WIGGINS & VILLACORTA, P.A.
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
POST OFFICE DRAWER 1657
TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32302
2145 DELTA BOULEVARD, SUITE 200
TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32303
TELEPHONE (850) 385-6007
FACSIMILE (850) 385-6008
INTERNET: wiggvill@nettally.com

ORIGINAL

May 15, 2000

RECEIVED-FPSC
00 MAY 15 AM 9:35
RECORDS AND REPORTING

VIA HAND DELIVERY

Ms. Blanca Bayo, Director
Division of Records and Reporting
Florida Public Service Commission
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850

Re: Docket No. 000108-GU
Chesapeake Utilities Corporation

Dear Ms. Bayo:

Enclosed on behalf of the Florida Division of Chesapeake Utilities Corporation for filing and distribution in the above-referenced docket are an original and nineteen (19) copies of the following documents:

1. Petition for Rate Increase.

2. Minimum Filing Requirements
Sections A, B, C, D, E, F, G, H and I.

3. Prefiled Direct Testimony of Thomas A. Geoffroy, with Exhibits Nos. TAG-1 and TAG-3 attached.

4. Exhibit No. TAG-2. (Tariff Original Vol. 3).

5. Prefiled Direct Testimony of James A. Williams, with Exhibit No. JAW-1 attached.

6. Prefiled Direct Testimony of Jeff Householder, with Composite Exhibit No. JMH-1 attached.

7. Prefiled Direct Testimony of Paul R. Moul.

8. Composite Exhibit No. PRM-1.

9. Composite Exhibit No. PRM-2.

AFA Merta
APP
CAF
CMU
CTR
EAG 3
LEG 2
MAS 3 + orig testi Exhs
OPC 1
RRR
SEC 1
WAW
OTH

RECEIVED & FILED
MW
FPSC-BUREAU OF RECORDS

DOCUMENT NO
05937-00

10. Prefiled Direct Testimony of William L. Pence.

11. Composite Exhibit No. WLP-1.

Confirmation of the Company's distribution of the Petition for Rate Increase to the chief executive officer of each municipality and county within the service area affected, pursuant to Rule 25-22.0406, Florida Administrative Code, will be provided under separate cover.

Please acknowledge your receipt of the foregoing by stamping the enclosed duplicate copy of this transmittal letter and returning it to my attention.

Thank you very much for your assistance.

Sincerely,

Wayne L. Schiefelbein

Wayne L. Schiefelbein

cc: w/enclosure — Jack Shreve, Public Counsel
w/o enclosure — Chairman Joe Garcia
Commissioner Lila Jaber
Commissioner Susan Clark
Commissioner Leon Jacobs
Commissioner Terry Deason
William Talbott
James A. Ward
Dr. Mary Andrew Bane
Catherine Bedell, Esq.
Noreen Davis, Esq.
Robert V. Elias, Esq.
Timothy Devlin
Walter D'Haeseleer
Joseph Jenkins
Dan Hoppe

ORIGINAL

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Petition for a rate increase by) Florida Division of Chesapeake Utilities) Corporation)	Docket No. 000108-GU Filed: May 15, 2000

PETITION FOR RATE INCREASE

The Florida Division of Chesapeake Utilities Corporation (the Company), by and through undersigned counsel and pursuant to Sections 366.06 and 366.071, Florida Statutes, hereby requests authority to increase rates and charges for natural gas service, and for other relief, and in support of its Petition states as follows:

1. The name, address and telephone number of the Petitioner are:

   Chesapeake Utilities Corporation
   Florida Division
   1015 Sixth Street, NW
   Winter Haven, FL 33881
   (863) 293-2125

2. The person authorized to receive notices and communications with respect to this docket is:

   Wayne L. Schiefelbein
   Wiggins & Villacorta, P. A.
   P. O. Drawer 1657
   Tallahassee, FL 32302
   (850) 385-6007
   (850) 385-6008 (facsimile)

