGLE CHERYL G. STUART W. STEVE SYKES T. KENT WETHERELL, II

OF COUNSEL ELIZABETH C. BOWMAN

John D. Williams, Chief Bureau of Policy and Industry Structure Division of Water and Wastewater Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, FL 32399

> Re: Docket No. 990696-WS -- Certificate Application of Nocatee Utility Corporation -- Response to DCA Letter

Dear John:

This is Nocatee Utility Company's (NUC's) response to your letter of August 2, 1999, which forwarded for our comment a July 23, 1999 letter from the Department of Community Affairs (DCA) to the Florida Public Service Commission (PSC).

In its letter, the DCA states that based on the current Duval County and St. Johns County Comprehensive Plans, there is no identified need for central water and sewer service within NUC's proposed service area and that the development proposed in the application (Nocatee) appears to be inconsistent with those comprehensive plans.

EAG _____ As stated in NUC's application, the proposed development to LEG ______be served by the utility is required to undergo review through ______be served by the utility is required to undergo review through ______be served by the utility is required to undergo review through ______be served by the utility is required to undergo review through ______be served by the utility is required to undergo review through ______be served by the utility is required to undergo review through ______be served by the utility is required to undergo review through ______be served by the utility is required to undergo review through ______be served by the utility is required to undergo review through ______be served by the utility is required to undergo review through ______be served by the utility is required to undergo review through ______be served by the utility is required to undergo review through ______be served by the utility is required to undergo review through ______be served by the utility is required to undergo review through ______be served by the utility is required to undergo review through ______be served by the utility is required to undergo review through ______be served by the utility is required to undergo review through ______be served by the utility is required to undergo review through ______be served by the utility is required to undergo review through ______be served by the utility is required to undergo review through ______be served by the utility is required to undergo review through ______be served by the utility is required to undergo review through _______be served by the utility is required to undergo review through _______be served by the utility is required to undergo review through _______be served by the utility is required to undergo review through _______be served by the utility is required to undergo review through ________be served by the utility is required to undergo review through ________be served by the utility is required to undergo review through ___

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

11053 SEP 14 8

190

John D. Williams September 14, 1999 Page 2

1

In conjunction with the DRI review process, the property owner -- DDI, Inc. (DDI) -- will be seeking appropriate amendments to both the Duval County and St. Johns County Comprehensive Plans. DDI, which is NUC's corporate parent, recognizes that the amendment process must be successfully completed in order for it to obtain final authority to proceed with the Nocatee development.

DDI is confident that it will obtain the required DRI approval and comprehensive plan amendments in due course. Indeed, DDI expects that the final Development Order will **require** that the development be served by a central water and wastewater system. NUC's application for a certificate is intended to provide the vehicle by which that service will be provided.

As suggested by the DCA's comments, the only question regarding comprehensive plan consistency appears to be one of timing. Clearly the Nocatee development will have to be consistent with the comprehensive plan requirements in effect at the time development is commenced in 2001.

NUC respectfully suggests that there is no reason for the PSC to delay its review of NUC's application pending completion of the parallel proceedings that are required to obtain overall development approval. An early determination of how utility service will be provided to Nocatee is critical to DDI's overall planning effort, which is employing an integrated approach to utility, water resource, land use planning, and environmental issues.

If you have any questions regarding this response, or any other aspect of the DCA's comment letter, please let me know.

Very truly yours,

nie D. M

Richard D. Melson Attorneys for Nocatee Utility Corp.

RDM/mee

cc: Blanca Bayo (for docket file) Samantha Cibula John Wharton

100