LAW OFFICES

MESSER, CAPARELLO & SELF

A PROFESSIONAL ASSOCIATION

215 SOUTH MONROE STREET, SUITE 701 POST OFFICE BOX 1876

TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32302-1876 TELEPHONE: (850) 222-0720

TELECOPIERS: (850) 224-4359; (850) 425-1942 INTERNET: www.lawfla.com

May 24, 2000



BY HAND DELIVERY

Ms. Blanca Bayó, Director Division of Records and Reporting Room 110, Easley Building Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Blvd. Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850

Re:

Docket 000601-TP

Dear Ms. Bayó:

Enclosed for filing on behalf of Southeastern Services, Inc. are an original and fifteen copies of Southeastern's Objection and Motion for Protective Order in the above referenced docket.

Please acknowledge receipt of these documents by stamping the extra copy of this letter "filed" and returning the same to me.

Thank you for your assistance with this filing.

Sincerely,

Orman M. Horton, Jr.

1,99			1 (Ollitali I
~ •••			
SAF	~~~NHH/a	amh	
(CMP			
COM	Enclos	ure	
CTR	çc:	Mr. Mark Woods	
ECR		Parties of Record	
LEG			
OPC			
PAI	and the same of th		
RGO	************	RECEIVED & FILED	
SEC		LUCIVED & EUCE	
SER		MA G FILED	
OTH		Tu I	
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
		The second secon	-
		OF RE	COPAGE
			** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** **

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

06419 MAY 248

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

Request by Southeastern Services, Inc. for termination of rural LEC exemption of Northeast Florida Telephone Company, Inc., pursuant to 47 U.S.C. 251 (f) (1) (B) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996)))	Docket No. 000601-TP Filed: May 24, 2000
Telecommunications Act of 1990)	

OBJECTION AND MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER

COMES NOW, Southeastern Services, Inc. ("Southeastern") through its undersigned and files this Motion for Protective Order and as basis states:

- 1. On May 18, 2000 Southeastern notified the Commission that Southeastern had requested an Interconnection Agreement with Northeast Florida Telephone Company, Inc. ("Northeast"). With such notification, the Commission must determine that "such request is not unduly economically burdensome, is technically feasible and is consistent with Section 254 (other than subsection (b)(7) and (c)(1)(D) thereof)." Section 251(f)(1)(B).
- 2. On May 23, 2000, Northeast served Notices of Deposition indicating an intent to take the depositions of Mark Woods and Kenneth Kirkland, who are officers with Southeastern. Southeastern objects to the Notice and requests the entry of a Protective Order precluding the depositions or limiting the scope of discovery.
- 3. With the request of an agreement, the burden is now on Northeast to show that the request is unduly economically burdensome, not technically feasible or is not consistent with Section 254. It is not incumbent upon Southeastern to make that showing.
- 4. Southeastern requests an entry of a protective order that discovery not be had.

 Northeast carries the burden of proving that an interconnection agreement is inappropriate.

 Northeast's attempt, therefore, to depose the named individuals can only be for an improper purpose to annoy and be oppressive. Alternatively, if the Commission determines that deposing to the commission determines that deposing the commission determines that deposition to the commission determines that deposition the commission determines that deposition to the commission determines that deposition the commission determines that deposition that deposition the commission determines the commission determines that deposition the commission determines the commission determines that deposition determines the commission determines

Southeastern's officials would lead to the discovery of relevant information, then Southeastern

requests that the inquiry be limited solely the interconnection elements required from Northeast.

Any other inquiry would be irrelevant to the request and beyond the scope of this docket.

5. Southeastern also objects to the request to bring copies of all work papers or other

materials upon which the company is relying to satisfy the requirements of sections 251(c) and (f)

of the Act. In the first place, sections 251(c) and (f) apply to incumbent local exchange companies,

like Northeast, and not to Southeastern, so there are no responsive documents since Southeastern has

no requirements to satisfy. Second, to the extent sections 251(c) and (f) have any application to

Southeastern, the request is so broad as to be virtually impossible to respond. Third, Southeastern

fears that Northeast's deposition is attempting to reach to market studies, business plans, and similar

materials that are proprietary and confidential and of absolutely no relevance to the requirement on

Northeast to demonstrate that the request is unduly economically burdensome or technically

infeasible.

For the foregoing, Southeaster requests that an order be entered that discovery not be

conducted as requested or that discovery be limited as suggested.

Respectfully submitted,

NORMAN H. HORTON, JR.

FLOYD R. SELF

Messer, Caparello & Self, P.A.

P. O. Box 1876

Tallahassee, FL 32302-1876

(850) 222-0720

Attorneys for Southeastern Services, Inc.

2

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that true and correct copies of the foregoing in Docket 000601-TP have been served upon the following parties by Hand Delivery (*), and/or U. S. Mail this 24th day of May, 2000.

Beth Keating, Esq.*
Division of Legal Services, Room 370
Florida Public Service Commission
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

John P. Fons*
J. Jeffry Wahlen
Ausley & McMullen
P.O. Box 391
Tallahassee, FL 32302

Norman H. Horton, Jr.