   Attorneys for the Florida Division of Chesapeake Utilities Corporation

3. The Company is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware. The Company was incorporated on November 12, 1947. The Company is duly authorized to transact business in Florida as a foreign corporation, and is also qualified to

do business in Maryland and Pennsylvania. The Company's headquarters are located at 909 Silver Lake Boulevard, Dover, Delaware 19904. On December 3, 1985, the Company purchased all of the outstanding stock of Central Florida Gas Company, and Central Florida Gas Company was merged into the Company effective December 4, 1985. On January 17, 1988, Plant City Natural Gas Company was acquired by and merged into the Company. By Order No. 23166, issued on July 10, 1990, the Florida Public Service Commission (the FPSC) approved the consolidation of Central Florida Gas Company and Plant City Natural Gas Company, as the Florida Division of Chesapeake Utilities Corporation, for all ratemaking, accounting and related purposes. (The Company continues to conduct business in the State of Florida under the fictitious name of Central Florida Gas Company.)

4. The Florida Division is a natural gas distribution utility providing service to approximately 10,000 customers located in Polk, Osceola, Hillsborough, Gadsden, Gilchrist, and Citrus Counties. The Florida Division is poised to begin service to customers in discrete areas of Union, Jackson and Holmes Counties in the latter half of this year. Subject to FPSC approval of a forthcoming filing of a special contract, the Florida Division also expects to begin

providing service to one industrial customer in DeSoto County later this year.

5. The Florida Division is a "public utility" within the meaning of Section 366.02, Florida Statutes, and is, therefore, subject to the regulatory jurisdiction of the FPSC established by Chapter 366, Florida Statutes.

6. The Florida Division last filed for a general natural gas rate increase with the FPSC on November 15, 1989, using a projected test year ended June 30, 1991. By the aforesaid Order 23166, the FPSC partially granted the Florida Division's petition, approving a return on common equity of 13.00 percent, and an overall rate of return of 9.93 percent. Thereafter, by Order No. 92-0817-FOF-GU, issued on August 14, 1992, the FPSC reduced the Florida Division's authorized return on equity to 12.00 percent. Subsequently, by Order No. 93-1772-FOF-GU, issued on December 10, 1993, the FPSC further reduced the Florida Division's authorized return on equity to 11.00 percent, plus or minus 100 basis points, for all regulatory purposes beginning January 1, 1994. The Florida Division's currently authorized return on equity remains at 11.00 percent, plus or minus 100 basis points. Finally, by Order No. 98-0455-FOF-GU, issued on March 31, 1998, the FPSC approved the Florida Division's petition to restructure rates. In that limited proceeding, the Florida Division's

rates were restructured in a revenue-neutral manner so as to authorize rates for each rate class that better reflect the actual cost of service to them.

7. On January 27, 2000, the Florida Division notified the FPSC, pursuant to Rule 25-7.140, Florida Administrative Code, that it had selected the twelve-month period ending December 31, 2001 as the projected test year for this rate proceeding. The FPSC subsequently acknowledged the test year notification and assigned this case to Docket No. 000108-GU.

8. It is essential that the Florida Division have fair and reasonable earnings so that investors will have sufficient confidence in its financial integrity to enable it to maintain and raise new capital as needed for public service. Such financial integrity bears directly upon the Florida Division's ability to furnish service to its present and potential future customers, upon the cost of the service rendered, and upon the continuity, efficiency, and extension of such service. Ultimately, the Florida Division's financial integrity depends upon whether or not the rates which it is allowed to charge under public authority are adequate under efficient management to produce earnings in an amount sufficient to reasonably compensate its investors for the use of their property by the public, and to encourage them, and others inclined to invest, to make investments in such business as needed.

9. The Florida Division is entitled by law to receive a reasonable return upon its property used and useful in public service. The Florida Division's rates should be sufficient to yield reasonable compensation for the services rendered.

10. The Florida Division's existing rates and charges, as previously approved by the FPSC, are inadequate and insufficient to allow it to realize fair and reasonable compensation for the services provided by the Florida Division to the public.

