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Q. Please state your name and business address.
A. My name is Deborah D. Swain. My business address is 2025 Southwest 32nd Avenue, Miami, FL 33415.
Q. By whom are you employed and in what capacity?
A. I am Vice President of the consulting firm of Milan, Swain \& Associates, Inc.
Q. Have you previously filed direct and intervenor testimony in support of Nocatee Utility Corporation's (NUC's) certificate application in these consolidated dockets?
A. Yes.
Q. What is the purpose of your rebuttal testimony?
A. My rebuttal testimony responds to the prefiled testimony of Michael E. Burton and Caroline Silvers.

## MICHAEL E. BURTON

Q. Have you reviewed the testimony of Mr . Burton and his Exhibit MB-2 $\qquad$ , Financial Analysis - Revised?

Yes.
Q. What observations do you have about the financial analysis sponsored by Mr. Burton?
A. My first observation regards the study procedure. On page 2 of Exhibit MB-2 $\qquad$ , Mr. Burton indicates that he has developed a predictive model designed to project financial performance of any water and sewer utility regulated by the Florida Public Service Commission. At this point I have not been able to fully analyze his model. Intercoastal has claimed that the model is confidential and has refused to provide an electronic. copy of the model in response to NUC's discovery requests, even under a confidentiality agreement. My review of Exhibit MB-2 nevertheless leads me to question whether his model accurately reflects the ratemaking principles applied by the Commission.
Q. In Exhibit MB-2, Mr. Burton analyzes the impact upon customer rates of implementing Intercoastal's plans for service versus the impact of NUC's proposed rates.

Assuming for purposes of this answer that Mr. Burton's model produces valid results, would his analysis be of any assistance to this Commission?
A. No. And that is my second observation. Mr. Burton's analysis appears to be flawed because, rather than developing rates that recover Intercoastal's cost to
provide service, he develops rates that require Intercoastal's.owners to subsidize the utility's cost of service.

## Q. Would you please explain?

A. Mr. Burton analyzes two scenarios under which Intercoastal would provide water and wastewater service to Nocatee. In Scenario 1 service is proposed to be provided to Nocatee on what Mr. Burton call a "stand alone" basis. That is, Intercoastal would build a separate system west of the Intracoastal Waterway to serve Nocatee. The system would not be interconnected with the system east of the waterway, but the costs to serve would be combined and the rates would be the same for both service areas. In Scenario 2, Intercoastal would "stand in NUC's shoes" and serve Nocatee with services purchased from JEA. The costs to serve Nocatee would then be combined with those to serve east of the waterway and the rates would be the same for both areas.

The proforma income projections for Scenario 1 are shown at pages 19 and 20 of Mr. Burton's Exhibit MB-2
$\qquad$ . The proforma income projections for Scenario 2 are shown at pages 47 and 49 of Mr. Burton's Exhibit MB-2 $\qquad$ . A review of those pages shows that the revenues projected to be collected from customers are
inadequate to recover the full revenue requirement or cost to provide service to Intercoastal's customers. These inadequate revenues are the basis of Mr. Burton's rate comparison through which he implies that it would be advantageous to the customer for Intercoastal to provide service.
Q. Have you determined just how much Mr. Burton has understated Intercoastal's revenue requirements?
A. Yes. I have prepared Exhibit $\qquad$ (DDS-9), which summarizes Intercoastal's projected revenue requirements, based on Mr. Burton's assumptions. This exhibit shows that by 2005, Intercoastal's cumulative revenue deficiencies would be over $\$ 1,900,000$ under Scenario 1 and over $\$ 600,000$ under Scenario 2.
Q. Is it advantageous to the customer, if Intercoastal is willing to subsidize rates?
A. No. As I indicated in my Intervenor direct testimony, at year end 1998, Intercoastal had already accumulated a deficit of $\$ 1.6$ million. Mr. Burton's proposals would result in additional cumulative income deficits of between $\$ 590,000$ and $\$ 1.8$ million by 2005. The fact that Intercoastal's revenues are insufficient to pay debt expenses on its used and useful plant raises concerns about its ability to finance the investment that would be necessary to provide dependable service
to Nocatee's customers.
Q. Should the Commission base its decision on which utility should serve Nocatee based on Mr. Burton's implication that Intercoastal's rates would be less than NUC's?
A. No. The Commission should not base its certificate decision on rate projections that involve a subsidized rate for Intercoastal that does not fully recover its investment in used and useful plant. The Commission should not put customers at risk by granting a certificate based on "loss leader" subsidized rates, . since the customers would have no protection against a major rate increase once a certificate is granted.
Q. Do you have any other observations regarding Mr . Burton's testimony?
A. Yes. The plan analyzed by Mr. Burton in Scenario 1, the "stand alone" plan, is not an acceptable plan for serving Nocatee. As testified by Mr. Douglas Miller, the plan of service analyzed by Mr. Burton is inconsistent with the Nocatee's Application for Development Approval as a Development of Regional Impact. Therefore, any conclusions reached by Mr. Burton regarding Scenario 1 are based on an infeasible plan and provide no useful information to the Commission.
Q. What about Scenario 2, the "stand in NUC's shoes" plan?
A. This plan also appears to be flawed because Intercoastal has presented no evidence that JEA would commit to such a wholesale arrangement with it. Mr. Burton's analysis of Scenario 2 is therefore a "what if" exercise with no factual basis.
Q. Mr. Burton also analyzes the impact of Intercoastal's plan to provide reclaimed water. Do you have any observations regarding that analysis?
A. Yes. As Mr. Douglas Miller testifies, Intercoastal's stand alone reclaimed water plan, which Mr. Burton analyzes under Scenario 3 in Exhibit MB-2 ___, is an unacceptable plan because Intercoastal has insufficient reclaimed effluent to meet Nocatee's irrigation needs and proposes to use ground water to supplement the irrigation supply. Further, Intercoastal has not filed proposed tariffs for its reclaimed water service nor asked the Commission to set a rate for such service in this docket. The financial conclusions reached by Mr. Burton in analyzing this plan are therefore speculative at best.

