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Q. Please state your name, business address and occupation.
A. My name is Susan Ritenour. My business address is One Energy Place, Pensacola, Florida 32520-0780. I hold the position of Assistant Secretary and Assistant Treasurer for Gulf Power Company.
Q. Please briefly describe your eđucational background and business experience.
A. I graduated from Wake Forest University in Winston-Salem, North Carolina in 1981 with a Bachelor of Science Degree in Business and from the University of West Florida in 1982 with a Bachelor of Arts Degree in Accounting. I am also a Certified Public Accountant licensed in the State of Florida. I joined Gulf Power Company in 1983 as a Financial Analyst. Prior to assuming my current position, I have held various positions with Gulf including Computer Modeling Analyst, Senior Financial Analyst, and Supervisor of Rate Services.

My responsibilities include supervision of: tariff administration, cost of service activities, calculation of cost recovery factors, the regulatory filing function of the Rates and Regulatory Matters Department, and various treasury activities.
Q. Have you previously filed testimony before this Commission in connection with Gulf's Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC)?
A. Yes, I have.
Q. What is the purpose of your testimony?
A. The purpose of my testimony is to present both the calculation of the revenue requirements and the development of the environmental cost recovery factors for the period of January 2001 through December 2001.
Q. Have you prepared an exhibit that contains information to which you will refer in your testimony?
A. Yes, I have. My exhibit consists of 7 schedules, each of which were prepared under my direction, supervision, or review.

Counsel: We ask that Ms. Ritenour's Exhibit consisting of 7 schedules be marked as Exhibit No. $\qquad$ (SDR-3).
Q. What environmental costs is Gulf requesting for recovery through the Environmental Cost Recovery Clause?
A. As discussed in the testimony of J. O. Vick, Gulf is requesting recovery for certain environmental compliance operating expenses and capital costs that are consistent with both the decision of the Commission in Docket No. 930613-EI and with past proceedings in this ongoing recovery docket. The costs we have identified for recovery through the ECRC are not currently being recovered through base rates or any other recovery mechanism.
Q. How was the amount of projected $O \& M$ expenses to be recovered through the ECRC calculated?
A. Mr. Vick has provided me with projected recoverable O \& M expenses for January 2001 through December 2001. Schedule 2 P of my exhibit shows the calculation of the recoverable $0 \& M$ expenses broken down between the demand-related and energy-related expenses. Also, Schedule 2P provides the appropriate jurisdictional
factors and amounts related to these expenses. All O \& M expenses associated with compliance with the Clean Air Act Amendments of 1990 were considered to be energy-related, consistent with Commission Order No. PSC-94-0044-FOF-EI. The remaining expenses were broken down between demand and energy consistent with Gulf's last approved cost-of-service methodology in Docket No. 891345-EI.
Q. Please describe Schedules $3 P$ and $4 P$ of your exhibit. A. Schedule $3 P$ summarizes the monthly recoverable revenue requirements associated with each capital investment for the recovery period. Schedule $4 P$ shows the detailed calculation of the revenue requirements associated with each investment. These schedules also include the calculation of the jurisdictional amount of recoverable revenue requirements. Mr. Vick has provided me with the expenditures, clearings, retirements, salvage, and cost of removal related to each capital project and the monthly costs for emission allowances. From that information, I calculated Plant-in-Service and Construction Work In Progress-Non Interest Bearing (CWIP-NIB). Depreciation and dismantlement expense and the associated accumulated depreciation balances were
calculated based on Gulf's approved depreciation rates and dismantlement accruals. The capital projects identified for recovery through the ECRC are those environmental projects which are not included in the approved projected 1990 test year on which present base rates were set.
Q. How was the amount of Property Taxes to be recovered through the ECRC derived?
A. Property taxes were calculated by applying the applicable tax rate to taxable investment. In Florida, pollution control facilities are taxed based only on their salvage value. For the recoverable environmental investment located in Florida, the amount of property taxes is estimated to be $\$ 0$. In Mississippi, there is no such reduction in property taxes for pollution control facilities. Therefore, property taxes related to recoverable environmental investment at Plant Daniel are calculated by applying the applicable millage rate to the assessed value of the property.
Q. What capital structure and return on equity were used to develop the rate of return used to calculate the revenue requirements?
A. The rate of return used is based on Gulf's capital structure as approved in Gulf's last rate case, Docket No. 891345-EI, Order No. 23573, dated October 3, 1990. This rate of return incorporates a return on equity of $11.5 \%$ as approved by Commission Order No. PSC-99-1970-PAA-EI, dated October 8, 1999. This methodology for determining the appropriate rate of return for use in calcuiating revenue requirements in the ECRC was approved by the Commission in Order No. PSC-94-0044-FOF-EI dated January 12, 1994 in Docket No. 930613-EI.
Q. How was the breakdown between demand-related and energy-related investment costs determined?
A. The investment-related costs associated with compliance with the Clean Air Act Amendments of 1990 (CAAA) were considered to be energy-related, Consistent with Commission Order No. PSC-94-0044-FOFEI, dated January 12, 1994 in Docket No. 930613-EI. The remaining investment-related costs of environmental compliance not associated with the CAAA were allocated $12 / 13$ th based on demand and $1 / 13$ th based on energy, consistent with Gulf's last cost-ofservice study. The calculation of this breakdown is shown on Schedule 4 P and summarized on Schedule 3 P .
Q. What is the total amount of projected recoverable costs related to the period January 2001 through December 2001?
A. The total projected jurisdictional recoverable costs for the period January 2001 through December 2001 are $\$ 10,759,038$ as shown on line 1c of Schedule 1P. This includes costs related to $O \& M$ activities of $\$ 2,804,273$ and costs related to capital projects of $\$ 7,954,765$ as shown on lines 1 a and 1 b of Schedule 1 P .
Q. What is the total recoverable revenue requirement and how was it allocated to each rate class?
A. The total recoverable revenue requirement including revenue taxes is $\$ 9,087,766$ for the period January 2001 through December 2001 as shown on line 5 of Schedule 1P. This amount includes the recoverable costs related to the projection period and the total true-up cost to be refunded. Schedule 1 P also summarizes the energy and demand components of the requested revenue requirement. I allocated these amounts to rate class using the appropriate energy and demand allocators as shown on Schedules $6 P$ and $7 P$.
Q. How were the allocation factors calculated for use in the Environmental Cost Recovery Clause?
A. The demand allocation factors used in the ECRC were calculated using the 1999 load data filed with the Commission in accordance with FPSC Rule 25-6.0437. The energy allocation factors were calculated based on projected kWH sales for the period adjusted for losses. The calculation of the allocation factors for the period is shown in columns 1 through 9 on Schedule 6P.
Q. How were these factors applied to allocate the requested recovery amount properly to the rate classes?
A. As I described earlier in my testimony, Schedule 1P summarizes the energy and demand portions of the total requested revenue requirement. The energy-related recoverable revenue requirement of $\$ 6,079,161$ for the period January 2001 through December 2001 was allocated using the energy allocator, as shown in column 3 on Schedule 7P. The demand-related recoverable revenue requirement of $\$ 3,008,605$ for the period January 2001 through December 2001 was allocated using the demand allocator, as shown in column 4 on Schedule 7P. The energy-related and demand-related recoverable revenue requirements are added together to derive the total amount assigned to

each rate class, as shown in column 5.
Q. What is the monthly amount related to environmental costs recovered through this factor that will be included on a residential customer's bill for 1,000 kwh?
A. The environmental costs recovered through the clause from the residential customer who uses $1,000 \mathrm{kwh}$ will be $\$ 0.96$ monthly for the period January 2001 through December 2001.
Q. When does Gulf propose to collect its environmental cost recovery charges?
A. The factors will be effective beginning with the first Bill Group for January 2001 and continuing through the last Bill Group for December 2001.
Q. Ms. Ritenour, does this conclude your testimony?
A. Yes, it does.

## AFFIDAVIT

STATE OF FLORIDA )<br>Docket No. 000007-El COUNTY OF ESCAMBIA )

Before me the undersigned authority, personally appeared Susan D. Ritenour, who being first duly sworn, deposes, and says that she is the Assistant Secretary and Assistant Treasurer of Gulf Power Company, a Maine corporation, that the foregoing is true and correct to the best of her knowledge, information, and belief. She is personally known to me.


Sworn to and subscribed before me this 2/st day of September, 2000.

Notary Public, State of Florida at Large

LINDA C. WEBB

## Gulf Power Company

## Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC)

Total Jurisdictional Amount to be Recovered

## For the Projected Period

January 2001 - December 2001

| Line <br> No. |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Energy } \\ (\$) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Demand } \\ (\$) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Total $(\$)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 Total Jurisdictional Rev. Req. for the projected period |  |  |  |  |
|  | a Projected O \& M Activities (Schedule 2P, Lines 7, 8 \& 9) | 1,461,898 | 1,342,375 | 2,804,273 |
|  | b Projected Capital Projects (Schedule 3P, Lines 7, 8 \& 9) | 5,645,238 | 2,309,527 | 7,954,765 |
|  | c Total Jurisdictional Rev. Req. for the projected period (Lines 1a +1 b) | 7,107,136 | 3,651,902 | 10,759,038 |
| 2 | True-Up for Estimated Over/(Under) Recovery for the period January 2000 - December 2000 |  |  |  |
|  | (Schedule 1E, Line 4) | 764,282 | 508,248 | 1,272,530 |
| 3 | Final True-Up for the period January 1999 - December 1999 (Schedule 1A, Line 3) | 359,251 | 182,341 | 541,592 |
| 4 | Total Jurisdictional Amount to be Recovered/(Refunded) in the projection period January 2001 - December 2001 (Line 1c-Line 2 -Line 3) | 5,983,603 | 2,961,313 | 8,944,916 |
| 5 | Total Projected Jurisdictional Amount Adjusted for Taxes (Line $4 \times$ Revenue Tax Multiplier) | 6,079,161 | 3,008,605 | 9,087,766 |

Notes:
Allocation to energy and demand in each period are in proportion to the respective period split of costs indicated on Lines $7 \& 8$ of Schedules 5E \& 7E and 5A \& 7A.


Notes:
(A) Line $3 \times$ Line $5 \times 1.0014$ line loss multiplier
(B) Line $4 \times$ Line 6

