ORIGINAL

Florida Cable Telecommunications Association

Steve Wilkerson, President

VIA HAND DELIVERY

June 26, 2000

Ms. Blanca S. Bayo, Director **Division of Records and Reporting** Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Blvd. Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

RE: Docket No. 990649-TP

Dear Ms. Bayo:

Enclosed for filing in the above docket are the original and fifteen (15) copies of the Prehearing Statement of the Florida Cable Telecommunications Association. Copies have been served on the parties of record pursuant to the attached certificate of service.

Please acknowledge receipt of filing of the above by stamping the duplicate copy of this letter and returning the same to me.

Thank you for your assistance in processing this filing. Please contact me with any questions.

Sincerely,

Michael A. Gross Vice President, Regulatory Affairs &

APP Regulatory Counsel CAF Tthea CMP MAG/mi COM CTR Enclosure ECR LEG 2 RECEIVED & FILED OPC All Parties of Record -CC: PA! William J. Barta RGO SEC RECORDS FPS(REAU OF SER OTH

EPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING 00

JUN 26

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

310 North Monroe Street • Tallahassee, Florida 32301 • (850) 681-1990 FAX (850) 681-9676 • www.fcta.com

ORIGINAL

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Investigation into pricing of) unbundled network elements.)

Docket No. 990649-TP

Filed: June 26, 2000

PREHEARING STATEMENT OF THE FLORIDA CABLE TELECOMMUNICATIONS ASSOCIATION

Florida Cable Telecommunications Association (FCTA), pursuant to Order No. PSC-00-0540-PCO-TP, issued on March 16, 2000, and Order No. PSC-00-2015-PCO-TP, issued on June 8, 2000, of the Florida Public Service Commission, files its Prehearing Statement and states:

A. <u>Witnesses</u>

The Florida Cable Telecommunications Association ("the FCTA") will present Mr. William Barta as its witness in this proceeding. The subject matter of Mr. Barta's testimony will address certain issues identified in the Commission's June 8, 2000 Order No. PSC-00-2015-PCO-TP). The issues to be addressed include Issue nos. 6, 7(b), 7(c), 7(d), 9(b), and 13 as defined in the Second Revised Order on Procedure (Order No. PSC-00-0540-PCO-TP).

B. <u>Exhibits</u>

As part of his prefiled testimony, Mr. Barta will sponsor five exhibits: Rebuttal Exhibit_(WJB-1) through Rebuttal Exhibit_(WJB-5). A brief description of the contents of the exhibits is provided below:

1

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE 07762 JUN 268 FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING **Rebuttal Exhibit** (WJB-1) Mr. Barta's qualifications

Rebuttal Exhibit_(WJB-2) FCC prescribed Projection lives and Future Net Salvage rates for BellSouth and GTE in Florida.

Rebuttal Exhibit_(WJB-3) A comparison between the balances of telecommunications plant in service and the total reserves as submitted by BellSouth and GTE to the FCC during the period 1991 through 1999.

Rebuttal Exhibit__(WJB-4) A graph illustrating the relationship between total cash operating expenses and total access lines in service for BellSouth and GTE during the period 1991 through 1999.

Rebuttal Exhibit__(WJB-5) A calculation of avoided retail costs that should be used for the purpose of establishing rates for unbundled network elements.

The FCTA and Mr. Barta believed that Issue nos. 7(t) and 7(u) were to be addressed in the June 8, 2000 prefiled testimony. Rebuttal Exhibit_(WJB-4) and Rebuttal Exhibit_(WJB-5) have been prepared in support of Mr. Barta's discussion of these issues in his prefiled testimony.

C. Basic Position

The FCTA supports the Commission's effort to establish reasonable rates for unbundled network elements and UNE combinations. The FCTA believes that the establishment of appropriate cost-based rates will promote fair and responsible competitive entry under the requirements of the Telecommunications Act of 1996. Forward-looking, cost-based rates will also protect the incumbent local exchange carriers as the providers of the facilities necessary to provision the unbundled network elements.

The issues to be addressed in this phase of the docket will significantly influence the cost estimates generated by each of the carrier's cost proxy models. To the detriment of Florida consumers, the implementation of above-cost UNE rates will slow, if not preclude, the competitive entry of alternative carriers. There should be no dispute that CLECs are financially unable to develop a ubiquitous telecommunications infrastructure from scratch. The costs of investing in duplicative facilities are prohibitive. The undertaking to construct duplicative loops and switching facilities is massive, timeconsuming, and in many instances, uneconomical given the need to reach individual subscribers over wide areas. The ILECs have had the luxury of growing their networks to meet demand over a period of more than a hundred years under an exclusive regulatory compact that provided ample funding from their ratepayers. Those privileges cannot and will not be extended to CLECs. Thus, in the interest of competitive development, it is imperative that reasonable, cost-based rates be established for access to the incumbent carriers' unbundled network elements and UNE combinations.

