State of Florida



Bublic Service Commiss

CAPITAL CIRCLE OFFICE CENTER \$ 2540 SHUMAR TOAK BOULEVAN TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32399-0850

-M-E-M-O-R-A-N-D-U-

FEBRUARY 24, 2000 DATE:

DIRECTOR, DIVISION OF RECORDS AND REPORTING (BAYÓ) TO:

DIVISION OF LEGAL SERVICES (CALDWELL OF AMARITME TO THE PROPERTY OF AMARITMENT OF THE PROPERTY FROM:

DIVISION OF AVOITING AND FINANCIAL ANALYSIS CAUSSEAUX, ROMPG, WRIGHT

DIVISION OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS (DURBIN 75)
DIVISION OF COMMUNICATIONS (MOSES, SIMMONS)

DOCKET NO. 990994-TP - PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO RULES 25-RE:

4.003, F.A.C., DEFINITIONS; 25-4.110, F.A.C., CUSTOMER BILLING FOR LOCAL EXCHANGE TELECOMMUNICATION COMPANIES; 25-4.113, F.A.C., REFUSAL OR DISCONTINUANCE OF SERVICE BY COMPANY; 25-4.490, F.A.C., CUSTOMER RELATIONS; RULES INCORPORATED; AND 25-24.845, F.A.C., CUSTOMER RELATIONS;

RULES INCORPORATED.

FEBRUARY 29, 2000 - REGULAR AGENDA - RULE PROPOSAL -AGENDA:

INTERESTED PERSONS MAY PARTICIPATE

CRITICAL DATES: NONE

SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS: NONE

FILE NAME AND LOCATION: S:\PSC\APP\WP\990994.RCM

CASE BACKGROUND

With the development of competition for the provision of telecommunications services, customers began filing complaints relating to questionable billing practices by some companies. Several different types of problems relating to customers' bills have caused concern with regulatory bodies in Florida, other states, and at the federal level.

1998, the Florida Legislature created Ιn "Telecommunications Consumer Protection Act" (Act) during its regular session. (See Chapter 98-277, Laws of Florida.) The Act is

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

02579 FEB 258

FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

contained in Sections 364.601 through 364.604, Florida Statutes. The Act provides the basis for staff's recommended changes to the Commission's current billing rules.

Section 364.602, Florida Statutes provides definitions for the terms "Billing Party;" "Commission;" "Customer;" "Originating party;" and "Information service." Section 364.603, Florida Statutes, provides for the methodology for changing telecommunications providers and is considered to be the "antislamming" section. Finally, Section 364.604, Florida Statutes, provides for the regulation of billing practices.

Section 364.604, Florida Statutes, provides:

- (1) Each billing party must clearly identify on its bill the name and toll-free number of the originating party; the telecommunications service or information service billed; and the specific charges, taxes and fees associated with each telecommunications or information service. The originating party is responsible for providing the billing party with all required information. The toll-free number of the originating party or its agent must be answered by a customer service representative or a voice response unit. If the customer reaches a voice response unit, the originating party or its agent must initiate a response to a customer inquiry within 24 hours, excluding weekends and holidays. telecommunications carrier shall have until June 30, 1999, to comply with this subsection.
- (2) A customer shall not be liable for any charges for telecommunications or information services that the customer did not order or that were not provided to the customer.
- (3) Every billing party shall provide a free blocking option to a customer to block 900 or 976 telephone calls.
- (4) A billing party shall not disconnect a customer's Lifeline local service if the charges, taxes, and fees applicable to basic local exchange telecommunications service are paid.

(5) Pursuant to Section 120.536, the Commission may adopt rules to implement this section.

The Commission held workshops around the state to gather input from customers about problems customers faced with their telecommunications billing. These workshops were held in West Palm Beach, Ft. Lauderdale, Miami, Tampa, Orlando, Jacksonville, and Tallahassee between February 4 and April 7, 1999. A rule development workshop was held in Tallahassee on September 28, 1999. After the workshop, post workshop comments were filed. Staff reviewed the testimony from the workshops and the comments filed and recommends that the following changes to Commission rules be proposed to implement the Telcommunications Consumer Protection Act.

DISCUSSION OF ISSUES

ISSUE 1: Should the Commission propose amendments to Rules 25-4.003, Florida Administrative Code, Definitions; Rule 25-4.110, F.A.C., Customer Billing for Local Exchange Telecommunications Companies; 25-4.113, F.A.C., Refusal or Discontinuance of Service by Company; 25-24.490, F.A.C., Customer Relations; Rules Incorporated; and 25-24.845, F.A.C., Customer Relations; Rules Incorporated?

RECOMMENDATION: Yes. The Commission should propose amendments to Rules 25-4.003, Florida Administrative Code, Definitions; Rule 25-4.110, F.A.C., Customer Billing for Local Exchange Telecommunications Companies; 25-4.113, F.A.C., Refusal or Discontinuance of Service by Company; 25-24.490, F.A.C., Customer Relations; Rules Incorporated; and 25-24.845, F.A.C., Customer Relations; Rules Incorporated.

STAFF ANALYSIS: Both the Federal Trade Commission (FTC) and Federal Communications Commission (FCC) are engaged in rulemaking to address truth-in-billing, slamming, and cramming¹.

¹ "Cramming" is the term of art that describes the unauthorized billing of unwanted or unauthorized services to a

On May 11, 1999, the FCC released the Truth-in-Billing and Billing Format First Report and Order and Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking. In doing so, the FCC adopted principles and quidelines to ensure that telephone bills provide consumers with the information needed to make informed decisions telecommunications marketplace, as well as with tools to protect against telecommunications-related fraud such slamming and cramming. The rules were originally directed to take effect on July 26, 1999, however the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) expressed concern that computer programming tasks related to truth-in-billing could hamper small carriers' remediation efforts. Based on OMB's concerns, the FCC delayed until April 1, 2000, the effective date of the truth-in-billing requirements regarding provider identification, separation by provider, and billing inquiry contact requirements. Any carrier, regardless of size, facing the same compliance problems was granted relief until April 1, 2000. Beginning December 23, 1999, effected carriers are obligated to include billing inserts outlining the carriers' difficulties and their plans for achieving compliance by April 1, 2000.

The FTC has submitted the information collection requirements of its Pay-Per-Call Rule, including certain proposed amendments, to the OMB for review and clearance under the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA). The deadline for comments was January 14, 2000. The FTC anticipates adoption of the Pay-Per-Call rule prior to Spring 2000.

Staff believes that because of the nationwide nature of billing, federal regulation or uniform guidelines across states would be in the customer's best interest to the extent that the guidelines provide the protections warranted and can be done at a cost less than if regulated state-by-state. However, staff further believes that Florida's population presents unique characteristics that warrant scrutiny by this Commission.

Specifically, staff believes Florida's population of elderly, minors, and visitors are potential targets for certain companies who could defraud these persons through unclear advertising or other marketing schemes that take advantage of particular characteristics of that population. During the Commission Workshops, testimony was heard describing different schemes used to defraud telephone customers.

customer's local telephone account by third party vendors or billing agents.

Staff also believes that most of the telecommunications companies doing business in Florida that intend to stay in business long-term have taken self-governing measures to reduce incidents of cramming and to improve and clarify their billing. For instance, between August 1998 and December 1999, cramming complaints received by the Commission declined, from 302 to 31 per month. Staff understands that this trend is nationwide.

Staff believes the proposed amendments implement the Act, conform to the regulations proposed by the FTC and FCC, and address Florida specific issues, while not over burdening the companies with excessive regulation.

Section 364.01(4)(d), Florida Statutes, provides for a lesser level of regulatory oversight for alternative local exchange companies (ALECs) than local exchange telecommunication companies to promote competition by allowing a transition period for new companies to enter the market. Staff believes that the proposed rules meet this directive since only certain sections of Rule 25-4.110, Florida Administrative Code, (Billing Rule) would apply to ALECs. Staff further believes that the sections of the Billing Rule that apply to ALECS protect customers by having bills that can be easily understood and allowing customers to be more in control of the services they order and the charges they pay. Staff believes that these protections and benefits to the customers outweigh any additional burdens placed on the ALECs as a result of the proposed amendments.