11. The Florida Division achieved an overall rate of return of 5.70 percent during the historic base year ended December 31, 1999. Based on the Florida Division's projections, absent any rate relief, the overall rate of return is expected to drop to 3.79 percent by December 31, 2001. Under its existing gas rates and charges, the Florida Division does not have an opportunity to earn a fair rate of return on its property used and useful in serving the public.

12. The Florida Division requests approval to permanently increase its gas rates and charges so as to generate increased annual revenues of $1,826,569. The requested permanent revenue increase would permit the Florida Division an opportunity to earn a fair and reasonable rate of return of 8.89 percent, including a return on equity of 12.00 percent, plus or minus 100 basis points, on a projected 2001 average rate base of $21,321,700. The calculation of the Florida Division's permanent revenue deficiency is

summarized on Attachment "A" hereto. A comparison of the existing and proposed permanent rates is provided on Attachment "B" hereto.

13. Further deterioration of earnings is certain unless interim relief is granted by the FPSC in accordance with Section 366.071, Florida Statutes. The Florida Division's request for interim relief is premised upon the required rate of return of 10.00 percent, as calculated pursuant to Section 366.071(5)(b)(2), Florida Statutes. The Florida Division's overall interim revenue increase per annum requested herewith is $830,330, or 13.01 percent of the Florida Division's total gas revenues in the test period. The calculation of the revenue required to achieve the required rate of return is included in Section F of the Minimum Filing Requirements filed herewith. The calculation of the Florida Division's interim revenue deficiency is summarized on Attachment "C" hereto. A comparison of the existing and proposed interim rates is provided on Attachment "D" hereto. The Florida Division will allocate the interim rate increase applicable to all of its filed gas rate schedules "across-the-board" in accordance with Rule 25-7.040(2)(a), Florida Administrative Code. The interim rate increase could not be applied to Special Contract and Large Volume Contract Customers, because their rates are determined by special contract

rather than rate schedules, subject to approval by the FPSC on a case-by-case basis.

14. In filing this request for interim relief, the Florida Division recognizes that any collections pursuant to such interim relief would be subject to refund to the extent ultimately found by the FPSC to not be justified, with interest calculated in accordance with Rule 25-7.040(3), Florida Administrative Code. The Florida Division fully understands and agrees to refund any portion found to not be justified. Because of the urgent need for relief and because any delay in such relief will create an undue hardship, the Florida Division requests that interim relief be granted forthwith, without further hearings, on the basis of the prima facie showing made by the Florida Division in this filing.

15. The FPSC recently adopted Rule 25-7.0335, Florida Administrative Code, effective April 23, 2000, which requires each local distribution company to offer the transportation of natural gas to all non-residential customers. In order to meet that objective, the rule requires each gas utility to file a transportation service tariff with the FPSC by July 1, 2000. The Florida Division's proposal to implement the new rule is filed as a part of the instant rate case. Under the Company's proposal, the annual threshold would be lowered to 100,000 therms, and smaller volume customers would be permitted to aggregate their annual

requirements under certain terms and conditions to meet the lower threshold. Those terms and conditions include provisions for the creation of customer pools to be administered by designated pool managers, under proposed agreements with the Florida Division which specify the administrative provisions of service, including capacity release, scheduling and operational balancing procedures. Penalties are proposed for gas volumes that are not delivered as scheduled, to be credited to the Florida Division's purchased gas adjustment. A temporary transportation cost recovery mechanism is proposed to facilitate recovery of certain types of non-recurring start-up costs for implementing the expanded transportation service.