## Gulf Power Compans

Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC)
Calculation of the Projected Period Amount
January 2001 - December 2001
Capital Investment Projects - Recoverable Costs
(in Dollars)

| Line |  | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Ju! | Aug | Sept | Oct | Nov | Dec | End of <br> Period <br> Total | Method of Classification |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Demand |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Energy |
| Description of Investment Projects (A) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | . 1 Air Quality Assurance Testing |  | 0 | 0 | 130 | 261 | 261 | 439 | 615 | 612 | 609 | 605 | 602 | 599 | 4,733 | 0 | 4,733 |
|  | . 2 Crist 5, 6 \& 7 Precipitator Projects | 239,446 | 238,706 | 237,966 | 237,226 | 236,487 | 235,747 | 235,007 | 234,268 | 233,527 | 232,788 | 232,048 | 231,308 | 2,824,524 | 0 | 2,824,524 |
|  | . 3 Crist 7 Flue Gas Conditioning | 20,860 | 20,802 | 20,745 | 20,688 | 20,630 | 20,573 | 20,516 | 20,458 | 20,401 | 20,344 | 20,286 | 20,229 | 246,532 | 0 | 246,532 |
|  | . 4 Low Nox Bumers, Crist 6 \& 7 | 159,689 | 159,277 | 158,864 | 158,451 | 158,038 | 157,625 | 157,213 | 156,800 | 156,387 | 155,974 | 155,561 | 155,149 | 1,889,028 | 0 | 1,889,028 |
|  | . 5 CEMs-Crist 1,4-7, Scholz 1, Smith 1\&2, Daniel | 52,985 | 53,272 | 53,429 | 53,856 | 54,284 | 54,711 | 54,868 | 54,796 | 54,361 | 55,046 | 56,342 | 57,204 | 655,154 | ${ }^{0}$ | 655,154 |
|  | . 6 Sub. Contam. Mobile Groundwater Treat. Sys. | 3,984 | 3,975 | 3,964 | 3,955 | 5,249 | 6,543 | 6,533 | 6,898 | 7,258 | 7,243 | 7,228 | 7,211 | 70,041 | 64,653 | 5,388 |
|  | . 7 Crist Cooling Tower Cell | 8,744 | 8,718 | 8,694 | 8,669 | 8,644 | 8,620 | 8,595 | 8,570 | 8,546 | 8,521 | 8,496 | 8,471 | 103,288 | 95,342 | 7,946 |
|  | . 8 Crist 1-5 Dechlorination | 3,049 | 3,041 | 3,033 | 3,026 | 3,018 | 3,011 | 3,002 | 2,994 | 2,987 | 2,979 | 2,971 | 2,964 | 36,075 | 33,299 | 2,776 |
|  | . 9 Crist Diesel Fuel Oil Remediation | 473 | 472 | 471 | 469 | 469 | 467 | 466 | 464 | 463 | 463 | 461 | 460 | 5,598 | 5,168 | 430 |
|  | . 10 Crist Bulk Tanker Unload Sec Contain Struc | 1,014 | 1,011 | 1,008 | 1,006 | 1,004 | 1,001 | 999 | 996 | 993 | 991 | 988 | 986 | 11,997 | 11,074 | 923 |
|  | .11 Crist IWW Sampling System | 592 | 591 | 589 | 588 | 586 | 585 | 583 | 581 | 580 | 578 | 577 | 575 | 7,005 | 6,467 | 538 |
|  | . 12 Smith 1 Low Nox GNOCIS | 433 | 1,297 | 2,162 | 3,028 | 3,892 | 4,757 | 5,622 | 6,487 | 7,352 | 8,216 | 9,082 | 9,968 | 62,296 |  | 62,296 |
|  | . 13 Smith Sodium Injection System | 1,177 | 1,174 | 1,171 | 1,170 | 1,167 | 1,165 | 1,162 | 1,159 | 1,157 | 1,155 | 1,152 | 1,150 | 13,959 | 0 | 13,959 |
|  | . 14 Smith Stormwater Collection System | 27,740 | 27,675 | 27,610 | 27,547 | 27,482 | 27,417 | 27,354 | 27,289 | 27,224 | 27,159 | 27,096 | 27,031 | 328,624 | 303,346 | 25,278 |
|  | . 15 Smith Waste Water Yreatment Facility | 1,699 | 1,695 | 1,691 | 1,687 | 1,683 | 1,679 | 1,675 | 1,671 | 1,667 | 1,663 | 1,659 | 1,654 | 20,123 | 18,575 | 1,548 |
|  | .16 Daniel Ash Management Project | 162,436 | 162,133 | 161,830 | 161,525 | 161,222 | 160,918 | 160,615 | 160,312 | 160,007 | 159,704 | 159,401 | 159,097 | 1,929,200 | 1,780,800 | 148,400 |
|  | . 17 Underground Fuel Tank Replacement | 6,950 | 6,907 | 6,866 | 6,821 | 6,780 | 6,736 | 6,694 | 6,650 | 6,609 | 6,565 | 6,523 | 6,480 | 80,581 | 74,382 | 6,199 |
|  | . 18 SO2 Allowances | (5,391) | (5,315) | (5,240) | $(5,166)$ | $(5,093)$ | (5,022) | $(4,956)$ | $(4,890)$ | (4,822) | (4.751) | (4,680) | $(4,609)$ | (59,935) | $\underline{0}$ | $(59,935)$ |
| 2 | Total Investment Projects - Recoverable Costs | 685,880 | 685,431 | 684,983 | 684,807 | 685,803 | 686,972 | 686,563 | 686,115 | 685,306 | 685,243 | 685,793 | 685,927 | 8,228,823 | 2,393,106 | 5,835,717 |
| 3 | Recoverable Costs Allocated to Evergy | 485,868 | 485,845 | 485,823 | 486,075 | 486,292 | 486,685 | 486,703 | 486,340 | 485,612 | 485,981 | 486,963 | 487,530 | 5,835,717 |  |  |
| 4 | Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand | 200,012 | 199,586 | 199,160 | 198,732 | 199,511 | 200,287 | 199,860 | 199,775 | 199,694 | 199,262 | 198,830 | 198,397 | 2,393,106 |  |  |
| 5 | Retail Energy Jurisdictional Factor | 0.9653355 | 0.9660568 | 0.9652794 | 0.9653554 | 0.9670242 | 0.9679658 | 0.9655653 | 0.9676572 | 0.9669804 | 0.9657773 | 0.9639927 | 0.9651026 |  |  |  |
| 6 | Retail Demand Jurisdictional Factor | 0.9650747 | 0.9650747 | 0.9650747 | 0.9650747 | 0.9650747 | 0.9650747 | 0.9650747 | 0.9650747 | 0.9650747 | 0.9650747 | 0.9650747 | 0.9650747 |  |  |  |
| 7 | Jurisdictional Energy Recoverable Costs (A) | 469,682 | 470,011 | 469,611 | 469,892 | 470,914 | 471,754 | 470,602 | 471,269 | 470,235 | 470,007 | 470,086 | 471,175 | 5,645,238 |  |  |
| 8 | Jurisdictional Demand Recoverable Costs (B) | 193.027 | 192.615 | 192,204 | 191,791 | 192.543 | 193.292 | 192.880 | 192.798 | 192.720 | 192,303 | 191.886 | 121.468 | 2,309,527 |  |  |
| 9 | Total Jurisdictional Recoverable Costs for Investment Projects (Lines 7+8) | 662.709 | 662.626 | 661815 | 661683 | 663.457 | 665.046 | 663.482 | 664.067 | 662.955 | 662.310 | 661.972 | 662.643 | 2954.765 |  |  |

Notes
(A) Each project's Total System Recoverable Expenses on Schedule 4P, Line 9

Schedule 4P

## Gulf Power Compan

Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC)
Calculation of the Projected Period Amount
January 2001 - December 2001
Return on Capital Investments, Depreciation and Taxes
For Project: Air Quality Assurance Testing
P.E.s 1006 \& 1244
(in Dollars)

|  |  |  |  |  |  | (in |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\underline{\text { Line }}$ | Description | Beginning of Period Amount | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sept | Oct | Nov | Dec | End of Period Amount |
| 1 | Investments |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | a Expenditures/Additions |  | 0 | 0 | 30,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
|  | b Clearings to Plant |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
|  | c Retirements |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
|  | d Cost of Removal |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 2 | Plant-in-Service/Depreciation Base | 239,115 | 239,115 | 239,115 | 239,115 | 239,115 | 239,115 | 239,115 | 239,115 | 239,115 | 239,115 | 239,115 | 239,115 | 239,115 |  |
| 3 | Less: Accumulated Depreciation (B) | $(239,115)$ | $(239,115)$ | (239,115) | $(239,115)$ | $(239,115)$ | (209,115) | $(209,294)$ | (209,65i) | $(210,008)$ | $(210,365)$ | $(210,722)$ | $(211,079)$ | $(211,436)$ |  |
| 4 | CWIP - Non Interest Bearing | 0 | - | 0 | 30,000 | 30,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 5 | Net Investment (Lines 2-3+4) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30,000 | 30,000 | 30,000 | 29,821 | 29,464 | 29,107 | 28,750 | 28,393 | 28,036 | 27,679 |  |
| 6 | Average Net Investment |  | 0 | 0 | 15,000 | 30,000 | 30,000 | 29,911 | 29,643 | 29,286 | 28,929 | 28,571 | 28,214 | 27,857 |  |
| 7 | Retum on Average Net Investment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | a Equity Component Grossed Up For Taxes (C) |  | 0 | 0 | 86 | 173 | 173 | 172 | 171 | 169 | 167 | 164 | 162 | 160 | 1,597 |
|  | b Debt Component (Line $6 \times 3.5137 \% \times 1 / 12)$ |  | 0 | 0 | 44 | 88 | 88 | 88 | 87 | 86 | 85 | 84 | 83 | 82 | 815 |
| 8 | Investment Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | a Depreciation |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | b Amortization |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 179 | 357 | 357 | 357 | 357 | 357 | 357 | 2,321 |
|  | c Dismantlement |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | d Property Taxes |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | e Other (D) |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 9 | Total System Recoverable Expenses (Lines 7+8) |  | 0 | 0 | 130 | 261 | 261 | 439 | 615 | 612 | 609 | 605 | 602 | 599 | 4,733 |
|  | a Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy |  | 0 | 0 | 130 | 261 | 261 | 439 | 615 | 612 | 609 | 605 | 602 | 599 | 4,733 |
|  | b Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 10 | Energy Jurisdictional Factor |  | 0.9653355 | 0.9660568 | 0.9652794 | 0.9653554 | 0.9670242 | 0.9679658 | 0.9655653 | 0.9676572 | 0.9669804 | 0.9657773 | 0.9639927 | 0.9651026 |  |
| 11 | Demand Jurisdictional Factor |  | 0.9650747 | 0.9650747 | 0.9650747 | 0.9650747 | 0.9650747 | 0.9650747 | 0.9650747 | 0.9650747 | 0.9650747 | 0.9650747 | 0.9650747 | 0.9650747 |  |
| 12 | Retail Energy-Related Recoverable Costs (E) |  | 0 | 0 | 126 | 252 | 253 | 425 | 595 | 593 | 590 | 585 | 581 | 579 | 4,579 |
| 13 | Retail Demand-Related Recoverable Costs (F) |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 14 | Total Juris. Recoverable Costs (Lines $12+13$ ) |  | 0 | 0 | 126 | 252 | 253 | 425 | 595 | 593 | 590 | 585 | 581 | 579 | 4,579 |

Notes:
(A) Description and reason for 'Other' adjustments to net Investment for this project
(B) Description of Adjustments to Reserve for Gross Salvage and Other Recoveries and Cost of Removal
(C) Line $6 \times 6.9072 \% \times 1 / 12$. Based on ROE of $11.5 \%$ and weighted income tax rate of $38.575 \%$ (expansion factor of 1.628002 )
(D) Description and reason for 'Other' adjustments to investment expenses for this project
(E) Line 9ax Line $10 \times 1.0014$ line loss multiplier
(F) Line 9bx Line 11


Notes:
(A) Description and reason for 'Other' adjustments to net Investment for this project
(B) Description of Adjustments to Reserve for Gross Salvage and Other Recoveries and Cost of Removal
(C) Line $6 \times 6.9072 \% \times 1 / 12$. Based on ROE of $11.5 \%$ and weighted income tax rate of $38.575 \%$ (expansion factor of 1.628002 )
(D) Description and reason for 'Other' adjustments to investment expenses for this project
(E) Line 9ax Line $10 \times 1.0014$ line loss multiplie
(F) Line 9bx Line 11