Finally, staff notes that any company may apply for a waiver of Commission Rules under the provisions of Section 120.542, Florida Statutes, and the Uniform Rules.

The following is a rule-by-rule description of the amendments staff recommends the Commission propose.

Rule 25-4.003, Definitions

The terms "Billing Party;" "Information Service;" and "Originating Party;" are defined consistent with Section 364.602, F.S. The term "Alternative Local Exchange Company (ALEC)" is clarified to be consistent with subsection 364.402(1), F.S.

Rule 25-4.110, Customer Billing for Local Exchange Telecommunications Companies

The proposed rule amendments require a billing party to clearly identify on its bill the name and toll-free customer service number of the originating party or its agent; telecommunications service or information service billed; and the taxes, and fees associated with specific charges, The proposed rule telecommunications or information service. amendments require that taxes and fees on the customer's bill be labels for standard and uniform identified by telecommunications industry in Florida and that the terminology for Federal regulated taxes, fees, and surcharges must be consistent with the FCC's required terminology or in the alternative, companies must provide an illustrative bill that explains in plain language each line item and applicable tax, fee, or surcharge.

The proposed amendments also include requirements to state the Telecommunications Access System (TASA) surcharge and 911 fee on all bills rendered and to include tax detail in the annual itemized bill. Additionally, the proposed rule amendments require a billing party to provide credit and remove the charge from a customer's bill if the customer notifies the billing party that he did not order an item or was not provided a service appearing on the bill. Originating parties are not prohibited from direct billing customers. The proposed rule amendments will give customers the right to restrict the types of charges that can be placed on their bills and require billing parties to notify customers of this right.

Bill clarity has always been an issue, but with the proliferation of federal charges, customers are struggling more than ever to understand their increasingly lengthy bills and to verify the authenticity of charges. The proposed rule amendments serve several purposes. First, the proposed rule amendments identify the types of information that billing entities must place on customer's telephone bills. This should enable customers to easily review and understand all the charges that appear on their bills. Terminology for taxes and fees appearing on the bills will be standardized across the telecommunications industries in Florida. This standardization should help consumers, as well as regulatory personnel, in understanding the various components of a customer's bill. In addition, the customer will be provided the name of the originating party and the toll-free telephone number of the originating party or its agent for charges appearing on the This should allow customers to contact the originating parties should there be a billing dispute.

A billing party, upon request from a customer, must restrict charges on its bills to only a) those charges that originate from

the billing party itself, a governmental agency, and the customer's presubscribed local-toll and long distance carrier(s), and b) collect calls, third party calls, and calls made using a 10-10-xxx calling pattern. The effect of this proposed amendment is that customers will have an option to be billed only for telecommunications type services; thus, the opportunities for companies fraudulently adding unrelated charges to a customer's telephone bill will be greatly reduced.

Because many unauthorized charges flow directly to consumers' bills, subscribers to LEC telephone services are currently exposed to fraud for various charges from regulated and unregulated services providers. Historically, subscribers could obtain dialing block capability from LECs, such as 900-number blocking, to prevent charges for unwanted, unauthorized services. Today, subscribers have no effective way of blocking many unauthorized charges because information and entertainment providers frequently use service access codes other than 9002 numbers and because many providers use various deceptive marketing practices to obtain telephone account numbers for various service fees and charges. Subscribers to local telephone service from the LECs are therefore placed in the position of having to take extraordinary steps to have the unauthorized charges removed from their accounts or from credit bureau records. The Commission has received hundreds of such complaints. In addition, the Commission has received hundreds of complaints from subscribers about unauthorized charges from information and entertainment services providers who appear to evade existing regulations and bill such charges to local telephone accounts without complying with applicable 900-number requirements.

Rule 25-4.113, Refusal or Discontinuance of Service by Company

The proposed amendments prevent telecommunication companies from discontinuing a customer's Lifeline local service if the charges, taxes, and fees applicable to dial tone, local usage, dual

² Staff's investigation of these complaints documents that 900-number blocks no longer offer sufficient consumer protection because other service access codes (800, 888, 887, 700, 311, 511, 711, and international codes) are often advertised to access entertainment and information services instead of the 900-service access code. When such codes are used by minors, visitors or guests without authorization, the calls often result in charges to local telephone accounts in apparent violation of applicable Federal Trade Commission and Federal Communications Commission requirements.

tone multi frequency dialing, "911," and relay services are paid. The effect is that Lifeline customers will be protected from disconnection in the event that charges for services or products other than Lifeline local service are not paid by the customer.

Finally, the provision restricting disconnection of a customer's Lifeline local service is designed specifically to implement Section 364.604(4), Florida Statutes.

Rule 25-24.490, Customer Relations; Rules Incorporated

The proposed amendments identify that subsections (2), (14), (15), (17), (18), (19), and (20) of Rule 25-4.110, are applicable to interexchange companies.

Rule 25-24.845, Customer Relations; Rules Incorporated

The proposed amendments identify that subsections (2), (14), (15), (16), (17), (18), (19), and (20) of Rule 25-4.110, are applicable to ALECs.

Statement of Estimated Regulatory Cost (SERC)

After the original proposed rule was revised, the estimated costs to comply have dropped precipitously. The only section that has substantial costs remaining is the charge blocking for certain calls, Rule 25-4.110(19). Companies estimated that it would cost \$4.68 million to \$7.17 million to implement this requirement and \$250,000 per year thereafter. However, companies would have a year to make changes to their systems and could possibly mitigate these costs by rolling them in with other modifications to their systems. Companies would have to give more detailed explanations to their customers concerning items on the bill which could increase customer service costs somewhat. These costs are unknown at this time. Other proposed changes to the rules would cost an estimated \$109,500 initially and approximately \$1 million per year, thereafter.

ISSUE 2: Should this docket be closed?

RECOMMENDATION: Yes, if no requests for hearing or comments are filed, the rule amendments as proposed should be filed for adoption with the Secretary of State and the docket be closed.

STAFF ANALYSIS: Unless comments or requests for hearing are filed, the rules as proposed may be filed with the Secretary of State without further Commission action. The docket may then be closed.

Attachments

MEMORANDUM

February 25, 2000

TO: DIVISION OF APPEALS (CALDWELL)

FROM: DIVISION OF AUDITING AND FINANCIAL ANALYSIS (HEWITT

SUBJECT: REVISED STATEMENT OF ESTIMATED REGULATORY COSTS FOR PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO RULES: 25-4.003, F.A.C., DEFINITIONS; 25-4.110, F.A.C., CUSTOMER BILLING FOR LOCAL

EXCHANGE TELECOMMUNICATIONS COMPANIES; 25-4.113, F.A.C., REFUSAL OR DISCONTINUANCE OF SERVICE BY COMPANY; 25-24.490 & 25-24.845, F.A.C., CUSTOMER RELATIONS; RULES

INCORPORATED.

SUMMARY OF THE RULES

Currently, the above referenced rules address the requirements of telecommunications companies for their billing of customers. The billing party is required to clearly identify the name and toll-free customer service number of the originating party, the telecommunications service or information service billed, and the specific charges, taxes, and fees associated with each telecommunications or information service. Rule 25-4.113, F.A.C., delineates conditions under which the telecommunications company may or may not discontinue or refuse service to a customer.

Proposed rule changes would identify the types of information that must be placed on customer bills including the originating party of a charge and its toll-free number. Companies could offer a choice of billing intervals, including monthly. Subscribers would have the option to limit their bills to specified allowable charges and have charges removed for services not received or ordered. Lifeline local service customers would only be disconnected if local service charges, taxes and fees are not paid. Major revisions to the SERC are in italics.

ESTIMATED NUMBER OF ENTITIES REQUIRED TO COMPLY AND GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF INDIVIDUALS AFFECTED

There are ten incumbent local exchange companies (ILECs) operating in Florida, which send bills to customers. Approximately

six hundred interexchange carriers (IXCs) are certificated in Florida and most use ILECs to bill for their services. Over 200 alternative local exchange companies (ALECs) are certified to operate in Florida. The number that bill customers themselves versus those that use ILECs or some other means to bill is unknown. However, those that do not bill directly would be an originating billing party and the ILECs would require them to submit their billing information to comply with the rule guidelines.

