ORIGINAL

MEMORANDUM

October 18, 1999

TO:

FROM:

RE:

DIVISION OF LEGAL SERVICES (Jaye)

DOCKET NO. 990007 DOCKET NO. 990007-EI - Environmental Cost Recovery Clause

Attached is the prefiled direct testimony of G. John Slemkewicz, to be issued in the above-referenced docket.

GAJ

Attachment

cc: Division of Auditing and Financial Analysis

I:memol.gaj

Atri	
APP	
CAF	
CMU	-
CTR	**************
EAG	
LEG	
MAS	3+ 50g
	71 06
OPC	0
PAI	
SEC	1
M/AW	
OTEL OTEL	

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

12698 OCT 18 #

ORIGINAL

DOCKET NO.: 990007-EI - Environmental Cost Recovery Clause

WITNESS: Direct Testimony of G. John Slemkewicz. Appearing On Behalf Of The Staff Of The Florida Public Service Commission, Division Of Auditing And Financial Analysis.

DATE FILED: October 18, 1999

12698 OCT 188

FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF G. JOHN SLEMKEWICZ

- 2 | Q. Please state your name and business address.
- 3 A. My name is G. John Slemkewicz. My business address is 2540 Shumard Oak
- 4 | Boulevard, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850.
- 5 | Q. By whom are you presently employed and in what capacity?
- 6 A. I am employed by the Florida Public Service Commission. My current
- 7 position is Public Utilities Supervisor of the Energy Section in the Bureau
- 8 of Accounting and Competitive Safeguards of the Division of Auditing and
- 9 | Financial Analysis.

- 10 | Q. How long have you been employed by the Commission?
- 11 A. I have been employed by the Florida Public Service Commission for
- 12 | approximately 21 years.
- 13 Q. Briefly review your education and professional background.
- 14 A. I graduated from the University of South Florida in Tampa, Florida, in
- 15 | 1976 with a Bachelor of Arts Degree in accounting. I was employed as an
- 16 accounting clerk by Tampa Electric Company from 1974-1978. I began working
- 17 | for the Florida Public Service Commission in 1978 as an auditor. Since that
- 18 time, I have held various accounting positions in several divisions related
- 19 to the accounting regulation of the electric and gas utilities, achieving a
- 20 supervisory level in 1980. During the last reorganization affecting the title
- 21 of my position in 1991, I became a Public Utilities Supervisor.
- 22 Q. What are your current responsibilities as Public Utilities Supervisor
- 23 of the Energy Section?
- 24 A. I supervise the analysis of accounting-related matters affecting the
- 25 regulated electric and gas utilities in Florida.

- 1 Q. Have you presented expert testimony before this Commission or any other 2 regulatory agency?
- 3 A. Yes. I have previously presented testimony before this Commission.
- 4 | Q. What is the purpose of your testimony today?

÷ .

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- A. The purpose of my testimony is to address the appropriate basis for evaluating whether an adjustment for "costs currently being recovered through base rates" is required to environmental compliance costs submitted for recovery pursuant to Section 366.8255, Florida Statutes.
- 9 Q. Why is there a question concerning what costs are being recovered 10 currently through base rates?
 - In the past, the utilities' base rates were frequently revised and updated through the traditional ratemaking mechanism of the full revenue requirements rate case. Under that procedure, revenues, expenses and investment would be reviewed and new base rates would be implemented at the conclusion of the rate case. Therefore, the base rates currently in effect at a given point in time were a reasonable approximation of the level and mix of revenues, expenses and investment in place at that time. changes were usually addressed by the initiation of another rate case to adjust any imbalances. The Commission also once had available the Modified Minimum Filing Requirements Report (MMFR) that was authorized by Section 366.06(3). Florida Statutes. In the absence of a recent rate case, each electric utility was required to periodically file rate case type financial data for formal review by the Commission. This requirement was subsequently repealed by the legislature. However, mechanisms other than the traditional rate case are being utilized to address the level of earnings in the current

- 1 | regulatory environment.
- 2 | Q. What other mechanisms are currently being utilized?
- A. The utilities earnings levels have been adjusted through the use of stipulations and agreements that have imposed restrictions on earnings or revenue levels and/or have allowed the write off of certain assets or costs.
- 6 Q. Do these other mechanisms affect the level of the utilities' base rates?
 - A. They may, depending on how they are implemented. While refunds and the write off of various items affect the achieved level of the utilities' earnings, they do not result in any revisions in the utilities' last authorized base rates. Base rates are only affected if the stipulation or agreement requires a modification of the base rates that are currently being charged to the ratepayers.
 - Q. In your opinion, what is the appropriate basis for evaluating whether any environmental costs submitted for recovery are currently being recovered through base rates?
 - A. In my opinion, the appropriate starting point for evaluating whether any of these costs are currently being recovered through base rates is the revenues, expenses and investment in place at the time of the most recent revision to the utilities' base rates. In some instances, the most recent base rate revision would have occurred during the last rate case. For some utilities, however, base rates have been revised as the result of a stipulation. Regardless of the mechanism, I believe that base rates reflect the recovery of the revenues, expenses and investment at the time of the revision to the base rates.

Q. What about the utility's current level of earnings, as monitored in the Commission's earnings surveillance program?

A. The earnings level measured in the earnings surveillance program is an indication of how well the utility is doing based on the revenues generated by the most recently revised base rates. This is true whether the base rates were revised by a stipulation approved last month or by order issued as a result of a rate case concluded many years ago. It can be argued that all costs flow through the surveillance reports on a current basis and should be used to determine whether any environmental costs are being recovered through the revenues generated by the base rates that are in effect. That can lead to controversy regarding the adequacy of the level of the utility's earnings. Again, I believe that base rates reflect the level of revenues, expenses and investment recorded on the utilities' books at the time that base rates were revised.

- Q. Does that conclude your testimony?
- 16 A. Yes, it does.

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Environmental Cost

Recovery Clause

.

DOCKET NO. 990007-EI

FILED: October 18, 1999

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that one true and correct copy of the testimony of G. John Slemkewicz has been furnished by U.S. Mail this 18th day of October, 1999, to the following:

Jeffery Stone, Esquire Russell Badders, Esquire Beggs & Lane Post Office Box 12950 Pensacola, Florida 32501

John McWhirter, Esquire McWhirter, Reeves, McGlothlin Davidson, Decker, Kaufman, Arnold & Steen, P.A. Post Office Box 3350 Tampa, Florida 33601

James D. Beasley, Esquire Ausley & McMullen Post Office Box 391 Tallahassee, Florida 32302 Vicki Gordon Kaufman, Esquire McWhirter, Reeves, McGlothlin Davidson, Decker, Kaufman, Arnold & Steen, P.A. 117 South Gadsden Street Tallahassee, Florida 32301

Office of Public Counsel John Roger Howe, Esquire c/o The Florida Legislature 111 West Madison Street Room 812 Tallahassee, Florida 32399

Matt Childs, Esquire Steel, Hector & Davis, L.L.P. 215 South Monroe Street #601 Tallahassee, Florida 32301

GRACE A. JAYE Staff Counsel

Florida Bar No. 0847143

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Gerald L. Gunter Building Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850