Gulf Power Company
Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC)
calculation of the Projected Period Amoun
January 2001 - December 2001
Return on Capital Investments, Depreciation and Taxes
For Project: Crist 7 Flue Gas Conditioning
P.E. 1228
(in Dollars)

| Line | Description | Beginning of Period Amount | January | February | March | April | May | June | July | August | September | October | November | December | End of Period Amoun |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Investments |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | a Expenditures/Additions |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
|  | b Clearings to Plant |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
|  | c Retirements |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
|  | d Cost of Removal |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 2 | Plant-in-Service/Depreciation Base | $2,179,245$ $(534,662)$ | $\begin{gathered} 2,179,245 \\ (541,269) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2,179,245 \\ (547,876) \end{gathered}$ | $2,179,245$ <br> $(554,483)$ | $\begin{gathered} 2,179,245 \\ (561,090) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2,179,245 \\ (567,697) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2,179,245 \\ (574,304) \end{gathered}$ | $2,179,245$ <br> (580.911) | $\begin{gathered} 2,179,245 \\ (587.518) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2,179,245 \\ (594,125) \end{gathered}$ | $2,179,245$ $(600,732)$ | $2,179,245$ <br> $(607,339)$ | $2,179,245$ <br> $(613,946)$ |  |
| 3 | Less: Accumulated Depreciation (B) | $(534,662)$ | $(541,269)$ | $(547,876)$ | $(554,483)$ | $(561,090)$ | $(567,697)$ | $(574,304)$ | $(580,911)$ | (587,518) | $(594,125)$ | $(600,732)$ | $(607,339)$ | $(613,946)$ |  |
| 4 | CWIP - Non Interest Bearing | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 5 | Net Investment (Lines $2-3+4$ ) | 1,644,583 | 1,637,976 | 1,631,369 | 1,624,762 | 1,618,155 | 1,611,548 | 1,604,941 | 1,598,334 | 1,591,727 | 1,585,120 | 1,578,513 | 1,571,906 | 1,565,299 |  |
| 6 | Average Net Investment |  | 1,641,280 | 1,634,673 | 1,628,066 | 1,621,459 | 1,614,852 | 1,608,245 | 1,601,638 | 1,595,031 | 1,588,424 | 1,581,817 | 1,575,210 | 1,568,603 |  |
| 7 | Retum on Average Net Investment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | a Equity Component Grossed Up For Taxes (C) |  | 9,447 | 9,409 | 9,371 | 9,333 | 9,295 | 9,257 | 9,219 | 9,181 | 9,143 | 9,105 | 9,067 | 9,029 | 110,856 |
|  | b Debt Component (Line $6 \times 3.5137 \% \times 1 / 12$ ) |  | 4,806 | 4,786 | 4,767 | 4,748 | 4,728 | 4,709 | 4,690 | 4,670 | 4,651 | 4,632 | 4,612 | 4,593 | 56,392 |
| 8 | Investment Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | a Depreciation |  | 6,357 | 6,357 | 6,357 | 6,357 | 6,357 | 6,357 | 6,357 | 6,357 | 6,357 | 6,357 | 6,357 | 6,357 | 76,284 |
|  | b Amortization |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | c Dismantlement |  | 250 | 250 | 250 | 250 | 250 | 250 | 250 | 250 | 250 | 250 | 250 | 250 | 3,000 |
|  | d Property Taxes |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
|  | e Other (D) |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 9 | Total System Recoverable Expenses (Lines 7+8) |  | 20,860 | 20,802 | 20,745 | 20,688 | 20,630 | 20,573 | 20,516 | 20,458 | 20,401 | 20,344 | 20,286 | 20,229 | 246,532 |
|  | a Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy |  | 20,860 | 20,802 | 20,745 | 20,688 | 20,630 | 20,573 | 20,516 | 20,458 | 20,401 | 20,344 | 20,286 | 20,229 | 246,532 |
|  | b Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 |
| 10 | Energy Jurisdictional Factor |  | 0.9653355 | 0.9660568 | 0.9652794 | 0.9653554 | 0.9670242 | 0.9679658 | 0.9655653 | 0.9676572 | 0.9669804 | 0.9657773 | 0.9639927 | 0.9651026 |  |
| 11 | Demand Jurisdictional Factor |  | 0.9650747 | 0.9650747 | 0.9650747 | 0.9650747 | 0.9650747 | 0.9650747 | 0.9650747 | 0.9650747 | 0.9650747 | 0.9650747 | 0.9650747 | 0.9650747 |  |
| 12 | Retail Energy-Related Recoverable Costs (E) |  | 20,165 | 20,124 | 20,053 | 19,999 | 19,978 | 19,942 | 19,837 | 19,824 | 19,755 | 19,675 | 19,583 | 19,550 | 238,485 |
| 13 | Retail Demand-Related Recoverable Costs ( F ) |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 14 | Total Juris. Recoverable Costs (Lines 12+13) |  | 20,165 | 20,124 | 20,053 | 19,999 | 19,978 | 19,942 | 19,837 | 19,824 | 19,755 | 19,675 | 19,583 | 19,550 | 238,485 |

(A) Description and reason for 'Other' adjustments to net Investment for this project
(B) Description of Adjustments to Reserve for Gross Salvage and Other Recoveries and Cost of Removal
(C) Line $6 \times 6.9072 \% \times 1 / 12$. Based on ROE of $11.5 \%$ and weighted income tax rate of $38.575 \%$ (expansion factor of 1.628002 )
(D) Description and reason for 'Other' adjustments to investment expenses for this project
(E) Line 9ax Line $10 \times 1.0014$ line loss maltiplier
(F) Line $9 \mathrm{~b} \times$ Line 11

## Gulf Power Company

Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC)
alculation of the Projected Period Amoun
January 2001 - December 2001
Return on Capital Investments, Depreciation and Taxes
For Project: Low Nox Burners, Crist 6 \& 7
P.E.S 1236 \& 1242
(in Dollars)

| Beginning of Period Amount | January | February | March | April | May | June | July | August | September | October | November | December | End of Period Amount |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
|  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
|  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
|  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 16,296,360 | 16,296,360 | 16,296,360 | 16,296,360 | 16,296,360 | 16,296,360 | 16,296,360 | 16,296,360 | 16,296,360 | 16,296,360 | 16,296,360 | 16,296,360 | 16,296,360 |  |
| $(3,357,642)$ | $(3,405,178)$ | $(3,452,714)$ | (3,500,250) | $(3,547,786)$ | $(3,595,322)$ | $(3,642,858)$ | $(3,690,394)$ | $(3,737,930)$ | $(3,785,466)$ | $(3,833,002)$ | $(3,880,538)$ | $(3,928,074)$ |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 12,938,718 | 12,891,182 | 12,843,646 | 12,796,110 | 12,748,574 | 12,701,038 | 12,653,502 | 12,605,966 | 12,558,430 | 12,510,894 | 12,463,358 | 12,415,822 | 12,368,286 |  |
|  | 12,914,950 | 12,867,414 | 12,819,878 | 12,772,342 | 12,724,806 | 12,677,270 | 12,629,734 | 12,582,198 | 12,534,662 | 12,487,126 | 12,439,590 | 12,392,054 |  |
|  | 74,338 | 74,065 | 73,791 | 73,518 | 73,244 | 72,970 | 72,697 | 72,423 | 72,150 | 71,876 | 71,602 | 71,329 | 874,003 |
|  | 37,815 | 37,676 | 37,537 | 37,397 | 37,258 | 37,119 | 36,980 | 36,841 | 36,701 | 36,562 | 36,423 | 36,284 | 444,593 |
|  | 47,536 | 47,536 | 47,536 | 47,536 | 47,536 | 47,536 | 47,536 | 47,536 | 47,536 | 47,536 | 47,536 | 47,536 | 570,432 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 159,689 | 159,277 | 158,864 | 158,451 | 158,038 | 157,625 | 157,213 | 156,800 | 156,387 | 155,974 | 155,561 | 155,149 | 1,889,028 |
|  | 159,689 | 159,277 | 158,864 | 158,451 | 158,038 | 157,625 | 157,213 | 156,800 | 156,387 | 155,974 | 155,561 | 155,149 | 1,889,028 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0.9653355 | 0.9660568 | 0.9652794 | 0.9653554 | 0.9670242 | 0.9679658 | 0.9655653 | 0.9676572 | 0.9669804 | 0.9657773 | 0.9639927 | 0.9651026 |  |
|  | 0.9650747 | 0.9650747 | 0.9650747 | 0.9650747 | 0.9650747 | 0.9650747 | 0.9650747 | 0.9650747 | 0.9650747 | 0.9650747 | 0.9650747 | 0.9650747 |  |
|  | 154,369 | 154,086 | 153,563 | 153,176 | 153,041 | 152,789 | 152,012 | 151,941 | 151,435 | 150,847 | 150,170 | 149,944 | 1,827,373 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 154,369 | 154,086 | 153,563 | 153,176 | 153,041 | 152,789 | 152,012 | 151,941 | 151,435 | 150,847 | 150,170 | 149,944 | 1,827,373 |

Notes:
(A) Distion and reason for Oner adjusments to net Investment for mis project
(B) Description of Adjustments to Reserve for Gross Salvage and Other Recoveries and Cost of Removal
(C) Line $6 \times 6.9072 \% \times 1 / 12$. Based on ROE of $11.5 \%$ and weighted income tax rate of $38.575 \%$ (expansion factor of 1.628002 )
(D) Description and reason for 'Other' adjustments to investment expenses for this project
(E) Line $9 \mathrm{a} \times$ Line $10 \times 1.0014$ line loss multiplier
(F) Line $96 \times$ Line 11


Notes:
(A) Description and reason for 'Other' adjustments to net Investment for this project
(B) Description of Adjustments to Reserve for Gross Salvage and Other Recoveries and Cost of Removal
(C) Line $6 \times 6.9072 \% \times 1 / 12$. Based on ROE of $11.5 \%$ and weighted income tax rate of $38.575 \%$ (expansion factor of 1.628002 )
(D) Description and reason for 'Other' adjustments to investment expenses for this project
(E) Line $9 \mathrm{a} \times$ Line $10 \times 1.0014$ line loss multiplier
(F) Line $9 \mathrm{~b} \times$ Line 11


Notes.
(A) Description and reason for 'Other' adjustments to net Investment for this project
(B) Description of Adjustments to Reserve for Gross Salvage and Other Recoveries and Cost of Removal
(C) Line $6 \times 6.9072 \% \times 1 / 12$. Based on ROE of $11.5 \%$ and weighted income tax rate of $38.575 \%$ (expansion factor of 1.628002 )
(D) Description and reason for 'Other' adjustments to investment expenses for this project
(E) Line $9 \mathrm{a} \times$ Line $10 \times 1.0014$ line loss multiplier
(F) Line $96 \times$ Line 11

Gulf Power Company
Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC)
Calculation of the Projected Period Amount
January 2001 - December 2001
Return on Capital Investments, Depreciation and Taxes
For Project: Crist Cooling Tower Cell
P.E. 1232


Notes
(A) Description and reason for 'Other' adjustments to net Investment for this project
(B) Description of Adjustments to Reserve for Gross Salvage and Other Recoveries and Cost of Removal
(C) Line $6 \times 6.9072 \% \times 1 / 12$. Based on ROE of $11.5 \%$ and weighted income tax rate of $38.575 \%$ (expansion factor of 1.628002 )
(D) Description and reason for 'Other' adjustments to investment expenses for this project
(E) Line 9ax Line $10 \times 1.0014$ line loss multiplier
(F) Line $96 \times$ Line 11


Notes:
(A) Description and reason for 'Other' adjustments to net Investment for this project
(B) Description of Adjustments to Reserve for Gross Salvage and Other Recoveries and Cost of Removal
(C) Line $6 \times 6.9072 \% \times 1 / 12$. Based on ROE of $11.5 \%$ and weighted income tax rate of $38.575 \%$ (expansion factor of 1.628002 )
(D) Description and reason for 'Other' adjustoments to investment expenses for this project
(E) Line $9 \mathrm{a} \times$ Line $10 \times 1.0014$ line loss multiplier
(F) Line $9 \mathrm{~b} \times$ Line 11


Notes:
(A) Description and reason for 'Other' adjustments to net Investment for this project
(B) Description of Adjustments to Reserve for Gross Salvage and Other Recoveries and Cost of Removal
(C) Line $6 \times 6.9072 \% \times 1 / 12$. Based on ROE of $11.5 \%$ and weighted income tax rate of $38.575 \%$ (expansion factor of 1.628002
(D) Description and reason for 'Other' adjustments to investment expenses for this project
(E) Line 9ax Line $10 \times 1.0014$ line loss multiplier
(F) Line 9bx Line Il

## Gulf Power Company

Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC Calculation of the Projected Period Amount

