MEMORAN<u>DUM</u>

August 23, 2000

TO:

CHAIRMAN J. TERRY DEASON

FROM:

DIVISION OF LEGAL SERVICES (ELIAS, ISAAC)

DIVISION OF SAFETY AND ELECTRIC RELIABILITY (HARLOW) 73

RE:

WITHDRAWAL OF RECOMMENDATION FOR DOCKET 000442-EI PETITION FOR DETERMINATION OF NEED FOR THE OSPREY ENERGY

CENTER BY CALPINE CONSTRUCTION FINANCE COMPANY, L.P.

Staff requests a withdrawal of the recommendation filed on August 3, 2000, which was deferred at the August 15, 2000 Agenda to the upcoming August 29, 2000 Agenda. Staff's request is based on a letter received August 23, 2000, from Calpine Construction Finance Company, L.P. (Calpine) that offers to move the hearing date to November 29, 30, and December 1, 2000 to accommodate staff's concerns.

Staff believes that rescheduling the hearing will allow time for Calpine to file documentation of energy output commitments to retail utilities and provide time for the Florida Supreme Court to act with regards to the Duke-New Smyrna case. Furthermore, staff believes that the calender can accommodate this request. necessary, staff will bring this item back to agenda on November 7, Recommend approved. 2000.

Attachment

RNI

cc:

Commissioner Jacobs Commissioner Jaber

Bill Talbott, Executive Director Mary Bane, Deputy Executive Director

Cathy Bedell, General Counsel

Noreen Davis, Director of Legal Services

Joe Jenkins, Director of Division of Safety & Electric

Reliability

Roland Floyd, Division of Safety & Electric Reliability Tom Ballinger, Division of Safety & Electric Reliability

APP CAF CMP COM CTR ECR LEG PAL

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

10645 AUG 298

FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

LANDERS & PARSONS, P.A.

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

DAVID S. DEE DIANE K. KIESLING JOSEPH W. LANDERS. JR. JOHN T. LAVIA, III FRED A. McCORMACK PHILIP S. PARSONS LESLIE J. PAUGH ROBERT SCHEFFEL WRIGHT

VICTORIA J. TSCHINKEL

SENIOR CONSULT/.NT INOT A MEMBER OF THE FLORIDA BARR August 23, 2000

Catherine Bedell, Esquire General Counsel Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850

2000 PLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION GENERAL COUNSEL'S OFFICE

MAILING ADDRESS:

POST OFFICE BOX 271

TALLAHASSEE, FL 32302-0271

310 WEST COLLEGE AVENUE

TALLAHASSEE, FL 32301

FPSC Docket No. 999442-EI, Calpine Construction Finance Company's Petition for Determination of Need for the Osprey Energy Center

Dear Ms. Bedell:

As you know, my firm represents Calpine Construction Finance Company, L.P. ("Calpine"), the petitioner and primary applicant for the Commission's determination of need for the Osprey Energy Center, a 529 MW natural gas-fired, combined cycle electrical power plant to be located in Auburndale, Polk County, Florida. Calpine has been proceeding and conducting itself so as to allow the hearings presently scheduled for October 18-20 to go forward as scheduled. Accordingly, Calpine filed the testimony and exhibits of its seven witnesses on August 18 and 21, 2000, and Calpine filed its Revised Exhibits on August 22, 2000.

I have read the Staff's recommendation regarding the need determination case for the Osprey Project. Let me reiterate that Calpine stands fully ready to proceed as scheduled to the hearings on October 18-20. However, in order to accommodate and address the Staff's concerns in their recommendation, Calpine would offer that, if the Commission's calendar would accommodate rescheduling the hearing dates to late November, Calpine would not oppose such rescheduling. Based on preliminary research and discussions with staff of the Florida Department of Environmental Protection, we believe that this modest rescheduling would not affect the planned in-service date for the Osprey Project, and accordingly, would not delay the realization of the Project's benefits for Florida's retail-serving utilities and their customers. As evidenced by the attached letters, there is strong support from several Florida utilities for Calpine's approach to permitting and committing the Osprey Project's output to serve the wholesale needs of Florida retail-serving utilities.

Cathemine Bedell, Esquire Re: FPSC Docket No. 000442-EI August 23, 2000 Page 2

If you have any questions, please give me a call.

Cordially yours,

obert Scheffel Wrig

Attachments

and the



Frederick M. Bryant General Counsel P.O. Box 3209
Tallahassee, Florida 32315-3209
2010 Delta Boulevard
Tallahassee, Florida 32303
(850) 297-2011 Fax (850) 297-2014
1 877 297-2012

August 11, 2000

BY HAND DELIVERY

Mr. Joseph D. Jenkins, Director FPSC Division of Reliability and Safety 225 Gunter Building 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee FL 32399

Re: FPSC Docket No. 000442-EI / Osprey Energy Center

Need Determination

Dear Joe:

I am disturbed by your staff's recommendation in the above-referenced docket concerning the need determination filing for the Osprey Energy Center. I am well aware of the Supreme Court ruling in the case of Tampa Electric v. Garcia, dealing with the Duke-New Smyrna Beach need application, and I am disappointed with the Court's ruling. Never heless, I appreciate the fact that the Commission must deal with this Supreme Court ruling when reviewing a petition for determination of need dealing with a merchant power plant such as the proposed Osprey Energy Center to be built by Calpine.