RULE IMPLEMENTATION AND ENFORCEMENT COST AND IMPACT ON REVENUES FOR THE AGENCY AND OTHER STATE AND LOCAL GOVERNMENT ENTITIES

The Public Service Commission and other local government entities are not expected to experience implementation costs other than the costs associated with promulgating a proposed rule. Commission staff may be called upon to resolve disputes over bills and answer questions about related matters, but these should be able to be handled with existing staff. There should be fewer misunderstandings concerning telecommunications bills and thus fewer calls and complaints to the Commission.

The proposed rule may benefit the Commission and other state and local government entities if it results in them being able to more easily understand their telephone bills and avoid having unauthorized charges appear on their bills. Local governments holding ALEC certificates are expected to face compliance costs that are similar to those reported by other ALECs. They could also be expected to gain the same type of benefits (less customer confusion and complaints) as other ALECs.

ESTIMATED TRANSACTIONAL COSTS TO INDIVIDUALS AND ENTITIES

Many of the telecommunications companies responding to a data request estimate high costs to comply with all the proposed rule changes. Because one company requested confidentiality for its

cost data, responding entities are each designated by a capital letter.

Terminology 25-4.110(2)(d)2.b.(i)

The revised proposed rule offers an alternative to placing Florida specific terminology on all bills. That alternative should substantially reduce the estimated costs listed below. Companies would have to comply with FCC language. Company A estimated that it would incur a \$1.1 million one time cost to change to Florida specific terminology for residential customers' bills, and an additional \$1.1 million to change business bills. Company A stated that there were no additional costs or benefits with the proposed rule requirements for 25-4.110(2)(a), (2)(c) or (2)(d)1. Company B estimated that development costs would be \$6 million and require six to nine months to complete the process. Company C estimated the impact to be \$1,050,000 if industry standards [nationwide] are If no industry standards are adopted, it would spend \$14,500,000 for its ILEC billing system and \$10,000,000 minimum for its IXC billing system, or \$500,000 per carrier. estimated non-recurring costs for training, etc., at about \$9,300, investment costs of about \$36,000 per year for three years, and recurring costs of about \$10,100 per year. Company E could identify no significant expenses. Company F stated that the terminology requirement would be unduly burdensome and that none should be mandated. Company G stated that it would have to pay for all the development costs for an outside vendor to change its billing system for Florida specific terminology. Company H stated that there would be no real costs. Finally, Company I estimated \$10,000 per year costs to comply.

Billing Headings 4.110(2)(a)

Company H estimated that programming costs would be \$25,500 to change bill headings for originating parties' names. Company J estimated it would cost approximately \$8,000 to add the name to the bill of other companies and \$8,000 to display the name of the originating party.

Tax Related Items 4.110(2)

The revised proposed rule offers an alternative to placing Florida specific taxes, assessment base, and rates on all bills: A company can explain each line item in the bill in plain language to a customer who calls concerning those items. A written copy of the explanation would have to be provided upon request. The alternative should substantially reduce the estimated costs listed below.

Company C stated that these changes would require significant system enhancements estimated to cost \$2 million. Company C also believes that the level of detail proposed would confuse the customer and result in customer dissatisfaction with bill presentation. For section (2), listing taxes by originating party, separate line item tax, and taxes by assessment base and rate, Company D would have a non-recurring cost of about \$77,000 and recurring costs of about \$5,225,000 per year.

Items for Nonpayment Disconnect(5)

Company D believes that it does state clearly on its bill the items which for nonpayment will result in disconnection. However, if the company had to change its billing notification, it would incur a one-time cost of about \$9,000 and recurring charges of about \$100,000 per year.

PIC Notification (16) (17)

The revised proposed rule changes eliminates the need for costly billing modifications mentioned below.

Company A stated that these changes would not be possible until it migrated its customers to a new billing system in 2000 and modifications to the new billing system are estimated to cost \$2 million. It would cost Company A an additional \$1.9 million to make these changes to its long distance billing and customer records systems. Company C stated that this would be anticompetitive and should only be made to customers who have been a victim of slamming.

Bold Conspicuous Type (17)

Company C estimated to change font size would cost \$8,000 (although this is a current rule requirement) and stated that some of the detail being recommended would be more confusing.

Restrict Blocking(19)

For section 25-4.110(19) concerning charge blocking, Company A stated that it is not clear which charges would be required to be blocked by this rule. But, to provide customers with the apparent required billing block, Company A would have to develop a mechanism to screen each billing record, make a determination about the origin and content of the record, check customer records to determine if a billing block exists and return those billing records that do not pass the customer's billing block. Also, interfaces would have to be built and coordinated within all affected systems to produce the appropriate indicators at the customer account level. Company A estimated that this would cost approximately \$2.5 million to \$4.8 million and take about one year to develop. The revised proposed rule allows one year for implementation. It estimated an additional \$4.1 million would be

required if it was necessary to develop and implement a separate method of direct-billing casual users who request a bill block from their local provider, thus limiting the ability to utilize existing LEC billing systems.

Company D estimated the cost to implement the billing block functionality to its system requirements would be approximately \$2 million initially, and \$250,000 per year for customer notification and ongoing maintenance. Company E estimated that this proposed change would cost between \$150,000 and \$337,500. Company J estimated this change would cost approximately \$30,000.

Discontinuance of Service 4.113(1)(f)

Company D estimated that the cost to comply with the change in the rule about no discontinuance of lifeline local service would be about \$653,000 per year plus a non-recurring cost of about \$59,000.

Overall Changes

Company B estimated that development costs for all the proposed changes to the rules would be \$6 million and require six to nine months to complete the process. However, Company B apparently estimated costs for changes of requirements that are already in the existing rule. Company D assumed that all the changes would require an additional page added to the bill and the recurring charges for one additional page, a move to the next mailing bracket, and additional computer storage would be an estimated \$886,000 per year. With the revised rule language, it is unlikely that an additional bill page would be necessary. Company G has an estimate from its outside vendor that to implement the provisions of Rule 25-4.110 would cost approximately \$50,000. Company I estimated \$10,000 in costs for all changes.

IMPACT ON SMALL BUSINESSES, SMALL CITIES, OR SMALL COUNTIES

Small businesses, small cities, and small counties could benefit from the proposed rules by having less confusion about their telecommunications services bills and less possibility of unauthorized charges on their bills. They should not have any additional transaction costs. However, if the estimated high costs of complying with the proposed rule changes materialize, billing companies may pass the costs on to telecommunications services companies customers, many which are small businesses, with increased rates or charges.

REASONABLE ALTERNATIVE METHODS

Because substantial revisions have been made to the proposed rule changes, most of the LCRAs offered below are now moot.

Lower Cost Regulatory Alternatives (LCRA) were offered by some companies for various sections of the proposed rule changes. Company A offered that instead of the terminology section that would be exclusive for Florida, alternative language that for terminology, "..descriptive terms must be used which are not misleading." This would save Company A an estimated \$2.2 million. For section 25-4.110(16) of the proposed rule changes that a new presubscribed provider must notify the customer of the change, Company A believes that the current requirement of 364.04(1) F.S. fulfills the statutory objective. Thus, the LCRA would be to avoid changing the rule as proposed, and save Company A \$1.9 million. However, the rule change is merely a language rewording, the current rule requires a customer to be notified by letter or in the first bill after a PIC change.

Company B submitted that the LCRA for terminology is to use the FCC's terminology when developed. Company B thinks that the proposed rule changes appear unnecessary and significantly expensive. And, since reports of cramming have decreased significantly throughout the year, the proposed rule changes would add only costs to competitors without added benefit to consumers. Company D recommended language that, "If the FCC has not developed standard terminology by January 2001, then..." Company D stated that bill formats should be sufficiently flexible to accommodate other options or customer requests as they arise. Also, Company D thinks that an alternative to section 25-4.110(15)(b) should be considered, because it does not think that it can monitor 900 services provided by others. However, this requirement is currently in the existing rule. For section 25-4.110(19) concerning charge blocking, Company A is unable to determine a statutory objective being implemented, interpreted, or made specific by this rule [amendment].

