DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

	_
1	, , ,
2	Services, Florida Public Service Commission, 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0863, Telephone: (850) 413-6234, appearing on behalf of the Florida Public
3	
4	CHARLES J. BECK, ESQUIRE, Office of the Public Counsel, 111 West Madison Street, Room 812, Tallahassee,
5	Florida 32399-1400, Telephone No. (850) 488-9330, appearing on behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida.
6	on someth of one officers of the state of the first
7	PSC STAFF PRESENT:
8	LEVENT ILERI JONATHAN AUDU
9	LENNIE FULLWOOD
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

	3
1	<u> I-N-D-E-X</u>
2	
3	<u>PAGE</u>
4	Presentation by Mr. Thomas C. Foley6
5	
6	<u>WITNESSES</u> :
7 8	DOROTHY RUBINO18
9	RICHARD RUBINO32
10	ROBERT M. WEISS42
11	JAMES M. VANDERGRIFFT45
12	MARY MARTIN47
13	NORA HALL50
14	JIM McCLUSKY51
15	GREG WOOD53
16	JIM CAMERON54
17	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER58
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

PROCEEDINGS

6:00 o'clock p.m.

January 26, 2000

COMMISSIONER DEASON: We'll go ahead and call he hearing to order.

MS. KEATING: By notice issued January 12, 2000, this time and place has been set for a service hearing in Docket 990517. The purpose is as set forth in the notice.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Thank you. Let me take this opportunity to welcome everyone to today's hearing. As it has been noticed, this hearing concerns the 904 area code and the fact that there has been a jeopardy declared, which means that we need to be looking at alternatives to relieve the pressure being applied to the area code due to the growth and the problems with communication in general and some competitive pressures we're having in the industry.

Let me introduce myself. My name is Terry

Deason. I'll be conducting the preliminary stages of
this hearing. Hopefully Chairman Garcia will be
joining us shortly.

To my left is Commissioner Leon Jacobs. To my right are members of the Staff of the Public Service Commission. They will be assisting with today's

1 hearing.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

To my far left is Mr. Charlie Beck and he is a representative of the Office of Public Counsel. Public Counsel's Office is an office created by the Legislature, which has the assigned responsibility of representing the consumers in matters before the Florida Public Service Commission. In essence, he is your attorney.

We're here to assist you in any way, and, likewise, Mr. Beck is. If there's any particular question you may have of Mr. Beck or any of us, our Staff, we'll be glad to assist you.

We're going to begin today's hearing with a presentation by Mr. Tom Foley, the North American Numbering Plan Administrator. He will give an outline of what NANPA is, the problems with the 904 area code, and some of the suggested ways to alleviate the pressures being applied in the area code.

When he concludes his remarks, we will make him available for questions, if there are any. He will be in attendance throughout the hearing today, and if any technical question comes up, we'll ask him for assistance on an as-needed basis.

I think the Chairman has joined us.

We're just doing the preliminaries, Mr. Chairman.

23

24

1 At the conclusion of Mr. Foley's presentation, we 2 will then swear in witnesses from the public. 3 so your testimony can become part of the record of this proceeding. 4 This proceeding is being recorded by a court 5 reporter, and so when you come forward to the 6 7 microphone, directly in front of me, we ask that you begin by giving us your name and your address. You 8 may wish to spell your name so that it can be recorded 9 10 properly in the record. I think that pretty much takes care of the 11 preliminaries. 12 Mr. Beck, do you have anything at this point? 13 MR. BECK: No. 14 15 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Mr. Foley, you can come 16 forward. 17 18 THOMAS C. FOLEY, 19 called as a witness, and having been sworn, testified as 20 follows: 21 WITNESS FOLEY: Thank you very much, 22 Commissioner. Mr. Chairman. 23 My name is Tom Foley, and I represent the 24 American Numbering Plan Administration.

In July of '95, the Federal Communications

1 Commission took an important step in promoting competition 2 in the telecommunications industry, and they established at that time the North American Numbering Council, which is an industry and governmental group to develop guidelines for the Numbering Administration. 5

3

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

They decided at that time that the North American Numbering Plan Administration should be held by a neutral third party and at that time they awarded Lockheed Martin IMS the contract for a five-year period.

Changes in business forced Lockheed Martin to divest itself of the business of the North American Numbering Plan Administration and it was assumed by a company called NeuStar, with whom I am employed, late last year.

NeuStar is a neutral third-party administrator for Central Office Code Administration and NPA relief planning in the North American Numbering Plan area, which includes Canada, the United States, and most of the Caribbean.

What my responsibilities are as a relief planner is I both serve as a coordinator to determine the need for relief, identify the timing of relief, and to provide preliminary plans with the industry to determine an appropriate method of relief that the industry can reach as a consensus to recommend to the Florida Public Service

Commission in this case.

Upon the recommendation of the industry, we filed with the Commission the appropriate documents to begin the process that they have for determining the best relief alternative, which this is the process we're in right now, and once the order is out as to the method of relief, we coordinate the initial industry efforts for implementation of their plan.

Basically there are three types of NPA relief that are used.

The first one is the geographic split. That's when an existing NPA is divided into two or more areas.

One area gets a new code, the other area remains the old area code.

An overlay is requiring the same geography, and more than one area code or NPA serves that area.

Another method that is used, not as frequently because of a lot of difficulties with it, however, is a viable option in some cases, is called the boundary realignment. That's where a portion of an adjacent NPA is pulled into an NPA that has difficulty in running out of numbers. And then, of course, there's various combinations of the different alternatives that serve the best plan.

The industry has come up with, by consensus, the enlistment of the various attributes of the different types

of options.

1.1

For an NPA split, splits provide a single area code for each geographic area. It may minimize confusion for customers outside of the area. Future splits will reduce the geographic size of even part of it.

Splits require an area code change for approximately one-half in the way of a two-way split and two-thirds of the customers in a three-way split.

Stationery, business cards and advertising, et cetera, and signs will need to be changed for those customers who receive the new area code.

Geographic splits, however, will permit 7-digit local dialing within the smaller home area code. Local dialing across the boundaries, however, is ten digits.

The attributes of an overlay are: With an overlay there will be multiple area codes for each geographic area and it will end further shrinkage of the size, the geographic size of the area.

Overlays avoid the potential for public and political involvement concerning the split boundaries and on which sides of the line the new number will fall.

An overlay will not require existing customers to change their area code. There's no need to revise stationery, et cetera, unless the stationery does not already include the area code.

An overlay will, however, require 10-digit dialing for local calls.

A subset of an overlay is called a concentrated growth overlay, and we'll see one of these plans here in a few minutes and I want to use some of the options of that, or the attributes of that also.

