į į	, 1 i	
1	BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION	
2		
3	In the Matter of : DOCKET NO. 990455-TP	
4	REQUEST FOR REVIEW OF PROPOSED :	
5	NUMBERING PLAN RELIEF FOR THE : 305/786 AREA CODE - DADE COUNTY : AND MONROE COUNTY/KEYS REGION. :	
6	In the Matter of : DOCKET NO. 990456-TP	
7	REQUEST FOR REVIEW OF PROPOSED :	
8	NUMBERING PLAN RELIEF FOR THE : 561 AREA CODE. :	
9	In the Matter of : DOCKET NO. 990457-TP	
10	REQUEST FOR REVIEW OF PROPOSED :	
11 12	NUMBERING PLAN RELIEF FOR THE : 954 AREA CODE. :	
	In the Matter of : DOCKET NO. 990517-TP	
13 14	REQUEST FOR REVIEW OF PROPOSED : NUMBERING PLAN RELIEF FOR THE :	
15	904 AREA CODE. :	
l	***********************	
16	* ELECTRONIC VERSIONS OF THIS TRANSCRIPT * * ARE A CONVENIENCE COPY ONLY AND ARE NOT *	
17	* THE OFFICIAL TRANSCRIPT OF THE HEARING * * AND DO NOT INCLUDE PREFILED TESTIMONY. *	
18	********************	
19		
20	PROCEEDINGS: PREHEARING CONFERENCE	
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
-		

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

1 2 CHAIRMAN JOE GARCIA BEFORE: 3 Prehearing Officer 4 Thursday, May 11, 2000 DATE: 5 6 Commenced at 9:35 a.m. TIME: 7 Concluded at 9:55 a.m. 8 9 Betty Easley Conference Center PLACE: Room 148 10 4075 Esplanade Way Tallahassee, Florida 11 12 KORETTA E. STANFORD, RPR REPORTED BY: 13 Official FPSC Reporter 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

APPEARANCES:

MICHAEL P. GOGGIN, BellSouth

Telecommunications, Inc., c/o Nancy Sims, 150 South

Monroe Street, Suite 400, Tallahassee, Florida

32301, appearing on behalf of BellSouth

Telecommunications, Inc.

KIMBERLY D. WHEELER, Morrison & Foerster,
2000 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Washington DC
20006-1888, Florida 32779, appearing on behalf of
NeuStar, Inc., North American Numbering Plan
Administrator, participating telephonically.

FLOYD SELF, Caparello & Self, P.A, Post Office Box 1876, Tallahassee, Florida 32302-1876, appearing on behalf of AT&T Communications of the Southern States, Inc. and AT&T Wireless Services.

DONNA CANZANO McNULTY, The Atrium, Suite 105, 325

John Knox Road, Tallahassee, Florida 32303, appearing on

behalf of MCI Worldcom, Inc.

MARSHA RULE, 101 North Monroe Street, Suite 700, Tallahassee, Florida 32301, appearing on behalf of AT&T Communications of the Southern States, Inc.

KENNETH A. HOFFMAN, Rutledge, Ecenia,

Purnell & Hoffman, P. O. Box 551, 215 South Monroe

Street, Suite 420, Tallahassee, Florida 32302-0551,

appearing on behalf of Omnipoint Communications.

APPEARANCES CONTINUED:

CAROLE BARICE, Fowler Barice Law Firm, 28 West Central Boulevard, Orlando, Florida 32801, appearing on behalf of the City of Deltona.

CHARLES REHWINKEL, and SUSAN MASTERTON,

Post Office, Box 2214, Tallahassee, Florida

32316-2214, appearing on behalf of Sprint-Florida,

Incorporated, Sprint PCS, and Sprint Communications

Company Limited Partnership.

J. JEFFRY WAHLEN, Ausley & McMullen, Post Office
Box 391, Tallahassee, Florida 32302, appearing on behalf
of ALLTEL Florida, Inc. and Northeast Florida Telephone
Company.

FRANK B. GUMMEY, III, Assistant County Attorney, County of Volusia, 123 W. Indiana Avenue, DeLand, Florida 32720, appearing on behalf of Volusia County.

BETH KEATING, C. LEE FORDHAM, TIM VACCARO, and DONNA CLEMONS, Florida Public Service

Commission, Division of Legal Services, 2540 Shumard

Oak Boulevard, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0870,

appearing on behalf of the Commission Staff.

PROCEEDINGS

CHAIRMAN GARCIA: All right. We'll call this

2

hearing to order.

appearances.