The Commission must consider LCRAs, but does not have to adopt them if it determines that they do not substantially accomplish the statutory objectives.

cc: Sally Simmons, CMU cramrevs.cbh

25-4.003 Definitions. 1

3

- For the purpose of Chapter 25-4, the definitions to the 2 following terms apply:
- "Access Line" or "Subscriber Line." The circuit or 4
- channel between the demarcation point at the customer's premises 5
- and the serving end or class 5 central office. 6
- (2) "Alternative Local Exchange Telecommunications Company 7
- (ALEC)." Any telecommunications company, as defined in Section 8
- 364.02(1), Florida Statutes certificated by the commission to 9
- 10 provide local exchange telecommunications services in Florida on
- or after July 1, 1995. 11
- "Average Busy Season-Busy Hour Traffic." The average 12
- traffic volume for the busy season busy hours. 13
- (4) "Billing Party." Any telecommunications company that 14
- bills an end user consumer on its own behalf or on behalf of an 15
- originating party. 16
- "Busy Hour." The continuous one-hour period of 17 (4 5)
- the day during which the greatest volume of traffic is handled in 18
- the office. 19
- "Busy Season." The calendar month or period of 20 (5 6)
- the year (preferably 30 days but not to exceed 60 days) during 21
- which the greatest volume of traffic is handled in the office. 22
- 23 (67)"Call." An attempted telephone message.

- 1 (7 $\underline{8}$) "Central Office." A location where there is an
- 2 assembly of equipment that establishes the connections between
- 3 subscriber access lines, trunks, switched access circuits,
- 4 private line facilities, and special access facilities with the
- 5 rest of the telephone network.
- 6 $(\frac{8}{9})$ "Commission." The Florida Public Service
- 7 Commission.
- 8 (9 10) "Company," "Telecommunications Company,"
- 9 "Telephone Company," or "Utility." These terms may be used
- interchangeably herein and shall mean "telecommunications
- company" as defined in Section 364.02(12), Florida Statutes.
- "Completed call." A call which has been switched
- through an established path so that two-way conversation or data
- 14 transmission is possible.
- "Disconnect" or "Disconnection." The dissociation
- or release of a circuit. In the case of a billable call, the end
- of the billable time for the call whether intentionally
- 18 terminated or terminated due to a service interruption.
- 19 (123) "Drop or Service Wire." The connecting link that
- 20 extends from the local distribution service terminal to the
- 21 protector or telephone network interface device on the customer's
- 22 premises.
- 23 (1 $\frac{34}{2}$) "Exchange." The entire telephone plant and

- 1 facilities used in providing telephone service to subscribers
- 2 located in an exchange area. An exchange may include more than
- 3 one central office unit.
- 4 (145) "Exchange (Service) Area." The territory of a
- 5 local exchange company (LEC) within which local telephone service
- is furnished at the exchange rates applicable within that area.
- 7 (1 $\frac{56}{}$) "Extended Area Service." A type of telephone
- 8 service whereby subscribers of a given exchange or area may
- 9 complete calls to, and receive messages from, one or more other
- 10 exchanges or areas without toll charges, or complete calls to one
- or more other exchanges or areas without toll message charges.
- 12 $(1\frac{67}{2})$ "Extension Station." An additional station
- connected on the same circuit as the main station and subsidiary
- 14 thereto.
- "Foreign Exchange Service." A classification of
- 16 LEC exchange service furnished under tariff provisions whereby a
- 17 subscriber may be provided telephone service from an exchange
- other than the one from which he would normally be served.
- 19 (19) "Information Service." Telephone calls made to 900 or
- 20 976 type services, but does not include Internet services.
- 21 (18 <u>20</u>) "Intercept Service." A service arrangement
- 22 provided by the telecommunications company whereby calls placed
- 23 to an unequipped non-working, disconnected, or discontinued
- telephone number are intercepted by operator, recorder, or audio

- 1 response computer and the calling party informed that the called
- 2 telephone number is not in service, has been disconnected,
- 3 discontinued, or changed to another number, or that calls are
- 4 received by another telephone. This service is also provided in
- 5 certain central offices and switching centers to inform the
- 6 calling party of conditions such as system blockages, inability
- of the system to complete a call as dialed, no such office code,
- 8 and all circuits busy.
- 9 (19 21) "Interexchange Company (IXC)." Any
- telecommunications company, as defined in Section 364.02(12),
- 11 Florida Statutes, which provides telecommunications service
- between local calling areas as those areas are described in the
- 13 approved tariffs of individual LECs. IXC includes, but is not
- limited to, MLDAs as defined in subsection (3735) of these
- 15 definitions.
- 16 $(2\theta 2)$ "Inter-office Call." A telephone call originating
- in one central office but terminating in another central office,
- 18 both of which are in the same designated exchange area.
- 19 (2±3) "Interstate Toll Message." Those toll messages
- which do not originate and terminate within the same state.
- 21 $(2\frac{24}{4})$ "Intertoll Trunk." A line or circuit between two
- toll offices, two end offices, or between an end office and toll
- 23 office, over which toll calls are passed.
- 24 (235) "Intra-office Call." A telephone call originating

- and terminating within the same central office.
- 2 (246) "Intra-state Toll Message." Those toll messages
- 3 which originate and terminate within the same state.
- 4 (257) "Invalid Number." A number comprised of an
- 5 unassigned area code number or a non-working central office code
- 6 (NXX).
- 7 (2 $\frac{68}{}$) "Large LEC." A LEC certificated by the Commission
- 8 prior to July 1, 1995, that had in excess of 100,000 access lines
- 9 in service on July 1, 1995.
- 10 (279) "Local Access and Transport Area (LATA)" or
- "Market Area." A geographical area, which is loosely based on
- 12 standard metropolitan statistical areas (SMSAs), within which a
- 13 LEC may transport telecommunication signals.
- 14 (28 30) "Local Exchange Telecommunications Company (LEC)."
- Any telecommunications company, as defined in Section 364.02(6),
- 16 Florida Statutes.
- 17 (29 31) "Local Provider (LP)." Any telecommunications
- 18 company providing local telecommunications service, excluding pay
- 19 telephone providers and call aggregators.
- 20 (302) "Local Service Area" or "Local Calling Area." The
- 21 area within which telephone service is furnished subscribers
- 22 under a specific schedule of rates and without toll charges. A
- 23 LEC's local service area may include one or more exchange areas
- or portions of exchange areas.

- 1 (3 \pm 3) "Local Toll Provider (LTP)." Any
- 2 telecommunications company providing intraLATA or intramarket
- 3 area long distance telecommunications service.
- 4 (3 $\frac{24}{2}$) "Main Station." The principal telephone
- 5 associated with each service to which a telephone number is
- 6 assigned and which is connected to the central office equipment
- 7 by an individual or party line circuit or channel.
- 8 (335) "Message." A completed telephone call.
- 9 (346) "Mileage Charge." A tariff charge for circuits and
- 10 channels connecting other services that are auxiliary to local
- 11 exchange service such as off premises extensions, foreign
- 12 exchange and foreign central office services, private line
- 13 services, and tie lines.
- 14 (357) "Multiple Location Discount Aggregator (MLDA)."
- An entity that offers discounted long distance telecommunications
- 16 services from an underlying IXC to unaffiliated entities. An
- 17 entity is a MLDA if one or more of the following criteria
- 18 applies:
- 19 (a) It collects fees related to interexchange
- 20 telecommunications services directly from subscribers,
- 21 (b) It bills for interexchange telecommunications services
- 22 in its own name,
- 23 (c) It is responsible for an end user's unpaid
- 24 interexchange telecommunications bill, or
- 25 (d) A customer's bill cannot be determined by applying the

