13135 OCT 178

FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

1		BEFORE THE	
2	FLORIDA	PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION	
3	In the Matter	: c of : DOCKET NO. 991643-SU	т
4		:	,
5	APPLICATION FOR INCH	SEVEN :	
6	SPRINGS SYSTEM IN PACOUNTY BY ALOHA UTIL		
7			
8		*********	· * *
9	* * ELECTRON	NIC VERSIONS OF THIS TRANSCRIPT	*
10		ONVENIENCE COPY ONLY AND ARE NOT ICIAL TRANSCRIPT OF THE HEARING	*
		NOT INCLUDE PREFILED TESTIMONY.	
11	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	*
12		VOLUME 3	
13			
14	P	ages 277 through 363	
15	PROCEEDINGS:	HEARING	
16	BEFORE:	COMMISSIONER E. LEON JACOBS, JR. COMMISSIONER LILA A. JABER	
17		COMMISSIONER BRAULIO L. BAEZ	
18	DATE:	Monday, October 2, 2000	多
19	TIME:	Commenced at 6:00 p.m. Concluded at 8:30 p.m.	
20	DI AGE	A	
21	PLACE:	Spartan Manor 6121 Massachusetts Avenue New Port Richey, Florida	Salar.
22		-	
23	REPORTED BY:	KORETTA E. STANFORD, RPR TRICIA DeMARTE	
		Official FPSC Reporters	
24			
25	APPEARANCES:	(As heretofore noted.)	
	FLORIDA	A PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION DOCU	MENT NUMBER-DA

1	INDEX	
2	WITNESSES	
3	NAME:	PAGE NO.
4	GEORGE STEELE	
5	Direct Statement	289
6	Cross Examination by Mr. Wharton	290
7	HELEN KETNER	
8	Direct Statement	291
9	LUCY RUFFLE	
10	Direct Statement	292
11	JAMES TURTLE	
12	Direct Statement Cross Examination by Mr. Wharton	295 298
13	Cross Examination by Mr. Wharton	230
14	DOMINIC CIFELLI, SR.	
15	Direct Statement	299
16	DIANE SHONITSKY	
17	Direct Statement	306
18	DOMINIC CIFELLI, SR. (Recalled)	
19	Direct Statement	308
20	JAMES DEAN, SR.	
21	Direct Statement Cross Examination by Mr. Wharton	311 314
22		214
23		
24		
25		

NAME: PAGE NO. JOSEPH J. McMAHON Direct Statement Cross Examination by Mr. Jaeger ROBERT DOBRZYKOWSKI Direct Statement BOB McCLOSKEY Direct Statement JOHN HATSIOS Direct Statement JERRY ROSIN Direct Statement VINCENT CORELLI Direct Statement Cross Examination by Mr. Wharton NEAL ALLEN Direct Statement DORTHEA GRACA Direct Statement ROBERT CLAYTON Direct Statement

INDEX OF WITNESS CONTINUED:

т.	INDEA OF WITNESS CONTINUED:	
2	NAME:	PAGE NO
3	MARY RITA WARD	
4	Direct Statement	343
5	FRED GREEN	343
6	Direct Statement	345
7	AL SHONITSKY	
8	Direct Statement	346
9		
10	DORIS BOYCE	
11	Direct Statement	348
12	RANDY C. PACKER	
13	Direct Statement	349
14	JOSEPH J. McMAHON (Recalled)	
15	Direct Statement	356
16		
17		
18	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTERS	363
19		
20		
21		
23		
24		
25		
2.5		

PROCEEDINGS

(Transcript continues in sequence from Volume 2.)

COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Good afternoon. I want to welcome you all to our proceeding this evening. We would like to get started. Counsel, read the notice.

MR. JAEGER: Yes, Commissioner Jacobs. Pursuant to notice issued September 12th, 2000, this time and place was set aside for the second customer session in Docket Number 991643-SU, application for increase in wastewater rates in the Seven Springs Division by Aloha Utilities, Incorporated, in Pasco County.

COMMISSIONER JACOBS: I'd like to welcome you to our proceeding this evening. This is in the matter of the application for rate increase for wastewater rates in Seven Springs Systems, Pasco County, for the Aloha Utilities.

Let me first introduce my colleagues to you.

First, my name -- I guess I should introduce myself. My

name is Leon Jacobs. I am a Commissioner at the Public

Service Commission. I will be presiding this evening. To

my right is Commissioner Braulio Baez, and to my left is

Commissioner Lila Jaber. We are each members of the

Public Service Commission sitting as a panel. We will be

taking your customer comments this evening regarding this

matter.

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And it's important to note that we are here regarding your comments on the quality of the wastewater service from this company. Your comments today will be We are, I believe, still live on the Internet, recorded. so your comments will also go out over the Internet. have a court reporter, so I would ask you if you are going to come forward to testify, when you come forward, please state your name, and if you are comfortable, you can state your address. If not, that's fine. And we'd like you to be clear and concise in your statements, and please speak into the microphone. You will come up to the chair here at the table. It's important for us, in order to have a correct record, that we only have one person speaking at a time, and so we would ask that you would really limit your comments from the audience so that we can be clear and capture in the record as they are told.

Now, your input, along with the input from your neighbors that we gathered this morning, will become a part of what we call a record. We are developing background facts and information relating to the petition of the company in this case. And that will then go into a process whereby the Commission and its Staff will review and scrub those numbers, and we will come up with some final resolution of the issues that have been brought

forth for us. That process will generally take about eight months to complete. And it began officially already with the filing of the company's petition, so we're into that process already.

This is a fairly legal process and much of what we've done -- some of you came in earlier, and you saw that we were in the midst of a legal proceeding this afternoon taking testimony from witnesses. We will complete that process tomorrow when we complete other technical testimony from witnesses. And then that will complete the taking of -- I'm sorry, take that back. There is a second phase in this case that we will convene again in -- I believe it's November 2nd in Tallahassee, and we will take additional information regarding one more issue that's being raised in this case, and then that will complete the taking of testimony for the case.

Now, during the pendency of this proceeding, the parties -- there is now a party that has intervened, and that party is the Office of Public Counsel. And as you are probably familiar, the Office of Public Counsel generally intervenes to pursue and explore the issues that are in this case from the perspective of ratepayers, and so they are really very much your voice into this process. They may bring in additional information to this process as well, and indeed, the Public Counsel has offered

testimony from witnesses that they have sponsored to enlighten the Commission on facts as they relate to the consumers' interest.

The Commission will hold a further public proceeding once we have gathered the information that we've talked about and once Staff has had an opportunity to review and analyze that information. And our Staff will then present a written recommendation, which essentially summarizes the facts and evidence that we have gathered, and makes recommendations as to how to resolve the issues in this docket. We expect that that will be prepared sometime in early January of next year, and then it will be presented to us, and we should render a vote sometime in the middle of January as presently scheduled.

It might be useful to give a brief background on the process here. The petition, again, is for the wastewater side of this company. And what is essentially being asserted is that there is a need for them to increase their rates in order to achieve what they have been approved to earn as a return on the investment of the company. Now, it's important to note that there is never a guarantee to the company. We are only here to ensure that they have a fair opportunity to achieve that return.

We've already identified the Public Counsel and the party's counsel, the company's counsel that are

prepared -- that they would wish they could introduce themselves to you further. We won't take that time this evening so that we can expedite our taking of testimony this evening. We also have Staff, and it's important to give you an idea of the role of our Staff. If you will view us, if you will, as judges in a court of law, then our Staff is pretty much a judicial assistant. They will take the evidence that's come in, and they will evaluate it outside of any interest of any parties but only as presented objectively in the record of this case, and then they will make their recommendations based on that record. And this is an important point because what we will discuss in a moment is much of your concerns about the water problem, but that's an important point to remember, that we can only look at information as it relates to the issues in the wastewater case. And that's what Staff will be looking at when they take the evidence that you present here today.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I will forego introducing our Staff. They are very competent. And I'm sure they would prefer for me to move expeditiously through this part of the presentation anyway. As I indicated a moment ago, I know that many of you are aware and have become very, very involved with the water issues involving this company. As you recall in March and April, the Commission came down and conducted

proceedings regarding the quality of service for the water system, and we heard from many of you at that time.

What has -- there's been some significant progress, but I'm sure many of you would want more, but we've made some progress in evaluating the issues regarding the black water. Under Commissioner Jaber's leadership, we convened a multiagency task force that has begun to meet and has several subgroups that are looking very seriously at some of the underlying issues with regard to the black water, and we are very excited that there could be some meaningful results that come out of that.

Now, having said that, I know that you would like to see immediate results, but I offered that so that you understand that while you may not see it every day, we are actively interested in and engaged in some sort of resolution to that problem at least going forward. Now, as it relates to our proceedings today, however, in order for us to carry out our duties in this particular case, we need to conserve as much time as we can to dealing with the wastewater company. And we will ask you to limit your comments to your views about the quality of service that you receive from the wastewater company.

I understand that many of you are here otherwise, and I will give you a brief bit of latitude on

that, but I really would ask you to help us because we are on a tight time line to complete our work on this docket. So if we go very late tonight dealing with those issues, it will cause us more difficulties to complete our work on this docket. It does not mean that you do not have the opportunity to continue to monitor what we're doing with regard to the black water issue. That very much is available to you.

If you want to you, you can monitor the meetings and view the minutes of meetings of the interagency task force that I referred to you by either getting on our website, that's at www.floridapsc.com, and there will be some directions there for you to monitor and review the minutes of the meetings of that task force. Feel free to ask our Staff any questions that you would like here today, and, of course, you can send us your written comments if you'd like.

And with that, I will simply ask you to be considerate of us, and of course, if someone has already stated your comments when you come up, feel free to adopt those comments as they have been stated already in the record. And I thank you for your corporation on that.

And with that, we are now going to swear in all of those who would intend to testify tonight. Again, as I indicated, this is a legal proceeding, and your comments

1	are going to be a part of an official legal record. And
2	so if you would, all of those who are intending to
3	testify, would you please rise and raise your right hand.
4	(Witnesses collectively sworn.)
5	COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Thank you very much. You
6	may be seated.
7	Counsel, are there any other preliminary
8	matters?
9	MR. JAEGER: No, Commissioner. I think we're
10	ready to go.
11	COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Very well. And then,
12	Mr. Burgess, would you like to have any opening comments?
13	Or you are free to proceed.
14	MR. BURGESS: I don't think so. I think I can
15	just go ahead and
16	COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Okay. Call your
17	first witness.
18	MR. BURGESS: The first witness I have by the
19	way, I'm going to be calling the witnesses in the order I
20	understand that people came in and signed up, and so we
21	will be following approximately that order.
22	And the first witness I have listed is
23	Mr. Steele, Mr. George Steele.
24	MR. WHARTON: And Commissioner Jacobs
25	COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Before we get going go

1	ahead and have a seat. Let me go ahead and introduce the	
2	parties. The gentleman who just spoke to you is	
3	Steve Burgess. He is on the staff of Jack Shreve at the	
4	Office of Public Counsel, and he will be introducing you	
5	as a witness into the proceeding today.	
6	We have Staff counsel I'm sorry, counsel for	
7	the company is John Wharton, and we have Ralph Jaeger and	
8	Jason Fulle who are Staff attorneys. Mr. Wharton.	
9	MR. WHARTON: Commissioner Jacobs, just because	
10	I don't want to interrupt anyone and because we did	
11	reconvene, I just want to make sure the record is clear	
12	that our relevancy objection is a continuing one on the	
13	water testimony.	
14	COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Very well. Would you,	
15	please, introduce yourself.	
16	GEORGE STEELE	
17	was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the	
18	State of Florida and, having been duly sworn, testified as	
19	follows:	
20	DIRECT STATEMENT	
21	MR. STEELE: I just have a very short statement	
22	here.	
23	MR. BURGESS: Mr. Steele, could you begin by	
24	stating your name, and then spelling it and giving your	

address, so that then we can proceed.

