

EXHIBIT NO. _____ DOCKET NO. 000007-EI TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY (KOZ-3) FILED: SEPTEMBER 21, 2000 REVISED: NOVEMBER 2, 2000

ENVIRONMENTAL COST RECOVERY COMMISSION FORMS

JANUARY 2001 THROUGH DECEMBER 2001 42-1P THROUGH 42-8P

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

14245 NOV-38

FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

EXHIBIT NO._______
DOCKET NO. 000007-EI
TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY
(KOZ-3)
FILED: SEPTEMBER 21, 2000

REVISED: NOVEMBER 21, 2000

INDEX

ENVIRONMENTAL COST RECOVERY COMMISSION FORMS

JANUARY 2001 THROUGH DECEMBER 2001

42-1P THROUGH 42-7P

DOCUMENT NO.	TITLE	PAGE
1	Form 42-1P	18
2	Form 42-2P	19
3	Form 42-3P	20
4	Form 42-4P	21
5	Form 42-5P	40
6	Form 42-6P	60
7	Form 42-7P	61

For the Projected Period January 2001 to December 2001

Line No.		Energy (\$)	Demand (\$)_	Total (\$)
	1. Total Jurisdictional Revenue Requirements for the projected period a. Projected O&M Activities (Form 42-2P, Lines 7, 8 & 9) b. Projected Capital Projects (Form 42-3P, Lines 7, 8 & 9) c. Total Jurisdictional Revenue Requirements for the projected period (Lines 1a + 1b)	\$7,366,135 17,563,168 24,929,303	\$46,241 295,768 342,009	\$7,412,376 17,858,936 25,271,312
	2. True-up for Estimated Over/(Under) Recovery for the current period January 2000 December 2000 (Form 42-2E, Line 5 + 6 + 10)	(3,033,698)	(38,016)	(3,071,714)
	 Final True-up for the period January 1999 to December 1999 (Form 42-1A, Line 3) (Approved in Order No. PSC-xx-xxx-FOF-EI) 	271,850	9,619	281,469
	 Total Jurisdictional Amount to Be Recovered/(Refunded) in the projection period January 2000 to December 2000 (Line 1 - Line 2- Line 3) 	27,691,151	370,406	28,061,557
	Total Projected Jurisdictional Amount Adjusted for Taxes (Line 4 x Revenue Tax Multiplier)	\$27,711,089	\$370,672	\$28,081,761

Notes:

Allocation to energy and demand in each period are in proportion to the respective period split of costs indicated on Lines 7 and 8 of Forms 42-5 and 42-7 of the estimates and actuals.

O & M Activities (in Dollars)