Special and unique monitoring methods not currently available would be required to administer the area outside of this concentrated area. It is difficult to predict the exhaust of the non-overlay area, customer confusion for those people dialing into and out of a concentrated overlay as to which areas are in the overlay and which aren't.

In order to preserve the codes necessary, the NPA must be identified as needing relief much sooner and the approval must be obtained sooner to put the plan in place to have available codes for use outside of the concentrated growth overlay area.

Concentrated growth overlay minimizes the implementation of 10-digit dialing outside of the concentrated area, and, normally, there's no existing telephone numbers need to be changed.

We're here to discuss the 904 NPA, or area code. It includes basically sixteen counties in Northeast Florida, as shown on the map and in the handout that you

received today from the Commission.

I'd like to give you a little history of what transpired recently with the 904.

Jeopardy was declared in April of '99, and jeopardy is when an administrator of the North American Numbering Plan deemed that there were insufficient resources or codes available for the first three digits of your phone number to last before a relief plan could be put into place; and, as a result, the industry gets together to determine the rationing plan to assure that adequate resources are available, at least that limited resources are available until a plan could be put into place.

The industry held a planning meeting to discuss these plans that you're about ready to see in June of '99 and before a Florida Public Service Commission filing with the industry's recommendation on August 16th.

The projected exhaust date for the 904 NPA, with rationing in place, is the fourth quarter of 2001.

The first plan discussed by the industry is the distributed overlay, and this is where, like I said before, a new NPA code would be assigned to the same geographic area as the existing 904 NPA. Customers will retain their current telephone numbers; however, 10-digit local dialing would be required. The area code life of this is expected to be approximately 10.1 years.

The second plan is the concentrated growth overlay plan, and this is a new NPA code would be assigned to the rate centers in Nassau, Duval and St. Johns

Counties, which is indicated on the map by Area A, and it would have to be placed in effect in the third quarter of 2002 -- or, excuse me, in 2000. Any unassigned 904 NXX codes would only be used in the area outside of this area and the new NPA NXX codes, or the office codes, would be used inside the overlay area. The life of this is expected to be 11.4 years for Area A and 4.1 years for Area B, and at that time, at the end of the 4.1 years, the entire area would be overlaid with these area codes. That's how the concentrated overlay works.

Alternative 3 is a geographic split. It includes Nassau, Duval and St. Johns Counties, as depicted in Area A. Area A would have a life of approximately seven years this way and Area B approximately 14.3 years.

Alternative 4 is a geographic split boundary indicated here with Nassau, Duval, Baker, Bradford, Union, Alachua, Columbia, Gilchrist, Lafayette, Suwannee and Hamilton Counties included in one geographic area. These eleven counties in Area A have an expected life of 6.0 years and 17 years for Area B.

The next alternative is Alternative 5, a geographic split again. The boundary line includes the

area of Nassau and Duval Counties in one geographic area and has a life expectancy of approximately 9.5 years, and the remaining area approximately 10.7 years.

The last alternative discussed at the industry meeting, Alternative No. 6, was presented at the meeting by a member of the industry, and this was a geographic split where the boundary line runs along the rate centers in Nassau, Duval, Clay and St. Johns Counties, as shown in Area A up here, and that has a life of 5.8 years. Area B would have a life of approximately 17 years.

At the industry meeting, consensus was reached to eliminate plans 3, 4, 5 and 6, because the alternatives divide numerous local calling areas and too many customers would have to undergo telephone numbers changes; and, in addition, Alternatives 3 and 4 result in what we call unbalanced lives. One area exhausts much earlier or later than the other ones. Alternative 6 produces a noncontiguous NPA, which is sometimes difficult to understand, as well as NPAs with unbalanced lives.

The industry further reached consensus to eliminate Alternative 2, the concentrated growth overlay, for several reasons. There's five of them: The portion of the 904 without the overlay has a short projected life; it's difficult to project and monitor exhaust conditions and divides local calling areas; it would create customer

confusion for the area outside as growth occurred; and if competitive local exchange carriers request rate centers in that area, that 4.1 year exhaust life could be drastically shortened very quickly.

The participants at the meeting reached consensus to recommend Alternative 1, an all services distributed overlay, to the Florida Public Service Commission. As I said before, that filing was made on the 16th of August.

Subsequent to that meeting and request of the Commission, eight other plans have been created.

Alternative 7 through 12 were created by the Public Service Commission Staff for consideration. These were not generated by NPA, the North American Numbering Plan Administration, nor have they been reviewed in detail by the companies.

At yesterday's meetings, two additional alternatives were offered up by members of the public.

Alternative 7 is a geographic split which includes the coastline customers retaining one area code with a life of approximately 2.3 years, and the remainder area will retain one area code with a life of 36 years.

Alternative 8 is an overlay and a geographic split in which two area codes are used. A portion of Flagler and Volusia Counties will get a new area code to last 39 years, and the remainder counties get two area

codes to last about 15 years. Customers in the Regions A and B will dial ten digits for all their local calls.

Alternative No. 9 is called a spotted overlay and geographic split relief plan in which Columbia, Nassau, Duval and St. Johns and portions of Volusia Counties get two areas codes, and the remainder get one new area code. The overlay areas have a life expectancy of about 15 years and the area split-off has a life expectancy of about 36 years.

Alternative No. 10 is a geographic split boundary extension overlay plan in which Nassau, Duval and St. Johns Counties get two area codes to last 10.1 years; whereas, the remaining use some of the prefixes from the overlay area code and last about 10.2 years.

Alternative 11, again, is an overlay and geographic split relief plan in which the coastline customers get two area codes and lasts 15.5 years with this plan, and the remaining area codes will last about 36.2 years.

Alternative 12 is a geographic split boundary extension overlay relief plan in which the coastline customers again get two area codes, and the remaining area customers share the prefixes of the new area code and will last 10.0 and 10.6 years, respectively.

And at the meeting yesterday, an Alternative No.

13, which I don't believe you have a map for, was presented, which is similar to Alternative 12, in which all of Volusia and Flagler Counties are included with No. 12.

No life expectancies at this time have been completed.

Alternative 14 was presented by a participant in

Alternative 14 was presented by a participant in the audience yesterday and is a three-way split plan in which Nassau and Duval Counties get one area code with a life of 9.5 years, Flagler and Volusia Counties get one area code and lasts with a life of about 25 years, and the remaining counties get one area code which will last approximately 39 years. And I believe that that map was handed out as a separate handout as you came in from the green packet.

Again, as I indicated, the last plans were not generated by NANPA and has not been reviewed in detail by the companies, but I'm sure they will prior to the final technical hearing.

That concludes my presentation.