## CAROLINE SILVERS

Q. At page 10 of her direct testimony, Ms. Silvers expresses concern with the level of rates for reclaimed
water. Can you address that concern?
Yes. NUC has proposed a base facility and gallonage charge rate structure for reclaimed water. The initial proposed gallonage charge was $\$ 1.41$ per 1,000 gallons and the monthly base facility charge varied from $\$ 3.74$ for a $5 / 8^{\prime \prime} \times 3 / 4^{\prime \prime}$ meter to $\$ 229.20$ for an $8^{\prime \prime}$ meter. Ms. Silvers is concerned that the $\$ 1.41 / \mathrm{MG}$ gallonage charge may discourage large users such as golf courses from purchasing reclaimed water. If these potential users can show that the purchase of reclaimed water is not economically feasible, they may be able to support an application for a consumptive use permit and use groundwater for irrigation.
Q. Does NUC share her concern?
A. Yes, it does. It will be of no benefit to anyone if reuse of reclaimed water is not economically feasible.
Q. Have you investigated alternatives to NUC's original rate proposal that would make the sale of reclaimed water more feasible, especially to large consumers?
A. Yes. In response to the concerns about the reuse rate, I have developed an alternative rate proposal which is designed to reduce the charge to large users while keeping the average monthly residential bill at an affordable level. This alternative involves three basic changes from the original rate proposal.
Q. Can you please describe these basic changes?
A. Yes. First, the new proposal creates better balance between the base facility charge and the gallonage charge in the rate structure. In researching other rate structures I have found that other utilities often charge a higher base charge and lower gallonage charge. Some even charge a flat monthly charge to residential customers, but at a much higher level than NUC's originally proposed base facility charge. I have reviewed NUC's costs and believe there is cost justification to realign the base and gallonage charges in a way that will be fair to all levels of consumers and still recover NUC's cost of service.

Second, NUC now proposes to require the developer of Nocatee to contribute approximately $80 \%$ of the cost of the off-site reuse transmission main, or roughly $\$ 1.2$ million. This means that the amount of contributions-in-aid-of-construction for reuse plant will meet the Commission's guideline for a minimum CIAC amount equal to $100 \%$ of the cost of transmission and distribution facilities. Because so much of the gross reuse plant is represented by transmission and distribution facilities, the overall net CIAC for the reuse system will be approximately $94 \%$ of net plant. Third, NUC proposes to calculate the reuse rates
based on costs and usage assumptions for the last year of Phase I (2006), rather than for the year (2005) when the Phase I system reaches $80 \%$ of capacity.
Q. Have you prepared an exhibit to show the calculation of the new reuse rate?
A. Yes. I have prepared Exhibit ___(DDS-10) for that purpose. The exhibit shows the revised rate proposal and the calculation of the revenues generated by those rates. I have also prepared Exhibit $\qquad$ (DDS-11) which includes the schedules supporting the calculation. You can see from this exhibit that a typical residential bill for irrigation will be approximately $\$ 15.00$ per month or less while the usage rate, which has the most impact on large users, will drop from $\$ 1.41 / \mathrm{MG}$ to \$0.35/MG.
Q. Does that conclude your rebuttal testimony?
A. Yes it does.