Line	<u>.</u>	Projected Jan-01	Projected Feb-01	Projected Mar-01	Projected Apr-01	Projected May-01	Projected Jun-01	Projected Jul-01	Projected Aug-01	Projected Sep-01	Projected Oct-01	Projected Nov-01	Projected Dec-01	End of Period Total	Method of Demand	Classification Energy
	1. Description of O&M Activities															
Secti	on(1) AIR QUALITY				_											
19	1a Big Bend Unit 3 FGD Integration 1b Big Bend Units 1 and 2 Flue Gas Conditio 1c SO2 Emissions Allowances 1d Big Bend Units 1 & 2 Scrubber 1d Big Bend FGD Optimization & Utilization 1e Big Bend PM Minimization & Monitoring 1f Big Bend NOx Emissions Reduction (2) LAND	\$151,809 1,833 37,783 276,143 380 10,000	\$163,657 1,833 46,930 253,139 20,380 10,000	\$200,444 1,833 75,485 249,183 50,380 60,000	\$174,237 1,833 97,050 259,159 315,190 35,000 10,000	\$177,246 1,833 81,618 320,462 677,000	\$172,425 1,833 26,992 343,227	\$162,775 1,833 11,812 340,016	\$168,460 1,833 63,929 344,929	\$160,866 1,833 76,323 343,451	\$156,131 1,833 80,699 334,103	\$62,786 1,833 81,130 337,539 41,000	\$145,286 1,833 92,202 331,903	\$1,896,122 22,000 771,953 \$3,733,254 \$1,104,330 \$115,000 \$50,000		\$1,896,122 22,000 771,953 3,733,254 1,104,330 115,000 50,000
	(3) WATER		·····	<u> </u>				_								
	3a NPDES Annual Surveillance fees	50,600	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	50,600	50,600	<u> </u>
:	R. Total of O&M Activities	528,548	495,939	637,326	892,469	1,268,159	554,477	526,436	589,151	582,473	572,766	524,288	571,224	7,743,259	50,600	7,692,659
	Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand	477,948 50,600	495,939 0	637,326 0	892,469 0	1,268,159 0	554,477 0	526,436 0	589,151 0	582,473 0	572,766 0	524,288 0	571,224 0	7,692,659 50,600		
	i. Retail Energy Jurisdictional Factor i. Retail Demand Jurisdictional Factor	0.9738980 0.9138481	0.9806130 0.9141946	0.9655794 0.9052031	0.9561833 0.9107203	0.9470662 0.9148255	0.9404479 0.9140625	0.9358342 0.9147970	0.9388154 0.9142473	0.9623807 0.9310434	0.9644148 0.9214644	0.9693569 0.9124712	0.9736423 0.9088745			
7 8	Jurisdictional Energy Recoverable Costs (A) Jurisdictional Demand Recoverable Costs (B)	465,473 46,241	486,324 0	615,389 0	853,364 0	1,201,031	521,457 0	492,657 0	553,104 0	560,561 0	552,384 0	508,223 0	556,168 0	7,366,135 46,241		
9	. Total Jurisdictional Recoverable Costs for O&M Activities (Lines 7 + 8)	\$511,714	\$486,324	\$615,389	\$853,364	\$1,201,031	\$521,457	\$492,657	\$ 553,104	\$560,561	\$ 552,384	\$508,223	\$556,168	\$7 <u>,412</u> ,376	교 교 교 교	D 듯 건 모 m
			1												E REPORT	86¥6¥ £6¥65

Tampa Electric Company

Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC) Calculation of the Projected Period Amount January 2001 to December 2001

Capital Investment Projects-Recoverable Costs (in Dollars)

