ORIGINAL

RECEIVED-FPSC

OO MAD 27 PM 2: 1. Q

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

		OUTIAN CT THE COLUMN
In re: Applications For An Amendment)	
Of Certificate For An Extension)	RECORDS AND
Of Territory And For an Original)	REPORTING
Water And Wastewater Certificate)	Docket No. 992040-WS
(for a utility in existence and charging)	
for service))	
)	
In re: Application by Nocatee Utility)	
Corporation for Original Certificates for)	
Water & Wastewater Service in Duval)	Docket No. 990696-WS
and St. Johns Counties, Florida)	
)	

OBJECTIONS OF INTERCOASTAL UTILITIES, INC. TO NOCATEE UTILITY CORPORATION'S FIRST REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS

Intercoastal Utilities, Inc., by and through its undersigned counsel, hereby responds to Nocatee Utility Corporation's First Request For Production Of Document as follows:

GENERAL OBJECTION: To the extent that any Request For Production calls for the production of a document which contains information which is privileged, work product, or afforded similar or analogous protections under Florida law, Intercoastal objects. This general objection is applicable to each and every Request For Production as if set forth therein. Intercoastal also objects to "Definition and Instruction" No. 5 in that it requires the creation of a list which is not a proper request within a Request For Production Of Documents.

RESPONSE TO REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION

1. Objection. This Request For Production is over-broad, vague, lacks temporal parameters, and is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Documents responsive to this Request For Production will be produced to

596

RECEIVED & FILED

ROSE, SUNDSTROM & BENTLEY, LLP

03788 MAR 278

DOCUMENT NUMBER - DATE

the extent they fall within the parameters of the Request and they were either relied upon, referenced, or utilized in the filing of the application in this docket.

2. Objection. This Request For Production is over-broad, vague, lacks temporal parameters, and is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Documents responsive to this Request For Production will be produced to the extent they fall within the parameters of the Request and they were either relied upon, referenced, or utilized in the filing of the application in this docket.

3. Objection. This Request For Production is over-broad, vague, lacks temporal parameters, and is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Documents responsive to this Request For Production will be produced to the extent they fall within the parameters of the Request and they were either relied upon, referenced, or utilized in the filing of the application in this docket.

DATED this 22 day of March, 2000.

JOHN L. WHARTON, ESQ. F. MARSHALL DETERDING

Rose, Sundstrom & Bentley, LLP

2548 Blairstone Pines Drive

Tallahassee, FL 32301

(850) 877-6555

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and accurate copy of the foregoing has been furnished by the method indicated below to the following on this 22 day of March, 2000.

Richard D. Melson, Esq. Hopping, Green, Sams & Smith, P.A. P.O. Box 6526 Tallahassee, FL 32301

Via U.S. Mail

Samantha Cibula, Esq.
Division of Legal Services
Florida Public Service Commission
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Via Hand Delivery

Suzanne Brownless, Esq. 1311-B Paul Russell Road, #201 Tallahassee, FL 32301 Via U.S. Mail

J. Stephen Menton, Esq. Kenneth A. Hoffman, Esq. Rutledge, Ecenia, Purnell & Hoffman P.O. Box 551 Tallahassee, FL 32302

Via U.S. Mail

Michael J. Korn, Esq. Korn & Zehmer, P.A. Ste. 200, Southpoint Bldg. 6620 Southpoint Drive S. Jacksonville, FL 32216 Via U.S. Mail

intercoastal\psc\1nocateerfp.obj

John L. Wharton, Esq. F. Marshall Deterding, Esq.