25

Τ.	Mr. Siende: My hame is George Steele,
2	G-E-O-R-G-E S-T-E-E-L-E. I live in Tahitian Gardens
3	Circle. We are affiliated with Aloha Utilities there.
4	And my comment is, right now, I have a water bill here.
5	It's \$12.85 for our water bill. The sewer is \$28.24. You
6	add 57 percent to that, we're talking about \$44 to \$45
7	just for the sewer. I think that's a little out of hand.
8	I think Mr. Watford needs to take a little bit of the
9	money he gives his wife and put it into the building he's
10	proposing. That's all I have. Thank you.
11	MR. BURGESS: Thank you, Mr. Steele.
12	COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Do you have questions?
13	MR. WHARTON: I have questions.
14	COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Excuse me, Mr. Steele.
15	Excuse me, Mr. Steele. I may have neglected to mention
16	that once you have given your comments, there may be some
17	questions that the counsel for the company may want to as
18	you to clarify. I think he has a couple of questions.
19	CROSS EXAMINATION
20	BY MR. WHARTON:
21	Q Sir, you don't live in the Seven Springs
22	A No, I live adjacent to Aloha what do you
23	call it Aloha Gardens.
24	Q So do you understand that this particular
25	increase would not affect you or your bill?

Τ	A It affects Aloha Gardens, it affects us.	
2	Q It only affects Seven Springs, sir. You are not	
3	aware of that? I mean, either you are or you aren't.	
4	A No, I wasn't. No.	
5	Q But you don't live in Seven Springs?	
6	A No.	
7	MR. WHARTON: Okay. Thank you.	
8	COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Any other questions?	
9,	MR. STEELE: Anything else?	
10	COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Thank you very much,	
11	Mr. Steele.	
12	(Witness excused.)	
13	MR. BURGESS: Ms. Ketner, Ms. Helen Ketner.	
14	HELEN KETNER	
15	was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the	
16	State of Florida and, having been duly sworn, testified as	
17	follows:	
18	DIRECT STATEMENT	
19	MS. KETNER: I am Helen Ketner, Seven Springs	
20	Parklake Estates. Why I'm here is, why are we getting the	
21	bills that we're getting? We've got 42 widows in our	
22	subdivision which will probably have to leave because	
23	we're not going to be able to have Aloha Utilities. We're	
24	paying waste management for all these new tracts that are	
25	coming in at the end of our subdivision which I don't	

think we should be responsible for. I've been here for 1 2 21 years. 3 MR. BURGESS: Thank you, Ms. Ketner. Do you 4 have any more? 5 MS. KETNER: That's it. 6 COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Thank you. 7 (Witness excused.) MR. BURGESS: Ms. Ruffle, Ms. Lucy Ruffle. 8 9 LUCY RUFFLE was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the 10 11 State of Florida and, having been duly sworn, testified as 12 follows: 13 DIRECT STATEMENT 14 MS. RUFFLE: I am Lucy Ruffle. I live in 15 Parklake Estates. I've been there for 23 years, and it seems as though every month my bill is higher and higher. 16 17 I had called a plumber, and the plumber -- I got the 18 plumber bill here. I paid him \$32. He looked at 19 everything, and he says, checked all other plumbing okay. 20 And that was on 9-20. I sent a copy of this in to --21 well, whoever I was supposed to send it. 22 Anyway, I got another copy here, and my last bill -- I live alone; I'm a widow. My bill was \$81.91 for 23 24 one month. And this month I got another bill. I haven't

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

paid it yet because I've got until the 20th, and that bill

25

1	is \$80.58. Now, something is wrong. I would like a new
2	meter. They keep telling me the meter is all right. Now,
3	after 23 years, I think I deserve a new meter. Don't you
4	think so? I bet you don't pay that much. I bet your bill
5	ain't that high.
6	COMMISSIONER JACOBS: I better not say anything.
7	I have a teenage daughter, so that may explain it all.
8	Ms. Ruffle, what I'd like for you to do is get
9	with our Staff and have them take a look at that. I'd
10	like for them to follow up with you on that. I don't know
11	what may be the background, but they will follow up on
12	that with you, if you will.
13	MS. RUFFLE: They don't want to talk to you on
14	the telephone about this anyway.
15	COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Well, if you don't get a
16	response from them, just call me and let me know. I'm
17	sure you will, though.
18	MS. RUFFLE: I know a copy of this went up to
19	Tallahassee, but I have another bill here for \$80.58, and
20	that's way too high anyway.
21	COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Now, you mentioned that
22	you had a plumber come out. Was it
23	MS. RUFFLE: The plumber, his name was Virgil
24	Cable Plumbing Company.

COMMISSIONER JACOBS: It was just a general kind

25

1	of a call? It wasn't anything relating to your water
2	MS. RUFFLE: Pardon me?
3	COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Did he come out relating
4	in any way to your wastewater service, or was it just a
5	general call?
6	MS. RUFFLE: No, I called him.
7	COMMISSIONER JACOBS: For what reason?
8	MS. RUFFLE: I called him and asked him to take
9	a look at everything. I said, "Something has got to be
10	wrong."
11	COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Oh, I see, because of your
12	high bills.
13	MS. RUFFLE: Because in July, my bill was
14	\$38.36, but then from July, I didn't keep track of
15	everything. Then I got another one for \$69.16, and then
16	81.91, and then this one I have until the 20th to pay for
17	it. I haven't paid for it yet.
18	COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Okay. Someone from Staff
19	will speak with you, and we will follow up with you on
20	that.
21	MS. RUFFLE: Huh?
22	COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Someone from our Staff
23	will speak with you, and we will follow up with you on
24	that.
25	MS. RUFFLE: Okay.

1 COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Any questions? Thank you 2 very much. 3 MR. BURGESS: Thank you, Ms. Ruffle. MS. RUFFLE: Is that it? 4 5 COMMISSIONER JACOBS: You're excused. 6 (Witness excused.) 7 MS. RUFFLE: Mr. Turtle, James Turtle. 8 JAMES TURTLE 9 was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the 10 State of Florida and, having been duly sworn, testified as 11 follows: 12 DIRECT STATEMENT 13 MR. TURTLE: I'm Jim Turtle. I'm president of 14 the TAT Civic Association. I represent 2,287 homes. Now, 15 I asked the people in my community not to come tonight or 16 otherwise this room would not be big enough, but I have 17 heard their stories and their problems, and frankly, I 18 don't think that this company deserves a 57 percent 19 increase in their rates. 20 Many times we have read in the newspapers that 21 Aloha Utilities have been requested by the PSC to provide 22 documentation that would justify rate increases, and 23 according to the news, this has not been provided. Am I incorrect in that? I ask Aloha Utilities: Have you 24

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

provided the documentation to the PSC so they could make a

25

judgmental decision about the rate increases?

COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Technically, their

attorney -- I would be happy for them to give you that

4 answer, but in terms of asking for evidence in the record,

5 we're going to let their witnesses to take care of that.

But, if you would, just --

MR. WHARTON: Aloha has provided everything that it has been asked to provide, and there are no such requests outstanding.

MR. TURTLE: Okay. I understand also that there is a future purchase of a building facility, \$565,000.

Are you planning to pass that on to the customers for the purchase price?

COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Again, that's an issue in the case that the company -- the way it's been presented, the company is asking for some recovery, but again, what the ultimate result of that will be what we vote as a result of the evidence that's taken in this case.

MR. TURTLE: Okay. I'm not a learned man, but I did work for the IRS for a very short term, and that's what made me a little bit smarter not to work for them any longer, but from what I understood when a business purchases a building, it becomes part of their assets. They are allowed then to later write it off as taxes because that's a cost of doing business.

.

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

Now, if we are going to be charged as a user of these facilities because they had to buy a building so they no longer have to rent one, I feel that really doesn't justify it. Those of us that are homeowners, we buy our house because we know that's cheaper in the long run; we're building up an asset. And if this company turns around and sells their business, that is part of the assets. So we're paying -- would be paying for the building. They get a tax write-off, and then they turn around and sell it to somebody else, and we're paying for all that. I really don't feel that that is justified.

COMMISSIONER JACOBS: I see.

MR. TURTLE: That is a consideration. The people in my community are mostly retired senior citizens. They don't get a 57 percent increase in many years of their retirement. I don't feel a utility can justify that kind of an increase in a period of even 10 years. That's 5.7 it would be in 10 years. You know, it would be nice for us to be able to say in 10 years we'll average a 10 percent increase on our savings. We can't do that.

I understand they are in business to make money, but not over the cost of our senior citizens. We can't afford that. They can't afford it. They moved down to Florida for a better life, not to be taken advantage of. That's the way we feel in our community.

1	COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Mr. Turtle, may I ask you
2	a question? You indicated you are a TAT homeowner's
3	MR. TURTLE: Yes.
4	COMMISSIONER JACOBS: What does that cover?
5	MR. TURTLE: Tahitian Homes, Aloha Gardens, and
6	Tiki Village.
7	MR. WHARTON: Commissioner?
8	COMMISSIONER JACOBS: I'm sorry. Mr. Turtle,
9	I'm sorry, the company's attorney has a couple of
10	questions for you.
11	MR. TURTLE: Certainly.
L2	CROSS EXAMINATION
L3	BY MR. WHARTON:
L4	Q Mr. Turtle, you don't reside in Seven Springs,
15	do you?
16	A No, sir. I live in Aloha Gardens.
17.	Q And the group that you said that you help
18	represent is not Seven Springs; is that correct?
L9	A That's correct.
20	Q Do you understand that the proceeding in which
21	you are testifying as a witness only involves a rate
22	increase for residents of Seven Springs?
23	A No. But I tell you what well, I realize it's
24	coming there, but it's us next, we're next.
25	Q But you understand this proceeding

1	A I understand we're next.	
2	Q You understand this proceeding only involves	
3	Seven Springs?	
4	A I understand that, but I understand we're next.	
5	Q What's the basis of that understanding, sir?	
6	A Past history of Aloha Garden Utilities.	
7	Any more questions?	
8	MR. WHARTON: That's all we have.	
9	MR. TURTLE: Thank you.	
10	(Witness excused.)	
11	MR. BURGESS: Mr. Cifelli, Dominic Cifelli.	
12	DOMINIC CIFELLI, SR.	
13	was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the	
14	State of Florida and, having been duly sworn, testified as	
15	follows:	
16	DIRECT STATEMENT	
17	MR. CIFELLI: My name is Dominic Cifelli,	
18	C-I-F-E-L-L-I, Sr. And apparently, I can't go through all	
19	of this here because I will take all night. I have a bill	
20	here. It's only my wife and I, and we live in Woodtrail	
21	Village, which is the Seven Springs area. I got a bill	
22	here. In 1997, from September to October, 30 days, 36,440	
23	gallons of water. I have spoke to the PSC I don't know	
24	how many times, how much money I spent on the phone, how	
25	much money I spent on return receipts requested. I spoke	

to Kate Smith, Jack Shreve, Harold McLean, Charles Beck, Beverly DeMello, John Plescow, which I consider a very nasty person, Diana Caldwell, Pam Johnson, and there are a few more names that I didn't write down, and I got absolutely no satisfaction.

