LISA S. FOSHEE General Attorney

BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. 150 South Monroe Street Room 400 Tallahassee, Florida 32301 (404) 335-0754



June 26, 2000

Mrs. Blanca S. Bayó
Director, Division of Records and Reporting
Florida Public Service Commission
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Re: FL Docket 000475-TP - Complaint Against Thrifty Call, Inc.

Regarding Practices in Reporting PIU for Compensation

For Jurisdictional Access Services

Dear Ms. Bayó:

Enclosed is an original and fifteen copies of BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.'s Motion for Leave to File Supplemental Authority, which we asked that you file in the above matter.

A copy of this letter is enclosed. Please mark it to indicate that the original was filed and return the copy to me. Copies have been served to the parties shown on the attached Certificate of Service.

Sincerely,

Lisa S. Foshee

cc: All Parties of Record Marshall M. Criser III R. Douglas Lackey Nancy B. White

206499

COM

CTR

ECR LEG

OPC

PAI RGO

SEC

SER

TH

RECEIVED & FILED

FP9C BUTTERS OF SAN

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

07755 JUN 268

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

MARIAMAL

In re: Complaint by BellSouth Telecommunications,)	
Inc. Against Thrifty Call, Inc. Regarding Practices in)	Docket No. 000475-TF
Reporting of Percent Interstate Usage for Compensation)	Filed: June 26, 2000
For Jurisdictional Access Services)	,
	Ś	

MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE SUPPLEMENTAL AUTHORITY

BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. ("BellSouth") hereby requests leave to file supplemental authority in support of its Opposition to Thrifty Call, Inc.'s Motion to Dismiss. Thrifty Call filed an identical motion to dismiss with the North Carolina Utilities Commission in response to BellSouth's North Carolina complaint on the issue of Thrifty Call's misreporting of PIU to BellSouth. The NCUC recently issued an Order denying the Motion to Dismiss and setting BellSouth's Complaint for hearing. A copy of the Order is attached for the Commission's review.

This 26th day of June, 2000.

BELLSOUTH TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC.

NANCY B. WHITE

Museum Tower

150 West Flagler Street

Suite 1910

Miami, Florida 33130

(305) 347-5558

R. Douglas Lackey Lisa S. Foshee 675 West Peachtree Street, Suite 4300 Atlanta, Georgia 30375

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

07755 JUN 26 B

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE Florida Docket No. 000475-TP - Complaint Thrifty Call, Inc.

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of BellSouth

Telecommunications, Inc.'s Complaint against Thrifty Call Inc., was served via U. S.

Mail this 26th day of June, 2000 to the following:

Staff Counsel Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850

Thrifty Call, Inc. Gary L. Mann, President 401 Carlson Circle San Marcos, Texas 78666 Tel. No. (512) 392-6276 Fax. No. (512) 392-6276

Floyd R. Self Messer, Caparello & Self, P.A. 215 South Monroe Street Suite 701 P.O. Box 1876 Tallahassee, FL 32302-1876 Tel. No. (850) 222-0720 fself@lawfla.com

Danny E. Adams Kelley Drye & Warren, L.L.P. 1200 19th Street, N.W. Suite 500 Washington, D.C. 20036 Tel. No. (202) 955-9600

Lisa S. Foshee

206496

STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA UTILITIES COMMISSION MALEIGH

DOCKET NO. P-447, SUB 5

BEFORE THE NORTH CAROLINA UTILITIES COMMISSION

in the Matter of BeliSouth Telecommunica Cor)	
v.))	ORDER DENYING MOTION AND SETTING HEARING
Thrifty Call, Inc.,	pondent)	

BY THE CHAIR: On May 11, 2000, BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. (BellSouth) filed a Complaint against Thrifty Call, Inc. (TCI) alleging that TCI had "intentionally and unlawfully" reported erroneous Percent Interstate Usage (PIU) factors to BellSouth in violation of BellSouth's intrastate Access Tariff (See Section E2.2.14, Jurisdictional Report Requirements) and Commission rules. The PIUs provided by TCI result in an underreporting of intrastate terminating access minutes terminated to BellSouth, resulting in the loss of approximately \$2 million through the loss of intrastate access revenues.

BellSouth explained that BellSouth and TCI use the PIU reporting method to determine the jurisdictional nature of the traffic being exchanged by the parties and the resulting appropriate billing rate for such traffic. The PIU factor provided by TCI to BellSouth is 98% interstate. The intrastate access rate is higher than the interstate access rate, meaning that it costs TCI less in switched access charges to report terminating interstate minutes than it does to terminate intrastate minutes.