PROJECTED REVENUE REQUIREMENTS
INTERCOASTAL SERVICE PLANS

Docket No. 990696-WS Swain Exhibit _(ODS-9) Page 1 of 2
-SCENARIO 1 - Intercoastal Utilities Water and Sewer Rates w/intercoastal Capital Plan

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Projected } \\ & 2000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Projected } \\ 2001 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Projected } \\ & 2002 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Projected } \\ & 2003 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Projected } \\ & 2004 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Projected } \\ & 2005 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Projected } \\ & 2006 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Projected } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Projected } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Projected } \\ & 2009 \end{aligned}$ | Source |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| WATER |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 Total Revenues | 1,036,007 | 1,086,395 | 1,234,530 | 1,387,608 | 1,546,074 | 1,710,413 | 1,881,512 | 2,098,601 | 2,231.183 | 2,523,075 | Ex. MB-2, p.19, 1.14 |
| 2 Achieved NOI | 64,237 | 69,670 | $(16.372)$ | $(11,947)$ | 38,791 | 92,411 | 149,131 | 121,422 | 158,851 | 327.036 | Ex. MB-2, p.19, 1.43 |
| 3 Allowed NOI | 105,554 | 103,666 | 271,958 | 277.750 | 280,176 | 278,958 | 273,887 | 380,368 | 337,980 | 327,036 | Ex. MB-2, p.19, 1.49 |
| 4 Income (Deficiency) Excess | $(41,317)$ | $(33,996)$ | $(288,330)$ | $(289,697)$ | $(241,385)$ | $(186,547)$ | $(124,756)$ | $(258,946)$ | $(179,129)$ | 0 | Line 2 - line 3 |
| 5 Revenue (Deficiency) Excess | $(43,264)$ | $(35,598)$ | $(301,916)$ | $(303,348)$ | $(252,759)$ | $(195,337)$ | $(130,635)$ | (271.148) | $(187.570)$ | 0 | Line 4/.955 |
| Cumulative (Deficiency) Excess |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 Income | $(41,317)$ | $(75,313)$ | $(363,643)$ | $(653,340)$ | $(894,725)$ | $(1,081,272)$ | $(1,206,028)$ | $(1,464,974)$ | $(1,644,103)$ | $(1,644,103)$ |  |
| 7 Revenue | $(43,264)$ | $(78,862)$ | $(380,778)$ | $(684,126)$ | $(936,885)$ | $(1,132,222)$ | $(1,262,857)$ | $(1,534,004)$ | $(1,721,574)$ | $(1,721,574)$ |  |
| 8 Water Rate Base | 1,486,332 | 1,460,225 | 4,047,554 | 4,134,608 | 4,172,055 | 4,155,493 | 4,081,826 | 5,734,842 | 5,098,638 | 4,937.532 | Ex. MB-2, p.19, l. 45 |
| 9 Allowed Return, \% | 7.10\% | 7.10\% | 6.72\% | 6.72\% | 6.72\% | 6.71\% | 6.71\% | 6.63\% | 6.63\% | 6.62\% | Ex. MB-2, p.19, 1.48 |
| 10 Achieved Return, \% | 4.32\% | 4.77\% | -0.40\% | -0.29\% | 0.93\% | 2.22\% | 3.65\% | 2.12\% | 3.12\% | 6.62\% | Ex. MB-2, p.19, 1.47 |
| SEWER |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 Total Revenues | 2.177,570 | 2,373,551 | 2.955,099 | 3,575,872 | 4,207,602 | 4,254,402 | 4,200,770 | 4,860,183 | 4,787,550 | $4,865,733$ | $\text { Ex. MB-2, p. } 20,1.14$ |
| 12 Achieved NOI | 143,780 | 275,217 | 199,718 | 456,196 | 848,523 | 782,456 | 644,514 | 914,549 | 739,560 | $696,583$ | Ex. MB-2, p.20, 1.43 |
| 13 Allowed NOI | 344,579 | 314,842 | 776,922 | 748,773 | 673,954 | 597,790 | 520,060 | 853.145 | 736,560 | 696.583 | Ex. MB-2, p.20, 1.49 |
| 14 Income (Deficiency) Excess | $(200,799)$ | $(39,625)$ | $(577,204)$ | $(292,577)$ | 174,569 | 184,666 | 124.454 | 61,404 | 3,000 | 0 | Line 12 - line 13 |
| 15 Revenue (Deficiency) Excess | $(210,261)$ | $(41.492)$ | (604,402) | $(306,363)$ | 182,795 | 193,368 | 130.318 | 64,297 | 3,141 | 0 | Line 14/.955 |
| Cumulative (Deficiency) Excess |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{ll}16 & \text { Income } \\ 17 & \text { Revenue }\end{array}$ | $(200,799)$ $(210,261)$ | $(240,424)$ $(251,763)$ | $(817,628)$ $(856,155)$ | $(1,110,205)$ $(1,162,518)$ | $(936,636)$ $(979,724)$ | $(760,970)$ $(786,356)$ | $(626,516)$ $(666,038)$ | $(665,112)$ $(691,740)$ | $(588,599)$ | $(588,599)$ |  |
| 18 Sewer Rate Base | 4,852,112 | 4,433,883 | 11,562,503 | 11,146,273 | 10,035,731 | 8,904,982 | 7.750,629 | 12,862,943 | 11.157.363 | 10,516,866 | Ex. ME-2, p. 20, 1.45 |
| 19 Allowed Return, \% | 7.10\% | 7.10\% | 6.72\% | 6.72\% | 6.72\% | 6.71\% | 6.71\% | 6.63\% | 6.60\% | 6.62\% | Ex. MB-2, p.20, 1.48 |
| 20 Achieved Return, \% | 2.96\% | 6.21\% | 1.73\% | 4.09\% | 8.46\% | 8.79\% | 8.32\% | 7.11\% | 6.63\% | 6.62\% | Ex. MB-2, p.20, 1.47 |