January 2001 - December 2001
Return on Capital Investments, Depreciation and Taxes
For Project: Crist Bulk Tanker Unload Sec Contain Struc
P.E. 1271
(in Dollars)

| Line | Description | Beginning of Period Amount | January | February | March | April | May | Iune | July | August | September | October | November | Decermber | End of <br> Period Amount |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Investments |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | a Expenditures/Additions |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
|  | b Clearings to Plant |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
|  | c Retirements |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
|  | d Cost of Removal |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 2 | Plant-in-Service/Depreciation Base | 101,495 | 101,495 | 101,495 | 101,495 | 101,495 | 101,495 | 101,495 | 101,495 | 101,495 | 101,495 | 101,495 | 101,495 | 101,495 |  |
| 3 | Less: Accumulated Depreciation (B) | $(18,679)$ | $(18,975)$ | $(19,271)$ | $(19,567)$ | $(19,863)$ | $(20,159)$ | $(20,455)$ | (20,751) | $(21,047)$ | $(21,343)$ | $(21,639)$ | $(21,935)$ | $(22,231)$ |  |
| 4 | CWIP - Non Interest Bearing | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 5 | Net lnvestment (Lines $2-3+4$ ) | 82,816 | 82,520 | 82,224 | 81,928 | 81,632 | 81,336 | 81,040 | 80,744 | 80,448 | 80,152 | 79,856 | 79,560 | 79,264 |  |
| 6 | Average Net Inyestment |  | 82,668 | 82,372 | 82,076 | 81,780 | 81,484 | 81,188 | 80,892 | 80,596 | 80,300 | 80,004 | 79,708 | 79,412 |  |
| 7 | Return on Average Net Investment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | a Equity Component Grossed Up For Taxes (C) |  | 476 | 474 | 472 | 471 | 469 | 467 | 466 | 464 | 462 | 461 | 459 | 457 | 5,598 |
|  | b Debt Component (Line $6 \times 3.5137 \% \times 1 / 12)$ |  | 242 | 241 | 240 | 239 | 239 | 238 | 237 | 236 | 235 | 234 | 233 | 233 | 2,847 |
| 8 | Investment Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | a Depreciation |  | 296 | 296 | 296 | 296 | 296 | 296 | 296 | 296 | 296 | 296 | 296 | 296 | 3,552 |
|  | b Amortization |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | c Dismantlement |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | d Property Taxes |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
|  | e Other (D) |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 9 | Total System Recoverable Expenses (Lines 7 + 8) |  | 1,014 | 1,011 | 1,008 | 1,006 | 1,004 | 1,001 | 999 | 996 | 993 | 991 | 988 | 986 | 11,997 |
|  | a Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy |  | 78 | 78 | 78 | 77 | 77 | 77 | 77 | 77 | 76 | 76 | 76 | 76 | 923 |
|  | b Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand |  | 936 | 933 | 930 | 929 | 927 | 924 | 922 | 919 | 917 | 915 | 912 | 910 | 11,074 |
| 10 | Energy Jurisdictional Factor |  | 0.9653355 | 0.9660568 | 0.9652794 | 0.9653554 | 0.9670242 | 0.9679658 | 0.9655653 | 0.9676572 | 0.9669804 | 0.9657773 | 0.9639927 | 0.9651026 |  |
| 11 | Demand Jurisdictional Factor |  | 0.9650747 | 0.9650747 | 0.9650747 | 0.9650747 | 0.9650747 | 0.9650747 | 0.9650747 | 0.9650747 | 0.9650747 | 0.9650747 | 0.9650747 | 0.9650747 |  |
| 12 | Retail Energy-Related Recoverable Costs (E) |  | 75 | 75 | 75 | 74 | 75 | 75 | 74 | 75 | 74 | 74 | 73 | 73 | 892 |
| 13 | Retail Demand-Related Recoverable Costs ( F ) |  | 903 | 900 | 898 | 897 | 895 | 892 | 890 | 887 | 885 | 883 | 880 | 878 | 10,688 |
| 14 | Total Juris. Recoverable Costs (Lines $12+13$ ) |  | 978 | 975 | 973 | 971 | 970 | 967 | 964 | 962 | 959 | 957 | 953 | 951 | 11,580 |

Notes:
(A) Description and reason for 'Other adjustments to ner Investment for this project
(B) Description of Adjustments to Reserve for Gross Salvage and Other Recoveries and Cost of Removal
(C) Line $6 \times 6.9072 \% \times 1 / 12$. Based on ROE of $11.5 \%$ and weighted income tax rate of $38.575 \%$ (expansion factor of 1.628002 )
(D) Description and reason for 'Other' adjustments to investment expenses for this project
(E) Line 9a $\times$ Line $10 \times 1.0014$ line loss multiplier
(F) Line 9bx Line 11

Gulf Power Company
Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC)
Calculation of the Projected Period Amount
January 2001 - December 2001
Return on Capital Investments, Depreciation and Taxes
For Project: Crist IWW Sampling System
P.E. 1275

| (in Dollars) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Line Description | of Period <br> Amount | January | February | March | April | May | June | July | August | September | October | November | December | Period <br> Amount |
| 1 Investments |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| a Expenditures/Additions |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| b Clearings to Plant |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| c Retirements |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| d Cost of Removal |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Plant-in-Service/Depreciation Base | 59,543 | 59,543 | 59,543 | 59,543 | 59,543 | 59,543 | 59,543 | 59,543 | 59,543 | 59,543 | 59,543 | 59,543 | 59,543 |  |
| 3 Less: Accumulated Depreciation (B) | $(11,279)$ | (11,453) | (11,627) | $(11,801)$ | $(11,975)$ | $(12,149)$ | $(12,323)$ | $(12,497)$ | $(12,671)$ | $(12,845)$ | $(13,019)$ | $(13,193)$ | $(13,367)$ |  |
| 4 CWIP - Non Interest Bearing | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 5 Net Investment (Lines 2-3+4) | 48,264 | 48,090 | 47,916 | 47,742 | 47,568 | 47,394 | 47,220 | 47,046 | 46,872 | 46,698 | 46,524 | 46,350 | 46,176 |  |
| 6 Average Net Investment |  | 48,177 | 48,003 | 47,829 | 47,655 | 47,481 | 47,307 | 47,133 | 46,959 | 46,785 | 46,611 | 46,437 | 46,263 |  |
| Return on Average Net Investment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| a Equity Component Grossed Up For Taxes (C) |  | 277 | 276 | 275 | 274 | 273 | 272 | 271 | 270 | 269 | 268 | 267 | 266 | 3,258 |
| b Debt Component (Line $6 \times 3.5137 \% \times 1 / 12)$ |  | 141 | 141 | 140 | 140 | 139 | 139 | 138 | 137 | 137 | 136 | 136 | 135 | 1,659 |
| 8 Investment Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| a Depreciation |  | 174 | 174 | 174 | 174 | 174 | 174 | 174 | 174 | 174 | 174 | 174 | 174 | 2,088 |
| b Amortization |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| c Dismantlement |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| d Property Taxes |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| e Other (D) |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 9 Total System Recoverable Expenses (Lines 7+8) |  | 592 | 591 | 589 | 588 | 586 | 585 | 583 | 581 | 580 | 578 | 577 | 575 | 7,005 |
| a Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy |  | 46 | 45 | 45 | 45 | 45 | 45 | 45 | 45 | 45 | 44 | 44 | 44 | 538 |
| b Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand |  | 546 | 546 | 544 | 543 | 541 | 540 | 538 | 536 | 535 | 534 | 533 | 531 | 6,467 |
| 10 Energy Jurisdictional Factor |  | 0.9653355 | 0.9660568 | 0.9652794 | 0.9653554 | 0.9670242 | 0.9679658 | 0.9655653 | 0.9676572 | 0.9669804 | 0.9657773 | - 0.9639927 | 0.9651026 |  |
| 11 Demand Jurisdictional Factor |  | 0.9650747 | 0.9650747 | 0.9650747 | 0.9650747 | 0.9650747 | 0.9650747 | 0.9650747 | 0.9650747 | 0.9650747 | 0.9650747 | 0.9650747 | 0.9650747 |  |
| 12 Retail Energy-Related Recoverable Costs (E) |  | 44 | 44 | 43 | 44 | 44 | 44 | 44 | 44 | 44 | 43 | 42 | 43 | 523 |
| 13 Retail Demand-Related Recoverable Costs (F) |  | 527 | 527 | 525 | 524 | 522 | 521 | 519 | 517 | 516 | 515 | 514 | 512 | 6,239 |
| 14 Total Juris. Recoverable Costs (Lines 12+13) |  | 571 | 571 | 568 | 568 | 566 | 565 | 563 | 561 | 560 | 558 | 556 | 555 | 6,762 |

Notes:
(A) Description and reason for 'Other' adjustments to net Investment for this project
(B) Description of Adjustments to Reserve for Gross Salvage and Other Recoveries and Cost of Removal
(C) Line $6 \times 6.9072 \% \times 1 / 12$. Based on ROE of $11.5 \%$ and weighted income tax rate of $38.575 \%$ (expansion factor of 1.628002 )
(D) Description and reason for 'Other' adjustments to investment expenses for this project
(E) Line $9 \mathrm{a} \times$ Line $10 \times 1.0014$ line loss multiplier
(F) Line $9 \mathrm{~b} \times$ Line 11

Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC)
Calculation of the Projected Period Amount
January 2001 - December 2001
Return on Capital Investments, Depreciation and Taxes
For Project: Smith i Low Nox GNOCIS
P.E. 1412

| Line | Description | Beginning of Period Amount | January | February | March | April | May | June | July | August | September | October | November | December | End of <br> Period <br> Amount |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Investments |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | a Expenditures/Additions |  | 99,600 | 99,600 | 99,600 | 99,600 | 99,600 | 99,600 | 99,600 | 99,600 | 99,600 | 99,600 | 99,600 | 104,400 |  |
|  | b Clearings to Plant |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,200,000 |  |
|  | c Retirements |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
|  | d Cost of Removal |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 2 | Plant-in-Service/Depreciation Base | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | , | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,200,000 |  |
| 3 | Less: Accumulated Depreciation (B) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 4 | CWIP - Non Interest Bearing | 0 | 99,600 | 199,200 | 298,800 | 398,400 | 498,000 | 597,600 | 697,200 | 796,800 | 896,400 | 996,000 | 1,095,600 | 0 |  |
| 5 | Net lnvestment (Lines 2-3+4) | 0 | 99,600 | 199,200 | 298,800 | 398,400 | 498,000 | 597,600 | 697,200 | 796,800 | 896,400 | 996,000 | 1,095,600 | 1,200,000 |  |
| 6 | Average Net Investment |  | 49,800 | 149,400 | 249,000 | 348,600 | 448,200 | 547,800 | 647,400 | 747,000 | 846,600 | 946,200 | 1,045,800 | 1,147,800 |  |
| 7 | Return on Average Net Investment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | a Equity Component Grossed Up For Taxes (C) |  | 287 | 860 | 1,433 | 2,007 | 2,580 | 3,153 | 3,726 | 4,300 | 4,873 | 5,446 | 6,020 | 6,607 | 41,292 |
|  | b Debt Component (Line $6 \times 3.5137 \% \times 1 / 12$ ) |  | 146 | 437 | 729 | 1,021 | 1,312 | 1,604 | 1,896 | 2,187 | 2,479 | 2,770 | 3,062 | 3,361 | 21,004 |
| 8 | Investment Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | a Depreciation |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | b Amortization |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | c Dismantlement |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | , | , | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | d Property Taxes |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
|  | e Other (D) |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Total System Recoverable Expenses (Lines 7+8) |  | 433 | 1,297 | 2,162 | 3,028 | 3,892 | 4,757 | 5,622 | 6,487 | 7,352 | 8,216 | 9,082 | 9,968 | 62,296 |
| 9 | a Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy |  | 433 | 1,297 | 2,162 | 3,028 | 3,892 | 4,757 | 5,622 | 6,487 | 7,352 | 8,216 | 9,082 | 9,968 | 62,296 |
|  | b Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 10 | Energy Jurisdictional Factor |  | 0.9653355 | 0.9660568 | 0.9652794 | 0.9653554 | 0.9670242 | 0.9679658 | 0.9655653 | 0.9676572 | 0.9669804 | 0.9657773 | 0.9639927 | 0.9651026 |  |
| 11 | Demand Jurisdictional Factor |  | 0.9650747 | 0.9650747 | 0.9650747 | 0.9650747 | 0.9650747 | 0.9650747 | 0.9650747 | 0.9650747 | 0.9650747 | 0.9650747 | 0.9650747 | 0.9650747 |  |
| 12 | Retail Energy-Related Recoverable Costs (E) |  | 419 | 1,255 | 2,090 | 2,927 | 3,769 | 4,611 | 5,436 | 6,286 | 7,119 | 7,946 | 8,767 | 9,634 | 60,259 |
| 13 | Retail Demand-Related Recoverable Costs (F) |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 14 | Total Juris. Recoverable Costs (Lines $12+13$ ) |  | 419 | 1,255 | 2,090 | 2,927 | 3,769 | 4,611 | 5,436 | 6,286 | 7,119 | 7,946 | 8,767 | 9,634 | 60,259 |