1.6

For two people to use 36,440 gallons of water in 30 days, I don't think I can use that in six months. And I refused paying the bill, but we had intentions of moving out of this State and then certain things come up. And while we're looking for a home, stupid me paid the bill because I figured there would be a lien on my house when I want to sell it, because this is a type of individual that Mr. Watford is. I had a meeting with Mr. Watford on this here, my wife and I. It would be better if I stood in the chair and talked to the wall.

I asked him, you mean to tell me you can't call one of the girls and tell them to make a corrected bill for Mr. and Mrs. Cifelli because this is ridiculous? He says no. He's supposed to be the president of Aloha. If the president of a company can't do something like that, something wrong.

I have a fire hydrant in front of my house which is on my property. I don't charge him rent. Of 12 years, it's been flushed twice, and twice that it was flushed, I had to call Aloha to come and clean my sidewalk because it

was black as can be. So after the first time, I said, look, if it happens again, put a hose on there, let it go on the street. Well, apparently, he didn't hear me, he didn't want to listen to me. So the second time, they do the same thing, and they blacked out my whole sidewalk. And I have a corner house, so you can imagine the sidewalk that I have.

And this man is asking for a raise. This man shouldn't even be in business. This man shouldn't have a license. Then I read in the paper he's being evicted. If I had those people in my place, I would have evicted him a long time ago. And he wants to buy a place for \$750,000, but he wants us to pay for it. And you people sitting here think it's right. When you people get to be 75 and 80 years old, then you're going to find out what it's all about. You think because we're millionaires -- we sell our homes, we come down here we're millionaires? Try it, and you'll find out.

I'm very disappointed in the PSC, I'll tell you right now. All the people that I named out over here that I spoke to couldn't do nothing. It's only a matter of \$55. I've given that down the sewer. I've given more than \$55. Anybody who knocks on my door, I give them money. It doesn't mean nothing to me. It's the principle of the thing. No way should Aloha get a raise or should

we pay for his building. I want to go by a house for \$600,000, you all want to pay for it? It's the same thing.

Just like that gentleman said, when he's ready to go out of business and he sells it with our money, do we get anything out of it? No. Am I mad? I'm not mad. I'm angry and I'm disappointed with all you people. I really am. I wrote letters. I spent more than \$55 talking to the PSC, sending letters and everything else. And that gentleman over there looks like he doesn't even want to listen, and I don't know who he is. I can show you bills. I can show you bills here. If you could see another bill for 36,000, fine.

COMMISSIONER JACOBS: I'd like to take --

MR. CIFELLI: And I'd like to know if you and your wife would use 36,640 gallons of water in 30 days. You know what that amounts to? Twelve-hundred gallons a day for 30 days. Who can use that water? I want a new meter. You would think I was asking for the world or something. I want a new meter. Put a new meter -- a shock went through this meter or something. I don't know. I don't have no pool; I don't have a sprinkler system; and it's my wife and I. We get up in the morning; we'll take a shower; I shave. What else do we do? Make a pot of coffee, go to the bathroom a couple of times a day, what

else? Do you use 36,000 gallons of water in 30 days?

MR. BURGESS: I never have.

1.8

MR. CIFELLI: No. When I asked the people from the PSC, you know what they told me? Well, we have wells. What does that mean? That means they don't care. That's what it means. Well, we'll take care of it, Mr. Cifelli. I have letters here. I have letters in here. We'll take care of it for you. Two days later, they call you, well, you know this, that -- what are you talking about? P-S-C, Public Service Commission, that means they're supposed to do something for the public. Well, I'm the public.

Do you think I would spend money on phone calls?

Do you think I would spend money on return receipts

requested? Do you know how much that costs today? But if

I wasn't moving, I would have never paid that bill. I

would have never paid it. I only done it because I know

that he would have done that because that's the type of

individual he is.

COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Mr. Cifelli.

MR. CIFELLI: That man should not be in business, period. And the only reason why Mr. Watford is the president of this company is because he's part of the family; otherwise, he couldn't manage a candy store.

COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Mr. Cifelli, excuse me.
Mr. Cifelli, if I may, I'd like to get copies of your

Τ.	documentation. Is that okay?
2	MR. CIFELLI: You could have copies of
3	everything here, sir, whatever you want.
4	COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Okay. And I will
5	personally get back in touch with you
6	MR. CIFELLI: Whatever you want.
7	COMMISSIONER JACOBS: about how we've handled
8	this and what you can expect our resolution will be.
9	MR. CIFELLI: I'm sure if you talk to
10	Jack Shreve and you mention my name, he'll know me right
11	away. I don't know what he looks like. Mr. McLean, I
12	don't know what he looks like. They might be sitting
13	right in here now. Kate Smith, I don't know what she
14	looks like. I don't know.
15	COMMISSIONER JACOBS: I won't bother you
16	MR. CIFELLI: I have no idea.
17	COMMISSIONER JACOBS: I won't bother you with
18	all the distinctions and details, but let me get your
19	information and we'll get back to you.
20	MR. CIFELLI: But if you give them my name, they
21	will know who I am.
22	COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Okay. If you would, leave
23	them
24	MR. CIFELLI: I even spoke to Ms. DeMello's
25	lawyer. Ms. DeMello, who is supposed to be so high, I
	FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

_	don't know it she's still there, but I spoke to her
2	attorney and she couldn't do nothing. That's
3	unbelievable. The PSC couldn't do nothing about it.
4	That's unbelievable.
5	COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Would you leave that for
6	me?
7	MR. CIFELLI: Sure, whatever you want.
8	COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Okay. Your bills and the
9	letters that you've received.
10	MR. CIFELLI: Yeah, I've got everything in here
11	COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Okay. That will be fine
12	MR. CIFELLI: You want the whole folder?
13	COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Yes, if you will.
14	MR. CIFELLI: Sure.
15	COMMISSIONER JACOBS: And I will make sure you
16	get it back.
17	MR. CIFELLI: Sure.
1.8	COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Thank you.
19	(Witness excused.)
20	MR. BURGESS: Ms. Shonitsky, Diane Shonitsky.
21	MR. JAEGER: Commissioner Jacobs, I didn't get
22	to cross him, and I wanted to make sure of when that
23	36,000 bill, but if you're getting the documentation, I
24	guess that will be okay. I just wanted to make sure of
25	the date of it

DIANE SHONITSKY 1 2 was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the 3 State of Florida and, having been duly sworn, testified as 4 follows: 5 DIRECT STATEMENT 6 MS. SHONITSKY: My name is Diane Shonitsky. 7 live at 3533 Glenburn Court in Veterans Village. 8 COMMISSIONER JACOBS: May I get you to spell 9 your last name, please. 10 MS. SHONITSKY: S-H-O-N-I-T-S-K-Y. 11 COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Thank you. 12 MS. SHONITSKY: We have only lived in Veterans 13 Village approximately four years, and I know with my water 14 bill in the last four years, they have already had a 15 wastewater increase. In the last four years, my husband 16 hasn't gotten a raise at work. I've gotten a little bit 17 of a raise, but not 57 percent. Thank God I'm not on a fixed income. I can work the extra overtime, and I feel 18 19 sorry for these people out here who are. That is a shame. 20 They get richer; we get poorer. 21 And what's with the quality of our water? 22 don't you come to my house and have a drink of water? You 23 might gag on it. It's nasty. It is nasty. 24 And for me and my husband, that's all there is,

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

and a dog and a cat, we only use approximately 3,000 to

25

4,000 gallons of water a month. It's not a lot, but yet when you want to raise my wastewater rate to \$44, \$10 for a thousand gallons of wastewater, come on. Let's be real.

And then we read in the paper about their new building. I mean, we're the ones that are going to end up paying for that building if it goes through. Well, that makes us the owners, don't it? Then we should charge them rent. There's no reason why they have to have that kind of increase. I can understand a small increase, but then work with us. Give us the better water quality to go along with the increase. I'll go with that, but not charging that kind of money because I don't have it.

Even though we are still working, I have ourselves on a budget too. I know how much is coming into my house every month, and I have to pay my mortgage and my electric bill and everything else. And when you add these dollars up over the years, that's a lot of money. I mean, that's money I could be putting away for me when I get into my golden years for my 401K and making a little bit of the extra. I mean, I bust my butt now to make my living a little bit easier. I can't afford my husband to have the nice suites and me the beautiful dresses and things like that and the nice cars. We struggle from paycheck to paycheck, and that kind of money, I'm sorry, it's ridiculous.

т	now much more do we have to keep making and keep
2	paying and keep paying? We're the first ones in the
3	Seven Springs area, and then you're going to slam all the
4	rest. I mean, when is it going to stop? This is the
5	first time in my life I have ever spoken up about
6	something because I feel that strongly about it, and it's
7	got to stop somewhere. And I'm trying to be part of the
8	younger community that's looking out for our future as
9	much as everybody else here. And that's all I have to
10	say.
11	COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Any cross? Thank you very
12	much, Ms. Shonitsky.
13	(Witness excused.)
14	MR. BURGESS: He has asked
15	MR. CIFELLI: I forgot to say something. I want
16	to add something.
17	COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Okay. You want to add to
18	your statement. Since you're back we may want to go
19	ahead and do the cross since he's back.
20	DOMINIC CIFELLI
21	was recalled as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the
22	State of Florida and, having been previously sworn,
23	testified as follows:
24	DIRECT STATEMENT
25	MR. CIFELLI: When I asked for a new meter, they
	FIORIDA DIBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

said they wanted to make a bench test, what they call a bench test. And at the time, I was speaking to Kate Smith, and the first time I spoke to her, she said, oh, don't worry about it because we pay that. I said, okay, have the bench test. Then she calls me back, and she says, the bench test will cost \$25. I said, well, you told me that you would take care of it, the PSC. She said, oh, no, you have to pay \$25. I said, why should I have to pay \$25 to have Aloha's meter checked?

So anyways, to make a long story short, I said, okay, let's have it checked. I get a letter saying that the meter will be checked in Georgia. So I called the PSC. I forget the individual who I was talking to, and I said, I just got a letter today saying that the meter is going to be checked in Georgia. Are you going there? So they said, oh, we got the letter too. No, we're not going. I said, well, I'm not going either. I said, for \$25, it's going to cost me a couple of hundred dollars to go to Georgia. You mean to tell me we haven't got the need here in New Port Richey to check a meter?

