850.444.6111



August 18, 2000

Ms. Blanca S. Bayo, Director Division of Records and Reporting Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee FL 32399-0870

Dear Ms. Bayo:

Enclosed for official filing in Docket No. 000001-El are an original and ten copies of the following:

- 1. Prepared direct testimony and exhibit of M. F. Oaks. 10212-00
- 2. Prepared direct testimony of M. W. Howell. [D2/3-00]
- 3. Prepared direct testimony and exhibit of T. A. Davis. 10214-00

Sincerely,

Susan D. Ritenour

Assistant Secretary and Assistant Treasurer

wan & Ritenour

lw

APP ____Enclosures

COM 3 CC: Beggs and Lane CTR Jeffrev A.

Jeffrey A. Stone, Esquire

DPC PAI

ECR

RGO Handver SEC Johnson

OTH

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

IN RE: Fuel and Purchased Power Cost)	
Recovery Clause with Generating)	
Performance Incentive Factor)	Docket No. 000001-EI
)	

Certificate of Service

Wm. Cochran Keating, Esquire FL Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee FL 32399-0863

John Roger Howe, Esquire Office of Public Counsel 111 W. Madison St., Suite 812 Tallahassee FL 32399-1400

James McGee, Esquire Florida Power Corporation P. O. Box 14042 St. Petersburg FL 33733-4042

Matthew M. Childs, Esquire Steel, Hector & Davis 215 South Monroe, Suite 601 Tallahassee FL 32301-1804

Norman H. Horton, Jr., Esquire Messer, Caparello & Self, P.A. P. O. Box 1876 Tallahassee FL 32302-1876 Vicki G. Kaufman, Esq. McWhirter Reeves 117 S. Gadsden Street Tallahassee FL 32301

Lee L. Willis, Esquire James D. Beasley, Esquire Ausley & McMullen P. O. Box 391 Tallahassee FL 32302

John W. McWhirter, Jr., Esq. McWhirter Reeves P. O. Box 3350 Tampa FL 33601-3350

JEFFREY A. STONE
Florida Bar No. 325953
RUSSELL A. BADDERS
Florida Bar No. 0007455
BEGGS & LANE
P. O. Box 12950
Pensacola FL 32576
(850) 432-2451

Attorneys for Gulf Power Company

ORIGINAL

GULF POWER COMPANY

Before the Florida Public Service Commission

Prepared Direct Testimony of

Michael F. Oaks

Docket No. 000001-EI

Date of Filing: August 21, 2000



DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

	1		GULF POWER COMPANY
-	2		Before the Florida Public Service Commission
-	2		Prepared Direct Testimony and Exhibit of
	3		Michael F. Oaks Docket No. 000001-El
-	4		Date of Filing: August 21, 2000
-	5	Q.	Please state your name and business address.
	6	A.	My name is Michael F. Oaks and my business address is One Energy
-	7		Place, Pensacola, Florida 32520-0328.
_	8		
-	9	Q.	What is your occupation?
	10	Α.	I am the Fuel Manager for Gulf Power Company.
	11		
-	12	Q.	Mr. Oaks, will you please describe your education and experience?
	13	A.	I graduated from Belhaven College in Jackson, Mississippi, in 1977 with a
-	14		Bachelor of Science Degree in Chemistry. I joined Gulf Power Company
-	15		in 1977 as a Chemist. Since then, I have held various positions with the
	16		Company, including Water Chemistry Specialist, Water Quality Specialist,
-	17		Environmental Affairs Specialist, Environmental Audit Administrator, and
	18		Compliance Administrator. I was promoted to my present position in May
•	19		1996.
	20		
	21	Q.	What are your duties as Fuel Manager?
•	22	A.	I supervise and administer the Company's fuel procurement,
	23		transportation, budgeting, contract administration, and quality control to
•	24		ensure the generating plants are provided a high quality fuel supply at the
_	25		lowest practical cost.

- 1 Q. Mr. Oaks, have you previously testified before this Commission?
- 2 A. Yes. I have presented testimony to the Commission previously in the fuel docket.

5 Q. Mr. Oaks, what is the purpose of your testimony in this docket?

A. The purpose of my testimony is to compare projected fuel expenses with estimated/actual costs for the January through December 2000 recovery period and to summarize any noteworthy developments in Gulf Power Company's fuel program. Also, it is my intent to be available to answer questions that may arise in this docket concerning Gulf Power Company's fuel expenses.

During the period January 2000 through December 2000, how will Gulf's estimated/actual recoverable fuel expenses compare with the original projection of expenses?