Notes:
Description and reason for 'Other' adjustments to net Investment for this project
(B) Description of Adjustments to Reserve for Gross Salvage and Other Recoveries and Cost of Removal
(C) Line $6 \times 6.9072 \% \times 1 / 12$. Based on ROE of $11.5 \%$ and weighted income tax rate of $38.575 \%$ (expansion factor of 1.628002 )
(D) Description and reason for 'Other' adjustments to investment expenses for this project
(E) Line 9a $\times$ Line $10 \times 1.0014$ line loss multiplier
(F) Line $9 \mathrm{~b} \times$ Line 11


Notes
A) Description and reason for 'Other' adjustments to net lnvestment for this project
(B) Description of Adjustments to Reserve for Gross Salvage and Other Recoveries and Cost of Removal
C) Line $6 \times 6.9072 \% \times 1 / 12$. Based on ROE of $11.5 \%$ and weighted income tax rate of $38.575 \%$ (expansion factor of 1.628002 )
(D) Description and reason for 'Other' adjustments to investment expenses for this project
(E) Line 9a $\times$ Line $10 \times 1.0014$ line loss multiplier
(F) Line $9 \mathrm{~b} \times$ Line 11

Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC) alculation of the Projected Period Amount January 2001 - December 2001
Return on Capital Investments, Depreciation and Taxes
For Project: Smith Stormwater Collection System
P.E. 1446
(in Dollars)

| Line | Description | Beginning of Period Amount | January | February | March | April | May | June | Iuly | Augus! | September | Qctober | November | December | End of Period Amount |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Investments |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | a Expenditures/Additions |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
|  | b Clearings to Plant |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
|  | c Retirements |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
|  | d Cost of Removal |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 2 | Plant-in-Service/Depreciation Base | 2,782,600 | 2,782,600 | 2,782,600 | 2,782,600 | 2,782,600 | 2,782,600 | 2,782,600 | 2,782,600 | 2,782,600 | 2,782,600 | 2,782,600 | 2,782,600 | 2,782,600 |  |
| 3 | Less: Accumulated Depreciation (B) | $(439,084)$ | $(446,505)$ | $(453,926)$ | $(461,347)$ | $(468,768)$ | $(476,189)$ | $(483,610)$ | (491,031) | $(498,452)$ | $(505,873)$ | $(513,294)$ | $(520,715)$ | $(528,136)$ |  |
| 4 | CWIP - Non Interest Bearing | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 5 | Net Investment (Lines 2-3+4) | 2,343,516 | 2,336,095 | 2,328,674 | 2,321,253 | 2,313,832 | 2,306,411 | 2,298,990 | 2,291,569 | 2,284,148 | 2,276,727 | 2,269,306 | 2,261,885 | 2,254,464 |  |
| 6 | Average Net Investment |  | 2,339,806 | 2,332,385 | 2,324,964 | 2,317,543 | 2,310,122 | 2,302,701 | 2,295,280 | 2,287,859 | 2,280,438 | 2,273,017 | 2,265,596 | 2,258,175 |  |
| 7 | Return on Average Net Investment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | a Equity Component Grossed Up For Taxes (C) |  | 13,468 | 13,425 | 13,382 | 13,340 | 13,297 | 13,254 | 13,212 | 13,169 | 13,126 | 13,083 | 13,041 | 12,998 | 158,795 |
|  | b Debt Component (Line $6 \times 3.5137 \% \times 1 / 12)$ |  | 6,851 | 6.829 | 6.807 | 6.786 | 6,764 | 6,742 | 6.721 | 6,699 | 6,677 | 6,655 | 6,634 | 6,612 | 80,777 |
| 8 | Investment Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | a Depreciation |  | 7,421 | 7,421 | 7,421 | 7,421 | 7,421 | 7,421 | 7,421 | 7,421 | 7,421 | 7,421 | 7,421 | 7,421 | 89,052 |
|  | b Amortization |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | c Dismantlement |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | d Property Taxes |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
|  | e Other (D) |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 9 | Total System Recoverable Expenses (Lines $7+8$ ) |  | 27,740 | 27,675 | 27,610 | 27,547 | 27,482 | 27,417 | 27,354 | 27,289 | 27,224 | 27,159 | 27,096 | 27,031 | 328,624 |
|  | a Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy |  | 2,134 | 2,129 | 2,124 | 2,119 | 2,114 | 2,109 | 2,104 | 2,099 | 2,094 | 2,089 | 2.084 | 2,079 | 25,278 |
|  | b Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand |  | 25,606 | 25,546 | 25,486 | 25,428 | 25,368 | 25,308 | 25,250 | 25,190 | 25,130 | 25,070 | 25,012 | 24,952 | 303,346 |
| 10 | Energy Jurisdictional Factor |  | 0.9653355 | 0.9660568 | 0.9652794 | 0.9653554 | 0.9670242 | 0.9679658 | 0.9655653 | 0.9676572 | 0.9669804 | 0.9657773 | 0.9639927 | 0.9651026 |  |
| 11 | Demand Jurisdictional Factor |  | 0.9650747 | 0.9650747 | 0.9650747 | 0.9650747 | 0.9650747 | 0.9650747 | 0.9650747 | 0.9650747 | 0.9650747 | 0.9650747 | 0.9650747 | 0.9650747 |  |
| 12 | Retail Energy-Related Recoverable Costs (E) |  | 2,063 | 2,060 | 2,053 | 2,048 | 2,047 | 2,044 | 2,034 | 2,034 | 2,028 | 2,020 | 2,012 | 2,009 | 24,452 |
| 13 | Retail Demand-Related Recoverable Costs (f) |  | 24,712 | 24,654 | 24,596 | 24,540 | 24,482 | 24,424 | 24,368 | 24,310 | 24,252 | 24,194 | 24,138 | 24,081 | 292,751 |
| 14 | Total Juris. Recoverable Costs (Lines $12+13$ ) |  | 26,775 | 26,714 | 26,649 | 26,588 | 26,529 | 26,468 | 26,402 | 26,344 | 26,280 | 26,214 | 26,150 | 26,090 | 317,203 |

Notes:
(A) Description and reason for 'Other' adjustments to net Investment for this project
(B) Description of Adjustments to Reserve for Gross Salvage and Other Recoveries and Cost of Removal
(C) Line $6 \times 6.9072 \% \times 1 / 12$. Based on ROE of $11.5 \%$ and weighted income tax rate of $38.575 \%$ (expansion factor of 1.628002 )
(D) Description and reason for 'Other' adjustments to investment expenses for this project
(E) Line gax Line $10 \times 1.0014$ line loss multiplier
(F) Line 9bx Line 11


Noles
(A) Description and reason for 'Other' adjustments to net Investment for this projec
(B) Description of Adjustments to Reserve for Gross Salvage and Other Recoveries and Cost of Removal
(C) Line $6 \times 6.9072 \% \times 1 / 12$. Based on ROE of $11.5 \%$ and weighted income tax rate of $38.575 \%$ (expansion factor of 1.628002 )
(D) Description and reason for 'Other' adjustments to investment expenses for this project
(E) Line 9 a $x$ Line $10 \times 1,0014$ line loss multiplie
(F) Line $9 \mathrm{~b} \times$ Line 11

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Schedule 4P <br> Page 16 of 18 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Gulf Power | Company |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Environ Calcula Ja | mental Cost Rec tion of the Proj nuary 2001 - | covery Clause ected Period December 20 | (ECRC) Amount 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Return on Cap For Project: | pital Investme Daniel Ash Ma P.E. (in Do | nts, Deprecia nagement Pro 1535 llars) | ion and Taxes ject |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Line | Description | Beginning of Period Amount | January | February | March | April | May | June | July | August | September | October | November | December | End of Period Amount |
| I | Investments |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | a Expenditures/Additions |  | 0 | 0 | , | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
|  | b Clearings to Plant |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
|  | c Retirements |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
|  | d Cost of Removal |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 2 | Plant-in-Service/Depreciation Base | 13,242,469 | 13,242,469 | 13,242,469 | 13,242,469 | 13,242,469 | 13,242,469 | 13,242,469 | 13,242,469 | 13,242,469 | 13,242,469 | 13,242,469 | 13,242,469 | 13,242,469 |  |
| 3 | Less: Accumulated Depreciation (B) | $(2,420,926)$ | $(2,455,886)$ | $(2,490,846)$ | $(2,525,806)$ | $(2,560,766)$ | $(2,595,726)$ | $(2,630,686)$ | $(2,665,646)$ | (2,700,606) | $(2,735,566)$ | $(2,770,526)$ | $(2,805,486)$ | $(2,840,446)$ |  |
| 4 | CWIP - Non Interest Bearing | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | , | 0 |  |
| 5 | Net Investment (Lines 2-3+4) | 10,821,543 | 10,786,583 | 10,751,623 | 10,716,663 | 10,681,703 | 10,646,743 | 10,611,783 | 10,576,823 | 10,541,863 | 10,506,903 | 10,471,943 | 10,436,983 | 10,402,023 |  |
| 6 | Average Net Investment |  | 10,804,063 | 10,769,103 | 10,734,143 | 10,699,183 | 10,664,223 | 10,629,263 | 10,594,303 | 10,559,343 | 10,524,383 | 10,489,423 | 10,454,463 | 10,419,503 |  |
| 7 | Retum on Average Net Investment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | a Equity Component Grossed Up For Taxes (C) |  | 62,188 | 61,987 | 61,786 | 61,584 | 61,383 | 61,182 | 60,981 | 60,780 | 60,578 | 60,377 | 60,176 | 59,975 | 732,977 |
|  | b Debt Component (Line $6 \times 3.5137 \% \times 1 / 12)$ |  | 31,634 | 31,532 | 31,430 | 31,327 | 31,225 | 31,122 | 31,020 | 30,918 | 30,815 | 30,713 | 30,611 | 30,508 | 372,855 |
| 8 | Investment Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | a Depreciation |  | 26,485 | 26,485 | 26,485 | 26,485 | 26,485 | 26,485 | 26,485 | 26,485 | 26,485 | 26,485 | 26,485 | 26,485 | 317,820 |
|  | b Amortization |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | c Dismantlement |  | 8,475 | 8,475 | 8,475 | 8,475 | 8,475 | 8,475 | 8,475 | 8,475 | 8,475 | 8,475 | 8,475 | 8,475 | 101,700 |
|  | d Property Taxes |  | 33,654 | 33,654 | 33,654 | 33,654 | 33,654 | 33,654 | 33,654 | 33,654 | 33,654 | 33,654 | 33,654 | 33,654 | 403,848 |
|  | e Other (D) |  | O | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 9 | Total System Recoverable Expenses (Lines 7+8) |  | 162,436 | 162,133 | 161,830 | 161,525 | 161,222 | 160,918 | 160,615 | 160,312 | 160,007 | 159,704 | 159,401 | 159,097 | 1,929,200 |
|  | a Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy |  | 12,495 | 12,472 | 12,448 | 12,425 | 12,402 | 12,378 | 12,355 | 12,332 | 12,308 | 12,285 | 12,262 | 12,238 | 148,400 |
|  | b Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand |  | 149,941 | 149,661 | 149,382 | 149,100 | 148,820 | 148,540 | 148,260 | 147,980 | 147,699 | 147,419 | 147,139 | 146,859 | 1,780,800 |
| 10 | Energy Jurisdictional Factor |  | 0.9653355 | 0.9660568 | 0.9652794 | 0.9653554 | 0.9670242 | 0.9679658 | 0.9655653 | 0.9676572 | 0.9669804 | 0.9657773 | 0.9639927 | 0.9651026 |  |
| 11 | Demand Jurisdictional Factor |  | 0.9650747 | 0.9650747 | 0.9650747 | 0.9650747 | 0.9650747 | 0.9650747 | 0.9650747 | 0.9650747 | 0.9650747 | 0.9650747 | 0.9650747 | 0.9650747 |  |
| 12 | Retail Energy-Related Recoverable Costs (E) |  | 12,079 | 12,066 | 12,033 | 12,011 | 12,010 | 11,998 | 11,946 | 11,950 | 11,918 | 11,881 | 11,837 | 11,827 | 143,556 |
| 13 | Retail Demand-Related Recoverable Costs ( F ) |  | 144,704 | 144,434 | 144,165 | 143,893 | 143,622 | 143,352 | 143,082 | 142,812 | 142,541 | 142,270 | 142,000 | 141,730 | 1,718,605 |
| 14 | Total Juris. Recoverable Costs (Lines $12+13$ ) |  | 156,783 | 156,500 | 156,198 | 155,904 | 155,632 | 155,350 | 155,028 | 154,762 | 154,459 | 154,151 | 153,837 | 153,557 | 1,862,161 |