- tariff of the underlying IXC to the customer's individual usage.
- 2 (368) "Normal Working Days." The normal working days
- 3 for installation and construction shall be all days except
- 4 Saturdays, Sundays, and holidays. The normal working days for
- 5 repair service shall be all days except Sundays and holidays.
- 6 Holidays shall be the days which are observed by each individual
- 7 telephone utility.
- 8 (379) "Optional Calling Plan." An optional service
- 9 furnished under tariff provisions which recognizes the need of
- some subscribers for extended area calling without imposing the
- 11 cost on the entire body of subscribers.
- 12 (40) "Originating Party." Any person, firm, corporation, or
- other entity, including a telecommunications company or a billing
- 14 clearinghouse, that provides any telecommunications service or
- information service to a customer or bills a customer through a
- 16 billing party, except the term "originating party" does not
- include any entity specifically exempted from the definition of
- 18 "telecommunications company" as provided in s. 364.02(12).
- 19 (3 $\frac{41}{2}$) "Out of Service." The inability, as reported by
- the customer, to complete either incoming or outgoing calls over
- 21 the subscriber's line. "Out of Service" shall not include:
- 22 (a) Service difficulties such as slow dial tone, circuits
- 23 busy, or other network or switching capacity shortages;
- 24 (b) Interruptions caused by a negligent or willful act of
- 25 the subscriber; and

- 1 (c) Situations in which a company suspends or terminates
 2 service because of nonpayment of bills, unlawful or improper use
- of facilities or service, or any other reason set forth in
- 4 approved tariffs or Commission rules.
- 5 (39 42) "Outside Plant." The telephone equipment and
- 6 facilities installed on, along, or under streets, alleys,
- 7 highways, or on private rights-of-way between the central office
- 8 and subscribers' locations or between central offices of the same
- 9 or different exchanges.
- 10 (403) "Pay Telephone Service Company." Any
- 11 telecommunications company that provides pay telephone service as
- defined in Section 364.3375, Florida Statutes.
- 13 (4±4) "PC-Freeze." (Preferred Carrier Freeze) A service
- offered that restricts the customer's carrier selection until
- 15 further notice from the customer.
- 16 (425) "Provider." Any telecommunications company
- 17 providing service, excluding pay telephone providers and call
- 18 aggregators (i.e. local, local toll, and toll providers).
- 19 (436) "Service Objective." A quality of service which
- 20 is desirable to be achieved under normal conditions.
- 21 (447) "Service Standard." A level of service which a
- telecommunications company, under normal conditions, is expected
- 23 to meet in its certificated territory as representative of
- 24 adequate services.
- 25 (458) "Small LEC." A LEC certificated by the Commission

- prior to July 1, 1995, which had fewer than 100,000 access lines
- 2 in service on July 1, 1995.
- 3 (469) "Station." A telephone instrument consisting of a
- 4 transmitter, receiver, and associated apparatus so connected as
- 5 to permit sending or receiving telephone messages.
- 6 (47 <u>50</u>) "Subscriber" or "Customer." These terms may be
- 7 used interchangeably herein and shall mean any person, firm,
- 8 partnership, corporation, municipality, cooperative organization,
- 9 or governmental agency supplied with communication service by a
- 10 telecommunications company.
- 11 (48 <u>51</u>) "Subscriber Line." See "Access Line."
- 12 (49 52) "Switching Center." Location at which telephone
- traffic, either local or toll, is switched or connected from one
- 14 circuit or line to another. A local switching center may be
- 15 comprised of several central office units.
- 16 (5 θ 3) "Toll Connecting Trunk." A trunk which connects a
- 17 local central office with its toll operating office.
- 18 (5 ± 4) "Toll Message." A completed telephone call
- 19 between stations in different exchanges for which message toll
- 20 charges are applicable.
- 21 (5 $\frac{25}{2}$) "Toll Provider (TP)." Any telecommunications
- 22 company providing interLATA long distance telecommunications
- 23 service.
- 24 $(5\frac{3}{6})$ "Traffic Study." The process of recording usage

- 1 measurements which can be translated into required quantities of
- 2 equipment.
- 3 (547) "Trouble Report." Any oral or written report from
- 4 a subscriber or user of telephone service to the telephone
- 5 company indicating improper function or defective conditions with
- 6 respect to the operation of telephone facilities over which the
- 7 telephone company has control.
- 8 (5 $\frac{58}{8}$) "Trunk." A communication channel between central
- 9 office units or entities, or private branch exchanges.
- 10 $(5\frac{69}{})$ "Valid Number." A number for a specific telephone
- 11 terminal in an assigned area code and working central office
- which is equipped to ring and connect a calling party to such
- 13 terminal number.
- 14 Specific Authority: 350.127(2) F.S.
- 15 Law Implemented: 364.01, 364.02, 364.32, 364.335, 364.337,
- 16 364.3375, 364.3376, 364.602 F.S.
- 17 History: Revised 12-1-68, Amended 3-31-76, formerly 25-4.03,
- 18 Amended 2-23-87, 3-4-92, 12-21-93, 3-10-96, 7-20-98, 12/28/98,
- 19 **2/1/99**, **XX/XX/XX**.

20

- 21 25-4.110 Customer Billing for Local Exchange Telecommunications
- 22 Companies.
- 23 (1) Each company shall issue bills monthly or may offer
- 24 <u>customers a choice of billing intervals that includes a monthly</u>

1 billing interval.

- 2 (2) Six months after the effective date of this rule, each
 3 billing party shall set forth on the bill all charges, fees, and
 4 taxes which are due and payable.
 - (a) There shall be a heading for each originating party which is billing to that customer account for that billing period. The heading shall clearly and conspicuously indicate the originating party's name. If the originating party is a certificated telecommunications company, the certificated name must be shown. If the originating party has more than one certificated name, the name appearing in the heading must be the name used to market the service.
 - provider or its customer service agent must be conspicuously displayed in the heading, immediately below the heading, or immediately following the list of charges for the service provider. For purposes of this subparagraph, the service provider is defined as the company which provided the service to the end user. If the service provider has an customer service agent, the toll-free number must be that of the customer service agent and must be displayed with the service provider's heading or with the customer service agent's heading, if any. For purposes of this subparagraph, a customer service agent is a person or entity that acts for any originating party pursuant to the terms of a written agreement. The scope of such agency shall

1 be limited to the terms of such written ac	en agreement.
--	---------------

- 2 (c) Each charge shall be described under the applicable originating party heading.
- (d) 1. Taxes, fees, and surcharges related to an

 originating party heading shall be shown immediately below the

 charges described under that heading. The terminology for

 Federal Regulated Service Taxes, Fees, and Surcharges must be

 consistent with all FCC required terminology.
 - 2. The billing party shall either:

- a. Identify Florida taxes and fees applicable to charges on the customer's bill as (including but not limited to) "Florida gross receipts tax," "Franchise fees," "Municipal utility tax," and "Sales tax," and identify the assessment base and rate for each percentage based tax, fee, and surcharge, or
- b. (i) Provide a plain language explanation of any line item and applicable tax, fee, and surcharge to any customer who contacts the billing party or customer service agent with a billing question and expresses difficulty in understanding the bill after discussion with a service representative.
 - (ii) If the customer requests or continues to express

 difficulty in understanding the explanation of the authority,

 assessment base or rate of any tax, fee or surcharge, the billing

 party shall provide an explanation of the state, federal, or

 local authority for each tax, fee, and surcharge; the line items

 which comprise the assessment base for each percentage based tax,

- 1 fee, and surcharge; or the rate of each state, federal, or local
- 2 tax, fee, and surcharge consistent with the customer's concern.
- 3 The billing party or customer service agent shall provide this
- 4 information to the customer in writing upon the customer's
- 5 request.
- 6 (e) If each recurring charge due and payable is not
- 7 itemized, Eeach bill shall show the delinquent date, set forth a
- 8 clear listing of all charges due and payable, and contain the
- 9 following statement:
- 10 "Further Wwritten itemization of local billing available
- 11 upon request."
- 12 (a) (3) Each LEC shall provide an itemized bill for local
- 13 service:
- 14 1. (a) With the first bill rendered after local exchange
- service to a customer is initiated or changed; and
- 16 2. (b) To every customer at least once each twelve
- 17 months.
- 18 (b) (4) The annual itemized bill shall be accompanied by a
- 19 bill stuffer which explains the itemization and advises the
- customer to verify the items and charges on the itemized bill.
- 21 This bill stuffer shall be submitted to the Commission's Division
- of Telecommunications for prior approval. The itemized bill
- 23 provided to residential customers and to business customers with
- less than 10 ten access lines per service location shall be in
- easily understood language. The itemized bill provided to

```
business customers with 10 ten or more access lines per service
```

