AUSLEY & MCMULLEN

ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELORS AT LAW

227 SOUTH CALHOUN STREET P.O. BOX 391 (ZIP 32302) TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32301 (850) 224-9115 FAX (850) 222-7560

October 16, 2000

HAND DELIVERED

Ms. Blanca S. Bayo, Director Division of Records and Reporting Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Re: Petition for approval of a special contract with IMC Phosphates Company for provision of interruptible electric service by Tampa Electric Company FPSC Docket No. 001287-EI

Dear Ms. Bayo:

Enclosed for filing in the above docket are the original and fifteen (15) copies of Tampa Electric Company's Objections in Response to Staff's First Set of Interrogatories (Nos. 1-23).

Please acknowledge receipt and filing of the above by stamping the duplicate copy of this letter and returning same to this writer.

Thank you for your assistance in connection with this matter.

Sincerely,

Am lang

James D. Beasley

JDB/pp Enclosures

> DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE 13123 OCT 168 FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

)

)

)

In re: Petition for approval of a special contract with IMC Phosphates Company for provision of interruptible electric service by Tampa Electric Company. DOCKET NO. 001287-EI FILED: October 16, 2000

TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY'S OBJECTIONS IN RESPONSE TO STAFF'S FIRST SET OF INTERROGATORIES (NOS.1-23)

Pursuant to Rule 1.340, Florida Rules of Civil Procedure and Rule 28-106.206, Florida Administrative Code, Tampa Electric Company ("Tampa Electric" or "Company"), by and through its undersigned counsel, hereby files its objections to the First Set of Interrogatories (Nos. 1 - 23) served by the Staff of the Florida Public Service Commission ("Staff") on Tampa Electric and says:

Objections to Specific Request

1. Interrogatory 6a) asks Tampa Electric to provide the date, time, duration, MWH purchased and dollar/MWH for each time Tampa Electric purchased power for IMC under the optional provision covering the period January 1997 through August 2000. Tampa Electric Company objects to Interrogatory No. 6a) and moves for a protective order relative to such interrogatory on the ground that responding to this interrogatory would require very lengthy and time consuming analysis of numerous documents, including daily log sheets for each day and hour of optional provision purchase for each IMC account since January 1997, and the preparation of summaries of such documents. The creation of the response would require the devotion of significant resources thus effecting an undue burden and expense on Tampa Electric that would have little probative value in this proceeding. In lieu of having to create an analysis of the type described in Interrogatory 6a), Tampa Electric offers to provide Staff with monthly summaries of IMC's purchases, both on-peak and off-peak, detailing the MWH purchased and the dollars per MWH charged to IMC, together with the dates, times and durations for all interruptions of interruptible customers covering the period in question. This should provide Staff the information it needs without unduly burdening Tampa Electric. If that information is not adequate for Staff's purposes, then Tampa Electric, pursuant to Rule 1.340(c), Florida Rules of Civil Procedure, will offer up the underlying documents from which Staff's requested analysis can be derived in order to allow Staff to prepare its own compilation, abstract or summary based on these records. The burden of performing such work would be substantially the same for Staff as it would be for Tampa Electric. Tampa Electric would provide such documents on a confidential basis in the offices of Tallahassee counsel together with sufficiently detailed information to permit Staff to locate and identify the records from which the answer may be derived or ascertained.

2. Tampa Electric objects to Interrogatory No. 23 on the grounds that it calls for information that is speculative and not relevant to the subject matter of the Petition pending before the Commission in this proceeding.

3. The Company is anxious to provide the Commission and Staff with any information that is reasonably calculated to assist the Commission in its deliberations. However, Interrogatory No. 23 would require Tampa Electric to devote significant

2

resources to the creation of an analysis that would be based on scenarios that are not relevant.

4. The exercise of exploring how IMC would have fared under the proposed interruptible service agreement if that agreement had been in effect between January 1998 and August 2000 is meaningful only if one assumes that the relevant circumstances extant during that period will recur and persist in the future. Tampa Electric respectfully suggests that there is no reasonable basis for that heroic assumption since the proposed rates were established on the basis of projected future circumstances.

WHEREFORE, Tampa Electric submits the foregoing objections to the First Set of Interrogatories (Nos. 1- 23) served by the Staff in this proceeding.

DATED this <u>16.</u> ³ day of October, 2000.

Respectfully submitted,

HARRY W. LONG, JR. Assistant General Counsel Tampa Electric Company Post Office Box 111 Tampa, Florida 33601 (813) 228-1702

and

agen

LEE L. WILLIS JAMES D. BEASLEY Ausley & McMullen Post Office Box 391 Tallahassee, Florida 32302 (850) 224-9115

ATTORNEYS FOR TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true copy of the foregoing Objections in Response to Staff's First Set of Interrogatories, has been served by hand delivery on this $\frac{16}{16}$ day of

October 2000 to the following:

Ms. Deborah D. Hart Staff Counsel Division of Legal Services Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

, OBer-

ATTORNEY