Notes:
(A) Description and reason for 'Other' adjustments to net Investment for this project
(B) Description of Adjustments to Reserve for Gross Salvage and Other Recoveries and Cost of Removal
(C) Line $6 \times 6.9072 \% \times 1 / 12$. Based on ROE of $11.5 \%$ and weighted income tax rate of $38.575 \%$ (expansion factor of 1.628002 )
(D) Description and reason for 'Other' adjustments to investment expenses for this project
(E) Line $9 \mathrm{a} \times$ Line $10 \times 1.0014$ line loss multiplier
(F) Line $9 \mathrm{~b} \times$ Line 11


Notes:
(A) Description and reason for 'Other' adjustments to net Investment for this project
(B) Description of Adjustrments to Reserve for Gross Salvage and Other Recoveries and Cost of Removal
(C) Line $6 \times 6.9072 \% \times 1 / 12$. Based on ROE of $11.5 \%$ and weighted income tax rate of $38.575 \%$ (expansion factor of 1.628002 )
(D) Description and reason for 'Other' adjustments to investment expenses for this project
(E) Line $9 \mathrm{a} \times$ Line $10 \times 1,0014$ line loss multiplier
(F) Line $9 \mathrm{~b} \times$ Line 11

## Gulf Power Company

Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC)
Calculation of the Projected Period Amount
January 2001 - December 2001
Return on Capital Investments, Depreciation and Taxes
For Project: SO2 Allowances

| (in Do |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Line | Descrintion | Beginning of Period Amount | Jamuary | February | March | April | May | June | July | August | September | October | November | December | End of Period Amount |
| 1 Investments |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | a Purchases/Transfers |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
|  | b Sales/ransfers |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
|  | c Auction Proceeds/Other |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 2 | Working Capital Balance |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | , | 0 |  |
|  | a FERC 158.1 Allowance Inventory | 84,263 | 82,270 | 80,381 | 78,118 | 75,860 | 73,480 | 70,569 | 67,422 | 64,377 | 61,644 | 58,997 | 56,479 | 54,003 |  |
|  | b FERC 158.2 Allowances Withheld | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
|  | c FERC 182.3 Other Regl. Assets - Losses | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
|  | d FERC 254 Regulatory Liabilities - Gains | $(709,437)$ | $(698,702)$ | (687,967) | (677,232) | $(666,497)$ | $(655,762)$ | $(645,027)$ | $(634,292)$ | $(623,557)$ | $(612,822)$ | $(602,087)$ | $(591,352)$ | $(580,617)$ |  |
| 3 | Total Working Capital Balance | $(625,174)$ | $(616,432)$ | $(607,586)$ | (599,114) | $(590,637)$ | $(582,282)$ | $(574,458)$ | $(566,870)$ | $(559,180)$ | (551,178) | $(543,090)$ | $(534,873)$ | $(526,614)$ |  |
| 4 | Average Net Working Capital Balance |  | $(620,803)$ | $(612,009)$ | $(603,350)$ | $(594,876)$ | $(586,460)$ | $(578,370)$ | $(570,664)$ | $(563,025)$ | $(555,179)$ | $(547,134)$ | $(538,982)$ | $(530,744)$ |  |
| 5 | Return on Average Net Working Capital Balance <br> a Equity Component Grossed Up For Taxes (A) |  | $(3,573)$ | $(3,523)$ | $(3,473)$ | $(3,424)$ | $(3,376)$ | $(3,329)$ | $(3,285)$ | (3,241) | (3,196) | $(3,149)$ | $(3,102)$ | $(3,055)$ |  |
|  | b Debt Component (Line $6 \times 3.5137 \% \times 1 / 12$ ) |  | (1,818) | (1,792) | $(1,767)$ | $(1,742)$ | (1,717) | (1,693) | (1,671) | $(1,649)$ | $(1,626)$ | $(1,602)$ | $(1,578)$ | $(1,554)$ | $(20,209)$ |
| 6 | Total Return Component (D) |  | $(5,391)$ | $(5,315)$ | $(5,240)$ | $(5,166)$ | $(5,093)$ | $(5,022)$ | $(4,956)$ | $(4,890)$ | $(4,822)$ | $(4,751)$ | $(4,680)$ | $(4,609)$ | $(59,935)$ |
| 7 | Expenses: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | a Gains |  | $(10,735)$ | $(10,735)$ | $(10,735)$ | $(10,735)$ | $(10,735)$ | $(10,735)$ | $(10,735)$ | $(10,735)$ | $(10,735)$ | $(10,735)$ | $(10,735)$ | $(10,735)$ | $(128,820)$ |
|  | b Losses |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | c SO2 Allowance Expense |  | 1,993 | 1,889 | 2,263 | 2,258 | 2,380 | 2,911 | 3,147 | 3,045 | 2,733 | 2,647 | 2,518 | 2,476 | 30,260 |
| 8 | Net Expenses (E) |  | $(8,742)$ | $(8,846)$ | $(8,472)$ | $(8,477)$ | $(8,355)$ | $(7,824)$ | $(7,588)$ | $(7,690)$ | $(8,002)$ | $(8,088)$ | $(8,217)$ | $(8,259)$ | $(98,560)$ |
| 9 | Total System Recoverable Expenses (Lines 6 7) |  | $(28,266)$ | $(28,322)$ | $(27,424)$ | $(27,286)$ | $(26,896)$ | $(25,692)$ | $(25,088)$ | $(25,160)$ | $(25,648)$ | $(25,678)$ | $(25,794)$ | $(25,736)$ | (316,990) |
|  | a Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy |  | $(28,266)$ | $(28,322)$ | $(27,424)$ | $(27,286)$ | $(26,896)$ | $(25,692)$ | $(25,088)$ | $(25,160)$ | $(25,648)$ | $(25,678)$ | $(25,794)$ | $(25,736)$ | $(316,990)$ |
|  | b Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 10 | Energy Jurisdictional Factor |  | 0.9653355 | 0.9660568 | 0.9652794 | 0.9653554 | 0.9670242 | 0.9679658 | 0.9655653 | 0.9676572 | 0.9669804 | 0.9657773 | 0.9639927 | 0.9651026 |  |
| 11 | Demand Jurisdictional Factor |  | 0.9650747 | 0.9650747 | 0.9650747 | 0.9650747 | 0.9650747 | 0.9650747 | 0.9650747 | 0.9650747 | 0.9650747 | 0.9650747 | 0.9650747 | 0.9650747 |  |
| 12 | Retail Energy-Related Recoverable Costs (B) |  | $(27,324)$ | $(27,399)$ | $(26,509)$ | $(26,378)$ | $(26,045)$ | $(24,904)$ | $(24,258)$ | $(24,380)$ | $(24,836)$ | $(24,834)$ | $(24,900)$ | $(24,873)$ | $(306,640)$ |
| 13 | Retail Demand-Related Recoverabie Costs (C) |  | 0 | 0 | 0. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 14 | Total Juris. Recoverable Costs (Lines $12+13$ ) |  | (27.324) | (27.399) | (26.509) | (26,378) | (26.045) | (24.904) | $(24,258)$ | $(24,380)$ | $(24,836)$ | $(24,834)$ | (24,900) | $(24,873)$ | (306,640) |

14 Total Juris. Recoverable Costs (Lines $12+13$ )
Notes:
(A) Line $6 \times 6.9072 \% \times 1 / 12$. Based on ROE of $11.5 \%$ and weighted income tax rate of $38.575 \%$ (expansion factor of 1.628002 )
(B) Line $9 \mathrm{a} \times$ Line $10 \times 1.0014$ line loss multiplier
(C) Line $9 \mathrm{~b} \times$ Line 11
(D) Line 6 is reported on Schedule 6E and 7 E
(E) Line 8 is reported on Schedule 4E and SE

# Gulf Power Company 

Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC)

January 2001-December 2001

## Description and Progress Report of Environmental Compliance Activities and Projects

Title: Air Quality Assurance Testing PE 1006, 1244<br>\section*{Description:}<br>Audit test trailer with associated support equipment to conduct Relative Accuracy Audits (RATA's) on the Continued Emission Monitoring Systems (CEM's) as required by the 1990 Clean Air Act Amendments.

## Accomplishments:

All RATA's have been performed in a timely and cost-effective manner and provided assurance of CEMs performance.

Project-to-Date: \$239,115
Progress Summary: In-Service.

## Projections:

The existing continuous emission monitors in the RATA test trailer will be replaced during the 2001 recovery period. This replacement will provide Gulf with the accuracy and reliability needed to accurately measure $\mathrm{SO} 2, \mathrm{NOx}, \mathrm{CO} 2$, and Opacity and further maintain compliance with Clean Air Act Ammendment requirements. The existing analyzers are approaching the end of their useful life, and will be retired upon replacement. The expected cost is $\$ 30,000$.

## Gulf Power Company

Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC) January 2001-December 2001

## Description and Progress Report of Environmental Compliance Activities and Projects

Title: Crist 5, 6 \& 7 Precipitator ProjectsPE's 1119, 1216, 1243
Description:
These projects are necessary to improve particulate removal capabilities from the burningof low sulfur coal. The larger precipitators and increased collection areas improveparticulate collection efficiency.
Accomplishments:
No visible emission violations have occurred since installation and opacity has beensubstantially reduced. The precipitators have functioned successfully in burning lowsulfur coal.
Project-to-Date: $\mathbf{\$ 2 4 , 4 4 0 , 8 2 5}$
Progress Summary: In-Service.
Projections: N/A

## Gulf Power Company

# Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC) <br> January 2001-December 2001 <br> Description and Progress Report of Environmental Compliance Activities and Projects 

Title: Crist 7 Flue Gas ConditioningPE 1228
Description:
Injection of sulfur trioxide into the flue gas to improve particulate removal and improve the collection characteristics of fly ash.
Accomplishments:
System has proven effective in enhanced particulate removal in precipitators.
Project-to-Date: \$2,179,245
Progress Summary: In-Service.
Projections: N/A

## Gulf Power Company

## Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC)

January 2001-December 2001

## Description and Progress Report of <br> Environmental Compliance Activities and Projects

Title: Low NOx Burners, Crist 6 \& 7PE's 1236, 1242
Description:
These are unique burners installed to decrease the quantities of NOx which is formed inthe combustion process. This equipment is a requirement of the 1990 Clean Air ActAmendments.
Accomplishments:System has proven effective in reduced NOx emissions.
Project-to-Date: \$16,296,360
Progress Summary: In-Service.
Projections: N/A

# Gulf Power Company <br> Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC) January 2001-December 2001 <br> <br> Description and Progress Report of <br> <br> Description and Progress Report of Environmental Compliance Activities and Projects 

 Environmental Compliance Activities and Projects}

Title: CEMs - Crist 1, 4-7, 6 \& 7; Scholz 1; Smith 1 \& 2; Daniel PE's 1154, 1164, 1240, 1245, 1286, 1289, 1290, 1311, 1323, 1440, 1441, 1442, 1459, 1460, 1558

## Description:

This equipment is dilution extraction continuous emission monitors that measure concentrations of sulfur dioxide (SO2) and nitrogen oxides (NOx) in the flue gas. Additionally, opacity and flow monitors were also installed. All monitors were installed pursuant to the 1990 Clean Air Act Amendments.