16. The Florida Division also seeks approval of several other tariff revisions which are designed to better position it to compete in the energy marketplace in Florida, including the following.

    a. Substantial changes are proposed to the traditional customer classifications. As proposed, the current residential, commercial and industrial classifications are replaced by 19 volumetric-based classifications, without regard to customer type.

    b. The traditional interruptible customer designations are also proposed to be replaced with alternate fuel customer designations. As proposed, customers with legitimate fuel options would be eligible for the Florida Division's flexible rate provisions. At present, the flexible rate mechanism is included in the existing Industrial Interruptible Service (IIS) and Contract Transportation Service (CTS) Rate Schedules. The Company proposes to convert these existing rate schedules to riders, the Contract Sales Service Rider (Rider CSS), and the Contract Transportation Service Rider (Rider CTS). The flexible rate mechanism is incorporated into the proposed riders. An interruptible customer classification has been retained for those customers without alternate fuel capabilities, with rates and conditions of service to be established through special contracts.

c. The Florida Division's Firm Rate Adjustment to recover revenue surpluses or shortfalls related to the flexible rate adjustments for alternate fuel customers is proposed to be modified to more closely track competitive fuel pricing. The flexible rate provisions in the existing IIS and CTS rate schedules establish a "base non-fuel charge" of $0.05312. This charge is used in the determination of surplus or shortfall revenues through the comparison of actual revenues at flexed rates to "base revenues" derived from the "base non-fuel charge". The Company's proposed riders apply to several rate schedules, all with different base rates. The determination of base revenue is proposed to be derived from the base rates of each applicable rate schedule. The Company is also proposing to remove the current provision limiting the Company's flexible rate adjustment to 90% of the applicable firm rate.

d. The Florida Division proposes the elimination of the current practice of allowing customers to split their total volumes between transportation and sales service.

e. The Florida Division also proposes the elimination of its Residential Load Enhancement Sales Service (RSLE) Rate Schedule, to be replaced by a Load Profile Enhancement Rider.

f. The Florida Division also proposes to modify its Maximum Allowable Construction Cost calculation that is used to determine the feasibility of extensions of its distribution facilities. The proposal would facilitate a more aggressive expansion of existing facilities to support the strategic objective of diversifying the Florida Division's customer base.

17. The Florida Division's traditional rate design is also significantly modified. The proposed rate structure would shift toward a Straight Fixed Variable rate design for small volume customers,

whereby the majority of the proposed revenue requirement would be collected through the fixed monthly customer charge. As proposed, each customer class would move toward a more uniform contribution to costs than exists under present rates, in line with customer energy alternatives. This proposal would remove much of the historical inequities within and between existing customer classes.

18. The Florida Division proposes increases in other operating revenue changes, including those for connection and reconnection, collection in lieu of disconnection, change of account and return check charges. These charges are based on current costs of performing those respective miscellaneous services.

19. Finally, an entirely reconfigured proposed tariff is submitted, together with a new section consisting of modified standard forms. The organization of the tariff is modified to be more user-friendly. The service territory description is updated. The definition section is overhauled to reflect changes in industry standards and to accommodate the new service offerings. The curtailment plan is removed from the proposed tariff, since curtailment is deemed an operational issue that would be better handled within the context of operation and maintenance procedures, and to facilitate administrative modification of the plan in step with the curtailment plans of interstate pipelines. Finally, the Residential Annual

Contract Service is proposed to be deleted, although existing subscribers will be accommodated on an administrative basis. The reconfigured tariff is submitted as a new Original Tariff, Volume 3, to supercede the existing Volume 2.

20. In support of the instant Petition, the Florida Division submits the Accounting, Financial, Engineering, Statistical and Rate Data required by Rule 25-7.039(1)(a)(2), Florida Administrative Code; the original proposed Tariff, Volume 3; and the prefiled direct testimony and exhibits of Messrs. Thomas A. Geoffroy, James A. Williams, Jeff Householder, William L. Pence, and Paul R. Moul, as required by Rule 25-7.039(1)(a)(3). These documents are incorporated herein by this reference. For ease of reference, detail as to the subject matter of the prefiled direct testimony and exhibits of each witness is provided on Attachment "E" hereto.