Line

	Description of Investment Projects (A) ection (1) AIR	Projected Jan-01	Projected Feb-01	Projected Feb-01	Projected Apr-01	Projected May-01	Projected Jun-01	Projected Jul-01	Projected Aug-01	Projected Sep-01	Projected Oct-01	Projected Nov-01	Projected Dec-01	End of Period Total	Method of C	Classification Energy
	1a Big Bend Unit 3 FFGD Integration 1b Big Bend Units 1 and 2 Flue Gas Conditioning 1c Big Bend Unit 4 Continuous Emissions Monitors 1d Big Bend Unit 1 Classifier Replacement 1e Big Bend 2 Classifier Replacement 1f Gannon Unit 5 Classifier Replacements 1g Gannon Unit 6 Classifier Replacements 1h Gannon Coal Crusher (NOx Control) 1i Big Bend Units 1 & 2 Scrubber 1j Section 114 Mercury Testing Platform	\$87,396 52,369 8,942 15,746 11,511 30,354 33,980 124,731 1,076,273 1,356	\$87,203 52,231 8,923 15,709 11,485 30,154 33,755 123,903 1,073,296 1,355	\$87,010 52,092 8,904 15,671 11,458 29,952 33,529 123,074 1,070,320 1,352	\$86,816 51,955 8,885 15,634 11,433 29,750 33,303 122,247 1,067,344 1,351	\$86,623 51,816 8,866 15,597 11,407 29,548 33,078 121,418 1,064,368 1,349	\$86,430 51,678 8,848 15,559 11,380 29,346 32,852 120,589 1,061,392 1,347	\$86,237 51,539 8,828 15,521 11,354 29,145 32,626 119,761 1,058,415 1,345	\$86,043 51,402 8,810 15,484 11,328 28,944 32,401 118,932 1,055,439 1,342	\$85,850 51,263 8,791 15,447 11,301 28,742 32,175 118,104 1,052,463 1,341	\$85,657 51,125 8,771 15,410 11,275 28,540 31,949 117,276 1,049,487 1,338	\$85,464 50,986 8,753 15,373 11,248 28,338 31,724 116,447 1,046,511 1,337	\$85,271 50,849 8,734 15,335 11,222 28,137 31,498 115,618 1,043,534 1,334	\$1,036,000 619,305 106,055 186,486 136,402 350,950 392,870 1,442,100 12,718,842 16,147	Demand	\$1,036,000 619,305 106,055 186,486 136,402 350,950 392,870 1,442,100 12,718,842 16,147
	1k FGD Optimization and Utilization 1l Big Bend PM Minimizaton and Monitoring 1l Big Bend NOx Emissions Reduction (2) LAND	38,283 2,269 2,246	42,446 2,735 3,372	50,435 3,773 5,376	67,627 6,115 8,039	91,932 8,437 8,922	107,211 9,440 9,048	109,876 9,542 9,136	110,823 10,075 9,271	113,765 11,657 9,694	117,613 12,855 10,620	121,570 12,975 11,304	136,184 13,028 12,967	1,107,765 102,901 99,995		1,107,765 102,901 99,995
20	Jo Green Institute Oil Took	10,682 5,715 9,400 709 1,117	10,594 5,704 9,382 707 1,114	10,507 5,693 9,363 705 1,111	10,418 5,682 9,345 703 1,108	10,330 5,670 9,326 701 1,105	10,242 5,659 9,308 699 1,102	10,154 5,648 9,289 697 1,098	10,066 5,637 9,271 695 1,096	9,978 5,625 9,252 693 1,093	9,889 5,613 9,234 691 1,089	9,802 5,603 9,215 690 1,086	9,714 5,591 9,197 687 1,084	122,376 67,840 111,582 8,377 13,203	122,376 67,840 111,582 8,377 13,203	
<u>1</u>	Total Investment Projects - Recoverable Costs Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand	1,513,079 1,485,456 27,623	1,514,068 1,486,567 27,501	1,520,325 1,492,946 27,379	1,537,755 1,510,499 27,256	1,560,493 1,533,361 27,132	1,572,130 1,545,120 27,010	1,570,211 1,543,325 26,886	1,567,059 1,540,294 26,765	1,567,234 1,540,593 26,641	1,568,432 1,541,916 26,516	1,568,426 1,542,030 26,396	1,579,984 1,553,711 26,273	18,639,196 18,315,818 323,378	323,378	18,315,818
7	5. Retail Energy Jurisdictional Factor 6. Retail Demand Jurisdictional Factor 7. Jurisdictional Energy Recoverable Costs (B) 8. Jurisdictional Demand Recoverable Costs (C)	0.9738980 0.9138481 1,446,683 25,243	0.9806130 0.9141946 1,457,747 25,141	0.9655794 0.9052031 1,441,558 24,784	0.9561833 0.9107203 1,444,314 24,823	0.9470662 0.9148255 1,452,194 24,821	0.9404479 0.9140625 1,453,105 24,689	0.9358342 0.9147970 1,444,296 24,595	0.9388154 0.9142473 1,446,052 24,470	0.9623807 0.9310434 1,482,637 24,804	0.9644148 0.9214644 1,487,047 24,434	0.9693569 0.9124712 1,494,777 24,086	0.9736423 0.9088745 1,512,759 23,879	17,563,168 		
9	Total Jurisdictional Recoverable Costs for Investment Projects (Lines 7 + 8)	\$1,471,926	\$1,482,888	\$1,466,341	\$1,469,137	\$1,477,015	\$1,477,794	\$1,468,892	\$1,470,522	\$1,507,441	\$1,511,480	\$1,518,863	\$1,536,638	\$17,858,936		