Now, you people might think that this is all crazy, but the people that I named out know all this information, and they must have it in the office. So I am not telling you any lies. And I still have the same meter, and I take my meter every single day -- I take a

reading in the morning, tomorrow morning I take it again to see how much water I used.

Now, I forgot to bring the book with me. I brought everything else but that. One day I'll use 80 gallons, the next day I will use 300 gallons, the next day I use 150 gallons, the next day I use 40 gallons, and I do the same thing every day. We make coffee, we had the glass of water, and whatever. I can tell you how much water it takes to have a shower. I can tell you how much water it takes for a dishwasher. I could tell you how much water it takes for a washing machine. It don't come that much. We don't wash our clothes every single day, every other day. So if you use 30 gallons of water, big deal.

You use your dishwasher, what do you use?

Fifteen gallons of water. You flush the toilet, you use five gallons of water. How does it come to 350 or 270?

Or I don't know. I don't know if I'm getting the better of the deal or if they are getting the better of the deal now. They came, they said they went and checked the meter. I said, how did you check it? We pumped 10 gallons. I said, so what does that mean? It means the meter is okay. I have never seen anyone there. I have never seen anyone from Aloha at my house checking the water. I could tell you more, but let's leave it at that.

1	COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Mr. Cifelli, hold on. Did
2	you want to ask your question, Mr. Jaeger?
3	MR. JAEGER: Mr. Crouch was just telling me,
4	they can require an engineer, I think, to be there from
5	the PSC. I am going to have to look up the rule on that,
6	but
7	COMMISSIONER JACOBS: We're going to discuss
8	that, but we're going to make sure we will get you an
9	answer.
10 ·	MR. CIFELLI: I've been waiting for an answer
L1	for three years almost.
L2	COMMISSIONER JACOBS: I'll get you one, I'll be
L3	sure.
L4	MR. CIFELLI: Okay.
L5	(Witness excused.)
L6	MR. BURGESS: Mr. Dean. I believe that's Dean,
L7	but I'm not positive I'm reading this right.
.8	James R. Dean, Sr.
.9	JAMES DEAN, SR.
20	was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the
1	State of Florida and, having been duly sworn, testified as
22	follows:
:3	DIRECT STATEMENT
4	MR. DEAN: My names is James Richard Dean, Sr.
5	I reside at 1668 Kinsmere Drive, Trinity Oak subdivision,

New Port Richey, Florida. When this action started 1 several years ago, it was about the building of an 2 3 effluent water plant. And we've been all over the map, we've been dirty water, bad meters, all kinds of things. 4 But it started with an effluent water plant, and an 5 6 increase in our rates over three stages, up to 81 or 83 7 I forget what the exact figure was. And they built an effluent plant, and the process of that effluent plant is dumped on Mitchell Ranch. I believe somewhere around 450,000 gallons of water a day are spread over Mitchell Ranch at the corner of Mitchell Ranch Road and Little Road.

Now, in the time that the effluent plant was built and today, there has been 3,000 houses built in the Trinity area. And as far as I know, Aloha has not pumped in one -- put in one line for effluent water. We're still putting drinking water on our lawn in a drought period, okay, while they are dumping 450,000 gallons of water that we paid for with wastewater increases at Mitchell Ranch at no charge.

MR. BURGESS: Excuse me, Mr. Dean. I've been asked by someone in the audience, if you could lean forward a little bit so the microphone can pick up, so they can hear you.

> And that's the problem I have with MR. DEAN:

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

these increases. The fact that they built the plant, there's a construction boom going on in the Trinity area, it's the Dr. Gill's (phonetic) master plan. There's no effluent water being pumped in there today. We're using drinking water on our lawns, and we're being charged a wastewater charge for that drinking water that goes on the lawn because deed restrictions require that we have floratam grasses. Okay. And if we wanted to drill a well and put water into our house, there's deed restrictions that oppose that. Okay. So we're stuck with Aloha. It's a monopoly. We don't have any other choice. We can't go say, you know, can Pasco Utilities bring us in water? We're stuck with Aloha.

All right. And the company has a revenue stream that's sitting out in Mitchell Ranch being wasted. That 450,000 gallons should be generating \$10 or \$12 a month on a home charge for effluent water for watering lawns.

Okay. And this is where this whole hearing started from, and this is where it is here today. And they have not done anything about this revenue stream, and until they do something about the revenue stream, I think that the Public Service Commission should deny any rate increase.

And once they put the effluent water on-line and that becomes a revenue stream, then if they have a shortfall in their budget, then come back to the Public

Service Commission. That's all I have to say. Thank you.

2 MR. BURGESS: Thank you, Mr. Dean.

COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Thank you. I think we have a couple of questions.

CROSS EXAMINATION

BY MR. WHARTON:

Q Sir, are you aware of the fact that the reused water that is put on the Mitchell property does not have the quality to be put on residences and that, in fact, this facility that is being proposed here will allow Aloha to go to public access reuse for the first time?

A As I understood this when this issue first came up, you were building an effluent plant, okay, to put water for yards, not for drinking water, nonpotable water. Okay. And I believe that plant's now finished, and every time I drive down Mitchell Ranch Road or Mitchell Boulevard or down Little Road, I see these sprinklers whipping water all over Mitchell Ranch, and we're not getting one drop of it. We're using drinking water in a drought on our lawns. That's crazy, absolutely absurd.

They are pumping water out of the aquifer, sending it to us, and under deed restrictions, we're required to keep floratam grasses green. So we have to use this water and we're being charged a wastewater -- if I use 26,000 gallons to irrigate my lawn, I pay a

wastewater charge on that. Okay. So it's not just the water I use in my house that I pay a waste charge on.

Now, if they take this revenue stream that they are putting out in Mitchell Ranch -- and that's the problem I have with you asking for this rate increase. You have a revenue stream that's available to you; it's called effluent water. Before these roads and sidewalks were built in all these new subdivisions that are going up around the Trinity area, you could have piped those in and had those sprinklers operating today. And you have not done that, and this has been an ongoing process of four years.

And they knew they were not under a mandate to build this plant. The State did not say you have to build an effluent water plant. They decided to do that. They floated a bond at 12 percent interest when the New York market rate was 8 for 1.2 million, I believe, and nobody that I know of had an opportunity to buy any of those bonds. And under the Rule of 72, you double your money every six years at 12 percent. That's not a bad deal. I would have liked to have had some of them.

Q But to kind of go back to my question, sir, and if you're not aware, that's -- if you don't know one way or the other, that's fine. Are you aware that the plant that is at issue in this proceeding will be the

	510
1 .	first plant in Aloha's system that will be permittable for
	public access reuse, the kind of residential reuse you're
3	talking about? Do you know one way or the other?
	A I understood that's what you were building when
5	this all first came up, this issue. The water you are
6	dumping out at Mitchell Ranch, you're saying is no good
, l	for laume?

П

Q It is a bit more complex than that, but there are reliability issues that will be met by this plant --

A But explain it to me. If it's good enough to make mahigh (phonetic) hay to feed cattle, why is it not good enough to put on my lawn?

Q There are reliability issues that are required by the Department of Environmental Protection that this proposed plant will meet. I mean, are you aware of that one way or the other?

A I am aware of environmental problems. I'm not aware of problems that you -- exist today.

Q So to your knowledge, to the extent that Aloha is providing reuse now, you understand that that's available for residential reuse?

A As I understood, it was supposed to be available for residential use.

Q The reuse that Aloha has now, as we sit here today?

1	A That's what I understood.
2	MR. WHARTON: Okay. That's all I have.
3	MR. DEAN: Thank you.
4	COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Thank you.
5	MR. BURGESS: Thank you, Mr. Dean.
6	(Witness excused.)
7	MR. BURGESS: Ms. Memoli, Phyllis Memoli.
8	COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Ms. Phyllis Memoli. She
9	must have stepped out.
10	MR. BURGESS: Okay. Mr. McMahon, J.J. McMahon.
11	JOSEPH J. MCMAHON
12	was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the
13	State of Florida and, having been duly sworn, testified as
	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
14	follows:
14 15	
	follows:
15	follows: DIRECT STATEMENT
15 16	follows: DIRECT STATEMENT MR. McMAHON: My name is Joseph, I use the
15 16 17	DIRECT STATEMENT MR. McMAHON: My name is Joseph, I use the middle initial J, my last name is McMahon, M-c-M-A-H-O-N.
15 16 17 18	DIRECT STATEMENT MR. McMAHON: My name is Joseph, I use the middle initial J, my last name is McMahon, M-c-M-A-H-O-N. I reside at 7723 Hamlet, H-A-M-L-E-T, Drive in New Port
15 16 17 18 19	DIRECT STATEMENT MR. McMAHON: My name is Joseph, I use the middle initial J, my last name is McMahon, M-c-M-A-H-O-N. I reside at 7723 Hamlet, H-A-M-L-E-T, Drive in New Port Richey, Florida. I'm president of the Mill Pond Estates
15 16 17 18 19 20	DIRECT STATEMENT MR. McMAHON: My name is Joseph, I use the middle initial J, my last name is McMahon, M-c-M-A-H-O-N. I reside at 7723 Hamlet, H-A-M-L-E-T, Drive in New Port Richey, Florida. I'm president of the Mill Pond Estates Section 5 Homeowner's Association. Could someone here
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	follows: DIRECT STATEMENT MR. McMAHON: My name is Joseph, I use the middle initial J, my last name is McMahon, M-c-M-A-H-O-N. I reside at 7723 Hamlet, H-A-M-L-E-T, Drive in New Port Richey, Florida. I'm president of the Mill Pond Estates Section 5 Homeowner's Association. Could someone here tell me if Mill Pond is in the Seven Springs area?
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	DIRECT STATEMENT MR. McMAHON: My name is Joseph, I use the middle initial J, my last name is McMahon, M-c-M-A-H-O-N. I reside at 7723 Hamlet, H-A-M-L-E-T, Drive in New Port Richey, Florida. I'm president of the Mill Pond Estates Section 5 Homeowner's Association. Could someone here tell me if Mill Pond is in the Seven Springs area? UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes.

1.	measure the wastewater? This question is for Aloha.
2	COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Mr. McMahon, I'm going to
3	allow them to give you an answer, though for purposes
4	MR. McMAHON: Are you speaking?
5	COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Yes.
6	MR. McMAHON: I'm sorry, go ahead.
7	COMMISSIONER JACOBS: I'm sorry. For purposes
8	of the record today, I'm going to allow them to answer
9	you, but understand that this is really for comments for
10	the record on quality of the service. Do you have an
11	answer for him?
12	MR. WHARTON: Not really, no.
13	COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Staff? No. They don't
14	have an answer for you right now.
15	MR. McMAHON: I didn't think so.
16	COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Okay.
17	MR. McMAHON: If you are charging me per gallon
18.	or per 10,000 gallons, whichever way you wish to do it,
19	what I'm interested in knowing is how you arrive at these
20	numbers. Do you take the incoming water and use some sort
21	of a formula to calculate the wastewater? Simple
22	question.
23	COMMISSIONER JACOBS: I believe can you
24	explain it to how that works? Someone from our Staff
25	will explain that to you.