| COMBINED WATER \& SEWER RESULTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | mulative ( |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21 | Income | $(242,116)$ | $(315,737)$ | $(1,181,271)$ | $(1,763,545)$ | $(1,830,361)$ | $(1,832,242)$ | $(1,832,544)$ | $(2,030,086)$ | $(2,206,215)$ | $(2,206,215)$ | Line 6 + Line 16 |
| 22 | Revenue | $(253,525)$ | $(330,615)$ | $(1,236,933)$ | $(1,846,644)$ | $(1,916,608)$ | $(1,918,578)$ | $(1,918,894)$ | $(2,125,745)$ | $(2,310,173)$ | $(2,310,173)$ | Line $7+$ Line 17 |

PROJECTED REVENUE REQUIREMENTS INTERCOASTAL SERVICE PLANS

SCENARIO 2 - Intercoastal Utilities Water and Sewer Rates with Nocatee's JEA Wholesale Plan

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Projected } \\ & 2000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Projected } \\ & 2001 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Projected } \\ & 2002 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Projected } \\ & 2003 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Projected } \\ & 2004 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Projécted } \\ & 2005 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Projected } \\ & 2006 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Projected } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Projected } \\ 2008 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Projected } \\ & 2009 \end{aligned}$ | Source |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| WATER |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 Total Revenues | 1,036,007 | 1,086,395 | 1,234,530 | 1,387,608 | 1,546,074 | 1,693.475 |  |  |  |  | Ex. MB-2, p.47, 1.14 |
| 2 Achieved NOI | 66.027 | 71,502 | (109,677) | $(41,603)$ | 39,559 | 108,535 |  |  |  |  | Ex. MB-2, p.47, 1. 43 |
| 3 Allowed NOI | 101.569 | 99,480 | 121,976 | 120,081 | 115,679 | 108,536 |  |  |  |  | Ex. MB-2, p.47, 1.49 |
| 4 Income (Deficiency) Excess | (35.542) | $(27,978)$ | $(231.653)$ | $(161,684)$ | $(76,120)$ | (1) |  |  |  |  | Line 2 - line 3 |
| 5 Revenue (Deficiency) Excess | $(37,217)$ | $(29,296)$ | $(242.569)$ | $(169,303)$ | $(79,707)$ | (1) |  |  |  |  | Line 4/.955 |
| Cumulative (Deficiency) Excess |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 Income | $(35,542)$ | $(63,520)$ | $(295,173)$ | $(456,857)$ | $(532,977)$ | $(532,978)$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 Revenue | $(37,217)$ | $(66,513)$ | $(309,082)$ | $(478,384)$ | $(558,091)$ | $(658,092)$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 Water Rate Base | 1,424,518 | 1,395,099 | 1,761,980 | 1,735,107 | 1,672,162 | 1,569,648 |  |  |  |  | Ex. MB-2, p.47, 1.45 |
| 9 Allowed Return, \% | 7.13\% | 7.13\% | 6.92\% | 6.92\% | 6.92\% | 6.91\% |  |  |  |  | Ex. MB-2, p.47, 1.48 |
| 10 Achieved Return, \% | 4.64\% | 5.13\% | -6.22\% | -2.40\% | 2.37\% | 6.91\% |  |  |  |  | Ex. MB-2, p.47, 1.47 |