21. The Florida Division is unaware of any disputed issues of material fact in this proceeding.

Wherefore the Florida Division of Chesapeake Utilities Corporation respectfully requests that the FPSC take jurisdiction over this Petition and

a) schedule this Petition for formal hearing, without recourse to proposed agency action procedures, and consider this Petition as expeditiously as possible;

b) determine that the Florida Division is entitled to an opportunity to earn an overall rate of return of 8.89 percent and a return on equity of 12.00

percent, plus or minus 100 basis points;

c) determine that the proposed rates are fair, just and reasonable;

d) authorize the Florida Division to implement the proposed rates to facilitate recovery of $1,826,569 in additional permanent annual revenues;

e) authorize the Florida Division to recover additional interim annual revenues of $830,330, subject to refund, secured by a composite undertaking;

f) approve the Florida Division's proposed new transportation service offering, including the proposed Transportation Cost Recovery mechanism, and the proposed classes of service and rate schedules, miscellaneous service charge increases, and all other proposed tariff revisions presented herewith in Original Volume 3 of its tariff; and

g) grant such other relief as the FPSC deems appropriate.

Respectfully submitted this 15th day of May, 2000.

Wayne L. Schiefelbein

Wayne L. Schiefelbein
Florida Bar No. 265047
Wiggins & Villacorta, P. A.
P.O. Drawer 1657
Tallahassee, FL 32302
(850) 385-6007
(850) 385-6008 (facsimile)

Attorneys for the Florida Division
of Chesapeake Utilities Corporation

ATTACHMENT "A"

FLORIDA DIVISION
OF CHESAPEAKE UTILITIES CORPORATION
SUMMARY OF CALCULATION OF PROJECTED TEST YEAR REVENUE DEFICIENCY
TWELVE MONTHS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2001, AS ADJUSTED

ADJUSTED RATE BASE	$ 21,321,700
REQUESTED RATE OF RETURN	8.89%
N.O.I. REQUIREMENTS	1,895,499
LESS: ADJUSTED N.O.I.	$ 807,219
N.O.I. DEFICIENCY	1,088,280
EXPANSION FACTOR	1.6784
REVENUE DEFICIENCY	$ 1,826,569

Attachment "B"

FLORIDA DIVISION
OF CHESAPEAKE UTILITIES CORPORATION
COMPARISION OF PRESENT RATES TO PROPOSED RATES

Proposed Rate Schedule	Present Rates	Proposed Rates
GS-1 (Residential)		
Customer charge per month	$7.00	$15.00
Energy charge per therm	$0.46905	$0.10220
GS-1 (Commercial/Industrial)		
Customer charge per month	$15.00	$15.00
Energy charge per therm	$0.22115	$0.10220
TS-1 (Commercial/Industrial)		
Customer charge per month	N/A	$20.00
Transportation charge per therm	N/A	$0.10220
GS-2 (Residential)		
Customer charge per month	$7.00	$22.50
Energy charge per therm	$0.46905	$0.20038
GS-2 (Commercial/Industrial)		
Customer charge per month	$15.00	$22.50
Energy charge per therm	$0.22115	$0.20038
TS-2 (Commercial/Industrial)		
Customer charge per month	N/A	$32.50
Transportation charge per therm	N/A	$0.20038
GS-3 (Residential)		
Customer charge per month	$7.00	$32.50
Energy charge per therm	$0.46905	$0.29273
GS-3 (Commercial/Industrial)		
Customer charge per month	$15.00	$32.50
Energy charge per therm	$0.22115	$0.29273
TS-3 (Commercial/Industrial)		
Customer charge per month	N/A	$42.50
Transportation charge per therm	N/A	$0.29273

Attachment "B"