MR. CROUCH: For planning purposes when they design a system, yes, they do look at the amount of water sold. They take a percentage of that. We realize that some of this water that you use will not be returned as wastewater, so we take a percentage of it. The average return wastewater for the customers in the Seven Springs area is somewhere between 130 and 150 gallons per month average. Now, you are using considerably more than that in water, but it is based on an average, and it is a percentage of the water used. You are not charged for all of the water used.

And this is a cap. If you get up to 10,000 gallons, you are not charged beyond that.

Ten-thousand gallons is a maximum you would pay for in wastewater. There are some places that might, because of excessive irrigation and everything, have a lot more water used. They are only charged up to 10,000 gallons of wastewater, but to answer your basic question, yes, sir, the Commission has authorized utilities to charge a percentage of the water used is what your wastewater bill will be.

MR. McMAHON: Thank you, sir. I understand your answer. Now, my next question is this. I'm not interested in reclaimed water. I think you have already heard about that, probably enough. What I'm interested in

is submetering. I have a water main that runs from Aloha's main line out in the street into my property, and I must advise all here present this evening that this homeowner's association in this area in Mill Pond has three and four bedroom homes and large pieces of property, almost all of them are sprinkler. I approached Aloha by letter asking if I could have submetering in Mill Pond. I got a lovely response from them. They laid out every requirement they need in order to permit that.

What I had in mind was to cut a "T" into the main water supply line and put a meter in so that I would have two meters, one meter for the water coming into the house, which would almost always wind up in the wastewater system, and one simply for the sprinklers. We also have deed restrictions and rules and regulations in Mill Pond. It has been mentioned to me that in certain areas you cannot sink a well. We have never faced that problem. We have had no requests to sink a well. However, most of the people use Aloha's drinking water to water their substantial pieces of property.

That letter that I received from Aloha would have cost me \$2,800 to do it their way. Their way was to run in a separate water vein with a separate meter. Now, I imagine the reason they did that, after you gave me the explanation you just did, was to keep the maximum

gallonage coming into the house which is used to calculate the wastewater rate as high as possible.

In addition to which, if I followed their program, which would require another main and another meter, it would have required another meter either way. I would have had to pay a plumber to hook it up. I would have had to get the permits, get a contractor, dig up the street, make the attachment. The system I was talking about was the simplist possible. You dig a hole, put a "T" in, and put a meter in; then my plumber connects it to my sprinkler system. \$2,800.

In my opinion, under Florida's Consumer Law, that is an unfair and deceptive business practice. In addition to which, I believe it is anti-ecology. To waste the manhours, the cost of digging associated with everything else to put this system in is absolutely unfair. There are other water companies operating in Florida that will submeter on request for a very small amount of money, amount of money in the \$300 to \$400 bracket. That's all I have to say on the subject this evening, gentlemen.

Any questions?

MR. WHARTON: No.

COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Staff. I think our Staff has a couple questions for you.

CROSS EXAMINATION

i			
2	BY	MR.	JAEGER:

Q Mr. McMahon, did you say the utility quoted you a \$2,800 figure to submeter or do this irrigation meter?

A No, not to submeter, to do what they require for me to have a separate meter for the sprinkler system.

They want me to open the street, run in another main, then they will give me another meter, then have my plumber run from there to my sprinkler system.

Q Okay. So that's to just get to a meter that's somewhere on the edge of your yard and that's \$2,800?

A To do the entire job, to bring it in, yes. By the way, I calculated that. They did not say to me this will cost you \$2,800. What they said was, it's going to cost so much to open the street, so much for the permits, so much to cut into the line, so much to install the meter. And I didn't actually figure it on paper; I did a mental calculation.

Q And, in your opinion, the utility is making this overly complicated and overly expensive?

A Oh, absolutely, no doubt in my mind.

MR. JAEGER: That's all I have.

MR. McMAHON: Okay. Anyone else?

COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Thank you very much.

MR. McMAHON: Thank you.

(Witness excused.)

2 MR. BURGESS: Mr. Dobrzykowski,

Robert Dobrzykowski.

ROBERT DOBRZYKOWSKI

was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

DIRECT STATEMENT

MR. DOBRZYKOWSKI: My name is Robert

Dobrzykowski, D-O-B-R-Z-Y-K-O-W-S-K-I. I live at

7703 Craighurst Loop in Trinity, the community of

Wyndgate. I will make my comments brief. I have lived

in -- I've been a customer of Aloha for two years now, and

like everyone I know, I have nothing but contempt for

Aloha in their rude and crude way they try to handle their

customers with no respect to their customers when they

call about a complaint.

I also have more contempt for the PSC for even considering any rate increase to a company that has no regard for its customers. The PSC should be standing up for the people that you work for and tell Aloha to fix all their ongoing problems from meters to black water, et cetera, et cetera. They should not be granted one penny until all of their ongoing internal problems are corrected. That's how I feel.

1	COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Any questions?
2	MR. WHARTON: No.
3	COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Any questions?
4	MR. JAEGER: No.
5	COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Thank you.
6	(Witness excused.)
7	COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Next witness.
8	MR. BURGESS: I have Mr. and Mrs. Mitchell, Tom
9	and Pam Mitchell.
10	COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Tom and Pam Mitchell.
11	MR. BURGESS: It might be or Pam Mitchell.
12	COMMISSIONER JACOBS: They must have stepped
13	out. No one is coming forward.
14	MR. BURGESS: Mr. or Mrs. McCloskey, Bob or
15	Linda McCloskey.
16	BOB McCLOSKEY
17	was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the
18	State of Florida and, having been duly sworn, testified as
19	follows:
20	DIRECT STATEMENT
21	MR. McCLOSKEY: My name is Bob McCloskey, that's
22	M-c-C-L-O-S-K-E-Y. My address is 7136 Fallbrook Court in
23	the Wyndtree subdivision. I'm quoting from the Florida
24	Public Service Commission bulletin that was distributed
25	this evening. "A formal hearing will be conducted on

October 2, 2000, in the company's service area to allow the public to present testimony concerning," and here I stress, "the company's quality of service." The rest of it is gingerbread. The company's quality of service.

Ladies and gentlemen, you have been listening all day and all evening thus far, and it's going to continue, to people who are discussing this company's quality of service. And as I understand it, this kind of discussion has occurred between customers of Aloha and representatives of Aloha and representatives of the Public, and I stress public, Service Commission for what is now going on three years, and issues that were presented in 1997 have not been resolved. Problems that have been uncovered, identified, and discussed have been exacerbated over the period of time that it has come -- that has passed.

I think it is unconscionable that
representatives of the public would tolerate this kind of
behavior on the part of any company providing a service.

I would suggest to you that if you were in a position to
address issues relating to the poor quality of meat from a
grocery store or the poor quality of a car that is sold by
an auto agency, that there is no way that three years
would pass with nothing being done. The key thing here is
that if, in fact, we were buying meat from a grocery

store, we were dissatisfied with the service, we could go elsewhere. If we were buying a car from a dealer and were dissatisfied with the quality of the service, we could go elsewhere. The customers of Aloha water are prisoners to the service, or lack there of, that they provide. And the Public, and here I stress public, Service Commission does not seem to be doing a damn thing about it as far as the public is concerned.

Now, I recognize the fact that the subject for this evening's discussion is an increase in wastewater rates, and I would suggest to everyone in this room, especially to the Public Service Commission, and here I stress the word, "public," that there are different pockets in the single pair of pants that Aloha wears. One of those pockets can be identified as conventional drinking water service, the other one can be identified as wastewater, but the bottom line, ladies and gentlemen, is that all of the dollars that will be realized from a 57 percent increase in rates goes into the same pair of pockets or the same pair of pants. And I would suggest that somebody should do something about that. I'd be happy to answer whatever questions you have.

COMMISSIONER JACOBS: No questions. Thank you. (Witness excused.)

MR. BURGESS: Mr. Hatsios, John Hatsios.

JOHN HATSIOS

was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

DIRECT STATEMENT

MR. HATSIOS: Mr. Chairman, and ladies and gentlemen, here we go again.

COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Could we get you to announce your name and spell it, please.

MR. HATSIOS: My name is John Hatsios,
H-A-T-S-I-O-S. I live in the Chelsea Place subdivision,
which is part of Seven Springs. And as I started saying,
here we go again with another hearing about Aloha, another
opportunity for a waste of your time, waste of our time.
And we ask -- we didn't ask to pay for that waste. Okay.
The main problem is, as we all know, wastewater -- by the
way, I think the water that comes to my house is
wastewater, frankly, because I don't drink it. Okay. But
while we're doing all of this, what's happening to my
house, my water is getting darker and darker. Okay. And
at the same time, I've been asked to pay more and more.

I can be talking about the service of Aloha for hours, but I'm not going to take all this time, and I know that many people here can do the same thing. You have been having a public hearing here for a couple of years,

without exaggeration, by the way, because I can tell you a lot of stories. But, anyway, I'm going to make it very brief.

б

Waste, okay, that we are being asked to pay for, just a few days ago, I had a plumber service my water heater. He went to purge the sludge, the black sludge, and he said, I never in my life saw anything that, because it took two hours of purging my water heater just to get the black sludge out and still it was not clean. He just said, what's going on? I didn't tell him because he was from Brooksville. He didn't know about Aloha. Okay. So I didn't even tell him because I didn't want to tell him long stories.

Now, this is waste, okay, and I'm paying for that. But I'll tell you some other waste. Okay. Some mornings, I will go, and usually it's Saturday morning, there will be a particular hydrant being purged of black water. A couple of times, I stayed in my car far away and I watched it. Okay. You should see the water gushing out of that hydrant, and it was going on for a long time. I calculated that that water could feed the whole subdivision for a week or two, depending on what how you calculate it. Okay. Who's paying for that, the 57 percent or whatever we pay now?

Well, let's get down to being very honest with

ourselves. Okay. We know what's going to happen here.

We've got the answer about the bad water. Okay. It meets
federal requirements, federal standards. All right.

Okay. The people who wrote the standards, why don't they
come here and drink some of that water right in front of
us? Okay. There's the proof in the pudding. Come on.

This is the United States of America. I haven't seen any
water like that anyplace I have been, and I know the
counsel here probably is going to say, have you seen all
the water in the world? And let's not get into that.
Okay.

1.7

And I know what you're going to do. I can picture it right now. You're going to go back, do your evaluation, like you said, and I respect very much, you know, your time trying to do your job, but the bottom line, what are going to do? You're going to do us a favor. You're going to say the increase is not going to be 57 percent, it's going to be 40 percent. Thank you very much.

Okay. Let me tell you. As you know, the underlying issue is the bad water. That water is not good, and what's happening -- because nothing is happening with Aloha, Aloha is not doing anything, the Public Commission is not doing anything, I think both of you are becoming irrelevant, because we're sick and tired of

drinking that water and coming here and getting no action.

Okay. I don't know how this is going to be resolved, but I think you've become irrelevant. I think we are going to go around you. I don't know what's going to happen. Okay. Sooner or later -- there are going to be more people, by the way. They are building hundreds and hundreds of new houses. There are going to be many more of us, and we're going to go around you, either community wells, probably, class action suits. I don't know what's going to happen, but please remember what we're saying today. Many of my friends and neighbors said there is no reason to come to that meeting. Okay. We're going to go around them. They are not doing anything. So what more do you need? Okay. Any questions?

