BENNETT L. ROSS General Attorney

BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. 150 South Monroe Street Room 400 Tallahassee, Florida 32301 (404) 335-0793 UKIGINAL

June 1, 2000

RECORDS AND

Mrs. Blanca S. Bayó Director, Division of Records and Reporting Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Re: Docket No. 990649-TP (UNEs)

Dear Ms. Bayó:

Enclosed please find the original and fifteen copies of BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.'s Responses and Objections to AT&T's Second Request for Production of Documents, which we ask that you file in the above-referenced matter.

A copy of this letter is enclosed. Please mark it to indicate that the original was filed and return the copy to me. Copies have been served to the parties shown on the attached Certificate of Service.

Sincerely,

Bennett L. Ross

CAF CMP OIL COM CTR ECR LEG	Marshall M. Criser III R. Douglas Lackey Nancy B. White
OPC PAI RGO SEC SER	RECEIVED & FILED

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

06710 JUN-18

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE Docket No. 990649-TP

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing was served via

Federal Express this 1st day of June, 2000 to the following:

Donna Clemons
Staff Counsel
Florida Public Service
Commission
Division of Legal Services
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850
Tel. No. (850) 413-6216
Fax. No. (850) 413-6217

Joseph A. McGlothlin (+)
Vicki Gordon Kaufman * (+)
McWhirter, Reeves, McGlothlin,
Davidson, Decker, Kaufman, Arnold,
& Steen, P.A.
117 South Gadsden Street
Tallahassee, FL 32301
Tel. No. (850) 222-2525
Fax. No. (850) 222-5606
Attys. For FCCA
*Atty. for BlueStar

Andrew O. Isar
Telecommunications Resellers Assoc.
4312 92nd Avenue, N.W.
Gig Harbor, WA 98335
Tel. No. (253) 265-3910
Fax. No. (253) 265-3912

Tracy Hatch (+)
AT&T Communications
101 North Monroe Street
Suite 700
Tallahassee, FL 32301
Tel. No. (850) 425-6364
Fax. No. (850) 425-6343

Jim Lamoureux (+)
AT&T Communications
1200 Peachtree Street, N.E.
Room 8068
Atlanta, Georgia 30309
Tel. No. (404) 810-4196
Fax. No. (404) 877-7648

Richard D. Melson (+)
Gabriel E. Nieto *
Hopping Green Sams & Smith, P.A.
Post Office 6526
123 South Calhoun Street
Tallahassee, FL 32314
Tel. No. (850) 222-7500
Fax. No. (850) 224-8551
Atty. For MCI
Atty. for Rhythms Link
Atty. for ACI *

Dulaney L. O'Roark
MCI Telecommunications Corporation
6 Concourse Parkway
Suite 600
Atlanta, GA 30328
Tel. No. (770) 284-5498
Fax. No. (770) 284-5488

Floyd Self
Norman H. Horton, Jr. *
Messer, Caparello & Self
Post Office Drawer 1876
215 South Monroe Street, Suite 701
Tallahassee, FL 32302-1876
Tel. No. (850) 222-0720
Fax. No. (850) 224-4359
Attys. for WorldCom
Atty. for NorthPoint *

Terry Monroe
Vice President, State Affairs
Competitive Telecomm. Assoc.
1900 M Street, N.W.
Suite 800
Washington, D.C. 20036
Tel. No. (202) 296-6650
Fax. No. (202) 296-7585

Susan Huther Rick Heapter MGC Communications, Inc. 3301 Worth Buffalo Drive Las Vegas, Nevada 89129 Tel. No. (702) 310-4272 Fax. No. (702) 310-5689

John Kerkorian (+)
MGC Communications
d/b/a Mpower Communications Corp.
Regional Vice President
Legal & Regulatory Affiars
Southeast Region
5607 Glenridge Drive, Suite 310
Atlanta, GA 30342
Tel. No. (404) 554-1000
Fax. No. (404) 554-0010

Jeremy Marcus
Kristin Smith
Blumenfeld & Cohen
1625 Massachusetts Ave., Ste. 300
Washington, D.C. 20036
Tel. No. (202) 955-6300
Fax. No. (202) 955-6460

