

REQUEST TO ESTABLISH DOCKET
(PLEASE TYPE)

Date 12-16-99

Docket No. 991931-EG

- 1. Division Name/Staff Name Auditing + Financial Analysis / Lee
- 2. OPR AFAP
- 3. OCR EG

4. Suggested Docket Title Determination of Appropriate Method of Recovery for the Last Core of Nuclear Fuel for FPL and FPC

5. Suggested Docket Mailing List (attach separate sheet if necessary)

- A. Provide NAMES ONLY for regulated companies or ACRONYMS ONLY regulated industries, as shown in Rule 25-22.104, F.A.C.
- B. Provide COMPLETE name and address for all others. (Match representatives to clients.)

1. Parties and their representatives (if any)

<u>FPL</u>	_____
<u>FPC</u>	_____
_____	_____
_____	_____
_____	_____
_____	_____
_____	_____
_____	_____

2. Interested Persons and their representatives (if any)

_____	_____
_____	_____
_____	_____
_____	_____
_____	_____

6. Check one:
- Documentation is attached.
 - Documentation will be provided with the recommendation.

1 Issue 14 has been eliminated. Issue 15,
2 eliminated. Issue 16A.

3 MS. LEE: Commissioners, Issue 16A is FPL's
4 request for recovery of the last core of nuclear fuel
5 through the fuel clause.

6 We heard testimony today -- well, yesterday,
7 as a matter of fact, from Witness Wade and also FPL
8 Witness Dubin. That's an issue that also concerns
9 Florida Power Corp since they also have a nuclear
10 facility. Florida Power Corp did not address this
11 issue, as you heard today.

12 I would like to suggest that we take this
13 issue and spin it out and address it on an generic
14 basis for both Florida Power & Light and Florida Power
15 Corp.

16 It concerns me that the Orders that were
17 referred to by Mr. Wade are Orders in which the last
18 Courts considered a rate base item. Is that
19 appropriate? I'm not sure. But yet that is the only
20 information we have at this time.

21 I would like to hear what Florida Power Corp
22 has and see whether we can get some more information
23 on it before we go forward and make a decision.

24 COMMISSIONER DEASON: I have no objection to
25 spinning it out. If we do take that, I would like to

1 take advantage of that opportunity and look at the
2 possibility of allowing recovery and having the
3 amounts put into a funded reserve similar to what we
4 do for nuclear decommissioning.

5 MS. LEE: Absolutely. Absolutely. I don't
6 know what -- I mean, it's a funded reserve. I don't
7 know what IRS implications there are. There are a lot
8 of things that, yes, we would have to look into. Yes.
9 Definitely.

10 COMMISSIONER CLARK: I move Staff with that
11 caveat.

12 COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Second.

13 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Moved and seconded.
14 Show then Staff's recommendation with that
15 clarification on 16A is a approved.

16 16B is withdrawn. 16C, I think, is being
17 referred to the full Commission. 17D withdrawn. 17E,
18 full Commission. 19B eliminated. 19D eliminated.
19 19E.

20 MR. BOHRMANN: 19E asks should the
21 Commission --

22 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Is your microphone on?

23 MR. BOHRMANN: Sorry. 19E asks "Should the
24 Commission allow the incremental cost replacement fuel
25 and purchased power cost associated with the explosion