COMMISSIONER JABER: Mr. Hatsios.

MR. HATSIOS: Yes.

COMMISSIONER JABER: One of the things that Chairman Jacobs said in the beginning I want to elaborate on a little bit more, because you're right, you don't know what we've done, and I just want to take a minute to let you know.

MR. HATSIOS: I watch the Internet. I see -- go ahead. I know you're trying, but, you know, how many more years, bottom line? Okay. You are not drinking that water. Okay. I pay for that water. Now, who's going to

reimburse me for the water I'm paying? Aloha is supposed to provide my drinking water, but I'm paying for that. Should I send them a check, or should I show you the bill, or should I send you the bill? Because it's another expense. Okay.

of minutes to summarize something, and we sense your frustration. Your being here is important. Let me tell you what we found in the last case. You're absolutely right, DEP said that the water met the standards. That wasn't acceptable to us, so we have taken the lead to form an interagency work group. We have called DEP to the table with us, and we have had three meetings since then. But your views and your comments are important, and I would encourage you to do the same thing with the Department of Environmental Protection.

The black water problem we have seen all over the state of Florida, and we do need your help. We have sat silently because we sense your frustration, and we are not the agency that says, you know, we have done X, Y, and Z, but I think the Office of Public Counsel and Representative Fasano and we can sit down with you and show you some of the things we have done. Our Staff, along with those work groups, will give us a recommendation in December that we hope we'll be able to

take to the Legislature for some solutions once and for 1 2 all. 3 We are working on it. I don't know what will 4 happen, but you have got our commitment that we know that 5 there are problems with water throughout the state of 6 Florida. DEP does say it meets standards, but that has 7 not been acceptable to us. 8 MR. HATSIOS: Thank you. 9 COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Thank you. 10 (Witness excused.) 11 MR. BURGESS: Commissioner, Pat Bradley has 12 signed, but I'm not certain whether that person wants to 13 speak or not. 14 COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Pat Bradley. I don't see anyone coming forward, so I guess not. 15 16 MR. BURGESS: Okay. Jerry or Anne Rosin. 17 JERRY ROSIN 18 was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the 19 State of Florida and, having been duly sworn, testified as 20 follows: 21 DIRECT STATEMENT 22 MR. ROSIN: I've been here since 10 o'clock this 23 morning; they finally called me. Thank you very much, all 24 of you. My name is Jerry Rosin, R-O-S-I-N; 3142 Munson in 25 Veterans Village. I just want to say that nobody has

brought this up before, but they are all talking about a 57 percent rate increase, but I remember four or five years ago, the Public Service Commission authorized a rate increase which, to me, amounted to 100 percent.

If they add this 57 percent to it in the matter of four to five years, it's going to amount to 157 percent, which is, like, 30 percent a year, which is way over what the wage and -- amount that we look at for inflation. And my bill went up for the last four or five years for wastewater 100 percent, and I've been paying that every month for four to five years. And it looks like with another 57 percent, it's going to be 30 percent, which is quite steep. I think everybody would agree to that.

And the amounts that I'm considering and talking about are at a minimum amount because I don't use a whole lot of gallonage. I am blessed that I have an irrigation system for my drain basin, so I use no water for irrigation that I buy. And my bills are still near \$50 a month, and I've been paying that for the last five years.

I've been a member of the Aloha Utility customer usage since 1993, and this lady from Parklake Estates who got these \$80 bills, I really feel sorry for her. And my wife and I who live in the next subdivision would be glad to come over at no charge and determine whose fault, is it

her property or Aloha's. We can find out because we've had to do it on our property, and we think we can help her if she's willing.

And I have had people -- workers from Aloha come out several times over the last seven years, and I have to say, they have been very nice to us both verbally and physically. There's been a lot of knocking against them. I think they are pretty good people. That's all I have to say. Any questions?

COMMISSIONER JACOBS: No. Thank you very much.

MR. ROSIN: Okay.

(Witness excused.)

MR. BURGESS: Mr. Corelli, Vincent Corelli.

VINCENT CORELLI

was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

DIRECT STATEMENT

MR. CORELLI: I'm Vincent Corelli,

C-O-R-E-L-L-I. I live at 7644 Albacore Drive in the

Wyndtree subdivision. I'm here tonight to speak about

something that happened sometime ago, a couple of years,

when Aloha Utilities was involved in some controversial

and not so nice business dealings. At that time, the

Commission asked Aloha to open its books so that these

business practices that they were involved in could be scrutinized. Well, they refused, and the Commission responded by issuing a statement that they would not consider any rate increases for Aloha Utilities until such time as they opened their books. Well, nothing has changed. They haven't opened their books.

And I'm here tonight to ask you, Commissioners, to honor your own statement and not grant any rate increases. Now, I suspect that if they did open their books, you might be so shocked that you would probably lower their rate. I don't know why else they wouldn't open their books. Now, it seems that it's not very pretty when the rich and powerful take advantage of people less fortunate than themselves, and this has been going on with this company for quite sometime.

It's been many, many years that we have been complaining about their water quality and their quality of service, and nothing has changed. The water is as bad as it ever was; the service is as bad as it ever was.

Once again, I would beseech you to honor the words of the previous Commissioners and not grant any rate increases until such time as they open their books to the public.

COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Thank you. I think we have a couple of questions.

CROSS EXAMINATION

_	CROSS EXAMINATION
2	BY MR. WHARTON:
3	Q Sir, are you aware in the last two years, Aloha
4	has been audited by the Public Service Commission five
5	times, and that there's an audit going on right now by the
6	PSC of Aloha? I'm just asking whether you're aware of
7	that.
8	A You would have to repeat that. I didn't hear
9	that.
10	Q Are you aware that in the last two years
11	the Public Service Commission has audited Aloha five
12	times, and that one of those audits is going on as we
13	speak?
14	A Yes, I am aware of that.
15	MR. WHARTON: Thank you.
16	COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Staff.
17	MR. JAEGER: No questions.
18	COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Thank you very much,
19	Mr. Corelli.
20	(Witness excused.)
21	MR. BURGESS: Mr. Allen, Neal Allen.
22	NEAL ALLEN
23	was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the
24	State of Florida and, having been duly sworn, testified as
25	follows:

DIRECT STATEMENT

MR. ALLEN: My name is Neal Allen, that's N-E-A-L A-L-L-E-N. I live at 7055 Fallbrook Court, New Port Richey. I live in the Wyndtree subdivision. I was listening to the gentleman that talked about his 35,000 gallons, and I realize that that is particularly a pretty much one-time thing with a few residents, but of importance to note is the fact that he did say they opened up on two occasions within about 11 years, they opened the fire hydrants, and that his walk was so black that it took him additional expense, et cetera, to clean it up each time.

My wife and I moved in, in July of 1997. Before we closed on our home, I noticed the headlines that had Aloha with the brouhaha that was going on. We decided to go ahead and close on the home with the anticipation that this would not be an ongoing situation. Well, here we are three and a half years later. The quality of our water is, in my opinion, I would be drinking wastewater. If I had the concession for bottled water and bagged ice, I would be doing very well.

I have a six-year-old grandson who came to visit me from Gainesville. We ran bath water, and not just on one occasion, he said to his grandmother, he says, Nana, this water stinks, and he's right. I've been here three

	and a nati years, and I have yet to see anybody on Alona's
2	side do one thing to correct the problems at hand. Nobody
3	has ever flushed out our fire hydrants that I know of, but
4	I would be willing to bet and I would be willing to wager
5	that you open up any of these hydrants, it would be such a
6	mess and such an expense to clean up that you couldn't
7	believe. All you have to do is lift the lid on your
8	toilet bowl and look in and see what quality of water you
9	get from Aloha.
10	The problem here is quality; there is none.
11	Also, the problem is service; there is none. And that's
12	basically all I have to say at this point in time.
13	MR. BURGESS: Thank you, Mr. Allen.
14	COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Thank you, Mr. Allen.
15	Thank you very much. No questions.
16	(Witness excused.)
17	MR. BURGESS: Ms. Graca, Dorothea Graca.
18	DOROTHEA GRACA
19	was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the
20	State of Florida and, having been duly sworn, testified as
21	follows:
22	DIRECT STATEMENT
23	MS. GRACA: Hi. My name is Dorothea Graca.
24	That's D-O-R-O-T-H-E-A, last name Graca, G-R-A-C-A. I
25	live at 4454 Devon Drive, New Port Richey, Florida 34653.

That's in the Mill Pond subdivision. I am familiar with Aloha ever since 1986, when I used to be a snowbird and come down every so often, and the water was horrendous. It was a bad smell of -- I wouldn't give that water to my dog to drink. It was really bad. And this is since '86, and the water has not improved since. I am a permanent resident since 1992 -- I'm a little nervous -- and the water was not improved. I understand that you have expenses. I understand that the area is expanding with more population, but I don't think that the 57 percent increase is justified. I think it's exaggerated because nothing good has been done, and I don't see no improvement.

1.0

I put a water softener in my house because the water was very hard. If I want drinking water, I have to buy it, which is another expense. I mean, I'm not a bank, you know, where I have money just coming in. And like other people stated, I have to work still. My husband and I, we work, you know, paycheck to paycheck, and this is just robbing us more of our paycheck. Nothing else to say.

MR. BURGESS: Thank you, Ms. Graca.

COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Any questions? Thank you very much, Ms. Graca.

(Witness excused.)

1 COMMISSIONER JACOBS: How many more do you have, 2 Mr. Burgess? 3 MR. BURGESS: One. COMMISSIONER JACOBS: One more. All right. 5 MR. BURGESS: Clayton, Robert Clayton. 6 ROBERT CLAYTON 7 was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the 8 State of Florida and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: 9 1.0 DIRECT STATEMENT 11 MR. CLAYTON: Robert Clayton, 1441 Straud Court, 12 New Port Richey, the Wyndtree development. My home is 13 occupied by two adults. We don't have a pool. 14 grounds are watered by the homeowner's association, so the 15 water use is all internal in the house. Aloha has applied 16 for an increase in rates; however, it seems they have had 17 material increases since I started using their services in 18 I have reduced my expenses to a table, which I will 19 give you. It's all supported by checks. 20 My monthly average when I started in 1994 with 21 Aloha was \$25.69. This has risen to this year on a steady 22 basis to \$47.90. That is an 86 percent increase in 23 monthly cost from 1994. I don't know how that happened.

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

I'm not using any more water. Subsequent to the 1999 PSC

hearings, Aloha representatives visited my home because I

24

25

testified at the hearing and, among other things, told me that eventually the hydrogen sulfide in their water would erode my copper plumbing. They said I could replace it with PVC for around \$3,500. With over 8,400 customers, this implies they are causing over \$29 million in property damage, not including replacement of the water heaters.

1.1

Aloha has claimed they need a 398 percent rate increase to correct the problem. This would increase my monthly average cost to \$190.64. Does anyone in this country pay such an amount for water?