## SEWER

11 Total Revenue
12 Achieved NOI
13 Allowed NOI
14 Income (Deficiency) Excess
15 Revenue (Deficiency) Excess
Cumulative (Deficiency) Excess
$16 \quad$ Income
$17 \quad$ Revenue

| 18 Sewer Rate Base | $4,602,106$ | $4,183,875$ | $5,233,862$ | $4,680,873$ | $3,803,355$ | $2,905,629$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 19 Allowed Return, \% | $7.13 \%$ | $7.13 \%$ | $6.92 \%$ | $6.92 \%$ | $6.92 \%$ | $6.91 \%$ |
| 20 Achieved Return, \% | $3.19 \%$ | $6.65 \%$ | $5.23 \%$ | $10.34 \%$ | $8.83 \%$ | $6.91 \%$ |



Nocatee Utility Corporation Schedule of Reuse Rates and Revenues Generated

DOCKET NO. 990696-WS
Swain Exhibit $\qquad$ (DDS-10)

## Meters/

gallons

## Customer Rates and Revenues Generated

Base Facility Charge, based on meter size:
$5 / 8^{\prime \prime} \times 3 / 4^{\prime \prime}$
11.51
17.27 28.78

3/4"
$1^{\prime \prime}$ 1 1/2"
57.55

2" 92.08
3"
$4^{\prime \prime}$

## 6"

8"
Gallonage charge (per 1,000 gallons)

Total Revenue Generated
184.16
287.75
575.50
920.80
0.35

448,222
$\$ 156,878$

## Typical Bills at Selected Consumption Levels

Residential 5/8" $\times 3 / 4^{\prime \prime}$ meters

| 3,000 | gls | 12.56 |
| ---: | :--- | ---: |
| 5,000 | gls | 13.26 |
| 8,000 | gls | 14.31 |
| 10,000 | gls | 15.01 |
| 25,000 | gls | 20.26 |

Service Availability Charges
per ERC
550.00 pergpd 2.1073

$$
\text { gpd per ERC } 261
$$

Nocatee Utility Company Schedule of Reuse Rate Base At $100 \%$ of Design Capacity

| Description | Balance <br> Per <br> Filing | Company Adjust. | Revised Filing |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Utility Plant in Service | 5,982,095 | 345,357 | 6,327,452 |
| Land | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Accumulated Depreciation | -519,477 | -169,174 | -688,651 |
| Contributions-in-aid-of-Construction | -3,626,824 | -2,032,907 | -5,659,731 |
| Accumulated Amortization of C.I.A.C. | 175,537 | 174,382 | 349,919 |
| Plant Held for Future Use | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Working Capital Allowance | 29,785 | 4,418 | 34,203 |
| TOTAL | 2,041,116 | -1,677,924 | 363,192 |

Nocatee Utility Company
Schedule of Reuse Operations
At 100\% of Design Capacity

| Description | Balance <br> Per Filing | Company Adjust. | Revised Filing |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Operating Revenues | 674,068 | -268,063 | 406,005 |
| Operating and Maintenance | 238,278 | 35,344 | 273,622 |
| Depreciation Expense | 84,386 | -28,807 | 55,579 |
| Taxes Other Than Income | 69,073 | -42,265 | 26,808 |
| Income Taxes | 84,833 | -69,978 | 14,855 |
| Total Operating Expenses | 476,570 | -105,707 | 370,863 |
| Net Operating Income | 197,498 | -162,356 | 35,142 |
| Rate Base | 2,041,116 |  | 363,192 |
| Rate of Return | 9.68\% |  | 9.68\% |

Nocatee Utility Company
Schedule of Net Plant to Net C.I.A.C At $100 \%$ of Design Capacity