Are there any questions?

(No response.)

WITNESS FOLEY: Thank you very much,

Commissioners.

CHAIRMAN GARCIA: Thank you.

24 (Witness excused.)

CHAIRMAN GARCIA: I'm sure Commissioner Deason took care of all the preliminaries.

What we're going to do now is hear from you, and, clearly, this area is of great interest because, as you notice, it becomes a focal point as you look at the changing boundary and precisely what and how this area fits into the overall scheme of the area code.

So we'd like to ask you that when you speak, if you can, you've seen these plans, tell us what you think -- which one you think works best for this area, if you have an opinion, because it will help us make a final determination.

Mr. Beck is here representing Jack Shreve, Public Counsel. He is your attorney for these proceedings, so he will call you up, and if you have any questions on anything dealing with these area codes, please ask him, feel free to ask him, or any other issues relating to things that the Florida Public Service Commission has jurisdiction.

Is there anything that I've --

Do I swear them in?

If you are going to speak, I'd appreciate it if you would stand and raise your right hand.

(Whereupon, all witnesses present were sworn in by Chairman Garcia.)

1 | CHAIRMAN GARCIA: Mr. Beck?

MR. BECK: Thank you, Chairman Garcia.

My name is Charlie Beck with the Public Counsel's Office.

I'm going to call everybody who indicated they wish to speak when you signed in this morning, then after that there will be an opportunity, if anybody else wishes to speak or if you change your mind, there will be an opportunity at the end.

Our first witness this morning is Dotti Rubino.

DOROTHY RUBINO,

called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida, and having been sworn, testified as follows:

WITNESS RUBINO: Good morning. My name is

Dorothy Rubino. I live at 6931 N. Oceanshore Boulevard in

Palm Coast, Florida.

It is almost ironic that you should call me first, because I really don't have comments on the area codes. What I would like to address is the lateral lines that divide where I live from the rest of Flagler County.

I live in Flagler County, Palm Coast, about a half mile south of Marineland, and there's a lateral line that divides where I live from the rest of Flagler County, Palm Coast. Therefore, every time I pick up the phone to

call where I live is a long distance call.

I have written to the Public Service Commission, to the FCC, I have been before the Commissioners of Flagler County, and everyone tells me that there is a lateral line that was established in 1984 by a judge who incorporated this part of Flagler County in with St. Johns County.

It's a definite hardship for us. Our medical, our doctors, emergency times when I need to call the Sheriff's Department or get some information during times of evacuation. In the last flood, a hurricane that we had, we couldn't call into Flagler County without incurring a long distance charge.

I have tried to get the telephone company,
BellSouth, to agree to give me a plan that would allow me
to call south of where I live so that I can talk for long
periods of time and not incur 25 cents a minute or ten
cents a minute, and no one has cooperated.

So I'm before the Board this morning and the Commissioners to please find some kind of relief here.

There are other people in this area that are having the same problem I'm having. Unfortunately, they're not here for whatever reason, but I don't know where else to go to plead this case.

I'm told these lateral lines cannot be moved, that the telephone companies cannot infringe on it. It

doesn't seem fair to me that the rest of the county --

1.2

CHAIRMAN GARCIA: Ms. Rubino, if I could ask you, you are in Palm Coast?

WITNESS RUBINO: Palm Coast, Flagler County.

CHAIRMAN GARCIA: You're part of Flagler County but the lateral line puts you in St. Johns County?

WITNESS RUBINO: Exactly. So everywhere I call local has got to be St. Johns County and north. I cannot call local where I live.

I can't call my next-door neighbor. I can't call the Sheriff's Department. I know I needed to call the Sheriff's Department last week. I drove there.

CHAIRMAN GARCIA: You're being served by BellSouth?

WITNESS RUBINO: Yes, and both sides of that lateral line. On the north and the south side of that lateral line is BellSouth, and I've been in touch with everyone at BellSouth that I could possibly be in touch with, and I've received all kinds of responses that say they will not petition the Public Service Commission to give me a waiver or some kind of relief that would allow me to call where I live.

And, in this day and age, I don't understand why that can't be.

CHAIRMAN GARCIA: Levent, do you have an answer

1 for how it works?

MR. ILERI: Chairman Garcia, I have listened to this case and I have spoken with Ms. Rubino as well, and BellSouth has informed me that we must have a petition before the FCC due to reasons that there are not enough customers to do this.

CHAIRMAN GARCIA: How many customers are there?

Do we have an idea?

WITNESS RUBINO: There are at least probably a hundred customers. But I must be honest with you, some of the people that live there have come from St. Augustine and Jacksonville. They have migrated to that area and they like it just the way it is.

CHAIRMAN GARCIA: Right.

WITNESS RUBINO: They can call back to where they came from.

On the other hand, I came from Palm Coast,
Flagler County, and I cannot call where I live. They
can call where they don't live. And that doesn't make
sense to me, and I'm asking for somebody to take this
into consideration and come up with some reasonable
response, because I'm not going to rest until it's
done.

CHAIRMAN GARCIA: All right. Ms. Rubino, let me do this: I'll speak to BellSouth and to some of your

local officials and I'll look into what we've got before us and see what can be done.

But I think you've pointed out that the problem when you have something like this, the people in your area are tied to the county north of you. The Bell Company can't just simply change the lateral line for a few people.

WITNESS RUBINO: But can't they, and I don't mean to be beat you up, but can they offer a plan? Can they offer an individual plan for someone like myself and others who have children that go to school in Flagler County and have this hardship? Can they offer a plan to me that would allow me to call for a flat rate? Like we used to be able to call to Daytona for 25 cents and talk as long as you want. Can they allow that?

CHAIRMAN GARCIA: Stan, you're up.

We have Stan Greer as our BellSouth representative.

MR. GREER: Commissioners, as you all are well aware, you know, interlateral is not something that BellSouth could provide right now. Maybe in the future we'll get to it, but right now we don't have that authority.

Ms. Rubino is served out of the St. Augustine

exchange, so that's why. She states she's in Palm

Coast, but from your maps, it looks like Palm Coast is
a separate area. But she's served out of

St. Augustine and that's where the problem lies. And
the lateral line cuts right below St. Augustine.

CHAIRMAN GARCIA: Stan, could you provide for me, and I believe the rest of the Commissioners would be interested, how that lateral is divided through Palm Coast --

MR. GREER: sure.

CHAIRMAN GARCIA: -- and just what effect it has on Flagler County?

MR. GREER: Sure.

CHAIRMAN GARCIA: Because I think it puts us in the same position that we are trying to resolve with the southern part of this area code shift, which is who belongs to what.