Lastly -- and I heard a little bit about the financials being audited -- are the Aloha financials audited to disclose such practices as padding the payroll with relatives and friends and their cars and also doing business with related companies at inflated prices? Their financials should be carefully examined before consideration is given to an increase in rates.

Now, somebody told me that their present at least premises are leased from the owner of the company whose name is something like Speers or something like that. That's as a related, and he is dispossessing his own company. Now, that's hearsay, but maybe you could look into that. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Thank you. Any questions?

MR. BURGESS: Thank you, Mr. Clayton.

COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Thank you very much.

MR. BURGESS: That's all I have, Commissioner, unless there is anybody in the audience that did not fill out a form.

COMMISSIONER JACOBS: That makes the end of the list of those who signed up in advance to testify. Do we have anyone here who did not sign up but who does have a statement that they would like to give regarding wastewater treatment?

MR. CLAYTON: Could I say one more thing before I depart? I'm sorry, it slipped my mind. It's been so interesting here. The literature I've read about Aloha's petitions for rate increases has consistently said our average rate -- our average monthly cost rate is about \$25, and the increase will be to about \$31. I haven't heard anyone talk here today about \$25 a month. I think that's a deceptive statement they have made.

COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Thank you.

(Witness excused.)

COMMISSIONER JACOBS: I saw a couple of hands go up of folks who wanted to make a statement. If I may indulge you, our court reporter has been going at it since six o'clock. We need to give her a brief break, so we're going to take a ten-minute break. And then we'll come back, and we'll swear you in, and you can give your

statements. Thank you very much.

2 (Brief recess.)

COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Okay. We had a couple of hands that were raised of people who had not signed up, who wanted to give a statement. Okay, for those people who have not signed up who wanted to give a statement, I'm going to ask you be sworn in first and then I want you to, I guess, maybe just come forward in order and give your name and testify for us. Would you stand and raise your right hand.

Thank you. You may be seated. Okay. You can come forward, ma'am.

MARY RITA WARD

was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

DIRECT STATEMENT

MS. WARD: Hello. My name is Mary Rita Ward, W-A-R-D. I live at 8040 Blue Finch Way, New Port Richey in Parklake Estates.

And I would like to know why is the 57% increase only being levied against the Seven Springs area? That's the way I understand it. Can anybody answer me?

MR. CROUCH: That is the only area -- the Seven Springs area is the only area that uses the sewer

treatment plant that Aloha has for that area. The other area that they have, the Tahitian Gardens or Aloha Gardens, over there does not use that sewer treatment plant. So, they would not have to pay for it, because they are not using that plant.

Only the Seven Springs, which includes Wyndtree, Chelsea Place, a number of subdivisions in the Seven Springs area. They are served by Aloha sewer treatment plant that is being modified. Consequently, they would be the only ones benefitting from it, they would be the only ones that would be getting the billing increase.

MS. WARD: Where is this sewage treatment plant located that you're speaking of?

MR. CROUCH: Ma'am, I've been there, but I cannot tell you the direct address. I could drive you to it, but I don't -- it's in the service area.

MS. WARD: You don't even know the area, like Little Road or Rowen Road or --

MR. CROUCH: If I'm not mistaken, it's just off of Little Road.

MS. WARD: Little Road's a long road.

MR. CROUCH: It's a long road. I'm going to have to let the utility give you the exact address. I have been there, but I cannot tell you the exact address, ma'am.

1.3

MS. WARD: And the only reason is because we'll be the only ones using that treatment plant?

MR. CROUCH: Yes, ma'am.

MS. WARD: Sounds like a lot of money to me for a treatment plant. But thank you very much, I appreciate your answer. I don't agree with it, but --

COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Thank you.

Okay, there was a gentleman -- come forward, sir, come forward. And there was one more gentleman in the back, I think.

FRED GREEN

was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

DIRECT STATEMENT

MR. GREEN: I'm also a resident of Mill Pond subdivision where the water is of the poorest quality. I feel the sewerage rate is much too high now, and it should be reduced, not increased.

I think, any improvements or new buildings should be paid by the investors of the companies, instead of the customers like other utility companies do.

Therefore, I ask the Public Service Commission to deny any rate increase to Aloha Utilities Company. That's all I

	have to say.
2	COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Sir, could you give us
3	your name, please.
4	MR. GREEN: My name is Fred Green of Mill Pond
5	Estates.
6	COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Thank you. Any questions?
7	Thank you very much, Mr. Green.
8	Yes, sir, come forward. And then, there was a
9	young lady? Okay, next.
10	Now, Mr. McMahon, did you have an additional
11	statement?
12	MR. McMAHON: Yes, I did, sir.
13	COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Okay. We'll get you after
14	they've gone.
1.5	AL SHONITSKY
16	was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the
17	State of Florida and, having been duly sworn, testified as
18	follows:
19	DIRECT STATEMENT
20	MR. SHONITSKY: Thank you. My name is Al
21	Shonitsky. I live at 3533 Glenburn Court.
22	COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Can I get you to spell
23	your last name, please.
24	MR. SHONITSKY: S-H-O-N-I-T-S-K-Y
25	COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Thank you.
	FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

MR. SHONITSKY: -- in Veterans Village.

And I just want to ask this gentleman here, you said the wastewater plant's going to be a benefit to us. How is a 57% increase in my bill a benefit to me and all these other people out here? I'm going to be going from \$29 to \$44.

And, if I'm talking to Aloha Utility. If I go away for a month and don't use any water, I'm still going to get that price, whether I use no water at all. That's just not fair to myself and everybody else that was here tonight and is still here and out there.

And on the bad water part of it, I'd like to address you. You brought up it's all over the state, there's bad water. To me, my personal opinion, you blew up a smoke screen. We don't care about the rest of Florida. We care about Aloha Utilities and our water, not everybody else's. I took it -- my personal opinion, we're just a small cog. You're here to pacify us.

And just in one closing statement, a question for Mr. Baez, and I don't know your name, sir, and this gentleman here, the gentleman on the end, and all the women in the back, why are you drinking bottled water? Is there something wrong with our water that you can't have regular water? And that's all I have to say, thank you.

COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Any questions? Thank you.

1 Were you sworn just now? Well, I stood up when everybody else 2 MS. BOYCE: did. 3 4 COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Okay, thank you. 5 DORIS BOYCE was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the 6 7 State of Florida and, having been duly sworn, testified as 8 follows: 9 DIRECT STATEMENT 10 MS. BOYCE: My name is Doris Boyce, B-O-Y-C-E. 11 I live in Aloha Gardens in Holiday. 12 And I listened to Mr. Cifelli before about the 13 bench test that he spoke of when they took the meter and they brought it to Georgia. I had the same experience. 14 15 My husband and I went to Australia a few years 16 And when we came back, I got a bill. We were gone 17 for three weeks, I got a bill for \$120, and we were in the 18 house one week out of that month. 19 So, I went down there and I, you know, I just 20 got a little excited and I asked them what was going on 21 and they said that I could get the meter bench tested, but 22 it cost me \$75 instead of \$25. And the meter was gone. And when it came back, the meter was perfect. Then, they 23 told me somebody must have stole my water. Somebody must 24

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

have come to the side of the house where the fresh water

25

1	is in the faucet and taken it.			
2	So, I went down there and I wanted to speak to			
3	Mr. Watford and they wouldn't let me talk to him. And so			
4	I wouldn't leave the office. I said, "I'm not leaving			
5	until I see him." So, they went and they called the			
6	police. And they had the police come and physically take			
7	me out of the office. And the cop said to me, well, this			
8	is a civil matter so, you know, we can't do anything about			
9	this.			
10	But, of course, I had to pay the \$120 bill and			
11	the \$75, and I was really I'm really I hate them.			
12	hate them with a passion, because everybody I know, all			
13	they do is complain and gripe and, I really, I just hate			
14	them. That's all I have to say.			
15	COMMISSIONER JACOBS: And that was everyone who			
16	had not spoken before; is that correct?			
17	Okay, Mr. McMahon I'm sorry.			
18	RANDY C. PACKER			
19	was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the			
20	State of Florida and, having been duly sworn, testified as			
21	follows:			
22	DIRECT STATEMENT			

23

24

25

MR. PACKER: My name is Randy C. Packer. I live in 4222 Tall Oak Lane in Wood Trail Village.

I don't like speaking up front, but I got a few

things I have to say, because I think the whole reason to have people here is to show support, yea or nay, for the cause for the Public Service Commission on, if they're here. Whether or not anything be done about it is yet to be seen. But if you don't show some kind of support or something, then everyone just figures that everything's hunky-dory, and you just go on your way.

So, with that, I just wrote a few things down here from my point of view, from what I see and what I've heard and what I know, and that's all I've got to say about it.

I will say that I've lived here for 48 years in this area. And most of the time I've been on well and septic tank, and no treated water to drink, and stuff like that. And in the Florida area, and all the water I've ever had -- no, not all the water I've ever had, but in a lot of areas where I've had water in Florida coming out of the well, out of the ground, it tasted fine.

If you take it to a lab and have it tested, there's a lot of impurities in that water you would find, but the first and foremost thing you'd look at is smell and visual look and taste, then all the other stuff from the lab is another story.

I realize that this is all about wastewater.

It's got nothing to do with the drinking water, as far as

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

for that increase, but the wastewater is a direct link to the drinking water. Because, number one, like it was stated, the only way you have to monitor wastewater, to meter it, I mean, you don't put meters in the wastewater, you do it through the gallonage of supply water coming in the house. The more that you use out of supply, which is metered, is how the wastewater's figured.

Now, I want to look at some notes that I have, so I can remember what the hell I'm supposed to say here. Let's see. Yeah, the problem with this whole thing is I know you're trying to keep separated the supply water -- the drinking water issue from this wastewater.

This is strictly on wastewater, but as you can see, everyone is concerned. There's two things they're concerned with. Everyone's concerned with the increase being such a large increase and hitting their pockets real heavy, especially most of them. They don't make the money like ya'll make or even like I make, because I'm still working. Most of them aren't -- a lot of the people in these areas, they don't have -- they have a fixed income. They don't have an increase to amount to anything.

So, anything -- I mean, \$5.00 may not sound much to you, but when you add up the increase on this and an increase that you get on that and food and gas and electrics and everything else, it all adds up to an awful

lot. So, that's why they're up here for that.

And the other thing that irritates everybody is, again, the drinking water issue. If the drinking water issue was resolved to everyone's satisfaction, you took it up and you looked at it, and it was clear, and you could drink it, and it doesn't ruin your hot water heater and all your plumbing in the house, which it's the water doing it, not the plumbing in the house, then, everyone can say, well, okay, maybe it's justifiable to have an increase now on the wastewater system, because you've got the supply water system all squared away. And everyone's happy and everything else.

But since you have that problem to start with that hasn't even been resolved yet, in my opinion, you shouldn't be -- it shouldn't even be here. It shouldn't be an issue brought forward on an increase on anything until that first problem is resolved. I mean, it's like taking a car into a garage and you've got a transmission problem, and they'll fix the wheel for you, but the transmission's still broken, but, you know, you've got your tire fixed. It's silly.

