

# BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Fuel and purchased power cost recovery clause and

generating performance incentive

factor.

**AFA** 

APP

CAF CMU

CTR

EAG

MAS OPC PAI

WAW

OTH

DOCKET NO. 990001-EI

FILED: OCTOBER 14, 1999

# STAFF'S PRELIMINARY LIST OF ISSUES

The Staff of the Florida Public Service Commission hereby files its Preliminary List of Issues and Positions in this docket.

#### GENERIC FUEL ADJUSTMENT ISSUES

- <u>ISSUE 1:</u> What are the appropriate final fuel adjustment true-up amounts for the period April, 1998 through December, 1998?
- <u>ISSUE 2:</u> What are the appropriate estimated fuel adjustment true-up amounts for the period January, 1999 through December, 1999?
- <u>ISSUE 3:</u> What are the appropriate total fuel adjustment true-up amounts to be collected/refunded from January, 2000 to December, 2000?
- <u>ISSUE 4:</u> What are the appropriate levelized fuel cost recovery factors for the period January, 2000 to December, 2000?
- <u>ISSUE 5:</u> What should be the effective date of the fuel adjustment charge and capacity cost recovery charge for billing purposes?
- ISSUE 6: What are the appropriate fuel recovery line loss multipliers to be used in calculating the fuel cost recovery factors charged to each rate class/ delivery voltage level class?
- <u>ISSUE 7:</u> What are the appropriate fuel cost recovery factors for each rate class/delivery voltage level class adjusted for line losses?

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

12512 OCT 14 8

- <u>ISSUE 8:</u> What is the appropriate revenue tax factor to be applied in calculating each company's levelized fuel factor for the projection period of January, 2000 to December, 2000?
- ISSUE 9: What is the appropriate regulatory treatment for transmission revenue received from non-separated wholesale energy sales not made through the Energy Broker Network (EBN)?
- ISSUE 10: What is the appropriate regulatory treatment for the generation-related gain on non-separated wholesale energy sales not made through the EBN?
- ISSUE 11: Should the Commission eliminate the 20 percent shareholder incentive set forth in Order No. 12923, issued January 24, 1984, in Docket No. 830001-EU-B?
- ISSUE 12: If the Commission should decide to maintain the 20 percent shareholder incentive set forth in Order No. 12923, issued January 24, 1984, in Docket No. 830001-EU-B, what types of economy energy sales should be eligible for the 20 percent shareholder incentive?
- ISSUE 13: When should the utilities subject to the Commission's fuel and purchased power cost recovery clause submit their projection filings and testimonies to set their 2001 levelized fuel and capacity cost factors?

#### COMPANY-SPECIFIC FUEL ADJUSTMENT ISSUES

# Florida Power & Light Company

- ISSUE 14A: Should the Commission allow Florida Power & Light Company (FPL) to amortize the cost of its nuclear units' "last core" of nuclear fuel over the remaining life of each plant and recover those costs in the fuel and purchased power cost recovery clause?
- ISSUE 14B: What is the appropriate fuel price forecast for fuel oil and natural gas when determining FPL's appropriate levelized fuel cost recovery factor for the period January, 2000 to December, 2000?

Should the Commission allow FPL to recover, through the fuel and purchased power cost recovery clause, its payment to Cedar Bay which resulted from the circuit court's resolution of a contract dispute over the energy pricing provision of a QF contract between the two parties?

# Florida Power Corporation

- ISSUE 15A: Has Florida Power Corporation confirmed the validity of the methodology used to determine the equity component of Electric Fuels Corporation's capital structure for calendar year 1998?
- ISSUE 15B: Has Florida Power Corporation properly calculated the market price true-up for coal purchases from Powell Mountain?
- ISSUE 15C: Has Florida Power Corporation properly calculated the 1998 price for waterborne transportation services provided by Electric Fuels Corporation?
- ISSUE 15D: Should the Commission allow Florida Power to recover the cost of purchasing 18,000 tons of SO<sub>2</sub> emission allowances in the year 2000 through the fuel and purchased power cost recovery clause?
- ISSUE 15E: Should the Commission allow Florida Power to recover the payment made to Lake Cogen, Ltd. as ordered by a final judgment entered in a lawsuit brought against Florida Power by Lake Cogen, Ltd. regarding a dispute over the energy pricing provision of a negotiated QF contract between the two parties?