Ms. Rubino, there is a problem, and the law doesn't contemplate allowing BellSouth to do this. They're simply not allowed to do this under the current state law.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: That's federal law. That's not a state law. It's not a state policy at all. It's federal policy.

CHAIRMAN GARCIA: And so the only respite I can

assure you is that because of the changing -- the many changes and because of competition, I believe that in some near future with some of these divisions, the lateral lines will change and the significance of these boundaries at some future date are going to be a nonissue because of the way competition works. But for right now, I understand the position you're in.

Let me take a look at it and I'll have our Staff take a look at it and see what we can do, because I understand that it does place a hardship on you.

The only problem that I see, though, beyond that is that you're going to find that they can't -- they can't do a plan specifically suited to you because the federal law doesn't allow it. And, on the other side, changing a lateral requires that a lot of people are in agreement and there are certain costs involved.

But let us look at it, and I promise to get back with you within the next month.

WITNESS RUBINO: Can I ask one more question?
CHAIRMAN GARCIA: Sure.

WITNESS RUBINO: When you set up these area codes and incorporate, if you were to incorporate Flagler County with Volusia County, would that lateral line still be in effect? I mean, we are still Flagler County. So when you're talking Flagler County, you're

omitting one, you know, the northeast area of Flagler 1 County in that area code change? 2 3 CHAIRMAN GARCIA: Most probably, yes. WITNESS RUBINO: Okay. 4 CHAIRMAN GARCIA: We're not looking at changing 5 6 that lateral line. 7 That's what I wanted to ask you about, if I can. Thanks, Stan. 8 The issue as you saw it, particularly in the area 9 of Palm Coast, because that's a demarcation point of 10 interest -- do you have the green sheet that we passed 11 12 out? 13 WITNESS RUBINO: My husband has it. CHAIRMAN GARCIA: Do you think you could get it 14 15 from your husband real quick so I could ask you some 16 questions? 17 Since you live in -- and what we're looking for 18 is your gut reaction. I understand you have a 19 different pull than others because of where you come from. But tell me if you think that that dividing 20 line that we've filmed on Plan 6 -- if somebody can 21 tell her what page would have it. 22 23 Page 11. 24 WITNESS RUBINO: Page 11? Okay. 25 CHAIRMAN GARCIA: And I understand that the area

we were just talking about is precisely where that 1 dividing line is crossed. I understand the problem. 2 3 Putting aside the personal problem that you have right now, I'd like to ask you if you think that's a 4 natural dividing area? Do you think that --5 WITNESS RUBINO: I think the natural dividing 6 area should be a geographical county line. 7 CHAIRMAN GARCIA: All right. Well, let's say that that were a -- let's assume -- do you think that 9 that's a natural -- that that's dividing your 10 community too severely? 11 WITNESS RUBINO: No. 12 CHAIRMAN GARCIA: Do you think that most of the 13 people -- you mentioned that a lot of your 14 15 neighbors --16 WITNESS RUBINO: Yes. CHAIRMAN GARCIA: -- come from St. Johns. 17 18 WITNESS RUBINO: Right. 19 CHAIRMAN GARCIA: So I assume that that would be 20 true even more so to the north of you. 21 WITNESS RUBINO: Uh-huh. 22 CHAIRMAN GARCIA: So their community of interest 23 in St. Johns pulls to the north, not to the south? 24 Right. But there are -- there WITNESS RUBINO: 25 is a lot of people and much development going on there 1 2

now. As a matter of fact, directly across the street from our property where we live there's 50 acres that has just been sold that will be developed into many individual homes, and I would imagine that many of those people will be coming from Palm Coast, Flagler County, moving in there, not from the other direction. So it will affect them as far as where they can call local and not call local.

CHAIRMAN GARCIA: Ms. Rubino, part of what I wanted to know is exactly how many people are in this position, in other words, that are being served by the St. Johns exchange -- I'm sorry -- St. Augustine exchange that are part of Flagler County.

MR. GREER: Okay.

WITNESS RUBINO: Before we moved there I lived about a thousand feet south of there. Now, I had local calling to Palm Coast. I never for one minute dreamed that when I moved a thousand feet away I would be connected to --

CHAIRMAN GARCIA: How much money is that costing you out of curiosity?

WITNESS RUBINO: Well, the phone bill -- I'm trying to be very conservative, but it costs me anywhere from thirty to forty dollars extra a month.

When I look at not only the long distance calls I must

make where I live, but I make long distance calls to my family back up north. So when you put that all together, it's a hardship. CHAIRMAN GARCIA: I see. WITNESS RUBINO: So I try to eliminate -- I maybe get in the car and drive somewhere rather than make a phone call if I'm going in that direction. So it's a hardship. CHAIRMAN GARCIA: Okay. One other question,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Ms. Rubino, for myself. Are there any other plans that you saw that runs through, since you're from this area, that you found particularly appealing?

WITNESS RUBINO: No, but I'm sure my husband has.

CHAIRMAN GARCIA: Okay. So he can --

WITNESS RUBINO: He's much more technical than I He can give you that. am.

CHAIRMAN GARCIA: Great. Thank you.

I appreciate being able to come WITNESS RUBINO: here today and to let you know what I'm -- what we're dealing with and have some hope that maybe things can change.

CHAIRMAN GARCIA: Well, we'll quarantee you that long-term things will change. We've seen a lot of these divisions where they come down in certain areas.

Unfortunately, when they drew these lateral

lines, they are there and the feds put them there and we can do very little about them.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: And we have attempted in the past to do things with the lateral boundaries and we've had very limited success at the federal level.

WITNESS RUBINO: Okay. Well, you mentioned that BellSouth cannot offer anything because legally the Federal Government has set this line. But couldn't the company -- I mean, what is to stop the company from saying, "I will offer you a plan that will allow you to call on either side, north or south, because they're on both sides."

What stops them from offering that on their own without --

CHAIRMAN GARCIA: Because the judge said they couldn't. The judge said -- I think it was 1984. Am I correct in that?

WITNESS RUBINO: Correct.

CHAIRMAN GARCIA: Judge Green's decision did this and said to BellSouth you cannot do this.