3 4

Counsel, will you read the notice?

MS. KEATING: By notice issued May 2nd, 2000, 5

this time and place has been set for a prehearing

6 7

conference in dockets numbers 990455, 990456, 990457, and

8

990517, the purpose as set forth in the notice.

9

CHAIRMAN GARCIA: All right. We'll take

10

11

MR. GOGGIN: Michael Goggin on behalf of

12

BellSouth.

13

MR. HOFFMAN: Good morning, Mr. Chairman.

14

Kenneth A. Hoffman on behalf of Omnipoint Communications.

MR. WAHLEN: Jeff Wahlen of the Ausley &

15 16

McMullen law firm on behalf of Alltel Florida, Inc. and

17

Northeast Florida Telephone Company.

18

Sprint-Florida, Incorporated, Sprint PCS, and Sprint

20

19

Communications Company Limited Partnership.

21

MR. SELF: Good morning, Mr. Chairman.

MR. REHWINKEL: Charles Rehwinkel on behalf of

22

Floyd Self on behalf of AT&T Communications of the

23

Southern States, Inc. and AT&T Wireless Services, Inc.,

24

which are collectively referred to in the draft as AT&T

25

and also MCI Worldcom, Inc. and its operating

subsidiaries. I'd also like to enter an appearance for Donna 2 Canzano McNulty on behalf of MCI Worldcom. And I'd also 3 like to enter an appearance for Marsha Rule on behalf of 4 5 AT&T. CHAIRMAN GARCIA: Where's Ms. Rule? 6 7 MR. SELF: She'll be here eventually. CHAIRMAN GARCIA: Okay. Ms. Canzano, I like the 8 fact you're using your name again. 9 MS. MCNULTY: Well, it's McNulty, too. 10 CHAIRMAN GARCIA: Listen, my wife wouldn't even 11 accept Garcia. All right, go ahead. 12 MS. BARICE: My name is Carole Barice. I'm here 13 14 on behalf of the City of Deltona. 15 CHAIRMAN GARCIA: Barice. MS. BARICE: Barice; B, as in boy, A-R-I-C-E. 16 CHAIRMAN GARCIA: Okay, good. Remind me before 17 we get finished, an area code issue that I need for your 18 city, okay? Just remind me --19 MS. BARICE: Yes, Your Honor. 20 MR. GUMMEY: Frank Gummey here on behalf of the 21 22 County of Volusia. CHAIRMAN GARCIA: Your last name again, I'm 23 24 sorry. 25 MR. GUMMEY: Gummey, G-U-M-M-E-Y.

MS. KEATING: And I believe there's somebody on 1 2 the phone. CHAIRMAN GARCIA: Who's on the phone? 3 MS. WHEELER: Yes, good morning, Chairman. This 4 is Kimberly Wheeler representing Neustar, Inc. 5 6 MS. KEATING: And Beth Keating, C. Lee Fordham, 7 Tim Vaccaro, and Donna Clemons on behalf of Staff. CHAIRMAN GARCIA: I'm sorry, Kimberly --8 MS. WHEELER: Wheeler, W-H-E-E-L-E-R. 9 CHAIRMAN GARCIA: Thank you very much. All 10 11 right. 12 MS. KEATING: We do have a few preliminary 13 matters. 14 CHAIRMAN GARCIA: Okay. MS. KEATING: First, on April 21st, Omnipoint 15 16 filed a motion for extension of time to file its 17 prehearing statement. There were no responses to that 18 motion, and Staff recommends approval. 19 CHAIRMAN GARCIA: There's no objections, we're 20 going to grant that. 21 MS. KEATING: Second, on April 26th, Sprint 22 filed a motion to accept late-filed prehearing statement. 23 Again, there were no responses to that motion, and Staff 24 recommends approval. 25 CHAIRMAN GARCIA: We'll accept that, if there's FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

no objections. Good, we'll do that. Okay.

MS. KEATING: And finally, as I understand it,
Mr. Self may have a suggestion to make at this time.

MR. SELF: Mr. Chairman, I've talked with some of the parties about the possibility of the Commission and the parties agreeing to stipulate all of the prefiled testimony and waiving cross-examination of the witnesses and basically stipulating in the record and not having the hearing or at least not having all of the witnesses present.

CHAIRMAN GARCIA: Okay.

MR. SELF: And --

CHAIRMAN GARCIA: Does anybody have an objection with that? Staff is fine with that, correct?