- 2 location may be stated in service order code, provided that it
- 3 contains a statement that, upon request, an easily understood
- 4 translation is available in written form without charge. An
- 5 itemized bill shall include, but not be limited to the following
- 6 information, separately stated:
- 7 1. (a) Number and types of access lines;
- 8 2. (b) Charges for access to the system, by type of line;
- 9 3. (c) Touch tone service charges;
- 10 4- (d) Charges for custom calling features, separated by
- 11 feature;
- 12 <u>5. (e)</u> Unlisted number charges;
- 13 6. (f) Local directory assistance charges;
- 14 7. (q) Other tariff charges;
- 15 8. (h) Other nontariffed, regulated charges contained in
- 16 the bill;
- 17 (c) (5) Each All bills rendered by a local exchange
- 18 company shall clearly.
- 19 1. Separately state the following items:
- 20 a. (a) Any discount or penalty., if applicable The
- originating party is responsible for informing the billing party
- of all such penalties or discounts to appear on the bill, in a
- form usable by the billing party;
- 24 b. (b) Past due balance;
- 25 <u>e. (c)</u> Unregulated charges, identified as unregulated

1 I	tems	for	which	nonpaym	ent wi	<u>ll resul</u>	t in	<u>disconnection</u>	<u>of</u>	<u>the</u>
-----	------	-----	-------	---------	--------	-----------------	------	----------------------	-----------	------------

- 2 customer's basic local service, including a statement of the
- 3 consequences of nonpayment;
- 4 d. (d) Long-distance monthly or minimum charges, if
- 5 included in the bill;
- 6 e. (e) Franchise fee, if applicable; and Long-distance
- 7 usage charges, if included in the bill;
- 8 f. (f) Taxes, as applicable on purchases of local and
- 9 long distance service; and Usage-based local charges, if included
- in the bill;
- 11 (q) <u>Telecommunications Access System Surcharge</u>, per <u>Rule</u>
- 12 25-4.160(3);
- 13 (h) <u>"911" fee per Section 365.171(13)</u>, Florida Statutes;
- 14 and
- 15 (i) Delinguent date.
- 16 2. Contain a statement that nonpayment of regulated
- 17 charges may result in discontinuance of service and that the
- 18 customer may contact the business office (at a stated number) to
- 19 determine the amount of regulated charges in the bill.
- 20 (6) Each company shall make appropriate adjustments or
- 21 refunds where the subscriber's service is interrupted by other
- than the subscriber's negligent or willful act, and remains out
- 23 of order in excess of 24 hours after the subscriber notifies the
- 24 company of the interruption. The refund to the subscriber shall
- 25 be the pro rata part of the month's charge for the period of days

- and that portion of the service and facilities rendered useless
- or inoperative; except that the refund shall not be applicable
- 3 for the time that the company stands ready to repair the service
- 4 and the subscriber does not provide access to the company for
- such restoration work. The refund may be accomplished by a
- 6 credit on a subsequent bill for telephone service.
- 7 (3) (7) (a) Bills shall not be considered delinquent
- 8 prior to the expiration of 15 days from the date of mailing or
- 9 delivery by the company. However, the company may demand
- immediate payment under the following circumstances:
- 11 1. Where service is terminated or abandoned;
- 12 2. Where toll service is two times greater than the
- subscriber's average usage as reflected on the monthly bills for
- 14 the three months prior to the current bill, or, in the case of a
- 15 new customer who has been receiving service for less than four
- months, where the toll service is twice the estimated monthly
- 17 toll service; or
- Where the company has reason to believe that a business
- 19 subscriber is about to go out of business or that bankruptcy is
- 20 imminent for that subscriber.
- 21 (b) The demand for immediate payment shall be accompanied
- 22 by a bill which itemizes the charges for which payment is
- demanded, or, if the demand is made orally, an itemized bill
- shall be mailed or delivered to the customer within three days
- 25 after the demand is made.

1 (c) If the company cannot present an itemized bill, it may
2 present a summarized bill which includes the customer's name and
3 address and the total amount due. However, a customer may refuse
4 to make payment until an itemized bill is presented. The company
5 shall inform the customer that he may refuse payment until an
6 itemized bill is presented.

- 4) (8) Each telephone company shall include a bill insert advising each subscriber of the directory closing date and of the subscriber's opportunity to correct any error or make changes as the subscriber deems necessary in advance of the closing date. It shall also state that at no additional charge and upon the request of any residential subscriber, the exchange company shall list an additional first name or initial under the same address, telephone number, and surname of the subscriber. The notice shall be included in the billing cycle closest to 60 days preceding the directory closing date.
- (5) (9) Annually, each telephone company shall include a bill insert advising each residential subscriber of the option to have the subscriber's name placed on the "No Sales Solicitation" list maintained by the Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services, Division of Consumer Services, and the 800 number to contact to receive more information.
- (6) (10) Where any undercharge in billing of a customer is the result of a company mistake, the company may not backbill in excess of 12 months. Nor may the company recover in a ratemaking

proceeding, any lost revenue which inures to the company's detriment on account of this provision.

- 3 (7) (11) Franchise fees and municipal telecommunications taxes.
 - (a) When a municipality charges a company any franchise fee, or municipal telecommunications tax authorized by Section 166.231, Florida Statutes, the company may collect that fee only from its subscribers receiving service within that municipality. When a county charges a company any franchise fee, the company may collect that fee only from its subscribers receiving service within that county.
 - (b) A company may not incorporate any franchise fee or municipal telecommunications tax into its other rates for service.
 - (c) This subsection shall not be construed as granting a municipality or county the authority to charge a franchise fee or municipal telecommunications tax. This subsection only specifies the method of collection of a franchise fee, if a municipality or county, having authority to do so, charges a franchise fee or municipal telecommunications tax.
 - (8) (12)(a) When a company elects to add the Gross Receipts Tax onto the customer's bill as a separately stated component of that bill, the company must first remove from the tariffed rates any embedded provisions for the Gross Receipts Tax.

1 (b) If the tariffed rates in effect have a provision for 2 gross receipts tax, the rates must be reduced by an amount equal 3 to the gross receipts tax liability imposed by Chapter 203, 4 Florida Statutes, thereby rendering the customer's bill 5 unaffected by the election to add the Gross Receipts Tax as a 6 separately stated tax.

- (c) This subsection shall not be construed as a mandate to elect to separately state the Gross Receipts Tax. This subsection only specifies the method of applying such an election.
- (d) All services sold to another telecommunications vendor, provided that the applicable rules of the Department of Revenue are satisfied, must be reduced by an amount equal to the gross receipts tax liability imposed by Chapter 203, Florida Statutes, unless those services have been adjusted by some other Commission action.
- (e) When a nonrate base regulated telecommunications company exercises the option of adding the gross receipts tax as a separately stated component on the customer's bill then that company must file a tariff indicating such.
- (9) (13) Each LEC shall apply partial payment of an end user/customer bill first towards satisfying any unpaid regulated charges. The remaining portion of the payment, if any, shall be applied to nonregulated charges.
- (10) (14) After January 1, 1999, or six months after the

- 1 effective date of this rule, whichever is later, all bills
- 2 produced shall clearly and conspicuously display the following
- 3 information for each service billed in regard to each company
- 4 claiming to be the customer's presubscribed provider for local,
- 5 local toll, or toll service:

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- (a) The name of the certificated company;
- 7 (b) Type of service provided, i.e., local, local toll, or 8 toll; and
- 9 (c) A toll-free customer service number.
 - (11) (15) This section applies to LECs that provide transmission services or bill and collect on behalf of Pay Per Call providers. Pay Per Call services are defined as switched telecommunications services between locations within the State of Florida which permit communications between an end use customer and an information provider's program at a per call charge to the end user/customer. Pay Per Call services include 976 services provided by the LECs and 900 services provided by interexchange carriers.
 - (a) Charges for Pay Per Call service (900 or 976) shall be segregated from charges for regular long distance or local charges by appearing separately under a heading that reads as follows: "Pay Per Call (900 or 976) nonregulated charges." The following information shall be clearly and conspicuously disclosed on each section of the bill containing Pay Per Call service (900 or 976) charges:

- 1 1. Nonpayment of Pay Per Call service (900 or 976) charges 2 will not result in disconnection of local service;
- 2. End users/customers can obtain free blocking of Pay Per

 4 Call service (900 or 976) from the LEC;
- 3. The local or toll-free number the end user/customer can call to dispute charges;
 - 4. The name of the IXC providing 900 service; and
- 8 5. The Pay Per Call service (900 or 976) program name.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- 9 (b) Pay Per Call Service (900 and 976) Billing. LECs and
 10 IXCs who have a tariff or contractual relationship with a Pay Per
 11 Call (900 or 976) provider shall not provide Pay Per Call
 12 transmission service or billing services, unless the provider
 13 does each of the following:
 - 1. Provides a preamble to the program which states the per minute and total minimum charges for the Pay Per Call service (900 and 976); child's parental notification requirement is announced on preambles for all programs where there is a potential for minors to be attracted to the program; child's parental notification requirement in any preamble to a program targeted to children must be in language easily understandable to children; and programs that do not exceed \$3.00 in total charges may omit the preamble, except as provided in Section (11)(b)3.;
 - 2. Provides an 18-second billing grace period in which the end user/customer can disconnect the call without incurring a charge; from the time the call is answered at the Pay Per Call

- 1 provider's premises, the preamble message must be no longer than
- 2 15 seconds. The program may allow an end user/customer to
- 3 affirmatively bypass a preamble;
- 4 3. Provides on each program promotion targeted at children
- 5 (defined as younger than 18 years of age) clear and conspicuous
- 6 notification, in language understandable to children, of the
- 7 requirement to obtain parental permission before placing or
- 8 continuing with the call. The parental consent notification
- 9 shall appear prominently in all advertising and promotional
- 10 materials, and in the program preamble. Children's programs
- shall not have rates in excess of \$5.00 per call and shall not
- include the enticement of a gift or premium;
- 13 4. Promotes its services without the use of an autodialer
- or broadcasting of tones that dial a Pay Per Call (900 and 976)
- 15 number;
- 16 5. Prominently discloses the additional cost per minute or
- per call for any other telephone number that an end user/customer
- is referred to either directly or indirectly;
- 19 6. In all advertising and promotional materials, displays
- 20 charges immediately above, below, or next to the Pay Per Call
- 21 number, in type size that can be seen as clearly and
- conspicuously at a glance as the Pay Per Call number. Broadcast
- television advertising charges, in Arabic numerals, must be shown
- on the screen for the same duration as the Pay Per Call number is
- 25 shown, each time the Pay Per Call number is shown. Oral

1 representations shall be equally as clear;

- 7. Provides on Pay Per Call services that involve sales of products or merchandise clear preamble notification of the price that will be incurred if the end user/customer stays on the line, and a local or toll free number for consumer complaints; and
 - 8. Meets internal standards established by the LEC or IXC as defined in the applicable tariffs or contractual agreement between the LEC and the IXC; or between the LEC/IXC and the Pay Per Call (900 or 976) provider which when violated, would result in the termination of a transmission or billing arrangement.
 - (c) Pay Per Call (900 and 976) Blocking. Each LEC shall provide blocking where technically feasible of Pay Per Call service (900 and 976), at the request of the end user/customer at no charge. Each LEC or IXC must implement a bill adjustment tracking system to aid its efforts in adjusting and sustaining Pay Per Call charges. The LEC or IXC will adjust the first bill containing Pay Per Call charges upon the end user's/ customer's stated lack of knowledge that Pay Per Call service (900 and 976) has a charge. A second adjustment will be made if necessary to reflect calls billed in the following month which were placed prior to the Pay Per Call service inquiry. At the time the charge is removed, the end user/customer may agree to free blocking of Pay Per Call service (900 and 976).
- (d) Dispute resolution for Pay Per Call service (900 and 976). Charges for Pay Per Call service (900 and 976) shall be

- 1 automatically adjusted upon complaint that:
- 2 1. The end user/customer did not receive a price
- advertisement, the price of the call was misrepresented to the
- 4 consumer, or the price advertisement received by the consumer was
- 5 false, misleading, or deceptive;
- 6 2. The end user/customer was misled, deceived, or confused
- 7 by the Pay Per Call (900 or 976) advertisement;
- 8 3. The Pay Per Call (900 or 976) program was incomplete,
- 9 garbled, or of such quality as to render it inaudible or
- unintelligible, or the end user/customer was disconnected or cut
- 11 off from the service;
- 12 4. The Pay Per Call (900 and/or 976) service provided
- 13 out-of-date information; or
- 14 5. The end user/customer terminated the call during the
- preamble described in 25-4.110(11)(b)2., but was charged for the
- 16 Pay Per Call service (900 or 976).
- 17 (e) If the end user/customer refuses to pay a disputed Pay
- 18 Per Call service (900 or 976) charge which is subsequently
- determined by the LEC to be valid, the LEC or IXC may implement
- 20 Pay Per Call (900 and 976) blocking on that line.
- 21 (f) Credit and Collection. LECs and IXCs billing Pay Per
- 22 Call (900 and 976) charges to an end user/customer in Florida
- 23 shall not:
- 1. Collect or attempt to collect Pay Per Call service (900
- or 976) charges which are being disputed or which have been

1 removed from an end user's/customer's bill; or

- 2 2. Report the end user/customer to a credit bureau or 3 collection agency solely for non-payment of Pay Per Call (900 or 4 976) charges.
- (g) LECs and IXCs billing Pay Per Call service (900 and 976) charges to end users/customers in Florida shall implement safeguards to prevent the disconnection of phone service for non-payment of Pay Per Call (900 or 976) charges.
 - (12) (16) The customer must be notified Companies that bill for local service must provide notification with the customer's first bill or via letter, or on the customer's first bill and annually thereafter that a PC Freeze is available. Existing customers must be notified by January 1, 1999, or six months after the effective date of this rule, whichever is later, and annually thereafter that a PC Freeze is available.
 - (13) (17) By January 1, 1999, or six months after the effective date of this rule, whichever is later, the customer must be given notice on the first or second page of the customer's next bill in conspicuous bold face type when the customer's presubscribed provider of local, local toll, or toll service has changed.
 - (18) If a customer notifies a billing party that they did not order an item appearing on their bill or that they were not provided a service appearing on their bill, the billing party shall promptly provide the customer a credit for the item and

- 1 remove the item from the customer's bill, with the exception of
- 2 the following:
- 3 (a) Charges that originate from:
- 4 <u>1. Billing party;</u>
- 5 <u>2. A governmental agency;</u>
- 3. A customer's presubscribed intraLATA or interLATA
- 7 <u>interexchange carrier; and</u>
- 8 (b) Charges associated with the following types of calls:
- 9 <u>1. Collect calls;</u>
- 10 <u>2.</u> Third party calls; and
- 11 3. Calls using a 10-10-xxx calling pattern.
- 12 (19) (a) Within one year of the effective date of this rule
- and upon request from any customer, a billing party must restrict
- charges in its bills to only:
- 15 1. Those charges that originate from the following:
- 16 <u>a. Billing party;</u>
- b. A governmental agency;
- 18 c. A customer's presubscribed intraLATA or interLATA
- 19 <u>interexchange carrier; and</u>
- 20 2. Those charges associated with the following types of
- 21 calls:
- 22 a. Collect calls;
- 23 <u>b. Third party calls; and</u>
- 24 <u>c. Calls using a 10-10-xxx calling pattern.</u>
- 25 (b) Customers must be notified of this right by billing

- 1 parties annually and at each time a customer notifies a billing
- 2 party that the customer's bill contained charges for products or
- 3 services that the customer did not order or that were not
- 4 provided to the customer.
- 5 (20) Nothing prohibits originating parties from billing
- 6 customers directly, even if a charge has been blocked from a
- 5 billing party's bill at the request of a customer.
- 8 Specific Authority: 350.127, 364.604(5) F.S.
- 9 Law Implemented: 364.17, 350.113, 364.03, 364.04, 364.05,
- 10 364.19, <u>364.602</u>, <u>364.604</u> F.S.
- 11 History: New 12-1-68, Amended 3-31-76, 12-31-78, 1-17-79, 7-28-
- 12 81, 9-8-81, 5-3-82, 11-21-82, 4-13-86, 10-30-86, 11-28-89, 3-31-
- 13 91, 11-11-91, 3-10-96, 7-20-97, 12/28/98, XX/XX/XX.
- 14
- 15 25-4.113 Refusal or Discontinuance of Service by Company.
- 16 (1) As applicable, the company may refuse or discontinue
- 17 telephone service under the following conditions provided that,
- 18 unless otherwise stated, the customer shall be given notice and
- 19 allowed a reasonable time to comply with any rule or remedy any
- 20 deficiency:
- 21 (a) For noncompliance with or violation of any state or
- 22 municipal law, ordinance, or regulation pertaining to telephone
- 23 service.
- 24 (b) For the use of telephone service for any other property
- or purpose than that described in the application.