D.-F. Positions on the Issues

<u>ISSUE 6:</u>

Under what circumstances, if any, is it appropriate to recover non-recurring costs through recurring

rates?

Position:

The FCTA acknowledges that, as a general principle, one-time costs should be recovered through nonrecurring charges. But it is a common practice in the telecommunications industry for carriers to recover nonrecurring costs through recurring charges. The FCTA supports this form of cost recovery where appropriate in order to reduce the immediate financial burden that would be imposed upon the requesting party. The FCTA recommends that the Commission order that the expenses associated with the development of electronic gateways and systems enhancements to the incumbent carriers' Operations Support Systems are capitalized and recovered through recurring charges.

<u>ISSUES 7(b),(c), and (d):</u>

What are the appropriate assumptions and inputs for the following items to be used in the forwardlooking recurring UNE cost studies?

(b) depreciation

By adopting aggressive capital recovery rates, the ILECs have overstated the recurring capital costs that will be recovered from requesting carriers through higher than necessary UNE rates. The FCTA recommends that the FCC's prescribed projection lives and future net salvage rates for BellSouth and GTE should be adopted as model inputs in lieu of the carriers' proposed rates. The forward-looking depreciation lives and future net salvage estimates prescribed by the FCC are grounded in a comprehensive examination and offer an objective alternative to the incumbent carriers' proposed capital recovery rates.

(c): cost of capital

The ILECs have assumed high costs of capital that also overstate the recurring capital costs. GTE and Sprint, in particular, have assumed an equity-rich capital structure in tandem with a high cost of equity. The carriers' assumptions regarding capital market conditions result in an inflated cost of capital that will be designed into the UNE rates charged to competing carriers. The FCTA recommends that the Commission adopt a more realistic cost of capital based upon the testimony of Staff and other experts participating in the proceeding.

(d): tax rates

The incumbent carriers' approach to estimating the currently effective ad valorem and property tax rates appears reasonable. The composite income tax factor used in the cost proxy models reflects a state corporate income tax rate of 5.5%. The FCTA does not challenge the incumbent carriers' model input values in these areas.

ISSUE 9(b):

Subject to the standards of the FCC's Third Report and Order, should the Commission require ILECs to unbundle any other elements or combinations of elements? If so, what are they and how should they be priced?

Position:

The FCTA believes that it is not necessary for the Commission to expand upon the FCC's minimum unbundling requirements for non-rural ILECs at this time. The Commission must ensure, however, that access to all unbundled network elements, is offered at competitive rates and acceptable service quality levels. If it is found otherwise, the

Commission should initiate proceedings to investigate the unbundling of the network element at issue.

ISSUE 13:

When should the recurring and non-recurring rates and charges take effect?

Position:

The Commission should grant the ILECs a reasonable amount of time to modify their billing and other administrative systems in order to process the demands of deaveraged UNE offerings. The FCTA recommends that the recurring and nonrecurring UNE rates should take effect 30 days to 90 days after the Commission issues its order in the proceeding.

G. Stipulated Issues

The FCTA has not stipulated to any issues with any party to the proceeding.

H. <u>Pending Motions</u>

The FCTA has no pending motions or other matters its seeks action upon.

I. <u>Requirements of Order No. PSC--00-0540-PCO-TP and Order No. PSC-00-2015-</u> PCO-TP

There are no requirements of Order No. PSC-00-0540-PCO-TP and PSC-00-2015-PCO-TP

with which FCTA cannot comply.

•

Respectfully submitted this 26^{-1} day of June, 2000.

Michael A. Gross

Vice President, Regulatory Affairs & Regulatory Counsel Florida Cable Telecommunications Association 310 N. Monroe Street Tallahassee, FL 32301 Tel: 850/681-1990 Fax: 850/681-9676

Attorney for FCTA

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing Prehearing Statement of the Florida Cable Telecommunications in Docket 990649-TP has been served upon the following parties by U.S. Mail this 26th day of June, 2000:

Kimberly Caswell, Esquire GTE Florida Incorporated P.O. Box 110, FLTC0007 Tampa, FL 33601

Peter M. Dunbar, Esquire Marc W. Dunbar, Esquire Pennington, Moore, Wilkinson, Bell & Dunbar, P.A. P.O. Box 10095 Tallahassee, FL 32302-2095

Carolyn Marek Vice President of Regulatory Affairs Southeast Region Time Warner Communications 2333 Bramerton Court Franklin, Tennessee 37069

Kenneth A. Hoffman Rutledge, Ecenia, Underwood, Purnell & Hoffman, P.A. P.O. Box 551 Tallahassee, FL 32302-0551

Angela Green, Esq. Florida Public Telecommunications Association 125 S. Gadsden Street, #200 Tallahassee, FL 32301

Floyd Self, Esquire Messer, Caparello & Self, P.A. P.O. Box 1876 Tallahassee, FL 32302 Nancy H. Sims BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. 150 S. Monroe Street, Suite 400 Tallahassee, FL 32301-1556