Now, there is some hope for you. BellSouth will probably be filing with our Commission sometime in the next year for the ability to do long distance, and once BellSouth gets into the long distance market, it should be assumed that to some degree that these

lateral lines, their significance begins to disappear 1 2 because they are arbitrary --WITNESS RUBINO: Uh-huh. 3 4 CHAIRMAN GARCIA: -- they were just drawn there --5 Right. 6 WITNESS RUBINO: 7 CHAIRMAN GARCIA: -- to break up the larger companies. 8 9 And we expect in the next year or two BellSouth will be successful in that petition at some point and 10 so that these types of divisions are not going to be 11 12 there. WITNESS RUBINO: If another company is coming in 13 as competition to BellSouth and they can offer --14 15 CHAIRMAN GARCIA: Yes, they can. 16 WITNESS RUBINO: The lateral lines do not -- they 17 can do whatever they like with these lateral lines? 18 CHAIRMAN GARCIA: Let me give you an example. live in Miami --19 20 WITNESS RUBINO: Uh-huh. 21 CHAIRMAN GARCIA: -- but I work in Tallahassee 22 Monday through Friday, so what I am doing is getting 23 rid of my local phone because my cellular phone offers me ten cents a minute anywhere. Since I spend most of 24 25 my time traveling, you know -- I'm not saying that ten cents a minute is a good rate, but it's a much better rate than my local phone bill. And what will happen is that your -- the long distance portion of your bill, if you notice, has five cents a minute in certain areas and it keeps coming down.

WITNESS RUBINO: Uh-huh.

CHAIRMAN GARCIA: You will probably find that in some companies the long distance factor will begin to diminish. In other words, they will charge you for other things, but long distance will become hardly there, billed, in fact, to your bill or some portion of your long distance.

WITNESS RUBINO: Well, another situation is we cannot -- the cell phone will not work in the area we live.

CHAIRMAN GARCIA: I just tried to call my wife.

WITNESS RUBINO: So the area, the towers and it's too far distance, and so the cell phone -- we've already tried. We've gone every route allowable and it's not possible.

So I appreciate taking the time, and thank you very much.

COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Ms. Rubino, did I understand you to say earlier that you have a plan that allows you to call flat rate to Daytona?

WITNESS RUBINO: No. That's when we lived in 1 Palm Coast. 2 COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Oh, I see. 3 WITNESS RUBINO: We had the 25 cents extended 4 long distance where we could call Daytona for 25 5 minutes at 25 cents, but since we moved there, the only place we can call is Jacksonville, and that -- I 7 don't need to call Jacksonville. Thank you. 9 10 CHAIRMAN GARCIA: Thank you. (Witness excused.) 11 12 MR. BECK: The next witness is Richard Rubino. 13 RICHARD RUBINO, 14 called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the 15 16 State of Florida, and having been sworn, testified as follows: 17 WITNESS RICHARD RUBINO: Good morning. 18 is Richard Rubino. I also live at 6931 N. Oceanshore 19 20 Boulevard, Palm Coast, Florida. 21 If you would take a look at that map you have up 22 there, we're at the lowest right-hand corner along the oceanfront. That is exactly where we live, a half mile 23 24 south of Marineland. 25 Just to clarify a bit about what my wife was

talking about previously, in that half mile from Marineland south, which is actually the border of St. Johns County and

3 Flagler County, there is about a hundred families and

4 almost every oceanfront lot is now being built on, and

5 these huge tracts of land across from the ocean are now

6 being developed.

In that short half mile, things are going to change rapidly in the next couple of years according to the real estate agents in our area, so I suspect that the number of phone line requirements are going to increase dramatically.

Several things that I think are of paramount interest to us as a result of this significant change in our phone service is -- I am a disabled person. I have a heart problem. And, as a result of that, living in Flagler County, I must use the HMOs offered in Flagler County and cannot use the doctors and services offered in St. Johns County. That puts a burden on us immediately because every phone call that I must make or they must make, which is not pleasing to them either. If you say, "Call me on the phone," they did not realize that was a long distance call, it makes it very difficult for me to respond to the emergency needs. All the hospitals and all of the doctors are in Flagler County or Volusia County.

So that's a significant part of our lifestyle and

presents a significant concern for us, because along with
that, we've had discussions with the fire chief and the
emergency people in Flagler County. Living along the
ocean, I accept the fact that I'm maybe not going to get as
instant a reply from 911 medical emergency as people living
across the bridge. But I also found out that the 911 gets
routed to St. Augustine first and comes back to Flagler

County. Well, that's an accident waiting to happen.

I grew up and worked in NASA, in aerospace, and I know about redundancies, how redundancy can create a lot of problems if the links begin to fail. I don't need two links to get a 911. I would prefer one link. But that's not the case.

So everything in 911 transfers up to

St. Augustine and then comes back to Flagler. Whether that
can narrow seconds, lower seconds, or seconds, I'm not

sure. The point is it's another system in the process that
can fail and cause a problem that's unnecessary both for me
and all the families that have physical problems, medical
problems living in that area, and they are mostly retired
folks.

We also --

CHAIRMAN GARCIA: You said that there's only about a hundred families?

WITNESS RICHARD RUBINO: Yes, about a hundred

1 families.

CHAIRMAN GARCIA: And your wife was talking about the fact that it's about to expand.

WITNESS RICHARD RUBINO: Yes. In fact, across the street from us, a 50-acre tract, I think the gentleman is intending to put in fifty residential homes.

CHAIRMAN GARCIA: Is this a bedroom community, though, to St. Augustine, Jacksonville? In other words, is that what it is, or is it a community of its own part of Flagler?

WITNESS RICHARD RUBINO: I think its a community of its own part of Flagler.

It happens to be because of the 1984 -- I understand. Back in '84 Palm Coast was nonexistent. There was no belt of Palm Coast up in this corner. It was, in fact, more convenient to latch into St. Johns County, because they came down at least to Marineland, serviced Marineland since '78, so just adding in these homes within that quarter of a mile was a very simple thing to do, because there was no water, there was no phone service out there for Palm Coast, Flagler. But things have changed. And, as the changes occurred, like everything else, change now has to take place for those of us who still reside in Flagler County or are

1

in this quarter-mile zone.

2

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN GARCIA: I would ask those people in that quarter-mile zone, I would ask them -- your wife talked about the fact that a lot of them are from the north.

WITNESS RICHARD RUBINO: I don't feel that way. I think what's got my wife a little bit concerned, because when we went before our Board of Commissioners in Flagler County, we kind of dropped the ball. really did not use the political system the right way. And I feel like this is partially a political problem, because I can understand BellSouth's motivation not to want to do these little individual things because their goal is to get long distance calls. And, if they nitpick at these problems, which are in effect part of this long distance solution for them -- they aren't shooting themselves in Florida. And I've had this conversation with these people and I think that's a reasonable solution or a reasonable conclusion to come to from that discussion is that why should they shoot themselves in the foot to try to get the whole thing straightened out in a year or two, and, in the meantime, this has to be dealt with.

I personally think that the people at that end of Flagler County are truly Flagler County people and

that the -- if you'll look at the amount of people
that presented themselves at the Commissioners'
meeting, they got twenty signatures that say we don't
want anything to change because we're comfortable with
our process.