DOCKET NO. 990696-WS
Swain Exhibit $\qquad$ (DDS-11) Schedule No. 4

| Account | Account |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number | Description | Reuse |
| 101 | Utility Plant in Service | 6,327,452 |
| 104 | Accumulated Depreciation | -688,651 |
|  | Net Plant | 5,638,801 |
| 271 | C.I.A.C. | 5,659,731 |
| 272 | Accum. Amortization of C.I.A.C. | -349,919 |
|  | Net C.I.A.C. | 5,309,812 |
|  | Net C.I.A.C. / Net Plant | 94.17\% |
|  | $\because$ |  |
|  | Gross C.I.A.C./Gross Plant (Actual) | 89.45\% |
|  | Gross to Gross Minimum Contribution Level | 89.51\% |

## Recommended Charge

$\$ \quad 550.00$

| Account Nurrber | Account Descripton | Year 1 <br> Addtions | Year 1 <br> Balance | Year 2 Addtuons | Year 2 Batance | Year 3 <br> Additions | Year 3 Batance | Year 4 AdCtions | Year 4 Balance | Year 5 Adations | Year 5 Batance | Usefu tife |  | epreciaton Rate | Werght | Deprecialion Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 301 | Organizaton | 15,000 | 15,000 | 0 | 15,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 302 | Franctises | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15,000 | 0 | 15,000 | 0 | 15.000 0 | 0 | 15,000 |  | 40 40 | $\begin{aligned} & 250 \% \\ & 250 \% \end{aligned}$ | $024 \%$ $000 \%$ | $0.01 \%$ |
| 303 | Land and Land Rights |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 40 | $2.50 \%$ | 000\% | $0.00 \%$ |
| 304 | Stucires and improvements | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | NA | 33 | 303\% | 000\% | 000\% |
| 305 | Coltecting and impounding Reservors | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 50 |  | 0.00\% | 000\% |
| 306 | Lake, River, and Oner Intakes | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 40 | 200\% | 0000\% | 000\% |
| 307 | Welts and Springs | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 40 | 2.50\% | 000\% | 000\% |
| 308 | Infititraton Gatheries and Tumnets | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 30 | 353\% | 000\% | 000\%\%. |
| 309 | Supply Mains | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | D | 0 | 0 |  | 35 | 2.86\% |  | 0.00\% |
| 310 | Power Generation Equipment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 20 | 500\% | 000\% |  |
| 311 | Pruping Equipment | 648.687 | 648.687 | 0 | 648,687 | 0 | 648,687 | 0 | 648,687 | 0 | 648.687 |  | 20 | 5.00\% | 10 25\% | 000\% |
| 320 | Water Treatmera Equpment |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 22 | 455\% | 000\% | 0.51\% $0.00 \%$ |
| 330 | Distritution Reservoiks and Standppes | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 37 | 2.70\% | 0.00\% | 0000\% |
|  | Steal Pneumatic Tank | - | ${ }^{0}$ | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 35 | 286\% | 0.00\% | 000\% |
|  | Concrete Ground Storage Reservor | 1,016.798 | 1,016,798 | 0 | 1,016,798 | 0 | 1,016.798 | 0 | 1.016.798 | 0 | 1.016.798 |  | 40 | 250\% | 1607\% | 0.40\% |
| 331 333 | Transmission end Distribution Mains Services | 3.117 .879 69.970 | 3,117.879 | 229.897 | 3,347.776 | 229.897 | 3.577 .673 | 229.897 | 3,807.570 | 229.897 | 4.037,467 |  | 43 | 233\% | 63.81\% | 148\% |
| 334 | Meters and Meter instalations | 77.740 | 77,740 | 69,920 | 139,840 123,280 | 69.920 | 209.760 | 89.920 | 279.680 | 69.920 | 349,600 |  | 40 | 2.50\% | 5 53\% | 0.14\% |
| 335 | Hyctants | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 160,80 | 45.540 | 214.360 | 45.540 | 259.900 |  | 20 | 500\% | 4.11\% | $021 \%$ |
| 339 | Other Plant and Miscelaneous Equipmert | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 25 | ${ }_{4}$ | 000\% | 0.00\% |
| 340 | Office Furniture and Equipment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | ${ }_{15}$ | 4.00\% | 000\% | 000\% |
| 341 | Transportaion Equipment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 6 | 16.67\% | 000\% | 000\% |
| 342 | Stores Equipment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 18 | 5.56\% | 000\% | 0.00\% |
| 343 | Toots. Shop and Garage Equpment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 16 | 6.25\% | 000\% | 000\% |
| 344 | Laboratory Equpment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 15 | 6.67\% | 000\% | 000\% |
| 345 | Power Operated Equipment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 12 | $833 \%$ | 000\% | $000 \%$ |
| 346 | Communication Equipment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 |  | 10 | 1000\% | 0.00\% | 000\% |
| 347 | Miscelmiecus Equpmerk | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 15 | 6.67\% | 000\% | 0 00\% |
| 348 | Other Tangible Plary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 10 | 1000\% | 0.00\% | 000\% |
|  | TOTAL PLANT | 4.946.024 | 4,946,024 | 345.357 | 5.291,381 | 345.357 | 5,636,738 | 345,357 | 5.982,095 | 345.357 | 6,327.452 |  |  |  | 100.00\% | 275\% |
|  | Land | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | depreciable plant | 4.946.024 | 4,946,024 | 345,357 | 5,291,36 | 345,357 | 5.636,738 | 346,357 | 5,982,095 | 345,357 | 6,327.452 |  |  |  |  |  |

