BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Complaint and request for hearing by Linda J. McKenna and 54 petitioners regarding unfair rates and charges of Shangri-La by the Lake Utilities, Inc. in Lake County. DOCKET NO. 990080-WS ORDER NO. PSC-00-1765-PCO-WS ISSUED: September 27, 2000

ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE PREFILED TESTIMONY AND REVISING CONTROLLING DATES

On September 8, 2000, Shangri-La by the Lake Utilities, Inc. (Shangri-La or utility) filed a Motion for Extension of Time to File Prefiled Testimony (Motion). By its motion, Shangri-La seeks to file its prefiled testimony on October 2, 2000, instead of September 11, 2000. In support of its Motion, Shangri-La states that the utility and the Office of Public Counsel (OPC) have reached an agreement subject to the approval of some of the customers who have been actively involved in this proceeding. Additionally, Shangri-La states that OPC believes that the agreement will be acceptable to the customers but is having difficulty getting approvals and execution of the settlement agreement because some of the customers are out of state this time of year.

In further support of its motion, Shangri-la states that OPC's "rebuttal testimony is not due until November 2, 2000, so a three week extension of time will not affect Public Counsel's preparation of this case." Further, Shangri-La does not object to staff having an equal extension of time to file its testimony. OPC has indicated that it concurs with Shangri-La's motion and staff agrees provided it is given a similar three week extension which to file its prefiled testimony.

Based on the above, Shangri-La's motion is reasonable and shall be granted. Therefore, the controlling dates for the filing of the utility, staff, and rebuttal testimony as set forth in the Order Establishing Procedure, Order No. PSC-00-0629-PCO-WS, are revised as follows:

1) Utility's direct testimony and exhibits October 2, 2000

DOCUMENT NUMBER - DATE

12197 SEP 27 B

FPSC-RECORDS/PEPGRTING

ORDER NO. PSC-00-1765-PCO-WS DOCKET NO. 990080-WS PAGE 2

2) Staff's direct testimony and exhibits, if any

October 31, 2000

3) Rebuttal testimony and exhibits

November 10, 2000

Except as modified herein and by Order No. PSC-00-1239-FOF-WS (reversing the order of the parties testimony), Order No. PSC-00-0629-PCO-WS is hereby reaffirmed in all other aspects.

Based on the foregoing, it is

ORDERED by Commissioner E. Leon Jacobs, Jr., as Prehearing Officer, that Shangri-La by the Lake Utilities, Inc.'s Motion for Extension of Time to File Prefiled Testimony is granted, as set forth in the body of this Order. It is further

ORDERED that the controlling dates set forth in Order No. PSC-00-0629-PCO-WS are hereby modified, as set forth in the body of this Order.

By ORDER of Commissioner E. Leon Jacobs, Jr. as Prehearing Officer, this <u>27th</u> day of <u>September</u>, <u>2000</u>.

E. LEON JACOBS,

Commissioner and Prehearing Officer

(SEAL)

DTV

NOTICE OF FURTHER PROCEEDINGS OR JUDICIAL REVIEW

The Florida Public Service Commission is required by Section 120.569(1), Florida Statutes, to notify parties of any administrative hearing or judicial review of Commission orders that is available under Sections 120.57 or 120.68, Florida Statutes, as

ORDER NO. PSC-00-1765-PCO-WS DOCKET NO. 990080-WS PAGE 3

well as the procedures and time limits that apply. This notice should not be construed to mean all requests for an administrative hearing or judicial review will be granted or result in the relief sought.

Mediation may be available on a case-by-case basis. If mediation is conducted, it does not affect a substantially interested person's right to a hearing.

Any party adversely affected by this order, which is preliminary, procedural or intermediate in nature, may request: (1) reconsideration within 10 days pursuant to Rule 25-22.0376, Florida Administrative Code, if issued by a Prehearing Officer; (2) reconsideration within 15 days pursuant to Rule 25-22.060, Florida Administrative Code, if issued by the Commission; or (3) judicial review by the Florida Supreme Court, in the case of an electric, gas or telephone utility, or the First District Court of Appeal, in the case of a water or wastewater utility. A motion for reconsideration shall be filed with the Director, Division of Records and Reporting, in the form prescribed by Rule 25-22.060, Florida Administrative Code. Judicial review of a preliminary, procedural or intermediate ruling or order is available if review of the final action will not provide an adequate remedy. review may be requested from the appropriate court, as described above, pursuant to Rule 9.100, Florida Rules of Appellate Procedure.