



TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION DOCKET NO. 000061-EI

SUPPLEMENTAL TESTIMONY

VICTORIA L. WESTRA

12552 OCT -38

FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION 1 PREPARED SUPPLEMENTAL DIRECT TESTIMONY 2 OF 3 VICTORIA L. WESTRA 4 5 Please state your name, address, occupation and employer. 6 Q. 7 My business address is 702 My name is Vicky Westra. 8 North Franklin Street, Tampa, Florida 33602. 9 Director, Marketing and Sales for Tampa Electric Company 10 ("Tampa Electric" or "the company"). 11 12 Are you the same Vicky Westra who filed prepared direct 13 testimony in this proceeding on June 28, 2000? 14 15 Yes. 16 A. 17 What is the purpose of your supplemental testimony in 18 Q. 19 this proceeding? 20 The purpose of my supplemental testimony is to address 21 Α. 22 the significance of the correction and explanation set forth in the supplemental testimony of Tampa Electric 23 24 witness William R. Ashburn in this proceeding. 25

testimony of Mr. Ashburn, these differences are especially clear in the case of Odyssey Manufacturing, Company. ("Odyssey") and Allied/CFI.

Q. Would Tampa Electric have discovered and corrected the error described in Mr. Ashburn's supplemental testimony had negotiations with Allied/CFI continued, possibly resulting in a higher rate offer being made to Allied/CFI?

A. Since Allied/CFI terminated negotiations and no offer was made to Allied/CFI, it is impossible to answer that question. The point is that the offer being discussed with Allied/CFI at the time that it broke off negotiations was comparable to the rate negotiated with Odyssey.

Q. Is the CISR rate that was last discussed with Allied/CFI and described in Mr. Ashburn's testimony still available to Allied/CFI?

A. No. Tampa Electric no longer believes that Allied/CFI is eligible for a CISR rate. As discussed in my direct testimony, the CISR rate is reserved for "at risk" load.

Tampa Electric no longer believes that the proposed

Allied/CFI bleach plant fits that profile. 1 2 What the basis for your belief with regard 3 Allied/CFI's ineligibility for a CISR rate? 4 5 The fact that Allied/CFI has not moved to construct its 6 A. proposed plant at an alternate site suggests that the 7 8 alternatives may not be as viable, compared to the Tampa Tampa Electric originally believed. site, as Since 9 Allied/CFI appears to be content to litigate this case to 10 either attain a lower rate from Tampa Electric or deny 11 Odyssey its CISR, then there is no apparent justification 12 for offering Allied/CFI a CISR rate. 13 14 Does this conclude your supplemental testimony? Q. 15 16 Yes it does. 17 18 1.9 20 21 22 23

24

25