Patrick Wiggins, Esquire Charles Pellegrini, Esquire Wiggins Law Firm P.O. Drawer 1657 Tallahassee, FL 32302

Rhonda P. Merritt AT&T Communications of the Southern States, Inc. 101 N. Monroe St., Suite 700 Tallahassee, FL 32301

Donna Canzano McNulty MCImetro Access Transmission Services LLC 325 John Knox Road, Suite 105 Tallahassee, FL 32301

Mark E. Buechele Supra Telecom Koger Center-Ellis Bldg Suite 200 1311 Executive Center Drive Tallahassee, FL 32301-5027

ACI Corp. 7337 S. Revere Parkway Englewood, CO 80112 American Communications Services, Inc. d/b/a e.spire Comm James Falvey 133 National Business Parkway Suite 200 Annapolis Junction, MD 20701

Blumemfeld & Cohen Elise Kiely/Jeffrey Blumenfeld 1615 M. Street, NW, Suite 700 Washington, DC 20036

CompTel Terry Monroe 1900 M Street, NW, Suite 800 Washington, DC 20036

Florida Competitive Carriers Assoc. c/o McWhirter Law Firm Vicki Kaufman 117 S. Gadsden St. Tallahassee, FL 32301

Hopping Law Firm Richard Melson P.O. Box 6526 Tallahassee, FL 32314

Intermedia Communications, Inc. Scott Sappersteinn 3625 Queen Palm Drive Tampa, FL 33619-1309

McWhirter Law Firm Joseph McGlothlin/Vicki Kaufman 117 S. Gadsden St. Tallahassee, FL 32301

MGC Communications, Inc. Susan Huther 3301 North Buffalo Drive Las Vegas, NV 89129 Telecommunications Resellers Assoc. Andrew Isar P.O. Box 2461 Gig Harbor, WA 98335-4461

Pennington Law Firm Time Warner AxS of Florida, L.P. d/b/a Time Warner Telecom 2301 Lucien Way, Suite 300 Maitland, FL 32751

WorldCom Technologies, Inc. Donna McNulty, Esq. 325 John Knox Road, Suite 105 Tallahassee, FL 32303

Covad Communications Company Christopher V. Goodpaster, Esq. 9600 Great Hills Trail Suite 150 W Austin, TX 78759

Florida Digital Network, Inc. c/o Swidler, Berlin Law Firm 390 North Orange Ave., Suite 2000 Orlando, FL 32801

Holland Law Firm Bruce May P.O. Drawer 810 Tallahassee, FL 32302

NorthPoint Communications, Inc. Glenn Harris, Esq. 222 Sutter Street, 7th Floor San Francisco, CA 94108

Office of Public Counsel Stephen C. Reilly c/o The Florida Legislature 111 W. Madison Street, Room 812 Tallahassee, FL 32399-1400 Sprint Communications Company Limited Partnership Monica Barone 3100 Cumberlane Circle Mailstop GAATLN0802 Atlanta, GA 30339

Sprint-Florida, Incorporated Charles Rehwinkel P.O. Box 2214 Tallahassee, FL 32316-2214

Swidler & Berlin Law Firm Eric J. Branfman/Morton Posner 3000 K Street, NW, #300 Washington, DC 20007-5116

John McLaughlin KMC Telecom, Inc. Suite 170 3025 Breckinridge Blvd Duluth, GA 30096

Bettye Willis ALLTEL Communications Services, Inc. One Allied Drive Little Rock, AR 72203-2177

J. Jeffry Wahlen Ausley & McMullen P.O. Box 391 Tallahassee, FL 32302

George S. Ford Chief Economist Z-Tel Communications, Inc. 601 S. Harbour Island Blvd Tampa, FL 33602

Jonathan E. Canis Michael B. Hazzard Kelley Drye & Warren, Llp 1200 19th Street, NW, 5th Floor Washington, DC 20036 Jon C. Moyle, Jr., Esq. Cathy M. Sellers, Esq. The Perkins House 118 N. Gadsden Street Tallahassee, FL 32301

Vicki Gordon Kaufman 117 S. Gadsden Tallahassee, FL 32301

Norton Cutler General Counsel 40 Church Street 24th Floor Nashville, TN 37201

Michael Bressman Associate General Counsel 401 Church Street 24th Floor Nashville, TN 37201

Russell M. Blau Marc B. Rothschild Swidler Berlin Shereff Friedman, LLP 3000 K. Street, NW, Suite 300 Washington, DC 20007-5116

John Spilman Director Regulatory Affairs & Industry Relations Broadslate Networks, Inc. 675 Peter Jefferson Parkway, Suite 310 Charlottesville, Virginia 22911

Hope G. Colantonio Legal & Regulatory Manager Cleartel Communications, Inc. 1255 22nd Street, NW, 6th Floor Washington, DC 20037

Michael A. Gross