FLORIDA DIVISION
OF CHESAPEAKE UTILITIES CORPORATION
COMPARISION OF PRESENT RATES TO PROPOSED RATES

Proposed Rate Schedule	Present Rates	Proposed Rates
GS-4 (Commercial/Industrial)		
Customer charge per month	$15.00	$40.00
Energy charge per therm	$0.22115	$0.24908
TS-4 (Commercial/Industrial)		
Customer charge per month	N/A	$55.00
Transportation charge per therm	N/A	$0.24908
GS-5 (Commercial/Industrial)		
Customer charge per month	$15.00	$100.00
Energy charge per therm	$0.22115	$0.19843
TS-5 (Commercial/Industrial)		
Customer charge per month	N/A	$125.00
Transportation charge per therm	N/A	$0.19843
GS-6 (Commercial/Industrial)		
Customer charge per month	$20.00	$175.00
Energy charge per therm	$0.17287	$0.16326
TS-6 (Commercial/Industrial)		
Customer charge per month	N/A	$200.00
Transportation charge per therm	N/A	$0.16326
GS-7 (Firm Commercial/Industrial)		
Customer charge per month	$40.00	$250.00
Energy charge per therm	$0.07889	$0.10627
GS-7 (Interruptible Commercial/Industrial)		
Customer charge per month	$350.00	$250.00
Energy charge per therm	$0.05312	$0.10627
TS-7 (Firm Commercial/Industrial)		
Customer charge per month	$40.00	$300.00
Transportation charge per therm	$0.07889	$0.10627
TS-7 (Interruptible Commercial/Industrial)		
Customer charge per month	$350.00	$300.00
Transportation charge per therm	$0.05312	$0.10627

Attachment “B”

FLORIDA DIVISION
OF CHESAPEAKE UTILITIES CORPORATION
COMPARISION OF PRESENT RATES TO PROPOSED RATES

Proposed Rate Schedule	Present Rates	Proposed Rates
GS-8 (Firm Commercial/Industrial)		
Customer charge per month	$40.00	$350.00
Energy charge per therm	$0.07889	$0.09675
GS-8 (Interruptible Commercial/Industrial)		
Customer charge per month	$350.00	$350.00
Energy charge per therm	$0.05312	$0.09675
TS-8 (Firm Commercial/Industrial)		
Customer charge per month	$40.00	$500.00
Transportation charge per therm	$0.07889	$0.09675
TS-8 (Interruptible Commercial/Industrial)		
Customer charge per month	$350.00	$500.00
Transportation charge per therm	$0.05312	$0.09675
GS-9 (Firm Commercial/Industrial)		
Customer charge per month	$40.00	$500.00
Energy charge per therm	$0.07889	$0.08287
GS-9 (Interruptible Commercial/Industrial)		
Customer charge per month	$350.00	$500.00
Energy charge per therm	$0.05312	$0.08287
TS-9 (Firm Commercial/Industrial)		
Customer charge per month	$40.00	$700.00
Energy charge per therm	$0.07889	$0.08287
TS-9 (Interruptible Commercial/Industrial)		
Customer charge per month	$350.00	$700.00
Energy charge per therm	$0.05312	$0.08287

FLORIDA DIVISION
OF CHESAPEAKE UTILITIES CORPORATION
COMPARISION OF PRESENT RATES TO PROPOSED RATES

The Company is proposing substantial changes to its traditional customer classes and rate schedules. As proposed, the current residential, commercial and industrial classifications are replaced by 19 volumetric-based rate schedules, without regard to customer type. Attachment "B" provides information, similar to that included in MFR Schedules E-2 and E-5, to enable the Commission to compare rates under the existing classes to the proposed classes. For example, the proposed General Sales Service 0-300 therm volumetric class (Rate Schedule GS-1), does not distinguish between residential, commercial and industrial customer classifications. The information on Attachment "B", however, has been separated to display GS-1 residential rates and GS-1 commercial/industrial rates. The Company is not proposing two GS-1 rate classes. This information is provided solely for the purpose of clarifying the Company's proposal.

In addition, the existing Flexible Gas Service and Off-System Sales Rate Schedules are not included in the rate comparisons. Rates for both schedules are established by negotiation. There are no current customers in the Flexible Gas Service class. Off-System Sales are made on a periodic, opportunity basis. No Special Contract rate comparisons are provided.

