ORIGINAL

RECEIVED-FF

00 JUN 26 PM 4:

RECORDS AND REPORTING

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In Re: Investigation Into Pricing Of Unbundled **Network Elements**

RECEIVED & FILED

FPSC-BUREAU OF RECORDS

Docket No. 990649-TP

Filed: June 26, 2000

PREHEARING STATEMENT OF SUPRA TELECOMMUNICATIONS & INFORMATION SYSTEMS, INC.

SUPRA TELECOMMUNICATIONS & INFORMATION SYSTEMS, INC. ("Supra Telecom"), by and through its undersigned counsel and pursuant to this Commissions' Second Revised Order On Procedure (Order No. PSC-00-0540-PCO-TP), issued on March 16, 1999, hereby files and serves this its Prehearing Statement for the portion of the above-styled matter set for hearing in mid-July 2000, and in support thereof states as follows:

A. WITNESSES

Supra Telecom proposes to call the following witnesses to offer testimony on the issues set for hearing in this docket in mid-July, 2000:

APP	Witness	<u>Issue(s)</u>
COM 55 CTR ECR	(a) David Nilson (Rebuttal)	5, 6, 9(b), 13
	(b) Carol Bentley (Rebuttal)	7(b), 7(c), 7(d)
LEG 2	Supra Telecom also reserves the right to call additional witnesses, witnesses to respond to	
PAI RGO Commission inquiries not addressed in direct or rebuttal testimony, witnesses to address issues not SEC CER OTH Presently designated that may be designated by the Prehearing Officer at the prehearing conference		
believes testimony will be filed, but reserves the right to supplement that list if necessary.		

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

07767 JUN 268

FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

B. EXHIBITS

(a) David Nilson - DAN-1: Lucent 5ESS-2000 Product Literature on ISVM

Supra Telecom reserves the right to file exhibits to any testimony that may be filed under the circumstances identified in Section "A" above. Supra Telecom also reserves the right to introduce exhibits produced by Supra Telecom in response to any request for production made by the Commission Staff and other parties to this proceeding; including any documents reflecting the fact that access to ISVM is available in other states. Supra Telecom also reserves the right to introduce exhibits for cross-examination, impeachment and/or for any other purpose authorized by the applicable Florida Rules of Evidence and Rules of this Commission.

C. SUPRA TELECOM'S STATEMENT OF BASIC POSITION

In its January 25, 1999 decision, the Supreme Court vacated Rule 51.319 of the Federal Communications Commission ("FCC") that established the initial set of unbundled network elements ("UNEs") required to be made available either individually or on a combined basis. The FCC then initiated a proceeding to determine the list of UNEs that would be appropriate pursuant to the Supreme Court decision. The FCC has since announced its decision in that proceeding and has issued a new Rule 51.319 covering the set of UNEs which must be unbundled; for which this Commission must establish pricing and to the extent applicable deaveraged pricing. Supra Telecom's positions on the individually numbered issues in this docket are consistent with the Act, the pertinent rulings of the Supreme Court, the FCC and this Commission. Each of Supra Telecom's positions should be sustained by this Commission.

D. SUPRA TELECOM'S POSITION ON THE ISSUES (FACT\LAW\POLICY)

<u>Issue 5</u>: For which signaling networks and call-related databases should rates be set?

<u>Supra Telecom's Position On Issue 5</u>: Rates should be set all signaling networks and call-

related databases. With respect to signaling networks, this includes all signaling networks utilized by any and all functions inherent in the switch, including interswitch voice messaging (ISVM). With respect to call-related databases, this includes all OSS databases because they are necessary to properly bill customers, the ILECs and other carriers in the UNE environment.

<u>Issue 6</u>: Under what circumstances, if any, is it appropriate to recover non-recurring costs through recurring rates?

<u>Supra Telecom's Position On Issue 6</u>: Non-recurring charges that are system-wide and related to items being shared by multiple carriers, such as infrastructure necessary to provide the service, should be recovered through recurring rates amortized over the full economic life of the asset and prorated according to the ILEC's use of the asset.