MS. KEATING: As long as the parties are willing to agree to the orders and information that we have on the official recognition list, as well as the exhibits that we'd like to offer, stipulated exhibits, we're fine with it.

CHAIRMAN GARCIA: Okay. The counties have no problem with this either, correct?

MR. GUMMEY: One question, Mr. Chairman. Would it be possible to file written motions to introduce that into the record and avoid, as much as I enjoy visiting our state's capital, avoid coming?

CHAIRMAN GARCIA: I'm -- I always try to do 1 that, but I don't think that's a problem. 2 Is that a problem? 3 MS. KEATING: Might I suggest another 4 alternative, having a phone link into the hearing. 5 CHAIRMAN GARCIA: Is that all right? 6 That would be great. 7 MR. GUMMEY: CHAIRMAN GARCIA: Okay. 8 MR. REHWINKEL: Mr. Chairman, Charles Rehwinkel 9 10 with Sprint. I don't have in my records the direct testimony of Mr. Gardner with Deltona. And I was not 11 aware that he had filed direct. The only thing that 12 concerns Sprint is that Deltona takes a position on the 13 dialing pattern issue about implementation of toll-free 14 15 calling. And I was just wondering if Ms. Barice could 16 address, without me having to review his testimony, 17 whether his testimony addresses that, because it is our 18 position, and I think the chairman reinforced it at one of 19 20 the service hearings, that the Commission does not have authority to grant that type of relief in this docket. 21 CHAIRMAN GARCIA: Correct. 22 23 MR. REHWINKEL: So --CHAIRMAN GARCIA: Ms. Barice. 24 Not being greatly experienced in 25 MS. BARICE:

Public Service Commission representation here -CHAIRMAN GARCIA: Don't worry about it, neither
am I.

MS. BARICE: -- representing the city of Deltona and trying to do the best we can involved in this particular proceeding, Mr. Gardner did, indeed, file prefiled testimony, direct testimony, and we have filed that within the requisite time period.

In the rebuttal, we followed the form and tried to go issue by issue and that was one of the issues, and they were Mr. Gardner's responses to the issues. You know, if they're out of line, then, you know, we --

MR. REHWINKEL: I don't have any problems with

Ms. Barice's follow-up procedure, because I think she has.

CHAIRMAN GARCIA: All right. Let's do this, though. If they have an interest to do that, isn't it possible that we can just take that up as a separate docket right here and now, put that on some type of time frame in terms of that dialing patterns and -- in other words, I'm not saying we're going to give them free calling, I'm just saying if that's an issue in that part of the state with the area code changes we're going to do, may want to look at, that's something, you know, we'll have to put an additive in there and the whole nine yards, but separate it out of this docket, because I think it's

confusing.

I've already said it at the prehearing -- sorry, at the service hearings that we weren't going to consider that in this docket. Is that doable?

MR. REHWINKEL: Well, with res-- I think that if, rather than make a separate docket, if Deltona had some theory that supported that, then I think a separate petition would be in order for the Commission to then address whether to go forward.

But with that understanding, my only reservation is to stipulate into evidence testimony that I would think that I would have a good position that was not relevant.

But I don't fault the city of Deltona for raising the issue, because I know it is a concern that they've had.

We've tried to address it over time, and it's understandable. I'm not objecting --

CHAIRMAN GARCIA: Let me go further, though. I don't think they have to file a petition. Let's do it this way. Let us reach out to the city of Deltona, our Staff. Let's go see them, and let's see if -- if they want to file a petition, we'll help them with that issue.

And I'm sure Sprint will be more than helpful, because I think there are some changes that are called for that may happen in this docket, which put all of Volusia county as one entity and that we may look at -- I mean,

we're talking about additives here. We can't mandate it like we used to be able to do it, but there may be an 2 additive to make all those calls acceptable. 3 Sprint may have a problem with that, as they're 4 indicating, but they may not. They've been very willing, 5 particularly, in that area of the state where they are to 6 work with us on these things. 7 So, if that's all right and then, Mr. Rehwinkel, 8 it's not an issue here. In other words, we're not going 9 to consider it here. Is that all right? 10 11 MS. KEATING: Could you specify exactly what --12 CHAIRMAN GARCIA: The issue is free calling from the capital of the county or the seat of the county or 13 14 whatever you call it, the --15 MR. SELF: County seat. 16 CHAIRMAN GARCIA: -- the county seat to the 17 other parts of the county and vice versa, I'm sure is what 18 we meant. That's not an issue we're going to decide in this docket, all right? 19 20 MR. REHWINKEL: That's all my concern. 21 you, Mr. Chairman. 22 CHAIRMAN GARCIA: Good. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 23 MS. BARICE: CHAIRMAN GARCIA: All right. Let me -- are we 24

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

25

-- that being the case, there's no objection. So, we're

going to accept -- we're going to move -- what is the proper terminology, Mr. Self?