Kimberly Caswell (+)
GTE Florida Incorporated
One Tampa City Center
201 North Franklin Street
Tampa, Florida 33602
Tel. No. (813) 483-2617
Fax. No. (813) 204-8870

Peter M. Dunbar, Esq.
Marc W. Dunbar, Esq.
Pennington, Moore, Wilkinson &
Dunbar, P.A.
215 South Monroe Street, 2nd Fir.
Tallahassee, Florida 32301
Tel. No. (850) 222-3533
Fax. No. (850) 222-2126

Carolyn Marek (+)
Vice President of Regulatory Affairs
Southeast Region
Time Warner Communications
233 Bramerton Court
Franklin, Tennessee 37069
Tel. No. (615) 376-6404
Fax. No. (615) 376-6405

Mark E. Buechele, Esquire General Counsel for Supra 2620 S.W. 27th Avenue Miami, FL 33133 Tel. No. (305) 531-5286 Fax. No. (305) 531-5287 Donna Canzano McNuity, Esq. (+)
MCI WorldCom, Inc.
325 John Knox Road
The Atrium Bldg., Suite 105
Tallahassee, FL 32303
Tel. No. (850) 422-1254
Fax. No. (850) 422-2586

Michael A. Gross (+)
VP Reg. Affairs & Reg. Counsel
Florida Cable Telecomm. Assoc.
310 North Monroe Street
Tallahassee, FL 32301
Tel. No. (850) 681-1990
Fax. No. (850) 681-9676

ACI Corp. 7337 S. Revere Parkway Englewood, CO 80112 Tel. No. (303) 476-4200 Fax. No. (303) 476-4201

Florida Public Telecomm. Assoc. Angela Green, General Counsel 125 South Gadsden Street #200 Tallahassee, FL 32301-1525 Tel. No. (850) 222-5050 Fax. No. (850) 222-1355

Intermedia Communications, Inc. Scott Sapperstein (+)
Sr. Policy Counsel
3625 Queen Palm Drive
Tampa, FL 33619-1309
Tel. No. (813) 829-4093
Fax. No. (813) 829-4923

TCG South Florida
c/o Rutledge Law Firm
Kenneth Hoffman
215 South Monroe Street, Ste. 420
Tallahassee, FL 32301
Tel. No. (850) 681-6788
Fax. No. (850) 681-6515

Time Warner AxS of FL, L.P. 2301 Lucien Way Suite 300 Maitland, FL 32751 Represented by Pennington Law Firm

Glenn Harris, Esq.
NorthPoint Communications, Inc.
222 Sutter Street
7th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94108
Tel. No. (415) 365-6095
Fax. No. (415) 403-4004
Email Fax. (503) 961-1314

Charles J. Rehwinkel (+) 1313 Blair Stone Road Tallahassee, FL 32301 Tel. No. (850) 847-0244 Fax. No. (850) 878-0777 Counsel for Sprint

John P. Fons (+)
Ausley & McMullen
Washington Square Building
227 South Calhoun Street
Tallahassee, FL 32301
Tel. No. (850) 224-9115
Fax. No. (850) 222-7560
Counsel for Sprint

Brian Sulmonetti MCI WorldCom, Inc. 6 Concourse Parkway Suite 3200 Atlanta, GA 30328 Tel. No. (770) 284-5500 Catherine F. Boone, Esq. (+)
Regional Counsel
Covad Communications Company
10 Glenlake Parkway
Suite 650
Atlanta, GA 30328-3495
Tel. No. (678) 579-8388
Fax. No. (678) 320-9433

Charles J. Beck
Deputy Public Counsel
Office of the Public Counsel
111 West Madison Street
Room 812
Tallahassee, FL 32399-1400
Tel. No. (850) 488-9330
Fax. No. (850) 488-4491

Eric J. Branfman (+)
Morton J. Posner (+)
Swidler Berlin Shereff Friedman, LLP
3000 K Street, N.W., Suite 300
Washington, D.C. 20007-5116
Tel. No. (202) 424-7500
Fax. No. (202) 424-7645
Represents Florida Digital Network, Inc.
Represents KMC, KMC II & KMC III