<u>Issue 7</u>: What are the appropriate assumptions and inputs for the following items to be used in the forward-looking recurring UNE cost studies?

- (b) depreciation;
- (c) cost of capital;
- (d) tax rates.

Supra Telecom's Position On Issue 7(b): For depreciation, 47 CFR § 51.505(b)(1) requires a TELRIC cost model that assumes a network design based upon the most efficient technology currently available. Moreover, depreciation rates used in calculating the forward-looking economic costs of elements must be based upon the actual expected economic life (i.e. true useful life) of the equipment. Any shorter depreciation rates result in a windfall to the ILECs and thus go beyond cost by adding a profit margin on an ALECs use of the equipment.

Supra Telecom's Position On Issue 7(c): Only a reasonable profit is statutorily authorized and must be view in light of the low risk experience by ILECs and the continuing monopoly status of ILECs who will always own the infrastructure and network elements and who will be guaranteed recovery of costs irrespective of the number of customers lost to ALECs. Shareholder pre-tax return on investment should be no more than eight to ten percent (8%-10%), with no profit being

added on debt. The total cost of capital should be a weighted average of the cost of debt together with the maximum eight to ten percent shareholder return on investment.

Supra Telecom's Position On Issue 7(d): No consideration should be given for taxes since taxes on gross receipts (i.e. excise and sales taxes) are the responsibility of the ALECs and not the ILECs, and taxes on income not related to the UNEs and are already factored into the cost of capital.

<u>Issue 9(b)</u>: Subject to the standards of the FCC's Third Report and Order, should the Commission require ILECs to unbundle any other element or combinations of elements? If so, what are they and how should they be priced?

Supra Telecom's Position On Issue 9(b): Yes, ILECs should be required to provide unbundled DSLAM access, access to Dark Fiber on a demultiplexed and shared basis (not only time-division but chromatic-division as well). To the extent an ILEC seeks to charge more for standard POTS loops than xDSL capable loops, xDSL loops wherein the xDSL capability is irrelevant and not guaranteed.

<u>Issue 13</u>: When should the recurring and non-recurring rates and charges take effect?

<u>Supra Telecom's Position On Issue 13</u>: Immediately after the Commission has made a final determination of the rates set by this docket.

E. STIPULATIONS

No stipulations of issues exist other than the ones previously jointly filed in these proceedings and otherwise already adopted by this Commission.

F. PENDING MOTIONS

Supra Telecom's <u>Motion For Leave To File Supra Telecom's Rebuttal Testimonies One Day</u>

<u>Late.</u>

G. OTHER MATTERS AND OR REQUIREMENTS

None at this time.

WHEREFORE SUPRA TELECOMMUNICATIONS & INFORMATION SYSTEMS,

INC. hereby submits this its Prehearing Statement.

Respectfully submitted, this 26th day of June, 2000.

MARK BUECHELE, ESQ.
SUPRA TELECOMMUNICATIONS &
INFORMATION SYSTEMS, INC.
1311 Executive Center Drive, Suite 200
Tallahassee, Florida 32311
(305) 531-5286

Mark Buechele/QAS

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE Docket No. 990649-TP

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been furnished by U.S. Mail to the following parties of record this 26th day of June, 2000.

ALLTEL Communications Services, Inc. One Allied Drive Little Rock, AR 72203-2177

AT&T Communications of the Southern States, Inc. 101 North Monroe Street, Suite 700 Tallahassee, FL 32301-1549

Ausley Law Firm Mr. Jeffrey Wahlen. P.O. Box 391 Tallahassee, FL 32302

Ms. Nancy Sims BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. 150 South Monroe Street, Suite 400 Tallahassee, Florida 32301-1556

BlueStar Networks, Inc. Norton Cutler/Michael Bressman 401 Church Street, 24th Floor Nashville, TN 37210

Blumenfeld & Cohen Elise Kiely/Jeffrey Blumenfeld 1625 Massachusetts Ave, NW Suite 300 Washington, DC 20036