⁽A) Each project's Total System Recoverable Expenses on Form 42-4P, Line 9 Notes:

DOCUMENT NO. 3
PAGE 1 OF 1
FORM 42-3P
FILED: SEPTEMBER 21, 2000
REVISED: NOVEMBER 2, 2000

⁽B) Line 3 x Line 5

⁽C) Line 4 x Line 6

Return on Capital Investments, Depreciation and Taxes For Project: Big Bend Unit 3 Flue Gas Desulfurization Integration (in Dollars)

Beginnin of Period Line Amount	Estimated	Estimatêd Feb 01	Estimated Mar 01	Estimated Apr 01	Estimated May 01	Estimated June 01	Estimated July 01	Estimated Aug 01	Estimated Sep 01	Estimated Oct 01	Estimated Nov 01	Estimated Dec 01	End of Period Total
1 Investments a. Expenditures/Additions	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
b. Clearings to Plant	Õ	0	0	0	0	0	ō	Ď	0	Ö	0	0	
c. Retirements	ō	ā	Ö	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
d. Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	o	0	0	0	
2 Plant-in-Service/Depreciation Base \$8,239,65	8 8,239,658	8,239,658	6,239,658	8,239,658	8,239,658	8,239,658	6,239,658	8,239,658	8,239,658	8,239,658	8,239,656	8,239,658	
3 Less: Accumulated Depreciation (\$1,274,96		(1,314,787)	(1,334,700)	(1,354,613)	(1,374,526)	(1,394,439)	(1,414,352)	(1,434,265)	(1,454,178)	(1,474,091)	(1,494,004)	(1,513,917)	
4 CWIP - Non-Interest Bearing	0 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6,805,393	6,785,480	6,765,567	6,745,654	6,725,741	
5 Net investment (Lines 2 + 3 + 4) \$6,964,69	7 6,944,784	6,924,871	6,904,958	6,885,045	6,865,132	6,845,219	6,825,306	6,605,393	0,785,480	0,700,307	6,745,034	0,723,741	
6 Average Net Investment	6,954,741	6,934,828	6,914,915	6,895,002	6,875,089	6,855,176	6,835,263	6,815,350	6,795,437	6,775,524	6,755,611	6,735,698	
7 Return on Average Net Investment													
a. Equity Component Grossed Up For Taxes (A)	51,139	50,993	50,847	50,700	50,554	50,407	50,261	50,114	49,968	49,822	49,675	49,529	\$604,009
b. Debt Component (Line 6 x 2.82% x 1/12)	16,344	16,297	16,250	16,203	16,156	16,110	16,063	16,016	15,969	15,922	15,876	15,829	193,035
å Investment Expenses											40.040	45.545	220 055
a. Depreciation	19,913	19,913	19,913	19,913	19,913	19,913	19,913	19,913	19,913	19,913 0	19,913 0	19,913 0	238,956
b. Amortization	0	0	0	. 0	0	0 0	0	0	0	υ 0	0	ņ	0
c. Dismantlement	0	Ů	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	ñ	0	ő	a
d. Property Taxes e. Other	0	0	0	0	Ö	n	ŏ	Ö	ä	ő	Ď	ŏ	ō
e, Oulei			<u> </u>			<u>~</u>		<u>`</u>				 _	
9 Total System Recoverable Expenses (Lines 7 + 8)	87,396	87,203	87,010	86,816	86,623	86,430	86,237	86,043	85,850	85,657	85,464	85,271	1,036,000
a. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy	87,396	87,203	87,010	86,816	86,623	86 430	86,237	86,043	85,850	85,657	85,464	85,271	1,036,000
b. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand	. 0	0	o	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10 Energy Jurisdictional Factor	0.9738980	0.9806130	0.9655794	0.9561833	0.9470662	0.9404479	0.9358342	0.9388154	0.9623807	0.9644148	0.9693569	0,9736423	
11 Demand Jurisdictional Factor	0.9138481	0.9141946	0,9052031	0.9107203	0.9148255	0.9140625	0.9147970	0.9142473	0.9310434	0.9214644	0.9124712	0.9088745	
12 Retail Energy-Related Recoverable Costs (B)	85,115	85,512	84,015	83,012	82,038	81,283	80,704	80,778	82,620	82,609	82,845	83,023	993,554
13 Retail Demand-Related Recoverable Costs (C)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0_	00	0	3	0
14 Total Jurisdictional Recoverable Costs (Lines 12 + 1	3) \$85,115	\$85,512	\$84,015	\$83,012	\$82,038	\$81,283	\$80,704	\$80,778	\$82,620	\$82,609	\$82,845	\$83,023	\$993,554