John McLaughlin KMC Telecom. Inc. Suite 170 3025 Breckinridge Boulevard Duluth, GA 30096 Tel. No. (770) 931-5260 Fax. No. (770) 638-6796

Bettye Willis (+)
ALLTEL Communications
Services, Inc.
One Allied Drive
Little Rock, AR 72203-2177

J. Jeffry Wahlen (+)
Ausley & McMullen
227 South Calhoun Street
Tallahassee, FL 32301
Tel. No. (850) 425-5471
Fax. No. (850) 222-7560
Atty. for ALLTEL

Stephen P. Bowen
Blumenfeld & Cohen
4 Embarcadero Center
Suite 1170
San Fransisco, CA 94111
Tel. No. (415) 394-7500
Fax. No. (415) 394-7505

Norton Cutler (+)
General Counsel
BlueStar Networks, Inc.
401 Church Street
24th Floor
Nashville, Tennessee 37201
Tel. No. (615) 346-3848

Michael Bressman (+)
Associate General Counsel
401 Church Street
24th Floor
Nashville, Tennessee 37201
Tel. No. (615) 346-6660

Patrick K. Wiggins
Wiggins & Villacorta, P.A.
2145 Delta Boulevard, Suite 200
Tallahassee, FL 32303

George S. Ford (+)
Chief Economist
Z-Tel Communications, Inc.
601 South Harbour Island Blvd.
Tampa, FL 33602
Tel. No. (813) 233-4630
Fax. No. (813) 233-4620
gford@z-tel.com

Jonathan E. Canis
Michael B. Hazzard
Kelley Drye & Warren, LLP
1200 19th Street, NW, Fifth Floor
Washington, DC 20036
Tel. No. (202) 955-9600
Fax. No. (202) 955-9792
jacanis@kelleydrye.com
mhazzard@kelleydrye.com
Counsel for Z-Tel Communications, Inc.

Rodney L. Joyce
Shook, Hardy & Bacon, LLP
600 14th Street, N.W., Suite 800
Washington, D.C. 20005-2004
Tel. No. (202) 639-5602
Fax. No. (202) 783-4211
rjoyce@shb.com
Represents Network Access Solutions

Jon C. Moyle, Jr., Esq.
Cathy M. Sellers, Esq.
Moyle, Flanigan, Katz, Kolins,
Raymond & Sheehan, P.A.
The Perkins House
118 North Gadsden Street
Tallahassee, FL 32301
Tel. No. (850) 681-3828
Fax. No. (850) 681-8788
Attys. for Global NAPs
jmoylejr@moylelaw.com
csellers@moylelaw.com

Russell M. Blau
Marc B. Rothschild
Swidler Berlin Shereff Friedman
3000 K Street, N.W.
Suite 300
Washington, D.C. 20007-5116
Tel. No. (202) 424-7755
Fax. No. (202) 424-7643
Attys. for Broadslate Networks, Inc.
Attys. for @link Network, Inc.

John Spilman
Director Regulatory Affairs and
Industry Relations
Broadslate Networks, Inc.
675 Peter Jefferson Parkway
Suite 310
Charlottesville, VA 22911
Tel. No. (804) 220-7606
Fax. No. (804) 220-7701

Constance L. Kirkendall Regulatory Manager @link Network, Inc. 2220 Campbell Creek Blvd. Suite 110 Richardson, TX 75082-4420 Tel. No. (972) 367-1700 Fax. No. (972) 367-1775

Bennett L. Ross

(+) Signed Protective Agreement

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

ORIGINAL		P	G	10		
----------	--	---	---	----	--	--

In re: Investigation into)	
Pricing of Unbundled Network)	Docket No. 990649-TP
Elements)	
)	Filed: June 1, 2000

BELLSOUTH TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC.'S RESPONSES TO AT&T'S SECOND REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS

GENERAL OBJECTIONS

BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. ("BellSouth" or "Company") asserts the following general objections to the Second Request for Production of Documents served by AT&T Communications of the Southern States, Inc. ("AT&T") on May 12, 2000.