Covad Communications Company Christopher V. Goodpaster 9600 Great Hills Trail, Suite 150 W Austin, TX 78759

e.spire Communications James Falvey 133 National Business Pkwy, Ste.200 Annapolis Junction, MD 20701 Florida Competitive Carriers Assoc. C/o McWhirter Law Firm Joseph McGlothin/Vicki Kaufman 117 S. Gadsen St. Tallahassee, FL 32301

Florida Digital Network, Inc. 309 North Orange Ave., Suite 2000 Orlando, FL 32801

Florida Public Telecommunications Association Angela Green, General Counsel 125 S. Gadsden St., #200 Tallahassee, Florida 32301

Mr. Micheal A. Gross Florida Cable Telecom Assoc., Inc. 310 N. Monroe St. Tallahassee, FL 32301

Global NAPS, Inc. 10 Merrymount Road Quincy, MA 02169

GTE Florida Incorporated Ms. Kimberly Caswell P.O. Box 110, FLTC0007 Tampa, FL 33601-0110

Holland Law Firm Mr. Bruce May P.O. Drawer 810 Tallahassee, FL 32302

Hopping Law Firm Richard Melson/Gabriel E. Nieto P.O. Box 6526 Tallahassee, FL 32302 Intermedia Communications, Inc. Mr. Scott Sappersteinn 3625 Queen Palm Drive Tampa, FL 33619-1309

Kelley Law Firm Jonathan Canis/Michael Hazzard 1200 19th St. NW, Fifth Floor Washington, DC 20036

KMC Telecom, Inc. Mr. John McLaughlin Suite 170 3025 Breckinridge Blvd. Duluth, GA 30096

MCI WorldCom Ms. Donna C. McNulty 325 John Knox Road, Suite 105 Tallahassee, FL 32303-4131

MCI WorldCom Mr. Brian Sulmonetti Concourse Corporate Center Six Six Concourse Parkway, Suite 3200 Atlanta, GA 30328

McWhirter Law Firm Ms. Vicki Kaufman 117 S. Gadsen St. Tallahassee, FL 32301

MediaOne Florida
Telecommunications, Inc.
c/o Laura L. Gallagher, P.A.
101 E. College Ave, Suite 302
Tallahassee, FL 32301

Messer Law Firm Mr. Norman Horton, Jr. P.O. Box 1876 Tallahassee, FL 32302 Moyle Law Firm Jon Moyle/Cathy Sellers The Perkins House 118 North Gadsden Street Tallahassee, FL 32301

Network Access Solutions Corp. 100 Carpenter Drive, Suite 206 Sterling, VA 20164

NorthPoint Communications, Inc. Glenn Harris, Esq. 222 Sutter Street, 7th Floor San Francisco, CA 94108

Office of Public Counsel Mr. Stephen C. Reilly c/o The Florida Legislature 111 W. Madison Street, Room 812 Tallahassee, FL 32399-1400

Pennington Law Firm
Peter M. Dunbar/Marc W. Dunbar
P.O. Box 10095
Tallahassee, FL 32302

Shook, Hardy & Bacon LLP Mr. Rodney L. Joyce 600 14th Street, NW, Suite 800 Washington, DC 20005-2004

Sprint Communications Company Limited Partnership 3100 Cumberland Circle Mailstop GAATLN0802 Atlanta, GA 30339

Sprint-Florida, Incorporated Mr. Charles J. Rehwinkel P.O. Box 2214 Tallahassee, FL 32316-2214

Swidler & Berlin Law Firm Eric J. Branfman/Morton Posner 3000 K Street, NW, #300 Washington, DC 20007-5116

Time Warner AxS of Florida, L.P Ms. Carolyn Marek 233 Bramerton Court Franklin, TN 37069

Wiggins Law Firm Mr. Charles J. Pellegrini P.O. Drawer 1657 Tallahassee, FL 32302

Z-Tel Communications, Inc. Mr. George S. Ford 601 S. Harbour Island Blvd. Tampa, FL 33602-5706

Mark Buchele

General Counsel

Supra Telecom

1311 Executive Dr., Suite 200 Tallahassee, Florida 32311

(305) 531-5286