But we know, for example, the people next door kind of got bludgeoned into signing that piece of paper. We did not get a petition up because we didn't think that -- because that issue was not urgent, but since we've been proven wrong, my own gut feeling is if we went in and explained to the people that they're not going to get a \$50 surcharge and change in numbers, just like we were told, and explain to them the details of the problem, they would not have signed this petition. They would have let the chips fall where they may.

My own opinion is, if we go in and do a real door-to-door, we'd probably find the majority of them would prefer to have the Flagler County service, because they're much in the same position as I am.

CHAIRMAN GARCIA: All right. What we're going to do, like I told your wife, we'll get back to you later this month after BellSouth responds. We can't deal with it in this docket, but we will get back to you and see what options are available to you and what we

1 can try to do.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Mr. Greer, the only immediate remedy of this problem is an exchange boundary change?

MR. GREER: I'm still not sure that would fix your problem, because you still have the problem with the lateral line. So even if you made the boundary change, then essentially you would still have those customers that are on the north side of the lateral line that we would still not be able to take it any further south.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Oftentimes doesn't the Federal Government view an exchange boundary change as a prima facie showing that the lateral boundary needs to be changed?

MR. GREER: I would expect, yes.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: So it would be true that probably -- it most probably have to be an exchange boundary change and then there would have to be a petition to the FCC to also change the lateral boundary?

MR. GREER: Right.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: And before we would change the exchange boundary line, we would ballot all the customers to see if they want a change to begin with; 1 right?

MR. GREER: You would ballot the customers, you would, you know, look to see what the cost, because there would be a cost to move them south, but, yes.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: So that would be the process?

MR. GREER: I would think that would be the process.

CHAIRMAN GARCIA: Okay. We're going to lay that out for you and we'll probably ask your local officials, if you're serious about this.

WITNESS RICHARD RUBINO: Yes, we are.

CHAIRMAN GARCIA: So we'll do that in a separate docket and Mr. Greer will be very helpful in giving us some information.

WITNESS RICHARD RUBINO: In response to your question about the green sheet, it's a little tough to pick a position on the green sheet because the boundaries shown on the green sheet, part of the geographic boundaries are not the lateral boundaries. So when I look at these pictures, I have to kind of put a little band in there and say, okay, now I've got to alter this like this because I'm in this exchange.

COMMISSIONER JACOBS: I was just trying to follow up on that thinking maybe one -- well, on the green

sheet you don't have it. 1 2 WITNESS RICHARD RUBINO: Right. COMMISSIONER JACOBS: But I think there's one 3 4 that does show -- there's a little box here. 5 MR. GREER: Commissioner, you should realize that 6 the green sheet shows everything fits nice and neat in 7 the little counties, and wire centers don't follow the county boundaries. So how much they deviate, then 8 you'd have to look at the individual wire center. 9 CHAIRMAN GARCIA: Like I say, I'm going to ask 10 11 you to pretend that everything was right in your section of the woods in Palm Coast, tell me which one 12 of these makes sense to you as a resident of this part 13 of the state. In other words, looking at -- one of 14 15 the plans that sort of made sense to me and is making 16 more sense is Plan 6. Does that make sense to you? 17 WITNESS RICHARD RUBINO: Yes. 18 CHAIRMAN GARCIA: Let me ask you this --19 WITNESS RICHARD RUBINO: And it happens that you 20 ruled it out. 21 CHAIRMAN GARCIA: The industry ruled it out. 22 They're wrong more often than not. 23 WITNESS RICHARD RUBINO: I agree.

CHAIRMAN GARCIA: For example -- there was

another one that I wanted to ask you about which was

24

25

earlier on. I guess 4, which I guess changes to 4, 4 and 5.

WITNESS RICHARD RUBINO: But the problem I have with some of these -- and maybe you can answer the question.

CHAIRMAN GARCIA: Sure.

WITNESS RICHARD RUBINO: -- is the disparaging between the two solutions, like in 4, it's 17 years and 6 years.

CHAIRMAN GARCIA: Let me tell you what will typically happen in that type of scenario is that the sixth year keeps 904, so they haven't really experienced the change.

WITNESS RICHARD RUBINO: Right.

CHAIRMAN GARCIA: But the bad news is, obviously, that when it goes longer, they'll get a new area code but then will not experience a change for a lot longer time.

But here's the question, because I think only you can answer it and you're probably in the appropriate place to answer the question.

Does St. Johns fit into the southern part of this overall picture, looking at 4, or is St. Johns more a part of the Duval aspect? In other words --

WITNESS RICHARD RUBINO: I would be honest, but I

think that St. Johns is more involved with the 1 2 southern perspective. CHAIRMAN GARCIA: That's different than what 3 we've heard, but that's fine. I appreciate that. 4 WITNESS RICHARD RUBINO: And, again, I'm simply 5 6 reviewing it from the people I'm in contact with in 7 St. Augustine. Their transition to me when we talked is south, not necessarily north 40 or 45 miles. 8 9 CHAIRMAN GARCIA: Well, clearly, that's one of 10 the issues, to the south. As Commissioner Jacobs was pointing out, these are some of the fastest growing 11 12 counties in our state. So getting an understanding of that so we don't get cases like yours again. 13 14 Okay? 15 WITNESS RICHARD RUBINO: Thank you very much. 16 CHAIRMAN GARCIA: Thank you. We will be getting 17 in touch with you within the month. All right? 18 MR. BECK: Thank you. 19 (Witness excused.) 20 Our next witness is Bob Weiss. 21 MR. BECK: 22 ROBERT M. WEISS, called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the State 23 24 of Florida, and having been sworn, testified as follows: MR. WEISS: Mr. Chairman, and Commissioners, we 25

again appreciate the opportunity to be before you.

As you know, you realize now we've taken the opportunity to speak to you everywhere you've had a session. We started yesterday with you in Lake City and plan to go through this process.

We appreciate more than you know the courteous attention you've given to our problem and also appreciate the participation in our county.

Almost everyone here has traveled a pretty good distance to come to these away-from-home hearings.

This morning what we'd like to do is again just reiterate to you that all we're trying to in this process is to make you aware fully of our situation in Volusia County.

As we promised during the five sessions, we plan to put representatives of our national representation, Congressman Mica's office, state officials, county, and officials in our major cities, Volusia Council of Governments, our schools, our business community and our citizenry, before you to hopefully show you a very unified position on what we're asking for.

Again, hopefully, we've kind of painted a picture that our county is growing on both ends, the east side and west side, east side, of course, with the booming coastline, along with the events that take place here in

and around the Atlantic Coast, and then the explosion in our southwest portion, most especially in Deltona, and the county seat sitting in the middle of all that in DeLand.