- 1. BellSouth objects to the requests to the extent that such requests seek to impose an obligation on BellSouth to respond on behalf of subsidiaries, affiliates, or other persons that are not parties to this case on the grounds that such requests are overly broad, unduly burdensome, oppressive, and not permitted by applicable discovery rules.
- 2. BellSouth has interpreted AT&T's requests to apply to BellSouth's regulated intrastate operations in Florida and will limit its responses accordingly. To the extent that any request is intended to apply to matters other than Florida intrastate operations subject to the jurisdiction of the Commission, BellSouth objects to such request to produce as irrelevant, overly broad, unduly burdensome, and oppressive.
- 3. BellSouth objects to each and every request and instruction to the extent that such request or instruction calls for information which is exempt from discovery by virtue of the attorney-client privilege, work product privilege, or other applicable privilege.

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

06710 JUN-18

FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

- 4. BellSouth objects to each and every request insofar as the request is vague, ambiguous, overly broad, imprecise, or utilizes terms that are subject to multiple interpretations but are not properly defined or explained for purposes of these requests. Any responses provided by BellSouth in response to AT&T's requests will be provided subject to, and without waiver of, the foregoing objection.
- 5. BellSouth objects to each and every request insofar as the request is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence and is not relevant to the subject matter of this action.
- 6. BellSouth objects to AT&T's discovery requests, instructions and definitions, insofar as they seek to impose obligations on BellSouth that exceed the requirements of the Florida Rules of Civil Procedure or Florida Law.
- 7. BellSouth objects to providing information to the extent that such information is already in the public record before the Florida Public Service Commission, or elsewhere.
- 8. BellSouth objects to each and every request, insofar as it is unduly burdensome, expensive, oppressive, or excessively time consuming as written.
- 9. BellSouth objects to each and every request to the extent that the information requested constitutes "trade secrets" which are privileged pursuant to Section 90.506, Florida Statutes. To the extent that AT&T's requests proprietary confidential business information which is not subject to the "trade secrets" privilege, BellSouth will make such information available to counsel for AT&T, consistent with applicable law, subject to any other general or specific objections contained herein.

10. BellSouth is a large corporation with employees located in many different locations in Florida and in other states. In the course of its business, BellSouth creates countless documents that are not subject to Florida Public Service Commission or FCC retention of records requirements. These documents are kept in numerous locations that are frequently moved from site to site as employees change jobs or as the business is reorganized. Therefore, it is possible that not every document will be provided in response to these discovery requests. Rather, BellSouth's responses will provide, subject to any applicable objections, all of the information obtained by BellSouth after a reasonable and diligent search conducted in connection with these requests. BellSouth shall conduct a search of those files that are reasonably expected to contain the requested information. To the extent that the discovery requests purport to require more, BellSouth objects on the grounds that compliance would impose an undue burden or expense. To the extent that AT&T requests herein documents that have previously been produced to other parties in response to previous discovery, then without limiting any of the foregoing objections, BellSouth incorporates herein by reference its objections to that previous discovery.

REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION

Subject to the General Objections stated herein, BellSouth provides the following responses to AT&T's Second Request for Production:

Request No. 22: Please provide copy of the latest earnings or surveillance report filed with Florida Public Service Commission.

Response: Documents responsive to this request are being produced.

Request No. 23: Please provide all relevant documentation to support BellSouth's response to AT&T's Second Interrogatory No. 73.

Response: Since the Florida Public Service Commission no longer prescribes depreciation parameters for intrastate booking purposes, as explained in BellSouth's response to AT&T Interrogatory Item No. 73, there is no relevant documentation to provide.

Request No. 24: Please provide copies of 1990-1999 ARMIS 43-07 reports for Florida.

Response: Documents responsive to this request are being produced.

Request No. 25: Please provide a copy of latest "Average Life Indications Based on Full Mortality Study" for each account.

Response: Documents responsive to this request are being produced.