Nocatee Utility Company
Schedule of Reuse Operation and Maintenance
At $100 \%$ of Design Capacity

| Account | Account |
| :--- | :--- |
| Number | Description |

601 Salaries and Wages - Employees
604 Employee Pensions and Benefits
610 Purchased Reclaimed Water
615 Purchased Power
616 Fuel for Power Production
618 Chemicals
620 Materials and Supplies
631 Contractual Services - Engineering
632 Contractual Services - Accounting
633 Contractual Services - Legal
634 Contractual Services - Management Fees
635 Contractual Services - Other
641 Rental of Building / Real Property
642 Rental of Equipment
650 Transporation Expense
656 Insurance - Vehicle
Balance
Per
Filing
Adjust.
Revised
Filing

| 0 |  | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 |  | 0 |
| 0 |  | 0 |
| 119,988 | 23,969 | 143,957 a |
| 40,000 | 10,000 | 50,000 b |
| 1,000 | 250 | 1,250 b |
| 4,500 | 1,125 | 5,625 b |
| 5,000 |  | 5,000 |
| 0 |  | 0 |
| 0 |  | 0 |
| 0 |  | 0 |
| 63,450 |  | 63,450 |
| 0 |  | 0 |
| 0 |  | 0 |
| 0 |  | 0 |
| 0 |  | 0 |
| 0 |  | 0 |
| 731 |  | 731 |
| 0 |  | 0 |
| 2,609 |  | 2,609 |
| 0 |  | 0 |
| 0 |  | 0 |
| 0 |  | 0 |
| 0 |  | 0 |
| 1,000 |  | 1,000 |
| 238,278 | 35,344 | 273,622 |

## Notes:

a Increase due to increase in additional flow
b $25 \%$ increase due to $25 \%$ increase in additional flow

Nocatee Utility Company
Schedule of Reuse Taxes Other Than Income At 100\% of Design Capacity

|  |  | Balance |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Account | Account | Per | Company | Revised |
| Number | Description | Filing | Adjust. | Filing |
| 408 | Utility Regulatory Assessment Fees | 30,333 | -12,063 | 18,270 |
| 408 | Property Taxes | 36,740 | -30,203 | 6,537 |
| 408 | Payroll Taxes | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 408 | Other Taxes and Licenses | 2,000 | 0 | 2,000 |
| 408 | TOTI, Other Income and Deductions | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | TOTAL | 69,073 | -42,266 | 26,807 |

Nocatee Utility Company
Schedule of Reuse Contributions-in-aid-of-Construction

| Account | Account |
| :---: | :---: |
| Number | Description |
| 271 | Annual Customer Growth (ERCs) |
|  | C.IAC. - Lines |
|  | C.IAC. Cash |
|  | C.IAC - Other |

Schedule of Accumulated Amortization of Reuse C.I.A.C

| Account | Account |
| :---: | :--- |
| Number | Description |
| 272 | Accum. Amortization of C.I.AC. - Lines |
|  | Accum. Amortization of C.A.C - Cash |
|  | Accum. Amortization of C.I AC. - Other |
|  | TOTAL |