# Gulf Power Company

- ISSUE 16A: Is Gulf Power's proposal to burn low sulfur coal in its Smith Units 1 and 2 the most cost effective strategy to comply with Phase II of the 1990 Amendment to the Clean Air Act?
- ISSUE 16B: Is Gulf Power's proposal to burn bituminous coal at
   its Plant Daniel the most cost effective strategy

to increase Gulf Power's capacity resources by 52 MW?

# Tampa Electric Company

- ISSUE 17A: What is the appropriate 1998 benchmark price for coal Tampa Electric Company purchased from its affiliate, Gatliff Coal Company?
- ISSUE 17B: Has Tampa Electric Company adequately justified any costs associated with the purchase of coal from Gatliff Coal Company that exceed the 1998 benchmark price?
- ISSUE 17C: What is the appropriate 1998 waterborne coal transportation benchmark price for transportation services provided by affiliates of Tampa Electric Company?
- ISSUE 17D: Has Tampa Electric Company adequately justified any costs associated with transportation services provided by affiliates of Tampa Electric Company that exceed the 1998 waterborne transportation benchmark price?
- ISSUE 17E: Should the Commission allow the incremental costs of replacement fuel and purchased power costs associated with the explosion that occurred at Gannon Unit 6 on April 9, 1999 to be recovered?
- ISSUE 17F: What is the appropriate true-up amount for the temporary base rate reduction as approved in Order No. PSC-96-1300-S-EI, in Docket No. 960409-EI, issued October 24, 1996?
- ISSUE 17G: What is the appropriate regulatory treatment for the true-up amount for the temporary base rate reduction as approved in Order No. PSC-96-1300-S-ET, in Docket No. 960409-EI, issued October 24, 1996?
- ISSUE 17H: Are the energy costs associated with five purchased power agreements between Tampa Electric Company and Okeelanta Corporation, Farmland Hydro, Auburndale Power Partners, and Hardee Power Partners Limited

prudent and appropriate for recovery through the fuel and purchased power cost recovery clause?

- ISSUE 17I: Are the costs associated with accelerating the commercial in-service date of Polk Unit 2, Tampa Electric Company's next generation unit, from January, 2001 to October, 2000 prudent?
- ISSUE 17J: Should the Commission approve Tampa Electric Company's proposed regulatory treatment for its wholesale power supply agreement with Florida Municipal Power Agency for January 1, 2000 through March 15, 2001?
- ISSUE 17K: How should Tampa Electric Company implement the \$11,226,598 combined refund as ordered by the Commission in Order No. PSC-99-1940-PAA-EI in Docket No. 950379-EI, issued October 1, 1999 and by the Commission's decision at the September 7, 1999 agenda?

# GENERIC GENERATING PERFORMANCE INCENTIVE FACTOR ISSUES

- ISSUE 18A: What is the appropriate GPIF reward or penalty for performance achieved by Florida Power & Light Company during the period October, 1997 through September, 1998?
- ISSUE 18B: What is the appropriate GPIF reward or penalty for performance achieved by Florida Power Corporation, Tampa Electric Company, and Gulf Power Company during the period April, 1998 through September, 1998?
- ISSUE 18C: What is the appropriate GPIF reward or penalty for performance achieved during the period October, 1998 through December, 1998?
- ISSUE 19: What should the GPIF targets/ranges be for the period January, 2000 through December, 2000?

#### COMPANY-SPECIFIC GENERATING PERFORMANCE INCENTIVE FACTOR ISSUES

# Gulf Power Company

ISSUE 20: Should Gulf Power include a new Btu per pound independent
variable in the Plant Daniel target heat rate equations?