Request No. 26: Regarding SCIS/MO Inputs, please provide all supporting documentation, analyses for the CCS per ISDN BRI. Include all customer usage and engineering assumptions made to support this input.

<u>Response</u>: For Business customers: 4 CSV* calls @ 1.50 CCS/call and 1 CSD** call @ $\overline{12.00}$ CCS/call

For Residential customers: 2 CSV calls @ 1.50 CCS/call and 1 CSD call @ 12.00 CCS/call

- * CSV = Circuit Switched Voice
- ** CSD = Circuit Switched Data

These recommendations are per Circuit Switched B channel. These items were then weighted using the 1996 ISDN channel forecast to determine the average B channel Circuit Switched load per BRI.

No further documentation is available.

Request No. 27: Please provide the different processor realtimes from SCIS/IN for the three features mentioned and provide the precise switch resources and algorithm used for each of the three features in SST as referenced on page 13, lines 9-17 of Mr. Page's May 1, 2000 Direct Testimony.

Response: The processor realtimes for these and all other SCIS/IN switch features are available from the SCIS/IN Realtime Tables. These Realtime Tables are part of the Telcordia™ Switching Cost Information System "SCIS/MO and SCIS/IN for BellSouth" Release 2.6.1 CD-ROM, which has already been served to AT&T in this proceeding. The referenced CD is proprietary and was provided subject to the nondisclosure agreement executed by AT&T.

The "precise switch resources and algorithms" used for features in SST© may be examined by looking at the SST-U© model, "UNE Main" worksheet, columns CA through DK. See following the Excel workbook, located on the proprietary CD-ROM provided in BellSouth's April 17, 2000 Cost Study Filing in this proceeding: Data\Florida Docket 990649-TP\State Average\Invstmts\FISt_SST_U.xls. The referenced CD is proprietary and was provided subject to the nondisclosure agreement executed by AT&T.

Further information on the switch resources used by features and the rationale for the SST© switch feature categories is provided in Section 8 of the SST© Model Study Methodology document. See the following section of the non-proprietary CD-ROM provided in BellSouth's April 17, 2000 Cost Study Filing in this proceeding: Documentation\ Xappendix\ Appendix\ D\ SST_METH.doc.

Simplified Switching Tool - © 2000 BellSouth Corporation All rights reserved

Request No. 28: Please provide all analyses and comparisons BellSouth made between results produced by SCIS/IN and SST as referenced on page 16, lines 3-8 of Mr. Page's May 1, 2000 Direct Testimony.

Response: BellSouth has not performed the analyses or comparisons requested. Mr. Page's conclusions regarding the potential results produced by SCIS/IN and the SST© are based upon his understanding of the models' algorithms.

Request No. 29: Please provide the documentation for the utilization factor for each hardware equipment component as referenced on page 28, lines 4-23 of Mr. Page's May 1, 2000 Direct Testimony.

Response: BellSouth does not have the documentation requested. The 85% utilization factor is a conservative assumption chosen to be consistent with the objective utilization represented by BellSouth's input to SCIS/MO for trunk CCS per circuit. That input, at 29 CCS per trunk, represents an approximately 80% utilization.

Request No. 30: Please provide the actual orders and actual billing account records for the replacement offices used to derive the discount and provide all documents, spreadsheets, used to develop the melded discount referenced on page 23, lines 9-24 of Mr. Page's May 1, 2000 Direct Testimony.

Response: The requested information contains vendor proprietary information and is voluminous. It will be provided at a BellSouth location and available for viewing by AT&T subject to the provisions of the executed nondisclosure agreement.

The information consists of:

Paper copies of the actual orders along with the SCIS/MO input sheets.

- Extracts from BCAS that shows the vendor billing <u>summary</u> for material, engineering and installation (electronic files JIMLOW1.xls and JIMLOW2.xls).
- Melded discount calculations are contained in electronic file DISCMELD.xls.
- Electronic file <u>JL10.xls</u> contains line data used in the DISCMELD.xls file for weighting.
- Electronic files <u>DISC5ESS.xls</u> and <u>DISCDMS.xls</u> contain the summary sheets used to calculate the "target" discounts.