Α. Gulf's expected recoverable fuel expense for the period is now 16 17 \$204,243,615 or 3.71% more than the original projected amount of \$196,934,163. Total net system generation for the period is expected to 18 be 12,397,155 MWH compared to a projection of 12,271,910 MWH or 19 20 1.02% more than originally forecast. The resulting total fuel cost per KWH generated will be 1.6475¢/KWH or 2.66% higher than the projected cost 21 of 1.6048¢/KWH. The increase can be primarily attributed to a fuel 22 market higher than projected, as well as significantly greater demand for 23 Gulf's gas-fired peaking generation. 24

25

4

12

- 1 Q. How did the total projected cost of coal compare with the actual cost during the first seven months of 2000?
- The total cost of coal purchased was 5.28% lower than projected, Α. 3 because less coal was purchased during the period (see schedule A-5). 4 The total actual cost of coal purchased was \$108,879,960 compared to a 5 projected cost of \$114,952,528. The total actual cost of coal burned was 6 \$111.277.394 compared to a projected cost of \$109,040,117, or 2.05% 7 higher than projected. An inflated world petroleum market resulted in 8 higher transportation costs which contributed to the increase. Most of 9 Gulf's transportation contracts are long term agreements with quarterly 10 adjustments for variable components such as transportation fuel. 11

13 Q. How did the total projected cost of natural gas compare with the actual 14 cost during the first seven months of 2000?

Gulf purchased 1,799,300 MCF during the period, almost four times the projected amount of 470,393 MCF. Consequently, the total cost of gas purchased was much higher than projected. For the period, the total actual cost of gas purchased was \$6,078,933 compared to a projected cost of \$1,275,483. The average price of gas purchased and burned was considerably higher than projected due to low storage levels, extremely hot weather and high gas demand nationwide. However, the effect of this adverse market was mitigated somewhat for Gulf's customers through the use of forward purchases, gas storage and the procurement of discounted transportation. The average cost of natural gas burned during the period was \$3.35/MCF. The same quantity of gas procured on the spot market

12

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Α.

1		(weighted average of Gas Daily Index price plus normal pipeline tariff rate
2		multiplied by the daily gas volume divided by total gas volume) would
3		have cost the customer \$3.91/MCF. The sum of the daily savings to the
4		customer would total \$1,014,379.
5		
6	Q.	Are there other significant developments in Gulf's fuel procurement
7		program for the 2000 recovery period?
8	A.	Yes.
9		1. As discussed in previous testimony, a firm supply of higher
10		quality coal is being sought to replace Decker Powder River
11		Basin (PRB) coal at Plant Daniel. Because the Decker coal
12		cannot be burned alone without significant capacity reductions
13		and is not suitable for blending without severe operational
14		consequences, part of the replacement strategy is to buy out of
15		the tons remaining under the Decker contract (Gulf's portion is
16		only 312,000 tons). The Decker coal will be replaced with a
17		high Btu western coal and a different PRB coal that can be
18		successfully blended and burned without a capacity reduction.
19		Although agreement in principle has been reached with Decker
20		on the buyout, a final contract has not been executed as of the
21		date of this filing.
22		

23

24

25

2. Gulf seeks to procure a firm supply of natural gas for its peaking

units through the use of forward contracts, spot purchases,

storage, and interruptible transportation. Occasionally, the

	ì		procured gas is not needed for generation as expected and
	2		cannot or should not be placed into storage, as dictated by
	3		prudent management practices. In such cases, it is then resold
_	4		into the market. During the period, January through July, 2000,
	5		gains and losses on such resales resulted in a net gain to the
_	6		customer of \$154,376.
	7		
	8	Q.	Should Gulf's fuel purchases for the period be accepted as reasonable
-	9		and prudent?
	10	A.	Yes. Gulf's coal purchases were either from long term contracts or the
-	11		competitive spot market. Coal vendors are selected by procedures
	12		designed to assure a deliverable quantity of high quality coal for a
_	13		specific term at the lowest available delivered cost. Gulf has administered
_	14		the provisions of its contracts and purchase orders appropriately. Natural
	15		gas was purchased utilizing forward physical contracts and from the spot
-	16		market on an as-needed basis or purchased and placed into storage to
	17		ensure a reliable supply. All of Gulf's oil purchases were from oil vendors
	18		selected by open bids to ensure the most economical price of oil.
_	19		
	20	Q.	Mr. Oaks, does this conclude your testimony?
<u> </u>	21	A.	Yes.
	22		
-	23		
_	24		

25

AFFIDAVIT

STATE OF FLORIDA)	Docket No. 000001-El
)	
COUNTY OF ESCAMBIA)	

Before me the undersigned authority, personally appeared Michael F. Oaks, who being first duly sworn, deposes, and says that he is the Fuel Manager at Gulf Power Company, a Maine corporation, and that the foregoing is true and correct to the best of his knowledge, information, and belief. He is personally known to me.

Michael F. Oaks

Fuel Manager - Gulf Power Company

Sworn to and subscribed before me this 18th day of August, 2000.

Notary Public, State of Florida at Large

In Wille

LINDA C. WEBB Notary Public-State of FL Comm. Exp: May 31, 2002 Comm. No: CC 725959