Even though they have -- they want to build the wastewater treatment plant for other reasons, I don't think it should be allowed until their other issues is squared away first. I'll get off of it in a minute here.

I want to get back on the groundwater for just a minute and the supply water coming in and the wastewater. Normally, the supply water coming in and the wastewater going out of your house is -- the treatment plant and stuff is put in so that people don't pump water out of the ground and mess up the aquifer so easily and that they don't have septic systems which hurts the environment, they say. I don't really think it does, but to some extent.

So, they've quit using -- they're not allowed to have a well and a septic in subdivisions anymore, but you're supposed to have had a good quality of water and good wastewater, no problems with that. The problem should be less with supply water and wastewater than what you were doing when you had a well and a septic. And that isn't any better. I mean, if you're having water problems from a treatment plant that treats the water that comes out of the ground, that's not right. It's not good for the environment there, either.

I think, a lot of the reason -- I might be way off on this one, but a big reason why Aloha is pushing an increase in wastewater treatment, if it's for -- if it is for an increase for them, for whatever reason, is that's the only area that they could even possibly think that they could get an increase in, because they know they

couldn't get an increase if they start claiming it on the supply water, because people would really raise even more holy hell than has been raised already about it.

So, I mean, it's a treatment plant that supplies water and effluent water, supply water and effluent water. Well, they can't do anything with the supply water now, because it's already crapped up. So, the only thing they can go on is the effluent water, the wastewater.

And the individuals that live in this area is being affected, and other areas as well also. Like that one gentleman said, right now it's the Seven Springs area, and they will get around to increasing other areas, too. It's just a matter of time.

Have to give me a minute here. Oh, yeah. If you had a -- I'm sure if everyone had an option of a different utility company, a supplier of water and discharge, or the option of well and septic, either one of those options, I would imagine Aloha would have no customers at this time right now with the problems they have, basically.

And here again, if Aloha would do whatever has to be done -- and there is something to be done about it. I don't claim to know what the answer is, but if they would attack the first problem, which is the reason why probably 75% of the people are here, is if they'd attack

the first problem, which is the water problem, and get it squared away, they probably wouldn't have much of a fight on the increase of their wastewater plant, and they could go forward with that.

Because the residents don't have any choice at all, your hands are pretty much tied. I mean, you can go to these and talk to the Public Service Commission, you can write letters, you can phone and all this other stuff, but when it gets right down to it is a decision to be made, if it isn't already made and the increase will be made and that will be all there is to it and you'd just go back to square one.

I don't understand -- some of these people talked about their increase, how their bill has increased in, say, a 5-year period up to 100%. There must be a cap that the utilities get -- have on the bill, and they must start at the low end and then go up to it and they're at the cap now and can't go no higher, I guess. Because otherwise, I don't know how you get an increase without having to have it voted or go to the Public Service Commission and then they vote for an increase, which that's the other thing that could be happening.

I mean, it's like the utilities; you can't have

-- the electric utilities, you can't have an increase

until the Public Service Commission says so. So, either

1.	they Aloha has gone to the Public Service Commission			
2	many times in the past and have gotten these increases or			
3	there's some kind of a cap that they're able to work			
4	within and to increase that up to. Well, I'm done anyway.			
5	Any questions?			
6	COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Any questions? Very well.			
7	Thank you very much.			
8	(Witness excused.)			
9	COMMISSIONER JACOBS: I think, we've got one			
10	more. Mr. McMahon.			
11	MR. McMAHON: Ladies and gentlemen			
12	COMMISSIONER JACOBS: I'm going to ask you			
13	I'm sorry, if I can ask you, this is a different statement			
14	from what you gave before?			
	MR. McMAHON: Yes.			
15	MR. McMAHON: Yes.			
15 16	MR. McMAHON: Yes. JOSEPH J. McMAHON			
16	JOSEPH J. McMAHON			
16 17	JOSEPH J. McMAHON was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the			
16 17 18	JOSEPH J. McMAHON was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida and, having been duly sworn, testified as			
16 17 18 19	JOSEPH J. McMAHON was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:			
16 17 18 19 20	JOSEPH J. McMAHON was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: DIRECT STATEMENT			
16 17 18 19 20 21	JOSEPH J. McMAHON was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: DIRECT STATEMENT COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Could you restate your			
16 17 18 19 20 21	JOSEPH J. McMAHON was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: DIRECT STATEMENT COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Could you restate your name for the record, just so we have it again?			
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	JOSEPH J. McMAHON was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: DIRECT STATEMENT COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Could you restate your name for the record, just so we have it again? MR. McMAHON: Surely. Joseph, I use the middle			

group of my members. And needless to say, some of them are a little shy and not good public speakers. So they 2 3 appointed me their mouthpiece. They raised several items. One of the things 4 they don't understand is when was this particular 5 wastewater facility built, who paid for it, and who 6 planned it? Can anyone respond to that question? 7 8 COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Here's what I'd like to do, if I may, Mr. McMahon. Why don't I let the company or 9 Staff give you an answer to those questions when we're 10 11 completed, if that's okay. 12 MR. McMAHON: You mean after the meeting is over 13 tonight? 14 COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Yes, if that's okay; yes, tonight. 15 16 MR. McMAHON: Or perhaps by letter. 17 COMMISSIONER JACOBS: If that's okay with you, that would be okay as well. 18 19 MR. McMAHON: That's absolutely fine. You have 20 my address? 21 COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Right. 22 MR. McMAHON: All right: 23 COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Okay. 24 MR. McMAHON: Another concern that the folks 25 have, and I share it with them --

1

	COMMISSIONER DACOBS: Dust a minute. Let me			
2	make sure they have all those written down. Did you get			
3	those?			
4	MR. JAEGER: Just wanted to confirm that, 7723			
5	Hamlet Drive, H-A-M-L-E-T, New Port Richey.			
6	MR. McMAHON: Right, 34653.			
7	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Excuse me, I'd like to			
8	hear the answer to that also.			
9	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yeah, send us all a			
LO	letter. That's a very good question he had, and I'd like			
11	to know that answer.			
12	COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Excuse me. If you want to			
1.3	speak, we need you to come to the microphones for purpose			
L4	of the record.			
15	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: He asked a question.			
16	Please, answer it.			
L7 _.	COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Let me state then, it's			
18	stated in the audience that they wanted to get the			
19	members, I assume, of your association, Mr. McMahon, in			
20	the audience wanted to get copies of the questions			
21	answers to those questions; is that correct?			
22	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Correct.			
23	MR. McMAHON: If I may make a suggestion. Let			
24	me make a suggestion.			
25	COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Sure.			

1	MR. McMAHON: If you folks will meet with me			
2	after this meeting and give me your names and addresses, I			
3	will be certain to send you a copy of the letter I			
4	receive.			
5	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: You got it.			
6	MR. McMAHON: Okay?			
7	COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Or you can give us those			
8	names and addresses, and we'd send to it them, however			
9	you'd like.			
10	MR. McMAHON: Whichever way you prefer.			
11	COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Okay.			
12	MR. McMAHON: You're the PSC.			
13	COMMISSIONER JACOBS: I'll let the Staff tell			
14	you their preferred route.			
15	MR. McMAHON: Okay, that's fine.			
16	COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Does that meet your			
17	agreement? Great, thank you.			
18	MR. McMAHON: Now, I take it that at some point			
19	in time, Aloha decided that due to the new building in the			
20	area, the Seven Springs area, they needed this plant			
21	built. And someone planned it and designed it and it was			
22	built. My next question is why does it now need			
23	rehabilitation, renovation, improvement, or call it what			
24	you may, and how old is this facility?			
25	COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Well, I'll gave you an			
	FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION			

answer to that, since it happens to be here before us.

There were some requirements for upgrades that came about, and the exact rationale and the reason behind those improvements is an issue in this case. In the company's petition, the justification is that certain requirements from the Department of Environmental Protection, which is the environmental oversight agency in the state of Florida, they were required, pursuant to certain purported violations of those rules, to upgrade certain parts of their system. And so, that was the genesis, if you will, of many of the upgrades that we're seeing today. Not all, but many of them.

MR. McMAHON: So, if I understand it correctly, the EPA said to Aloha, your system is not up to standard. You have to improve it by making these changes; is that correct?

COMMISSIONER JACOBS: I think, that's a fair statement.

MR. McMAHON: Fair statement?

COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Yes.

MR. McMAHON: And, of course, the cost of those improvements is passed on to the customer.

COMMISSIONER JACOBS: That's an issue in the case. That has not been -- that has been requested by the company, but that's an issue in the case that will be

resolved.

MR. McMAHON: And Aloha owns these facilities.

So, if they improve them, it is a benefit to Aloha in that it raises the value of these facilities; is that correct?

COMMISSIONER JACOBS: I can't answer that, but I think you could take a logical conclusion.

MR. McMAHON: And Aloha, of course, pays taxes, as we all do. And I'm sure, that there's a certain amount of depreciation and credits for depreciation concerning their taxes. So, while we pay for it now, we do not benefit from their tax credit for depreciation. I believe, the Public Service Commission should take a very serious look at that factor.

In addition to that said, we have in this country a cost-of-living index and an inflation index.

I'm going to use a round number of 3%, which is approximately what it's been running these last several years; yet, I have a utility here who comes down the pike and says we want 57%. I cannot put those two numbers together.

We heard from people tonight who are retired on fixed incomes. They get a 3% cost-of-living increase on their Social Security and a 57% increase on their sewer bill. That's unjustified, and it's unconscionable. And that's all I have to say.

Т,	mank you.			
2	COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Any questions? Staff?			
3	Very well.			
4	If I'm not mistaken, that completes the			
5	customers who had requested to testify. You don't have			
6	anyone else, Mr. Burgess, do you?			
7	MR. BURGESS: I sure don't.			
8	COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Well, thank you very much			
9	for your participation tonight. I can guarantee you we've			
10	heard what you've said. And I appreciate you all coming			
11	out tonight.			
12	And with that, we will recess until 9:00 a.m.			
13	tomorrow morning. We'll reconvene here to continue			
14	Mr. Larkin's testimony.			
15	(Transcript continues in sequence in Volume 4.)			
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				

1					
1	STATE OF FLORIDA) : CERTIFICATE OF REPORTERS				
2	COUNTY OF LEON)				
3	We, KORETTA E. STANFORD, RPR, and TRICIA DeMARTE, Official Commission Reporters,				
4					
5	DO HEREBY CERTIFY that the Hearing in Docket No 991643-SU was heard by the Florida Public Service Commission at the time and place herein stated; it is				
6	further				
7	CERTIFIED that we stenographically reported the said proceedings; that the same has been transcribed under				
8	our direct supervision; and that this transcript, consisting of 87 pages, Volume 3, constitutes a true				
9	transcription of our notes of said proceedings.				
10	DATED THIS 16TH DAY OF OCTOBER, 2000.				
11					
12	Korotta E. Stanford				
13	KORETTA E. STANFORD, RPR Official Commission Reporter (850) 413-6734				
14	(030) 413-0734				
15	Fricia Dellas to				
16	Official Commission Reporter				
17	(904) 413-6736				
18					
19					
20					
21					
	·				