Attachment "C"

FLORIDA DIVISION
OF CHESAPEAKE UTILITIES CORPORATION
SUMMARY OF CALCULATION OF INTERIM REVENUE DEFICIENCY
TWELVE MONTHS ENDED DECEMBER 31,1999, AS ADJUSTED

ADJUSTED RATE BASE	$ 18,514,698
REQUESTED RATE OF RETURN	7.86%
N.O.I. REQUIREMENTS	$ 1,455,255
LESS: ADJUSTED N.O.I.	$ 960,540
N.O.I. DEFICIENCY	$ 494,715
EXPANSION FACTOR	1.6784
REVENUE DEFICIENCY	$ 830,330

ATTACHMENT "D"

FLORIDA DIVISION
OF CHESAPEAKE UTILITIES CORPORATION
SUMMARY OF PROPOSED INTERIM RATE INCREASE
(CENTS PER THERM)

RATE CLASS	PRESENT RATES	PROPOSED INCREASE	INTERIM RATES
RESIDENTIAL	0.46905	0.1063	0.57535
COMMERCIAL	0.22115	0.0329	0.25405
COMMERCIAL LARGE VOLUME	0.17287	0.0293	0.20217
INDUSTRIAL	0.07889	0.0290	0.10789
INDUSTRIAL INTERRUPTIBLE	0.05312	0.0076	0.06072
FIRM TRANSPORTATION	0.07889	0.0103	0.08919
CONTRACT TRANSPORTATION	0.05312	0.0069	0.06002
LARGE VOL. CONTRACT TRANSPORTATION	0.00000	0.0000	0.00000
SPECIAL CONTRACT	0.00000	0.0000	0.00000

ATTACHMENT "E"

a) Minimum Filing Requirements (MFR) Sections A, B, C, D, E, F, G, H and I	▪ Accounting, Financial, Engineering, Statistical and Rate Data as required by Rule 25-7.039(1)(a)(2), Florida Administrative Code
b) Testimony and Exhibits of the following Company witnesses	▪ Direct Testimony and Exhibits as required by Rule 25-7.039(1)(a)(3), Florida Administrative Code
▪ Thomas A. Geoffroy	▪ General overview of Chesapeake Utilities Corporation (CUC), the Florida Division, and its customers. Efforts to control costs. Factors contributing to necessity of rate increase. Business risks facing the Florida Division, and its strategic efforts to manage those risks. Proposed compliance with recently approved Transportation Rule (Rule No. 25-7.0335). Projected capital expenditures. Selected projected test year expenses. Outside services. Rate case expenses. Benefits of affiliation of Florida Division to CUC. Allocations to unregulated activities. Selected proposed tariff changes. Modified Maximum Allowable Construction Cost calculation. Status of reserve for manufactured gas plant site remediation.
	▪ Exhibit TAG-1 List of sponsored MFR schedules
	▪ Exhibit TAG-2 Proposed Tariff, Original Vol. 3
	▪ Exhibit TAG-3 Summary of Reserve for MGP plant site clean-up

▪ James A. Williams	▪ *Historical base year and projected* test year rate base, cost of capital and capital structure, and expenses. O&M benchmark analysis. Methodologies employed for accounting of costs between CUC and the Florida Division. Interim rate relief. ▪ Exhibit JAW-1 List of sponsored MFR schedules
▪ Jeff Householder	▪ Overview of current competitive market and significant market risks facing the Florida Division. Expansion into Citrus County. Proposed transportation service offering. Forecast methodology for sales, customers, and revenues. Cost of service study. Proposed permanent rate design. *Miscellaneous service charges*. ▪ Composite Exhibit JMH-1 A: List of sponsored MFR schedules B: Comparison of present and proposed rates by rate classification C: Analysis of competitive fuel costs D: Map of Citrus County distribution system expansion.
▪ Paul R. Moul	▪ Return on equity. ▪ Composite Exhibit PRM-1 Financial Exhibits ▪ Composite Exhibit PRM-2 Appendices

▪ William L. Pence	▪ *Investigation* and remediation of environmental impact at manufactured gas plant site ▪ Composite Exhibit WLP-1 A: Resume B: Excerpts from EPA Survey C: March 25, 1986 letter from FDEP to FPSC D: Consent Order