

State of Florida



Public Service Commission

CAPITAL CIRCLE OFFICE CENTER • 2540 SHUMARD OAK BOULEVARD
TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32399-0840

-M-E-M-O-R-A-N-D-U-M-

RECEIVED FPSC
AUG - 3 AM 11:28
RECORDS AND REPORTING

DATE: AUGUST 3, 2000

TO: DIRECTOR, DIVISION OF RECORDS AND REPORTING (BAYO)

FROM: DIVISION OF REGULATORY OVERSIGHT (MCCOY) *JB fa JRM*
DIVISION OF LEGAL SERVICES (BANKS) *FRB SK SP GMD*

RE: DOCKET NO. 000499-TI - APPLICATION FOR CERTIFICATE TO PROVIDE INTEREXCHANGE TELECOMMUNICATIONS SERVICE BY VCOM.COM CORPORATION.

AGENDA: AUGUST 15, 2000 - REGULAR AGENDA - PROPOSED AGENCY ACTION - INTERESTED PERSONS MAY PARTICIPATE

CRITICAL DATES: NONE

SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS: NONE

FILE NAME AND LOCATION: S:\PSC\LEG\WP\000499.RCM

CASE BACKGROUND

On April 25, 2000, VCOM.COM Corporation filed an application for authority to provide interexchange telecommunications service (IXC) in the State of Florida. At the July 11, 2000 Agenda Conference, the Commission approved staff's recommendation. However, due to a scrivener's error, staff's recommendation for this docket was inadvertently listed in staff's recommendation for applications to provide alternative local exchange telecommunications service.

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

09413 AUG-38

FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

DOCKET NOS. 000499-TI
DATE: AUGUST 3, 2000

DISCUSSION OF ISSUES

ISSUE 1: Should the Commission rescind its approval of an ALEC certificate for VCOM.COM Corporation, and approve VCOM.COM's application for an certificate to provide interexchange telecommunications services?

RECOMMENDATION: Yes. The Commission should rescind its approval of an ALEC certificate for VCOM.COM Corporation and approve the company's application for a certificate to provide interexchange telecommunications services. **(MCCOY)**

STAFF ANALYSIS: At the July 11, 2000 Agenda Conference, the Commission approved staff's recommendation. However, due to a scrivener's error, staff's recommendation for this docket was inadvertently listed in staff's recommendation for applications to provide alternative local exchange telecommunications service.

ISSUE 2: Should this docket be closed?

RECOMMENDATION: Yes. Whether the Commission approves or denies staff's recommendation in Issue 1, this docket should be closed upon issuance of a Consummating Order, unless a person whose substantial interests are affected by the Commission proposed action files a protest within 21 days of the issuance of the proposed agency action order. **(BANKS)**

STAFF ANALYSIS: Whether the Commission approves or denies staff's recommendation in Issue 1, this docket should be closed upon issuance of a Consummating Order, unless a person whose substantial interests are affected by the Commission proposed action files a protest within 21 days of the issuance of the proposed agency action order.