# GENERIC CAPACITY COST RECOVERY FACTOR ISSUES

- ISSUE 21: What is the appropriate final capacity cost recovery true-up amount for Florida Power & Light Company and Gulf Power Company for the period October, 1997, through December, 1998?
- ISSUE 22: What is the appropriate final capacity cost recovery true-up amount for Florida Power Corporation and Tampa Electric Company for the period April, 1998 through December, 1998?
- ISSUE 23: What is the appropriate estimated capacity cost recovery true-up amount for the period January, 1999 through December, 1999?
- ISSUE 24: What is the appropriate total capacity cost recovery true-up amount to be collected/refunded during the period January, 2000 through December, 2000?
- ISSUE 25: What is the appropriate projected net purchased power capacity cost recovery amount to be included in the recovery factor for the period January, 2000 through December, 2000?
- ISSUE 26: What are the projected capacity cost recovery factors for the period January, 2000 through December, 2000?

# COMPANY-SPECIFIC CAPACITY COST RECOVERY CLAUSE ISSUES

# Florida Power & Light Company

ISSUE 27: Should the Commission allow FPL to recover, through the capacity cost recovery clause, its payment to Cedar Bay

which resulted from the circuit court's resolution of a contract dispute over the capacity pricing provision of a QF contract between the two parties?

# Tampa Electric Company

ISSUE 28: Are the capacity costs associated with five purchased power agreements between Tampa Electric Company and Okeelanta Corporation, Farmland Hydro, Auburndale Power Partners, and Hardee Power Partners Limited prudent and appropriate for recovery through the capacity cost recovery clause?

Dated this 14th day of October, 1999.

Respectfully submitted,

WM. COCHRAN KEATING

Staff Counsel

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Gerald L. Gunter Building, Room 370 Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850 (850)413-6199

#### BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Fuel and purchased power

cost recovery clause and

generating performance incentive

factor.

DOCKET NO. 990001-EI

FILED: OCTOBER 14, 1999

### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that one true and correct copy of Staff's Preliminary List of Issues has been furnished by U.S. Mail this 14th day of October, 1999, to the following:

Ausley & McMullen James Beasley P. O. Box 391 Tallahassee, FL 32302

Beggs & Lane Jeffery Stone P.O. Box 12950 Pensacola, FL 32576

Suzanne Brownless 1311-B Paul Russell Rd., #201 Tallahassee, FL 32301

FIPUG McWhirter Reeves McGlothlin Vicki Kaufman 117 S. Gadsden Street Tallahassee, FL 32301

Gulf Power Company Susan D. Cranmer One Energy Place Pensacola, FL 32520 Florida Power & Light Company Bill Walker 215 S. Monroe Street Suite 810 Tallahassee, FL 32301

Florida Power Corporation James McGee P.O. Box 14042 St. Petersburg, FL 33733

Destec Energy, inc. Barry Huddleston 1000 Louisiana St., #5800 Houston, TX 77002

Florida Public Utilities Co. Frank Cressman P.O. Box 3395 West Palm Beach, FL 33402

Tampa Electric Company Angela Llewellyn Regulatory Affairs P.O. Box 111 Tampa, FL 33601 DOCKET NO. 990001-EI CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE PAGE 2

McWhirter Reeves McGlothlin John W. McWhirter, Jr. P.O. Box 3350 Tampa, FL 33601

Rutledge Law Firm Kenneth Hoffman P.O. Box 551 Tallahassee, FL 32301 Office of Public Counsel John Roger Howe 111 West Madison Street Room 812 Tallahassee, FL 32399

Steel Hector & Davis Matthew M. Childs 215 S. Monroe Street Suite 601 Tallahassee, FL 32301

WM. COCHRAN KEATING Staff Counsel

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Gerald L. Gunter Building, Room 370 Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850 (850)413-6199