

#### VOTE SHEET

#### FEBRUARY 29, 2000

RE: DOCKET NO. 000022-TI - Investigation and determination of appropriate method for refunding interest and overcharges on intrastate 0+ calls made from pay telephones and in a call aggregator context by UniversalCom, Inc.

Issue 1: Should the Commission order UniversalCom, Inc. to refund \$2,992.85, plus interest of \$161.67, for a total of \$3,154.52 for overcharging end users on intrastate 0+ calls made from pay telephones and in a call aggregator context from February 1, 1999, through December 31, 1999?

Recommendation: Yes. The Commission should order UniversalCom to refund \$2,992.85, plus interest of \$161.67, for a total of \$3,154.52 for overcharging end users on intrastate 0+ calls made from pay telephones and in a call aggregator context from February 1, 1999, through December 31, 1999. The refunds should be made through credits to customers' local exchange telephone bills between April 2000 and May 2000. At the end of the refund period, any unrefunded amount, including interest, should be remitted to the Commission by June 10, 2000, and forwarded to the Comptroller for deposit in the General Revenue Fund, pursuant to Section 364.285(1), Florida Statutes. UniversalCom should submit a final report as required by Rule 25-4.114, Florida Administrative Code, Refunds, by June 10, 2000.

### APPROVED

COMMISSIONERS ASSIGNED: Full Commission

#### COMMISSIONERS' SIGNATURES

MAJORITY	DISSENTING
Xtathbe-	·····
Susan I Clark	,,,,,_,_,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
J. Jenny brean	
Loe Janan	
REMARKS/DISSENTING COMMENTS:	
	Doolus

DOCUMENT NUMPER-DATE 02739 MAR-18 FROCHREGGROSTREPORTING VOTE SHEET .FEBRUARY 29, 2000 DOCKET NO. 000022-TI - Investigation and determination of appropriate method for refunding interest and overcharges on intrastate 0+ calls made from pay telephones and in a call aggregator context by UniversalCom, Inc.

(Continued from previous page)

<u>Issue 2</u>: Should UniversalCom, Inc. be required to show cause why it should not pay a fine for overbilling of calls in excess of the rate cap established in Rule 25-24.630, Florida Administrative Code, Rate and Billing Requirements? <u>Recommendation</u>: No.

# APPROVED

<u>Issue 3</u>: Should this docket be closed?

<u>Recommendation</u>: No. If no person whose interests are substantially affected by the proposed action files a protest of the Commission's decision in Issue 1 within the 21-day protest period, the Commission's Order will become final upon issuance of a Consummating Order. This docket should, however, remain open pending the completion of the refund and receipt of the final report on the refund. After completion of the refund and receipt of the final refund report, this docket should be closed administratively.

## APPROVED