However, I believe there are alternatives available to the Commission other than holding the need determination docket in abeyance. Florida Municipal Power Agency ("FMPA") has had several discussions with Calpine relating to purchasing power from the proposed facility. As you are aware, there is a scarcity of new power supply resources in the State of Florida, and FMPA is heavily dependent on a robust wholesale power supply market in order to serve its customers' power supply needs. We believe that the Commission should do everything it can to encourage a robust wholesale power supply market. I fear that the staff's recommendation in this docket may be a step backwards.

I would propose the Commission staff consider recommending that the need determination proceed forward for the Osprey Energy Center and, after the need hearing, if the Commission finds that all other need determination factors have been met by Calpine, the Commission could simply condition the issuance of the need certificate on Calpine finalizing sufficient wholesale power supply contracts for the output of the proposed Osprey Energy Center. One of the factors FMPA evaluates in a wholesale power supply proposal is the PSC need determination status; thus, the greater the uncertainty, the less attractive the proposal.

LORIDA MUNICIPAL POWER AGENCY

Mr. Joseph D. Jenkins, Director FPSC Division of Reliability and Safety August 11, 2000 Page 2

I apologize for sticking my nose into this controversy, but I believe that there are procedural alternatives the Commission can utilize and still meet the holding of the Tampa Electric v. Garcia Supreme Court case.

Sincerely,

FMB / ell

Frederick M. Bryant

cc: Robert V. Elias, Esquire

Chief, Bureau of Electric and Gas FPSC Division of Legal Services

Robert Scheffel Wright, Esquire LANDERS & PARSONS, P.A.

P-S-C / JERKINS . 001

O Utilities Commission
O South Orange Arenue
P.O. Box 3193
Orlando, Florida 32802
Phone: 407.423.9100
Administrative Fax: 407.236.9616
Purchasing Fax: 407.334.4241

Website: www.puc.or mi





August 10, 2000

Joseph D. Jenkins, Director Divis on of Safety & Electric Reliability Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Talla rassee, Florida 32399-0850

RE:

FPSC DOCKET NO. 000442-EI, STAFF'S RECOMMENDATION TO HOLD THE OSPREY ENERGY CENTER NEED DETERMINATION PROCEEDING IN ABEYANCE

Dear Mr. Jenkins:

As Vice President of the Power Resources Business Unit for the Orlando Utilities Commission (OUC), I am responsible for OUC's power supply planning and produrement activities.

Planning for the future supply and procurement of firm electric energy is of paramount importance for OUC to maintain low rates for its customers, especially in a deregulated environment. We feel it is in the best interest of our retail customers to provide for an environment that supports diversity of generation resources and efficiencies that exist in a competitive marketolage.

In an effort to diversify OUC's options and maintain low rates for our customers, OUC has had meetings with representatives of Calpine to discuss the potential for OUC to purchase firm electric generating capacity and energy from Calpine's proposed Osprey Energy, Center. More recently, Calpine has responded to OUC's market solicitation. OUC would like to consider Calpine's proposal and possibly enter into a formal agreement with Calpine to meet a portion of its power supply portfolio requirements. However, a potential hold on the Osprey Energy Center Need Determination application process may eliminate a viable option OUC currently has under consideration.

OUC appreciates the work of the Florida Public Service Commission and its support of our needs in the past. We look forward to maintaining this working relationship, and if we may be of any assistance in your deliberation of these matters, please feel free to contact us.

Very truly yours,

Frederick F. Haddad, Jr.

Vice President, Power Resources

Providing innovative, friendly, dozendable service.



DSINCTADMINETRAÇÃ Thomas M. Mases

A Libst 18, 2000

Joseph D. Jenkins, Director
Division of Reliability and Safety
Florida Public Service Commission
2:40 Shumard Oak Boulevard
Tillahassee, Florida 32399-0850

Ra: FPSC Docket No. 000442-E1, Staff's Recommendation to hold The Osprey Energy Center Need Determination Proceeding in Abeyance

Dear Mr. Jenkins:

I am Thomas M. Meses, District Administrator for Reedy Creek Improvement District (RCID). Among a her things, I have ultimate responsibility for RCID's power supply planning and procurement activities. Today I read with some disappointment the Commission Staff's recommendation to hold the need determination proceeding for the Osprey Energy Center in abeyance, and I write to you to ask that you and your Staff reconsider that recommendation.

Over the past several weeks, RCID representatives have had meetings with representatives of Calpine to discuss the potential for RCID to purchase firm electric generating capacity and energy from Calpine's proposed Cisprey Energy Center. Calpine has tendered to RCID a term sheet, and RCID and Calpine are, at the present time, artively negotiating the pricing, quantity, duration, and other material terms of a power purchase arrangement that viculd be cost-effective and beneficial to RCID, and the retail customers we serve. If these negotiations are successful, our goal would be to present the definitive terms of the transaction to the Commission in time for full and appropriate consideration at the hearings for the Osprey plant, which are presently scheduled for October 18-20.

It is in the best interests of RCID, and our retail customers for the Commission to allow Calpine to proceed with the Osprey need determination process as expeditiously as possible. As planning to meet our needs is a long-term process, any delay in the Osprey need determination process resulting in a delay in the commercial operation of the plant beyond summer of 2003, could have a direct detrimental impact on RCID's planning processes and on our ability to obtain needed, cost-effective power supplies in a timely manner. Accordingly, I write to you to suggest that the Staff should withdraw its recommendation to hold the Osprey need determination case in abeyonce.

Thank you very much for considering our request and the interests of the customers we serve. If you have any questions, please give me a call.

Momas M. Moses