## Accomplishments:

The systems at both Gulf and Mississippi Power have successfully exceeded all quality assurance/quality control (QA/QC) audits as required by the 1990 Clean Air Act Amendments.

Project-to-Date: \$5,087,243

## Progress Summary:

Smith Units $1 \& 2$ Flow Monitor Upgrade are currently being installed and will be placed in service in the fall of 2000 . Expenditures projected at $\$ 300,000$. Existing flow monitors at Smith Units $1 \& 2$ (currently in ECRC) will be retired.

## Projections:

The gas analyzers required for measuring $\mathrm{SO} 2, \mathrm{NOx}, \mathrm{CO} 2$, and Opacity will be replaced on Plant Crist Units 6 \& 7 (PE 1154), Plant Smith Unit 1 (PE 1441) and Plant Scholz Unit $1 \& 2$ (PE 1311). These analyzers are necessary in order to provide Gulf with the accuracy and reliability needed to make accurate emission measurements and maintain compliance with the Clean Air Act Amendment requirements. The existing analyzers are approaching the end of their useful life, and will be retired upon replacement. The total cost is expected to be $\$ 575,000$.

## Gulf Power Company

Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC)
January 2001-December 2001

## Description and Progress Report of Environmental Compliance Activities and Projects

Title: Substation Contamination Mobile Groundwater Treatment System PE's 1007, 3400, 3412

## Description:

This capital purchase was the result of Gulf's decision to purchase a previously leased treatment system, which proved effective in contaminated groundwater treatment. The direct purchase of this system resulted in a reduction in long-term project expenditures.

## Accomplishments:

System has proven effective in groundwater remediation at reduced costs.
Project-to-Date: \$380,374
Progress Summary: In-Service.

## Projections:

In order to maintain compliance with state environmental regulations related to the treatment of contaminated groundwater, an additional treatment system will be purchased at a cost of $\$ 300,000$.

## Gulf Power Company

Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC)
January 2001-December 2001

## Description and Progress Report of Environmental Compliance Activities and Projects

## Title: Crist Cooling Tower Cell

PE 1232

## Description:

Pollution control device which allows condenser cooling water to be continually reinjected into the condenser. The cooling tower function limits water discharge temperatures to meet National Pollution Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) requirements.

## Accomplishments:

The additional cooling tower cell has effectively enhanced temperature discharge compliance limits as required by the NPDES Permit.

Project-to-Date: \$906,659
Progress Summary: In-Service.
Projections: N/A

# Gulf Power Company <br> Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC) <br> January 2001-December 2001 <br> Description and Progress Report of <br> Environmental Compliance Activities and Projects 

## Title: Crist 1-5 Dechlorination

## PE 1248

## Description:

State and Federal NPDES permits require significant reductions in chlorine discharge from the plant. This equipment injects sulfur trioxide ( SO 3 ) into the cooling water canal to chemically eliminate the residual chlorine present in discharge water.

## Accomplishments:

The system has been effective in maintaining chlorine discharge limits.
Project-to-Date: \$305,323
Progress Summary: In-Service.
Projections: N/A

## Gulf Power Company

Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC)
January 2001-December 2001

## Description and Progress Report of Environmental Compliance Activities and Projects

## Title: Crist Diesel Fuel Oil Remediation

PE 1270
Description:
Installation of monitor wells in the vicinity of storage tank systems to determine if groundwater contamination was present. The project included installation of an impervious cap to prevent potential migration of contaminants to surface or groundwaters.

## Accomplishments:

This activity was effective.
Project-to-Date: $\$ 47,955$
Progress Summary: In-Service.
Projections: N/A

## Gulf Power Company

## Description and Progress Report of Environmental Compliance Activities and Projects

Title: Crist Bulk Tanker Unloading Secondary ContainmentPE 1271
Description:
This project was necessary to address deficiencies identified during the August 1992Environmental Audit of Plant Crist and to minimize the potential risk of an uncontrolleddischarge of pollutants into the waters of the United States. It is also expected to be anew requirement of the Federal Spill Prevention Control and CountermeasuresRegulations presently under revision.
Accomplishments:
Unloading secondary containment complies with regulatory requirements.
Project-to-Date: \$101,495
Progress Summary: In-Service.
Projections: N/A

## Gulf Power Company

## Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC) <br> January 2001-December 2001

## Description and Progress Report of Environmental Compliance Activities and Projects

## Title: Crist IWW Sampling System PE 1275

## Description:

The 1993 revision to Plant Crist's wastewater discharge permit moved the compliance point from the end of the discharge canal to a point upstream of Thompson's Bayou. To allow for this sample point modification, a dock with access was constructed in the discharge canal. The work includes a small building for the needed monitoring and sampling equipment.

## Accomplishments:

Dock is complete and sampling events are collected at the required compliance point.
Project-to-Date: \$59,543
Progress Summary: In-Service.
Projections: N/A

## Gulf Power Company

# Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC) <br> January 2001-December 2001 

## Description and Progress Report of Environmental Compliance Activities and Projects

## Title: Smith 1 Low NOx GNOCIS <br> PE 1412

## Description:

This Generic NOx Control Intelligent System (GNOCIS) continuously and automatically adjusts boiler controls in order to optimize NOx emissions and other boiler operating parameters. GNOCIS will be added to Plant Smith Unit 1 in 2001, and is expected to offset the NOx emissions from the proposed Plant Smith Unit 3 combined cycle.

Accomplishments: N/A
Project-to-Date: N/A
Progress Summary: N/A
Projections: \$1,200,000

# Gulf Power Company <br> Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC) <br> January 2001-December 2001 <br> Description and Progress Report of <br> Environmental Compliance Activities and Projects 

## Title: Smith Sodium Injection System <br> PE 1413

## Description:

A silo storage tank system and components that injects sodium bicarbonate directly onto the coal feeder belt to enhance precipitator performance when low sulfur coal is used at Plant Smith. The injection of sodium bicarbonate as an additive to low sulfur coal reduces opacity levels to maintain compliance with Clean Air Act provisions.

## Accomplishments:

The silo storage tank and components have been installed. The system is fully operational.

Project-to-Date: \$106,496
Progress Summary: In Service.
Projections: N/A

## Gulf Power Company

## Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC)

January 2001-December 2001

## Description and Progress Report of Environmental Compliance Activities and Projects O \& M Line Item 1.1

## Title: Sulfur/Ammonia

## Description:

The Crist Unit 7 sulfur trioxide (SO3) flue gas system allows the injection of SO 3 into the flue gas stream. The addition of sulfur trioxide to the flue gas improves the collection efficiency of the precipitator when burning a low sulfur coal. Ammonia agglomerates the particles, which in turn enhances the collection efficiency of the precipitator.

## Accomplishments:

The flue gas injection system has improved the efficiency of the Crist Unit 7 precipitator allowing the unit to burn low sulfur coal in compliance with the Clean Air Act Amendments of 1990. Presently, the coal supply at Plant Crist is of such quality in sulfur content that sulfur injection is not necessary to meet the sulfur dioxide emission requirements of the Clean Air Act Amendments (CAAA).

Fiscal Expenditures: N/A
Progress Summary: See Accomplishments.
Projections: \$5,000

## Gulf Power Company

Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC)
January 2001-December 2001
Description and Progress Report of Environmental Compliance Activities and Projects O \& M Line Item 1.2

Title: Air Emission Fees

## Description:

These expenses are the annual fees required by the Florida Department of Environmental Protection (FDEP) under Title IV of the Clean Air Act Amendments of 1990.

## Accomplishments:

Fees have been paid by due dates.
Fiscal Expenditures: N/A
Progress Summary: See Accomplishments.
Projections: \$594,000

## Gulf Power Company

Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC)
January 2001-December 2001
Description and Progress Report of Environmental Compliance Activities and Projects

O \& M Line Item 1.3
Title: Title V

## Description:

These are expenses associated with the preparation of the Clean Air Act Amendments Title V permit applications and the subsequent implementation of Title V permits.

## Accomplishments:

Title V permits for Plants Crist, Smith, and Scholz were issued by FDEP in 1999. The Title V permit for the Pea Ridge Generating Facility was issued in July, 2000.

Fiscal Expenditures: N/A
Progress Summary: See Accomplishments.
Projections: \$62,616

# Gulf Power Company <br> Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC) <br> January 2001-December 2001 <br> Description and Progress Report of Environmental Compliance Activities and Projects O \& M Line Item 1.4 

Title: Asbestos Fees

## Description:

These are both annual and individual project fees due to the Florida Department of Environmental Protection (FDEP) for asbestos abatement projects. These expenses are also associated with required annual State asbestos fees.

## Accomplishments:

Fees paid as required and on a timely basis.
Fiscal Expenditures: N/A
Progress Summary: See Accomplishments.
Projections: \$4,500

## Gulf Power Company

Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC) January 2001-December 2001

Description and Progress Report of Environmental Compliance Activities and Projects O \& M Line Item 1.5

## Title: Emission Monitoring

## Description:

This program provides quality assurance/quality control testing for CEMs, including Relative Accuracy Test Audits and Linearity Tests as required by the Clean Air Act Amendments of 1990.

Accomplishments:
All systems are in compliance.
Fiscal Expenditures: N/A
Progress Summary: In Service.

## Projections:

$\$ 422,050$ is expected to be spent on Emission Monitoring during this recovery period. New activities within this category include the testing, development, and implementation of new Periodic Monitoring and Compliance Assurance Monitoring (CAM) associated with the Clean Air Act Amendments of 1990.

# Gulf Power Company <br> Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC) January 2001-December 2001 <br> Description and Progress Report of Environmental Compliance Activities and Projects O \& M Line Item 1.6 

## Title: General Water Quality

## Description:

These are ongoing activities undertaken pursuant to the Company's NPDES permit, soil contamination studies and also include surface and groundwater monitoring studies.


#### Abstract

Accomplishments: All activities are on-going and comply with all applicable environmental laws, rules, and regulations. For the ECRC approved Plant Smith CT Soil Contamination Studies, Gulf was successful in convincing FDEP that air treatment for the designed remediation system was unnecessary; air treatment and related air equipment installation, operation and maintenance can significantly increase costs of such systems. Through successful operations of the remedial system at Plant Smith, Gulf significantly reduced expenses for this project.


Fiscal Expenditures: N/A
Progress Summary: See Accomplishments
Projections: \$280,724

## Gulf Power Company

Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC) January 2001-December 2001

## Description and Progress Report of Environmental Compliance Activities and Projects O \& M Line Item 1.7

Title: Groundwater Contamination Investigation

## Description:

This project includes sampling and testing to determine possible environmental impacts to groundwater from past herbicide applications at various substation sites.

## Accomplishments:

The Florida Department of Environmental Protection has issued No Further Action (NFA) letter for 24 sites.

Fiscal Expenditures: N/A
Progress Summary: See Accomplishments
Projections: \$866,458

## Gulf Power Company

Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC) January 2001-December 2001

Description and Progress Report of Environmental Compliance Activities and Projects O \& M Line Item 1.8

## Title: State NPDES Administration

## Description:

This is the fee that is required by the State of Florida's National Pollution Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) program administration. These annual fees are required for the renewal of NPDES permits at Plants Crist, Smith and Scholz.