MR. SELF: I'll make the motion that the Commission and the parties stipulate the record for purposes of the hearing and the witnesses be excused, and that way at the hearing next week only the lawyers will need to show up, some by telephone, in order to formally get the record where it needs to be.

CHAIRMAN GARCIA: All right. That motion is granted. Thank you very much for all of you to work that. It does strike me though, we did say at the hearing that people could participate.

So, part of what you may have to remind me is that when we do have that meeting to call, if there's some witnesses that want to add something, just real quick, and I think we're all --

MR. SELF: That's fine Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN GARCIA: -- we're all all right there.

That said, we're going to go through the prehearing order. Since we stipulated everything, I'm hoping that nobody needs me to go point by point.

MR. GOGGIN: Mr. Chairman, this is Michael
Goggin with BellSouth. With regard to this stipulated
official recognition list and the Staff's exhibit list, I
just wanted to get on the record a couple of

understandings.

One is that the items that Staff has on its stipulated exhibit list that have not been previously served on the parties would be served on the parties.

And on the official recognition list there are a number of documents in the other documents section that may not be publicly available. Well, they may be publicly available, but not readily available, in particular, orders and reports or testimony that have been filed in other states.

CHAIRMAN GARCIA: Right.

MR. GOGGIN: If Staff could just agree to make those available.

CHAIRMAN GARCIA: Very well.

MS. KEATING: As with our usual procedure, Staff plans to have full copies of the exhibits.

CHAIRMAN GARCIA: Okay.

MR. GOGGIN: Okay, at the hearing?

MS. KEATING: Mm-hmm.

MR. GOGGIN: Great, all right.

MR. SELF: It's also my understanding,

Mr. Chairman, that the deposition transcripts for the depositions that were taken yesterday would also be admitted.

MS. KEATING: That's correct. Staff suggests

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

perhaps that those be stip 6, 7 and 8.

1.4

CHAIRMAN GARCIA: All right. You've got the sheet over there, but okay. So, that is correct.

MR. HOFFMAN: Mr. Chairman, just for the record, the record that we are stipulating to would consist of the prefiled, direct, and rebuttal testimony that is reflected in the prehearing order as well as the prefiled exhibits of witnesses, as I understand it.

CHAIRMAN GARCIA: Correct. And --

MS. KEATING: As well as the additional stipulated exhibits, including the official recognition list.

CHAIRMAN GARCIA: Okay?

MR. HOFFMAN: Yes, sir.

CHAIRMAN GARCIA: Does that include the depositions, I think, he just mentioned?

MS. KEATING: That includes the depositions as well as transcripts from all the service hearings, which are automatically a part of the record.

MR. GOGGIN: Correct.

Mr. Chairman, one other thing. Also, part of the understanding was that the parties would have the opportunity to add to the official recognition list and that at the time of the hearing a decision will be made as to whether those things should be added. This is Staff's

official recognition list. CHAIRMAN GARCIA: Staff says that's all right, 2 so it's all right with me. 3 MR. GOGGIN: Great, thanks. 4 CHAIRMAN GARCIA: Okay. 5 MS. WHEELER: Chairman, this is Kimberly 6 Wheeler. I just wanted to clarify that late-filed 7 exhibits that were requested during the deposition 8 yesterday will also be stipulated to. 9 CHAIRMAN GARCIA: Yes. 10 MS. WHEELER: Thank you. 11 CHAIRMAN GARCIA: Okay. 12 MS. BARICE: Mr. Chairman, I apologize for this 13 question, but what is the official recognition list and 14 what is on it? 15 CHAIRMAN GARCIA: It is -- there we go. 16 MS. BARICE: Thank you. 17 CHAIRMAN GARCIA: Okay. I think there's more. 18 There we go. 19 MR. WAHLEN: I have one correction to the 20 21 prehearing order. CHAIRMAN GARCIA: I knew you were going to do 22 Go ahead. this, Mr. Wahlen. 23 MR. WAHLEN: On pages 7 and 8 --24 CHAIRMAN GARCIA: Okay, yes. 25 FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

1.3

MR. WAHLEN -- it's Debra L. Nobles, not Debra J. Nobles. Her lawyer has been consistently making that mistake for some time, and I need to publicly correct that.

