

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In Re: Application of)
Florida Water Services)
Corporation for Amendment of)
Certificate No. 106 to add and)
delete territory in Lake County,)
Florida.)

er. 1. 10

DOCKET NO. 990054-WU

REPORTING

HECHIVED-FP

NOTICE OF FILING

CRYSTAL RIVER UTILITIES, INC., by and through its undersigned attorneys, hereby notices the filing of the Prefiled Direct Testimony of Johnnie M. Overton, P.E. in the above-referenced matter.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been furnished by U.S. Mail to Matthew J. Feil, Esquire, Florida Water Services Corporation, P.O. Box 609520, Orlando, Florida 32860-9520 and Samanatha McRae, Esquire, Florida Public Service Commission, 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850 this 14th day of December, 1999.

Respectfully submitted this 14th day of December, 1999, by:

ROSE, SUNDSTROM & BENTLEY, LLP 2548 Blairstone Pines Drive Tallahassee, FL 32301

(850) 877-6555

(850) 656-4029 FAX

MARTIN S. FRIEDMAN

FL Bar ID No. 0199060

For the Firm

aqua\hainescreek\filing.not

RER

RECEIVED & FILED

FP&C-BUREAU OF RECORDS

DOCUMENT NEWPER-DATE

15255 DEC 14 8

FPSC-RESCUES APEPORTING

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	DIRECT TESTIMONY OF JOHNNIE M. OVERTON, P.E.
8	ON BEHALF OF
9	CRYSTAL RIVER UTILITIES, INC.
10	
11	BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
12	
13	DOCKET NO. 990054-WU
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

subdivision at a less cost than Florida Water since we are in closer proximity to that subdivision than is Florida Water Services Corporation.

- Q. Does the Haines Creek system have sufficient capacity currently to serve the property in Sections 2 and 11?
- A. No. However, there is no showing of any real need for service in those Sections. This application is an attempt by Florida Water to certificate large areas even though there is no reasonable need for water service. I understand from Florida Water's prefiled testimony that there is a church in Section 2 that has allegedly requested service. Due to the sparsity of current development in this area, it does not appear to be financially feasible to provide water service to the church at this time. However, it is a good ruse by which to attempt to justify a land grab. When there is a real need for service in this area, the Haines Creek water system can be expanded which would benefit existing customers by taking advantage of economies of scale.
- Q. What is the direct result to the Haines Creek water system if the Commission grants Florida Water's application?
- A. It would landlock that system so that there would be no future growth potential to that system. As mentioned previously, that would mean that no economies of scale could be accomplished for the customers of the Haines Creek system. Florida Water has already landlocked the Haines Creek system to the North by providing service to that subdivision without Commission approval. Since Haines Creek borders the Haines Creek system in the East, the only growth potential is to the West, which would be cut off by Florida Water's expansion.
- Q. What do you believe would be the appropriate response by the Commission to Florida Water providing water service to the subdivision in Section 1 without

Commission approval?

A. I believe Florida Water should be penalized \$5,000 for each customer it serves in that subdivision.