1 (c) For failure or refusal to provide the company with a 2 deposit to insure payment of bills in accordance with the 3 company's regulations.

- (d) For neglect or refusal to provide reasonable access to the company for the purpose of inspection and maintenance of equipment owned by the company.
- (e) For noncompliance with or violation of the Commission's regulations or the company's rules and regulations on file with the Commission, provided 5 working days' written notice is given before termination.
 - including the telecommunications access system surcharge referred to in Rule 25-4.160(3), provided that suspension or termination of service shall not be made without 5 working days' written notice to the customer, except in extreme cases. The written notice shall be separate and apart from the regular monthly bill for service. A company shall not, however, refuse or discontinue service for nonpayment of a dishonored check service charge imposed by the company, nor discontinue a customer's Lifeline local service if the charges, taxes, and fees applicable to dial tone, local usage, dual tone multifrequency dialing, emergency services such as "911," and relay service are paid. No company shall discontinue service to any customer for the initial nonpayment of the current bill on a day the company's business office is closed or on a day preceding a day the business office

1 is closed.

- 2 (g) For purposes of paragraphs (e) and (f), "working day"
 3 means any day on which the company's business office is open and
 4 the U.S. Mail is delivered.
- (h) Without notice in the event of customer use of
 equipment in such manner as to adversely affect the company's
 equipment or the company's service to others.
- 8 (i) Without notice in the event of hazardous conditions or 9 tampering with the equipment furnished and owned by the company.
 - (j) Without notice in the event of unauthorized or fraudulent use of service. Whenever service is discontinued for fraudulent use of service, the company may, before restoring service, require the customer to make, at his own expense, all changes in facilities or equipment necessary to eliminate illegal use and to pay an amount reasonably estimated as the loss in revenues resulting from such fraudulent use.
 - (2) In case of refusal to establish service, or whenever service is discontinued, the company shall notify the applicant or customer in writing of the reason for such refusal or discontinuance.
 - (3) Service shall be initiated or restored when the cause for refusal or discontinuance has been satisfactorily adjusted.
 - (4) The following shall not constitute sufficient cause for refusal or discontinuance of service to an applicant or customer:
 - (a) Delinquency in payment for service by a previous

- occupant of the premises, unless the current applicant or
- 2 customer occupied the premises at the time the delinquency
- 3 occurred and the previous customer continues to occupy the
- 4 premises and such previous customer shall benefit from such new
- 5 service.
- 6 (b) Delinquency in payment for service by a present
- 7 occupant who was delinquent at another address and subsequently
- 8 joined the household of the customer in good standing.
- 9 (c) Delinquency in payment for separate telephone service
- of another customer in the same residence.
- 11 (d) Failure to pay for business service at a different
- location and a different telephone number shall not constitute
- 13 sufficient cause for refusal of residence service or vice versa.
- 14 (e) Failure to pay for a service rendered by the company
- which is not regulated by the Commission.
- 16 (f) Failure to pay the bill of another customer as
- 17 guarantor thereof.
- 18 (g) Failure to pay a dishonored check service charge
- 19 imposed by the company.
- 20 (5) When service has been discontinued for proper cause,
- 21 the company may charge a reasonable fee to defray the cost of
- 22 restoring service, provided such charge is set out in its
- approved tariff on file with the Commission.
- 24 Specific Authority: 350.127, 427.704(8), F.S.
- 25 Law Implemented: 364.03, 364.19, 427.704, F.S.

- 1 History: New 8/1/55, Amended 12/1/68, 3/31/76, 10/25/84,
- 2 10/30/86, 1/1/91, 9/17/92, 1/11/93, 1/25/95, XX/XX/XX.

- 4 25-24.490 Customer Relations; Rules Incorporated.
- 5 (1) The following rules are incorporated herein by
- 6 reference and apply to IXCs.

7

24

25

26

27

8	SECTION	TITLE	PORTIONS APPLICABLE
9	25-4.110	Customer Billing	Subsections (10) (11),
10			(12 , and (13) <u>(2), (14),</u>
11			(15), (17), (18), (19),
12			and (20)
13	25-4.111	Customer Complaint	All except Subsection (2)
14		and Service Requests	
15	25-4.112	Termination of Service	All
16		by Customer	
17	25-4.113	Refusal or Discontinuance	e All
18		of Service by Company	
19	25-4.114	Refunds	All
20	25-4.117	800 Service	All
21	25-4.118	Local, Local Toll, or	All
22		Toll Provider	
23		Selection	

(2) An IXC may require a deposit as a condition of service and may collect advance payments for more than one month of service if it maintains on file with the Commission a bond covering its current balance of deposits and advance payments

- 1 (for more than one month's service). A company may apply to the
- 2 Commission for a waiver of the bond requirement by demonstrating
- 3 that it possesses the financial resources and income to provide
- 4 assurance of continued operation under its certificate over the
- 5 long term.
- 6 (3) Upon request, each company shall provide verbally or in
- 7 writing to any person inquiring about the company's service:
- 8 (a) any nonrecurring charge,
- 9 (b) any monthly service charge or minimum usage charge,
- 10 (c) company deposit practices,
- 11 (d) any charges applicable to call attempts not answered,
- 12 (e) a statement of when charging for a call begins and
- 13 ends, and
- 14 (f) a statement of billing adjustment practices for wrong
- 15 numbers or incorrect bills.
- 16 In addition, the above information shall be included in the first
- 17 bill, or in a separate mailing no later than the first bill, to
- all new customers and to all customers presubscribing on or after
- 19 the effective date of this rule, and in any information sheet or
- 20 brochure distributed by the company for the purpose of providing
- information about the company's services. The above information
- 22 shall be clearly expressed in simple words, sentences and
- 23 paragraphs. It must avoid unnecessarily long, complicated or
- 24 obscure phrases or acronyms.
- 25 Specific Authority 350.127(2), 364.604(5) F.S.
- 26 Law Implemented 364.03, 364.14, 364.15, 364.603, 364.19, 364.337
- 27 364.602, 364.604 F.S.

- 1 History: New 2-23-87, Amended 10-31-89, 3-5-90, 3-4-92, 3-13-96,
- 2 7-20-98, 12/28/98, XX/XX/XX.

- 4 25-24.845 Customer Relations; Rules Incorporated.
- 5 The following rules are incorporated herein by reference and
- apply to ALECs. In the following rules, the acronym 'LEC' should
- 7 be omitted or interpreted as 'ALEC'.

8	SECTION	TITLE	PORTIONS APPLICABLE
9	25-4.110	Customer Billing	Subsections (10)
10			(11), (12 , and (13)
11			(2), (14), (15),
12			(16), (17), (18),
13			(19), and (20)
14	24-4.118	Local, Local Toll, or	All
15		Toll Provider Selection	mag.

- Specific Authority: 350.127(2) and 364.337(2), 364.604(5) F.S.
- 17 Law Implemented: 364.337(2), 364.602, 364.604.
- 18 History: New 7-20-98, Amended 12/28/98, XX/XX/XX.

19