CHAIRMAN GARCIA: Very good. All right. So noted and corrected on both pages, Debra L. Nobles. That means we're not going to go through this issue by issue. Thank you very much. There are no corrections or changes to the exhibit list, except the ones we just said.

There is something else I did want to take up.

Now, the people of Volusia County have been asking for particular numbers over and over. I've been reading about it in the newspaper, they've come to our hearings and asked for them, and I believe I asked Staff to go after some of those numbers. What has happened with them?

MS. KEATING: Well, that one specific issue in that docket, I know Staff is investigating that.

CHAIRMAN GARCIA: All right. Do you have any preferences with you that you've brought to us.

MR. GUMMEY: The preference was stated in our rebuttal testimony of John E. Evans, and it is for the area code FUN.

CHAIRMAN GARCIA: FUN. And was that available, Mr. Levent, the area code FUN? What does that spell out to? Levent's a numbers guy.

__

MS. KEATING: I don't know if we've checked FUN, in particular, yet. Some of the ones that have been suggested do have conflicts, but there are some, I understand, that may work.

an NXX in the area conflicts with one of those numbers.

Now, at some point that may be an issue that you may want to think about changing, if they feel strongly enough, but that's a technical issue that we could -- I know you guys wouldn't be pleased with, but we could look at, if they were particularly -- but then you'd have to incur the wrath of the community of 10,000 who would have their number changed; and that's your problem, not mine.

But I don't think we're going to try to do that. We're going to go for some of those numbers that are out there that we can use. You're going to go after FUN. And what I want is when we come to that hearing next week is that we have all the numbers so that they can bind themselves to bad news, and they'll have to defend that with their careers back home, whatever number they pick that's available.

And I wish I could tell you we could give you FUN, but doing area codes is usually not fun. So, we -- that said, is there anything else that anyone wants said?

Levent, I'm just suggesting that you -- you

might want to get with them and come to an agreement now, so when we come to the hearing and this is voted out, we can say, "And we've secured this number," because I don't think there's going to be any change from here until then, and it's just going to vote out the Staff positions, okay?

MR. REHWINKEL: Mr. Chairman, on that point, I would feel that I would need to ask my operations folks to look at any operational issues raised by the use of a particular NXX. I know that when 321 was put in, Sprint -- there were some conflict issues, and we put some software in that cost a lot of money. And I think that was what caused us to not be able to address ADT's concerns the way they wanted to.

And so, I would just like, on behalf of Sprint, to ask that if there is going to be a code used that there be some opportunity for us to provide input to the Commission.

CHAIRMAN GARCIA: Levent, you listening to this?

Levent, you listening to this?

MR. REHWINKEL: I would ask that if there is a code that is going to be recommended that we be given an opportunity to take a look at it and provide input to the Commission, either in the form of a late-filed exhibit or something. And maybe we could address that at the beginning of the hearing, that would be the formal

hearing, but I just wanted to put you on notice that is a concern.

CHAIRMAN GARCIA: And I agree. And, you know, we've got to remember who is having concerns with it. So, let's make sure that we do that, just come to some -- just so we know the preferences, because there is no city or county that has participated to the degree that this part of the state has. And we need to give all due deference, as I'm sure that Sprint wants to give all due deference to its customers in that part of the state.

With that, I think we stand adjourned. And when is the next -- the hearing is --

MS. KEATING: May 18th.

CHAIRMAN GARCIA: -- May 18th. Thank you very much for working this out. It's appreciated.

(Prehearing concluded at 9:55 a.m.)

2 S

STATE OF FLORIDA

COUNTY OF LEON

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

_

I, KORETTA E. STANFORD, RPR, Official FPSC Commission Reporter, do hereby certify that the Prehearing Conference in Docket No. 990455, 0456, 0457 and 517-TP was heard by the Florida Public Service Commission at the time and place herein stated.

It is further certified that I stenographically reported the said proceedings; that the same has been transcribed under my direct supervision; and that this transcript, consisting of 20 pages, constitutes a true transcription of my notes of said proceedings.

I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative, employee, attorney or counsel of any of the parties, nor am I a relative or employee of any of the parties' attorneys or counsel connected with the action, nor am I financially interested in the action.

DATED THIS 16th DAY OF MAY, 2000.

KORETTA E. STANFORD, RPR

FPSC Official Commissioner Reporter

(850) 413-6734