And I think -- I know you all recognize that right now we're separated in our county, two-thirds and one-third, by a lateral boundary. We also have three NPAs or area codes in our county right now, and the southwest portion being separated from the rest of the county. And what we're here pleading for is a correction to this situation.

Again, I did want to say that -- I think we made the point last night -- that we're not here to win at the expense of somebody else. We're not here to do that.

We're looking at a win-win situation, which I know is what you're looking for, where we can get a remedy to our situation without hurting somebody else, that some of the earlier alternatives will be favored. We realize, of course, some other people in the 10-digit dialing, which probably they didn't want either.

We're trying now to take a position and you will hear support today for No., I think, Alternative 14, again, not because of the prior authorship, because we put it forward yesterday, but because it seems to make sense to us, but also, I think, No. 6 and No. 5 is also fully acceptable to us.

We also would like to make the point just very quick that we don't -- the other point is very viable as we're talking about the whole county. I realize this docket is dealing with 904, but we have a little piece of our county, a rather sizable piece of our county now that's in the 407 area code or as being overlaid with 321. agreed yesterday, we'd like that treated as part of this process in whatever area code you give us.

This morning we have eight speakers to speak to you briefly, a couple of which you heard yesterday, but, again, each meeting has some new faces, new personalities to bring you another insight on where we are here.

What I'd like to start out with, though, this morning is a couple of our elected officials from our major cities. Yesterday you heard from the Vice-Mayor of New Smyrna Beach. Today we have the Mayor from the City of New Smyrna Beach.

JAMES L. VANDERGRIFFT,

called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida, and having been sworn, testified as follows:

WITNESS VANDERGRIFFT: Good morning, Mr. Chairman and Commissioners. It's my pleasure to be up here this morning and I know you've taken this time because you have a difficult decision to make in this area.

Let me just spell my last name for you because

1 it's difficult from time to time. It's V-, as in Victor,
2 -a-n-d-e-r-g-r-i-f-f-t. And, as Mr. Weiss said, I am the

3 Mayor of New Smyrna Beach.

We have a lot of concerns in Volusia County, as Mr. Weiss said, and I certainly concur with all he says, so I won't repeat that.

We have been broken up. I think our major purpose is trying to keep Volusia County intact with an area code at no one else's expense. We would certainly like to see a win-win for everybody.

Jacksonville, being one of the largest cities in the nation, we understand, 904 is probably going to be up there, and we concur with that.

We would just like to see Volusia County, as I said before, intact.

We're a united county in this respect, been talking about it for a long time knowing that this area code issue was going to come up. We're a growing county, reaching almost a half a million folks right now. So I think it's very important from a business perspective and all our business leaders in Volusia County.

I'm a small business person myself and a lot of my business is through Volusia County and certainly can't imagine having more than one area code. Even though it's broken up right now, we hope that will be remedied soon.

We do like the Alternative 14, which has come up, 1 2 and we looked at that, and I think the Alternative 6 is also something we could live with. 3 4 We have a lot of speakers, so I enjoyed being here and I don't envy your decision throughout the whole 5 northeast district. 6 But we certainly hope you look favorably on the 7 Volusia County people, keeping intact the area code. 8 CHAIRMAN GARCIA: 9 Thank you. (Witness excused.) 10 11 12 MR. WEISS: Thank you, Mayor. Representing the City of Port Orange is 13 Commissioner Mary Martin. 14 15 MARY MARTIN, called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the State 16 17 of Florida, and having been sworn, testified as follows: 18 WITNESS MARTIN: Good morning. My name is Mary 19 Martin. I live at 12 Woodlake Drive in Port Orange, 20 Florida. 21 Port Orange is one of the coast cities of Volusia 22 County and I am proud to be a commissioner -- a 23 councilwoman there and represent the city. We, in Volusia County, for many years had to 24 25 contend with multiple area codes. One-third of our

citizens have one area code and the remaining two-thirds have a separate area code.

This is about to be compounded even more by the overlay of the third area code in our county with the need to divide the 904 area code, which is what we talked about, the overlay of the 321 system.

Now you have an opportunity to unite Volusia County.

Think about this. You have the potential in one part of our county to have properties located directly across from one another which would have two separate area codes and you're talking about a very similar condition as what they're talking in Palm Coast.

But, in Volusia County, you have, as I was going to say, neighbors, the person across the street or next door, has a separate area code.

This is an inconvenience, this is a detriment to the business, and it is a vision which can be rectified.

The Volusia County Council of Governments met some months ago to look at the issue of a unified area code for Volusia County, and this group, composed of sixteen cities, the county, the Volusia County School Board, the public hospitals, and the community college, established the Volusia Unified Area Code as a top priority

In our opinion, any overlay plan that includes

multiple area codes in Volusia County continues and possibly expands a confusing situation.

In our city's opinion, multiple area codes in a small geographic area are divisive and bad for both residents and the business community.

We understand that Volusia County does not have enough telephone subscribers to justify an exclusive area code. We fully realize that Volusia County would have to share an area code with surrounding counties. Although our county would prefer its own area code, sharing a single area code with adjacent counties would be acceptable.

We would ask the PSC would review the projected needs for telephone numbers in the future and divide the area so that area codes will not have to be changed in the immediate future.

Many of us can recall having the same decision just a short time ago when the 904 area code was divided. Changing area codes is expensive for the telecommunications company as well as for government and business.

Finally, we request that the PSC establish an implementation schedule that would allow ample time for consumers to make adjustments to the change in area code numbers.

And, with that, I'd like to thank you and I appreciate having the chance to speak.

CHAIRMAN GARCIA: Thank you, Commissioner. 1 2 (Witness excused.) 3 4 MR. WEISS: We're in the city portion of our presentation, but I'd like to kind of step out of line 5 6 here for just a moment. 7 We have a representative from Congressman John Mica's office, which is Representative Nora Hall, here 8 9 to help us with this united issue. I'm going to ask her because she has an early appointment. 10 11 NORA HALL. 12 called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida, and having been sworn, testified as follows: 13 14 WITNESS HALL: Good morning. Thank you for the 15 opportunity. I am here representing Congressman Mica. 16 name is Nora Hall. That's N-o-r-a H-a-l-l. I live at 1316 17 Overland Drive, Ormond Beach. 18 The congressman is wholeheartedly supportive of 19 one area code in Volusia County. I'm just here to support 20 his position on it. 21 CHAIRMAN GARCIA: Thank you. 22 MR. WEISS: Thank you, Nora, very much. 23 (Witness excused.) 24 25 MR. WEISS: For the City of DeLand is Jim