BellSouth stated that in March 1999, it had noticed an abrupt change in the amount of terminating interstate minutes. These increased to over 4,000,000 minutes per month. This caused BellSouth to initiate an investigation using test calls. Among other things, BellSouth placed 171 intrestate test calls and found that TCI did not deliver the Calling Party Number (CPN) for any of the 171 calls. This is evidence of an effort to disguise the jurisdictional nature of the traffic.

BellSouth further stated that in early 2000, it had requested information from TCI to pursue an on-site audit of TCI to determine the PIU of traffic being terminated to BellSouth. TCI purported to agree to an audit, but insisted on terms that would make verilication difficult.

BeilSouth requested that TCI be found to have intentionally and unlawfully reported traffic as interstate rather than intrastate and that as a result BeilSouth has suffered financial harm; that TCI be required to comply with BeilSouth's request for an audit to enable BeilSouth to accurately calculate its damages; and that such other relief as is appropriate be granted.

On May 15, 2000, an Order Serving Complaint was issued, directing TCI to reply by June 5, 2000.

TCI Response

On June 5, 2000, TCI filed a Motion To Dismiss, Or, in The Alternative, To Stay. TCI maintained that BellSouth's Complaint is Improper and premature because BellSouth has failed to comply with its own intrastate access tariff which expressly addresses this situation. Specifically, Section E2.3.14B of that tariff provides for audits to be conducted in disputes such as this and sets out procedures to be followed. TCI has never resisted BellSouth's request for an audit and has even recommended a proposed auditor; but BellSouth has not taken any action in response. Instead, BellSouth had demanded payment from TCI without an audit and outside of the tariff's procedures.

TCI also disputed BellSouth's claim to continuing harm. TCI said that it is not currently sending traffic to BellSouth and has not done so since January, even to the extent of disconnecting all of its feature group facilities with BellSouth by April 7, 2000.

Until the tartif procedures are fulfilled, a complaint proceeding is a waste of resources. If it is appropriate not to dismiss the Complaint, TCI alternatively requested that the Complaint be stayed until such time as an audit pursuant to BeliSouth's North Carolina intrastate Tartif has been conducted.

Belisouth Reply

On June 21, 2000, BellSouth filed a Reply And Opposition To Thrifty Call's Motion To Dismiss Or Stay. BellSouth identified the crux of TCl's argument as being that BellSouth had failed to comply with its intrastate access tariff by not conducting an audit of TCl's call data. BellSouth stated that the provision referred to was permissive, not mandatory:

When an IC [or End User] provides a projected interstate usage set torth in A. preceding, or when a billing dispute arises or a regulatory commission questions the projected interstate percentage for BeliSouth SWA, the Company max, by written request, require the IC [or End User] to provide the data the IC [or End User] used to determine the projected interstate percentage. This written request will be considered the initiation of the sudit. (Tariff Section E2.3.14B(1)) (Emphasis added).

Besides being permissive, this provision is in no way exclusive of other rights and remedies of BeilSouth including Commission action. Moreover, the fact that TCI is now willing to undergo an audit in no way constitutes a waiver of BeilSouth's right to pursue its complaint.

indeed, in the absence of an audit, there is ample evidence for BellSouth to proceed with its complaint on the basis of the test calls it conducted as a means of substantiating its claim prior to filling the complaint. There is in fact no need for an audit at this point, and this is why BellSouth withdrew its audit request on April 7, 2000. TCI, it should be noted, also wants to limit the audit to adjusting the PIU on a going-forward basis, but the greater question is one of past violations. BellSouth is also concerned that, while TCI may not be currently passing traffic, it may do so tomorrow and, therefore, potential harm to BellSouth continues to exist.

WHEREUPON, the Chair reaches the following

CONCLUSIONS

After careful consideration, the Chair concludes that TCI's Motion To Dismiss, Or. In The Alternative, To Stay should be denied for the reasons as generally set out by BellSouth. As BellSouth has pointed out, the audit provision in its tariff is permissive, not mandatory, and is not in derogation of any other rights that BellSouth has. Accordingly, the Chair concludes that a hearing be set in this matter.

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED as follows:

- 1. That TCI's Motion to Diemiss, or, in the Alternative to Stay, be dismissed.
- 2. That a hearing be scheduling on this matter beginning on Tuesday. September 19, 2000, at 9:30 a.m., in Commission Hearing Room 2115, 430 North Salisbury Street, Raieigh, North Carolina.
 - That BeliSouth prefile testimony by no later than August 18, 2000.

- 4. That TCI prefile testimony by no later than September September 1, 2000.
- 5. That BellSouth prefile rebuttal testimony by no later than September 8, 2000. ISSUED BY ORDER OF THE CHAIR.

This the 23rd day of June, 2000.

NORTH CAROLINA UTILITIES COMMISSION

Cynthia S. Thinks

Cynthia S. Trinks, Deputy Clark

empeo es