Request No. 31: In regards to page 26, lines 21-23 of Mr. Page's May 2, 2000 Direct Testimony, please provide the switch vendor's processor engineering tool used to support BellSouth's conclusion that each feature use generates approximately the same

processor realtime as a call setup and provide all documents supporting the examination and analysis used by BellSouth to support the claim that "each use of a feature generates approximately the same processor realtime as a call setup."

Response: The question misrepresents Mr. Page's testimony. Lines 21-23, page 26, of Mr. Page's testimony clearly state that the conclusion that each use of a feature generates approximately the same realtime usage as a call setup is supported by examination of the call timings within SCIS/IN.

However, regarding the "switch vendor's processor engineering tool," BellSouth is not at liberty to provide this tool because it is owned by NORTEL Networks, Inc. and is Trade Secret information of NORTEL. BellSouth utilizes this tool by remote access to a NORTEL location. It is BellSouth's understanding that, as a NORTEL customer, AT&T can also access this tool.

However, BellSouth previously provided the inputs and results of the processor engineering tool runs examined by BellSouth. See BellSouth's response to Item No. 14m of AT&T's first Request for Production of Documents in this Docket, dated May 2, 2000.

Request No. 32: In regards to page 27, lines 14-24 of Mr. Page's Direct Testimony:

- a) Please provide all source documents that underlie the feature inputs into BellSouth's retail studies.
- b) Please provide the matrix used to develop the average call demand by type of switch resource.
- c) Please provide complete documentation of the statement that "the typical end user customer utilizes 4 vertical features from an extensive list."

Response: a) The feature inputs in question were compiled from several BellSouth retail cost studies and reflect the results of numerous Network data requests spanning a number of years. Because of the volume of documents involved, BellSouth is willing to make available for AT&T's review source documents pertaining to specific feature data inputs which AT&T may be interested.

- b) The matrix has been previously provided in response to AT&T's 1st Production of Documents in this Docket, item 14(1). That list clearly indicates, for each feature, the quantity of busy hour usage generated by that feature in each feature investment category, i.e., Processor, Line, Hardware, or SS7.
- c) This data was obtained by a BellSouth Product Management study of BellSouth's Complete ChoiceTM retail product. This study is provided in Attachment No. 1. This information is proprietary and is being provided subject to the provisions of the nondisclosure agreement executed by AT&T.

Request No. 33: Regarding STT-Usage Study WP10 Inputs:

- a) "% of all lines Using at least one CLASS Modem Feature" Please provide all documentation, analysis for this input value
- b) "% of all lines with Remote Call Forwarding" Please provide all documentation, analysis for this input value.

Response: a) Attachment No. 1 provides the data requested. This information is proprietary and is being provided subject to the provisions of the nondisclosure agreement executed by AT&T.

b) This input value (0.4%) was computed as follows:

Item	Description	Value
A	Number of Lines with Remote Call Forwarding per	65
	Central Office	
В	Average Number of Lines per Office	16,191
С	Percent of All Lines With Remote Call Forwarding	0.4%
	(A / B)	

Item A was obtained from a BellSouth Number Portability cost study performed in 1996. Attachment No. 2 provides the relevant cost study documentation. This information is proprietary and is being provided subject to the provisions of the nondisclosure agreement executed by AT&T. Item B is included in the response to POD Item No. 33a above.

Request No. 34: Please provide all tables and schedules ordinarily required by the FCC in a Depreciation Study.

Response: BellSouth has not filed a depreciation study with the FCC for Florida since 1995. All tables and schedules relevant to determining depreciation parameters used in BellSouth's Cost Study filed in this proceeding are included in the 2000 Florida Depreciation Study, which is attached to the direct testimony of David Cunningham. No other tables or schedules were produced.

Request No. 35: Please provide all electronic transfer data to prepare a complete Florida Depreciation Study as of January 1, 2000.

Response: No electronic transfer data was generated for the 2000 Florida Depreciation Study.

Request No. 36: Provide "worm charts" for each account plotting the life indications consistent with FCC banding requirements.