TOTAL

| Year 1 Additions | Year 1 <br> Balance | Year 2 <br> Additions | Year 2 <br> Balance | Year 3 <br> Additions | Year 3 <br> Batance | Year 4 <br> Additions | Year 4 <br> Balance | Year 5 Additions | Year 5 <br> Balance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 941 | 941 | 941 | 1,882 | 941 | 2.823 | 941 | 3.764 | 941 | 4.705 |
| 1,575,093 | 1,575,093 | 229,897 | 1,804,990 | 229,897 | 2,034,887 | 229,897 | 2,264,784 | 229.897 | 2.494,681 |
| 517,550 | 517,550 | 517,550 | 1,035,100 | 517,550 | 1,552,650 | 517,550 | 2,070,200 | 517,550 | 2,587,750 |
| 115,460 | 115,460 | 115,460 | 230,920 | 115,460 | 346,380 | 115,460 | 461,840 | 115,460 | 577,300 |
| 2,208,103 | 2,208,103 | 862,907 | 3,071,010 | 862,907 | 3,933,917 | 862,907 | 4,796,824 | 862,907 | 5,659,731 |


| Year 1 <br> Additions | Year 1 <br> Balance | Year 2 <br> Additions | Year 2 <br> Balance | Year 3 Additions | Year 3 <br> Balance | Year 4 <br> Additions | Year 4 Balance | Year 5 <br> Additions | Year 5 Balance | Amortization Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11,687 | 11,687 | 25,079 | 36,766 | 28,490 | 65,256 | 31,902 | 97,158 | 35,313 | 132,471 | 1.48\% |
| 7,110 | 7,110 | 21,331 | 28,441 | 35,552 | 63,993 | 49,772 | 113,765 | 63.993 | 177,758 | 2.75\% |
| 1,588 | 1,588 | 4,763 | 6,350 | 7,938 | 14,288 | 11,113 | 25,401 | 14,288 | 39,689 | 2.75\% |
| 20,385 | : 20,385 | 51,173 | 71,557 | 71,980 | 143,537 | 92,787 | 236,325 | 113,595 | 349,919 |  |

Year 1
Additions

Year 1 Balance

## Year 2

 AdditionYear 2 Balance

Year 3 Additions

## Year 3 <br> Balance

| Account Number | Account Description | Year 1 Additions | Year 1 Balance | Year 2 <br> Additions | Year 2 <br> Balance | Year 3 Additions | Year 3 Balance | Year 4 <br> Additions | Year 4 <br> Balance | Year 5 <br> Additions | Year 5 <br> Balance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 301 | Organization | 188 | 188 | 375 | 563 | 375 | 938 | 375 | 1,313 | 375 | 1.688 |
| 302 | Franchises | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 303 | Land and Land Rights | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 304 | Structures and Improvements | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 305 | Collecting and Impounding Reservoirs | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 306 | Lake, River and Other Intakes | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 307 | Welts and Springs | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 308 | Infiltration Galleries and Tunnels | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 309 | Supply Mains | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 310 | Power Generation Equipment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 311 | Pumping Equipment | 16,217 | 16,217 | 32,434 | 48,652 | 32.434 | 81,086 | 32.434 | 113,520 | 32.434 | 145,955 |
| 320 | Water Treatment Equipment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 330 | Distribution Reservoirs and Standpipes | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Steel Preumatic Tank | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Concrete Ground Storage Reservoir | 12.710 | 12.710 | 25,420 | 38.130 | 25.420 | 63,550 | 25,420 | 88,970 | 25.420 | 114,390 |
| 331 | Transmission and Distribution Mains | 36.254 | 36,254 | 75,182 | 111.436 | 80,528 | 191,965 | 85,875 | 277.840 | 91,221. | 369,061 |
| 333 | Services | 874 | 874 | 2,622 | 3.496 | 4,370 | 7,866 | 6,118 | 13,984 | 7.866 | 21,850 |
| 334 | Meters and Meter Instaliations | 1,944 | 1,944 | 5.026 | 6,969 | 7,303 | 14,272 | 9,580 | 23,851 | 11,857 | 35,708 |
| 335 | Hydrants | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 339 | Other Plant and Miscellaneous Equipment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 340 | Office Furniture and Equipment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 341 | Transportation Equipment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 342 | Stores Equipment | $\rho$. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 343 | Toots, Shop and Garage Equipment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 344 | Laboratory Equipment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 345 | Power Operated Equipment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 346 | Communication Equipment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 347 | Misceilaneous Equipment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 348 | Other Tangible Plant | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | TOTAL PLANT | 68.187 | 68,187 | 141,059 | 203,245 | 150,430 | 359,676 | 159,802 | 519.477 | 169,173 | 688.651 |

PROJECTED REVENUE REQUIREMENTS
INTERCOASTAL SERVICE PLANS

SCENARIO 2 - Intercoastal Utilities Water and Sewer Rates with Nocatee's JEA Wholesale Plan


