### FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

#### VOTE SHEET

#### FEBRUARY 15, 2000

RE: DOCKET NO. 991660-WS - Application of United Water Florida Inc., holder of Certificates Nos. 236-W and 179-S in Duval, Nassau, and St. Johns Counties, for transfer of majority organizational control of corporate grandparent, United Water Resources, Inc., to Lyonnaise American Holding, Inc.

<u>Issue 1</u>: Should the application for transfer of majority organizational control of UWR to LAH be approved? <u>Recommendation</u>: Yes, the application for transfer of majority organizational control of UWR to LAH should be approved.

### APPROVED

COMMISSIONERS ASSIGNED: Full Commission

COMMISSIONERS' SIGNATURES

MAJORITY
Susan I Clark
Joe Jan
J. Temppleas
22 Al
REMARKS/DISSENTING COMMENTS:

DISSENTING

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

02076 FEB 168

FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

26

VOTE SHEET FEBRUARY 15, 2000 DOCKET NO. 991660-WS - Application of United Water Florida Inc., holder of Certificates Nos. 236-W and 179-S in Duval, Nassau, and St. Johns Counties, for transfer of majority organizational control of corporate grandparent, United Water Resources, Inc., to Lyonnaise American Holding, Inc.

(Continued from previous page)

<u>Issue 2</u>: Should rate base be established? <u>Recommendation</u>: No. Different ownership of stock does not affect the rate base balance.

## APPROVED

<u>Issue 3</u>: Should an acquisition adjustment be approved? <u>Recommendation</u>: No. An acquisition adjustment should not be included in the calculation of rate base for transfer purposes.

# **APPROVED**

<u>Issue 4</u>: Should the rates and charges approved for this utility be continued?

<u>Recommendation</u>: Yes, the rates and charges approved for United Water should be continued.

# APPROVED

VOTE SHEET FEBRUARY 15, 2000 DOCKET NO. 991660-WS - Application of United Water Florida Inc., holder of Certificates Nos. 236-W and 179-S in Duval, Nassau, and St. Johns Counties, for transfer of majority organizational control of corporate grandparent, United Water Resources, Inc., to Lyonnaise American Holding, Inc.

(Continued from previous page)

<u>Issue 5</u>: Should this docket be closed? <u>Recommendation</u>: Yes, this docket should be closed.

### APPROVED