McClusky. Just another word from him. If you'd come 1 2 up. JIM McCLUSKY, 3 4 called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida, and having been sworn, testified as follows: 5 Thank you very 6 WITNESS McCLUSKY: Good morning. 7 much. I'll try not to repeat. You have some number crunchers that work for you. 8 My only question is, if we go with Alternative 14, it does 9 not include DeBary and Deltona. 10 We have projected Area C to have a 20- to 30-year 11 12 life span. 13 My first question is, if we include Deltona and 14 DeBary in Alternative 14, what does that do to the year 15 projection for Area C, and would that have any effect on our decision? 16 17 And then the other issue is just a plain zoning 18 What's the procedure after we go through this whole 19 process to reunite our county since we can't bring that in 20 at this level? How procedurally would that happen, 21 Chairman Garcia? 22 CHAIRMAN GARCIA: First of all, I think we're 23 looking at that here in this docket. Correct me if 24 I'm wrong. 25 MR. ILERI: Mr. Chairman, Mr. McClusky, we're

putting it into this docket about that possibility, 1 bringing those two parts of the county in. 2 3 dealing with the lateral line. WITNESS McCLUSKY: So that -- when I heard you 4 talk about lateral lines and federal jurisdiction --5 6 So you do have jurisdiction to change --7 MR. ILERI: Each particular type, yes. WITNESS McCLUSKY: -- with this entity? 8 9 MR. TLERT: Correct. WITNESS McCLUSKY: So we don't have a federal 10 11 problem. 12 And then you could crunch the numbers and see what it does to the 20 --13 CHAIRMAN GARCIA: Yeah. They'll do that. 14 15 They're going to run both those numbers and create -they'll probably need from you the growth pattern, 16 what you're seeing in growth. They may need to have 17 18 that. MR. ILERI: 19 I believe I have the previous growth 20 rate. 21 WITNESS McCLUSKY: And our department is 22 preparing those documents that you requested showing 23 the drawings of the different districts down there. 24 CHAIRMAN GARCIA: Thank you. 25 (Witness excused.)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Commissioners, in Volusia County we MR. WEISS: have an organization called Volusia Council of Governments, a cooperative organization in which all the sixteen commissions in Volusia County are represented. We have Executive Director Greg Woods here this morning.

GREG WOOD,

called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida, and having been sworn, testified as follows:

WITNESS WOOD: Good morning. As noted, I am the Executive Director of the Volusia Council of Governments. We do have a resolution from our organization before you, Resolution 99-03.

I would only like to highlight a couple of points out of that and reiterate what Mr. Weiss said, is that we do represent all the local governments within the county and this is a unified goal of that organization to get a single area code for the entire Volusia County, and being compassionate, and me being Executive Director, you know, I would appreciate the help there.

But also -- I would also like to note on Section 1 where they strongly support a single area code throughout the county.

And also back in July I met with your PSC Staff. Very helpful. I appreciate that. At that point in time I

delivered resolutions from all sixteen local governments 1 2 within the county to you; additionally, some homeowner associations, our chambers of commerce. 3 This is a very unified effort and we really 4 appreciate it, especially because of the type of people we 5 6 have in the county, a lot of tourists. And when you visited us, we talked about the new power plant and I'm sure you saw the diverse population that travels through there, and, as a public safety issue, it is important that 10 we have -- we don't have to be looking up area codes in emergency situations. 11 It also helps our business area, too. 12 13 We appreciate your support, we appreciate the time you've given us, and we'll see you this evening. 14 15 CHAIRMAN GARCIA: Thank you, Mr. Wood. 16 (Witness excused.) 17 18 MR. WEISS: Again, we have members of our 19 business community here. Just briefly, Jim Cameron from the Halifax Chamber of Commerce. 20 21 JIM CAMERON, 22 called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the State 23 of Florida, and having been sworn, testified as follows: 24 WITNESS CAMERON: Jim Cameron, Vice President of

Government Relations, Daytona Beach/Halifax Chamber of

25

Commerce.

I reported to our chamber board. We had a meeting this morning. I reported the hearing last night, and I was instructed to again reiterate our chamber's recommendation for a plan that would create a single area code for Volusia County and neighboring counties as well.

Again, we prefer a plan that would maintain
7-digit dialing, the reason being customer service. That's
a major factor with our business community, businesses
maintaining the best communications with their clientele.
This is vitally important.

Volusia County right now, as you heard, has two area codes and oftentimes calls made between East Volusia County, and especially like Southwest Volusia County with the 407 area code often causes confusion.

You might remember or might note County

Councilwoman Ann McFall mentioned last night that she had

gotten a number of calls or had a number of calls misplaced

like that, and I have done the same thing as well.

But, again, we believe that the proposed Alternative 14 will provide the best alternative for Volusia County, and the main point is that we want to maintain the longest life for our area code for Volusia County.

Again, we believe that 14 will be the best

alternative for us.

(Witness excused.)

MR. WEISS: Mr. Chairman, we had a couple of other people that spoke before. Dr. Outterson is here again, who created Plan 14, and a representative from DeLand is here. Unless the Commissioners have some questions, we'll not bore you with more speakers saying the same thing.

We would like to again thank you and say that 14 is our choice. We like 6 as well. Again, I guess the message has been very clear.

I guess just to wrap it up, I would say that this
-- I don't know if you all are sports fans or not, but
this kind of ends the load grow point of our schedule.
We'll see you in Volusia County for the last two
sessions.

CHAIRMAN GARCIA: Well, the phone game for Volusia County will be at 4:00 p.m. at 301 South Ridgewood Avenue.

We ask Mr. Foley, since the Volusia folks are so long, for him to make his presentation a bit quick, because although it's a home game, they have limited time in the stadium. So we'll try to be quick about that.

	5/
1	With that, thank you very much for your
2	participation.
3	(Witness excused.)
4	
5	CHAIRMAN GARCIA: Is there anyone else that wants
6	to say anything?
7	(No response.)
8	CHAIRMAN GARCIA: Thank you for being here.
9	(Whereupon, at 11:17 o'clock a.m., the
10	hearing was adjourned.)
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

<u>C-E-R-T-I-F-I-C-A-T-E</u> STATE OF FLORIDA COUNTY OF CLAY I, MARIE C. GENTRY, do hereby certify that the matter of request for review of proposed numbering plan relief for the 904 area code, Docket No. 990517-TL, was heard by the Florida Public Service Commission on January 27, 2000; that I was authorized to and did report in shorthand the proceedings and evidence in said proceedings and that the foregoing pages numbered 1 through 57, inclusive, constitute a correct record of the proceedings in said matter. DATED this 9th day of February, 2000.