Response: Any "worm charts" that were produced for the 2000 Florida Depreciation Study are included in the study, which is attached to the direct testimony of David Cunningham.

Request No. 37: Provide all substitution and life cycle analyses prepared in support of the Depreciation Study.

Response: This information is proprietary and is being provided subject to the provisions of the nondisclosure agreement executed by AT&T.

Request No. 38: Provide all company plans underlying the Depreciation Study.

<u>Response</u>: All relevant company planning information underlying the 2000 Florida Depreciation Study included in the study, which is attached to the direct testimony of David Cunningham.

Request No. 39: Please provide the records relating to the company's tracking of cable pair displacement as discussed on pages 012 to 013 of the Depreciation Study.

Response: See response to AT&T's 2nd Request for Production of Documents #37.

Request No. 40: Please provide any studies available comparing BellSouth Florida's provision of advanced services to any other LECs' provision of advanced services.

Response: BellSouth is unaware of any responsive documents.

Request No. 41: Provide actual company plans supporting the AYFR for computers.

Response: See page 128 of the 2000 Florida Depreciation Study, which is attached to the direct testimony of David Cunningham.

Request No. 42: Please provide the underlying data, and the calculations of the figures shown on the following pages of the Depreciation Study: 150, 151, 152, 153, 196, 197, 198, 199, 200, 272, 273, 275, 276, 278, 279.

Response: See response to AT&T's 2nd Request for Production of Documents #37.

Request No. 43: Please provide a copy of the Company's most recent Business Plan and/or underlying workpapers identifying the projected investment additions and retirements in Florida by depreciable plant account or category for the years 2000 to 2004.

Response: The projected investment additions and retirements in Florida by depreciable plant account or category for the years 2000 to 2002 are provided in Attachment No. 1. Projected investment additions and retirements for the years 2003-2004 were not utilized in BellSouth's filing; and therefore, are not being furnished with these responses.

Request No. 44: Please provide all supporting workpapers, memoranda or other documents in relation to AT&T's Second Interrogatory No. 105.

Response: Documents responsive to this request are being provided.

Request No. 45: If the information requested in AT&T Second Interrogatory No. 110 has not been provided, please provide in the same file layout as the Curr~Book Cost worksheet.

Response: See response to Interrogatory Item No. 110.

Request No. 46: If the information requested in AT&T Second Interrogatory No. 111 has not been provided, please provide in the same file layout as the Curr~Book Cost worksheet.

Response: See response to Interrogatory Item No. 111.

Request No. 47: Worksheets 1999 Additions, 2000 Additions, 2001 Additions and 2002 Additions contained on file INVPRJ00.xls of appendix f provides BellSouth's projected Net additions for the investment accounts listed on this file. Please provide in electronic format and the same file layout as INVPRJ00.xls, worksheets 1999 Additions, 2000 Additions, 2001 Additions and 2002 Additions, the projected gross additions for the investment accounts listed on INVPRJ00.xls for the years 1999, 2000, 2001 and 2002.

Response: Projected gross additions are provided in Attachment No. 1 (file POD47-ATT1,XLS).

Request No. 48: Please provide a copy of the FCC quote shown on Page 8, Lines 9-11 of Ms. Caldwell's May 1, 2000 Direct Testimony, as well as a reference to its exact location.

Response: BellSouth does not understand AT&T's page and line reference. The lines referenced read as follows:

Q. WHAT METHODOLOGY DID BELLSOUTH USE TO DEVELOP THE COSTS OF COMBINATIONS?

Obviously, there is no quotation contained in these lines. Thus, no answer can be provided.

Respectfully submitted this 1st day of June, 2000.

BELLSOUTH TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC.

NANCY B. WHITE

MICHAEL P. GOGGIN

c/o Nancy Sims

150 South Monroe Street, #400

Tallahassee, Florida 32301

(305) 347-5555

BENNETT L. ROSS

E. EARL EDENFIELD, JR.

675 West Peachtree Street, #4300

Atlanta, Georgia 30375

(404) 335-0793

214776