## Accomplishments:

Compliance with fee due dates.
Fiscal Expenditures: N/A
Progress Summary: See Accomplishments.
Projections: $\$ 34,500$

## Gulf Power Company <br> Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC) January 2001-December 2001 <br> Description and Progress Report of Environmental Compliance Activities and Projects O \& M Line Item 1.9

## Title: Lead \& Copper Rule

## Description:

These are sampling and analytical costs for lead and copper in drinking water as required by the Florida of Environmental Protection (FDEP) regulations.

## Accomplishments:

All sampling and analytical protocols are current.
Fiscal Expenditures: N/A

Progress Summary: See Accomplishments.
Projections: $\$ 21,000$

# Gulf Power Company <br> Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC) <br> January 2001-December 2001 <br> Description and Progress Report of <br> Environmental Compliance Activities and Projects <br> O \& M Line Item 1.10 

## Title: Environmental Auditing/Assessment

## Description:

This program ensures continued compliance with environmental laws, rules, and regulations through auditing and/or assessment of company facilities and operations.

## Accomplishments:

Audits and assessments accomplished to date have demonstrated compliance with environmental laws, rules, and regulations.

Fiscal Expenditures: N/A
Progress Summary:
Audits are scheduled for the fall of 2000 .
Projections: \$3,200

## Gulf Power Company

Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC)
January 2001-December 2001

## Description and Progress Report of Environmental Compliance Activities and Projects

## Title: Smith Stormwater Collection System <br> PE 1446

## Description:

The National Pollution Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) requires that industrial facilities install stormwater management systems in order to prevent the unpermitted discharge of contaminated stormwater runoff to the surface waters of the United States.

## Accomplishments:

No unpermitted discharges have occurred since system installation.
Project-to-Date: \$2,782,600
Progress Summary: In-Service.
Projections: N/A

# Gulf Power Company <br> Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC) <br> January 2001-December 2001 <br> Description and Progress Report of <br> Environmental Compliance Activities and Projects 

Title: $\begin{gathered}\text { Smith Waste Water Treatment Facility } \\ \text { PE } 1466\end{gathered}$

## Description:

The system replaced the existing septic tank system installed in the early 1960's. The new system is designed to provide secondary treatment of raw sewage and domestic waste from the plant proper. The treatment will include aeration, chlorination, and dechlorination of the wastewater prior to discharging into a drain field. This project assures compliance with our industrial waste water permits requirements.

Accomplishments: Compliance maintained.
Project-to-Date: \$175,200
Progress Summary: In-Service.
Projections: N/A

# Gulf Power Company <br> Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC) <br> January 2001-December 2001 <br> Description and Progress Report of Environmental Compliance Activities and Projects 

## Title: Daniel Ash Management Project PE 1535

## Description:

Provide for a dry ash transport system, lining of the existing bottom ash pond, capping the existing flyash pond and constructing a dry ash storage cell. This project is required to comply with existing groundwater quality standards.

Accomplishments: No reportable exceedances have occurred since system installation.
Project-to-Date: \$13,242,469
Progress Summary: In-Service.
Projections: N/A

# Gulf Power Company <br> Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC) <br> January 2001-December 2001 <br> Description and Progress Report of <br> Environmental Compliance Activities and Projects 

## Title: Underground Fuel Tank Replacement PE 4397

## Description:

To provide for the replacement of all of Gulf's underground tanks with new aboveground tanks. The environmental laws regarding underground tanks are more stringent in regard to monitoring requirements. The risk of potential discharges of petroleum products which could result in groundwater contamination and subsequent remediation are significantly reduced with the installation of above ground systems.

## Accomplishments:

All underground tanks have been replaced with above ground tank systems.
Project-to-Date: \$457,919
Progress Summary: In-Service.
Projections: N/A

> Gulf Power Company
> Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC) January 2001-December 2001
> Description and Progress Report of Environmental Compliance Activities and Projects O\& M Line Item $\mathbf{1 . 1 1}$

Title: General Solid and Hazardous Waste

## Description:

This program provides for the proper identification, handling, storage, transportation and disposal of solid and hazardous wastes.

Accomplishments:
Gulf has complied with all hazardous and solid wastes regulations.
Fiscal Expenditures: N/A
Progress Summary: See Accomplishments.
Projections: \$180,574

> Gulf Power Company
> Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC)
> January 2001-December 2001
> Description and Progress Report of
> Environmental Compliance Activities and Projects O \& M Line Item 1.12

## Title: Above Ground Storage Tank Integrity and Secondary Containment Upgrades

## Description:

This project is required under the provisions of Chapter 62-762 F.A.C. and includes specifies performance standards applicable to existing field-erected storage tank systems. These performance standards include installation of secondary containment, cathodic protection and tank integrity inspections.

Accomplishments:
Gulf has complied with all petroleum storage tank requirements.
Fiscal Expenditures: N/A

Progress Summary: See Accomplishments.
Projections: N/A

## Gulf Power Company

## Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC)

January 2001-December 2001

## Description and Progress Report of Environmental Compliance Activities and Projects O \& M Line Item 1.13

Title: Low NOx
Description:
This activity refers to the maintenance expenses associated with the Low NOx burnertips on Crist Units 4 \& 5 and Smith Unit 1.
Accomplishments:
Burner tips on Plant Crist Units 4 \& 5 and Plant Smith Unit 1 are installed and in-service.
Fiscal Expenditures: N/A
Progress Summary: See Accomplishments.
Projections: N/A

# Gulf Power Company <br> Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC) January 2001-December 2001 <br> Description and Progress Report of Environmental Compliance Activities and Projects O \& M Line Item 1.14 

Title: Crist 4-7 Ash Pond Diversion Curtains

## Description:

This project refers to the installation of additional flow diversion curtains at the Plant Crist ash pond to effectively increase water retention time in the ash pond, thereby allowing for the sedimentation/precipitation treatment process to be more effective in reducing levels of suspended particulate from the outfall at the Plant Crist ash pond.

## Accomplishments:

The diversion curtains have been installed.
Fiscal Expenditures: N/A
Progress Summary: See Accomplishments.
Projections: N/A

## Gulf Power Company

Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC) January 2001-December 2001
Description and Progress Report of Environmental Compliance Activities and Projects O \& M Line Item 1.15

Title: Mercury Emissions

## Description:

This project refers to EPA requirements to analyze coal shipments for mercury and chlorine content.

## Accomplishments:

Coal shipments are being analyzed as required.
Fiscal Expenditures: N/A

## Progress Summary:

Sampling and analytical requirements are not expected in 2001.
Projections: N/A

> Gulf Power Company
> Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC) January 2001-December 2001
> Description and Progress Report of Environmental Compliance Activities and Projects O \& M Line Item 1.16

## Title: Sodium Injection

## Description:

This project refers to the installation of a sodium injection system at Plant Smith. The activity involves sodium injection to the coal supply at Plant Smith to enhance precipitator efficiencies when burning low sulfur coal.

## Accomplishments:

Sodium carbonate is used at Plant Smith when low sulfur coal is burned.
Fiscal Expenditures: N/A
Progress Summary: See Accomplishments.
Projections: \$25,000

## Gulf Power Company

## Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC)

January 2001-December 2001

Description and Progress Report of Environmental Compliance Activities and Projects O \& M Line Item 1.17

Title: Gulf Coast Ozone Study (GCOS)

## Description:

Escambia and Santa Rosa counties are identified as potential ozone non-attainment areas due to the new eight-hour ambient air quality standards adopted by the U.S.
Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) in accordance with Title I of the Clean Air Act Amendments of 1990. This project refers to Gulf's participation in the Gulf Coast Ozone Study (GCOS) which is a joint modeling analysis between Gulf Power and the State of Florida to provide an improved basis for assessment of eight-hour ozone air quality for Northwest Florida.

Accomplishments: N/A
Expenditures: N/A

## Progress Summary:

Due to a delay in final rule development by EPA, the September 2000 scheduled completion date for GCOS has been extended until July, 2001. About $60 \%$ of episode modeling of Gulf coast ozone has been completed. The goal of the project is to develop strategies for ozone ambient air attainment to supplement Florida's SIP submission to EPA for Escambia and Santa Rosa counties.

Projections: \$501,276

## Gulf Power Company <br> Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC) <br> Calculation of the Energy \& Demand Allocation \% By Rate Class <br> January 2001 - December 2001

|  |  | (1) | $\begin{gathered} \text { (2) } \\ \text { Jan - Dec. } 2001 \end{gathered}$ | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Rate Class | Average 12 CP <br> Load Factor at Meter $\qquad$ (\%) | Projected <br> Sales <br> at Meter <br> (KWH) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Projected } \\ \text { Avg } 12 \text { CP } \\ \text { at Meter } \\ \text { (KW) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Demand Loss Expansion Factor | Energy Loss Expansion Factor. | Projected Sales at Generation (KWH) | Projected Avg 12 CP at Generation $\qquad$ | Percentage of KWH Sales at Generation - (\%) $\qquad$ | Percentage of 12 CP Demand at Generation (\%) |
|  | RS, RST, RSVP . | 58.269848\% | 4,761,643,000 | 932,843.61 | 1.1019333 | 1.0766175 | 5,126,468,183 | 1,027,931.44 | 47.31164\% | 56.10488\% |
|  | GS, GST | 58.862369\% | 283,139,000 | 54,910.81 | 1.1019255 | 1.0766135 | 304,831,270 | 60,507.62 | 2.81326\% | 3.30253\% |
|  | GSD, GSDT | 77.395927\% | 2,384,500,000 | 351,702.22 | 1.1016647 | 1.0764011 | 2,566,678,423 | 387,457.92 | 23.68762\% | 21.14760\% |
| Cr | LP, LPT | 85.767459\% | 1,889,538,000 | 251,494.78 | 1.0601470 | 1.0444167 | 1,973,465,042 | 266,621.44 | 18.21291\% | 14.55230\% |
| 3 | PX, PXT, RTP, SBS | 98.930621\% | 714,869,000 | 82,488.16 | 1.0313379 | 1.0235079 | 731,674,069 | 85,073.17 | 6.75255\% | 4.64333\% |
|  | OS-I, OS-II | 979.964079\% | 93,983,000 | 1,094.80 | 1.1020255 | 1.0766162 | 101,183,620 | 1,206.50 | 0.93381\% | 0.06585\% |
|  | OS-III | 100.678498\% | 25,513,000 | 2,892.82 | 1.1024447 | 1.0766529 | 27,468,645 | 3,189.17 | 0.25351\% | 0.17407\% |
|  | OS-IV | 254.007949\% | 3,492,000 | 156.94 | 1.1024447 | 1.0766529 | 3,759,672 | 173.02 | 0.03470\% | 0.00944\% |
|  | TOTAL |  | 10,156,677,000 | 1,677,584.14 |  |  | $\underline{10,835,528,924}$ | $\underline{1,832,160.28}$ | 100.00000\% | 100.00000\% |

## Notes:

(1) Average 12 CP load factor based on actual 1999 load research data
(2) Projected KWH sales for the period January 2001 - December 2001
(3) Calculated: $(\operatorname{Col} 2) /(8,760 \times \operatorname{Col} 1),(8,760$ hours $=$ the \# of hours in 1 year $)$
(4) Based on 1990 demand losses
(5) Based on 1990 energy losses
(6) $\operatorname{Col} 2 \times \operatorname{Col} 5$
(7) $\operatorname{Col} 3 \times \mathrm{Col} 4$
(8) $\operatorname{Col} 6 /$ total for Col 6
(9) $\operatorname{Col} 7 /$ total for $\operatorname{Col} 7$

## Gulf Power Company

Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC)

## Calculation of the Energy \& Demand Allocation \% By Rate Class

January 2001 - December 2001


Notes:
(1) From Schedule 6P, Col 8
(2) From Schedule 6P, Col 9
(3) Col $1 \times$ Total Energy $\$$ from Schedule 1P, line 5
(4) $\mathrm{Col} 2 \times$ Total Demand $\$$ from Schedule 1P, line 5
(5) $\mathrm{CoI} 3+\mathrm{Col} 4$
(6) Projected KWH sales for the period January 2001 - December 2001
(7) $\mathrm{Col} 5 / \mathrm{Col} 6 \times 100$