Notes

(A) Lines 6 x 8.8238% x 1/12. Based on ROE of 11.75% and weighted income tax rate of 38.575% (expansion factor of 1.628002)

(B) Line 9a x Line 10

(C) Line 9b x Line 11

DOCKET NO. 000007-EI
DOCKET NO. 000007-EI
TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY
(KOZ-3)
DOCUMENT NO. 4
PAGE 1 OF 19
FORM 42-4P
FILED: SEPTEMBER 21, 2000
REVISED: NOVEMBER 2, 2000

Return on Capital Investments, Depreciation and Taxes For Project: Big Bend Units 1 & 2 Flue Gas Conditioning (in Dollars)

Line	Description	Beginning of of Period Amount	Estimated Jan 01	Estimated Feb 01	Estimated Mar 01	Estimated Apr 01	Estimated May 01	Estimated June 01	Estimated July 01	Estimated Aug 01	Estimated Sept 01	Estimated Oct 01	Estimated Nov 01	Estimated Dec 01	End of Period Total
	1 investments														
	a. Expenditures/Additions		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	b. Clearings to Plant		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	c. Retirements		0	0	0	0	0	٥	0	0	o	0	O	0	
	d. Other		0	0	O	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	2 Plant-in-Service/Depreciation Base	\$5,017,734	5,017,734	5,017,734	5,017,734	5,017,734	5,017,734	5,017,734	5,017,734	5,017,734	5,017,734	5,017,734	5,017,734	5,017,734	
	3 Less: Accumulated Depreciation	(\$1,081,574)	(1,095,819)	(1,110,064)	(1,124,309)	(1,138,554)	(1,152,799)	(1,167,044)	(1,181,289)	(1,195,534)	(1,209,779)	(1,224,024)	(1,238,269)	(1,252,514)	
	4 CWIP - Non-Interest Bearing	0	0	0	0_	0	0	0	0	0	0		0		
	5 Net Investment (Lines 2 + 3 + 4)	\$3,936,160	3,921,915	3,907,670	3,893,425	3,879,180	3,884,935	3,850,690	3,836,445	3,822,200	3,807,955	3,793,710	3,779,465	3,765,220	
	6 Average Net Investment		3,929,038	3,914,793	3,900,548	3,886,303	3,872,058	3,857,813	3,643,568	3,829,323	3,815,078	3,800,833	3,786,588	3,772,343	
	7 Return on Average Net Investment														
N	a. Equity Component Grossed Up Fo	x Taxes (A)	28,891	28,786	28,681	28,577	28,472	28,367	28,262	28,158	28,053	27,948	27,843	27,739	\$339,777
N	b. Debt Component (Line 6 x 2.82%	x 1/12)	9,233	9,200	9,166	9,133	9,099	9,066	9,032	8,999	8,965	8,932	8,898	8,865	108,588
	8 Investment Expenses														
	a, Depreciation		14,245	14,245	14,245	14,245	14,245	14,245	14,245	14,245	14,245	14,245	14,245	14,245	170,940
	b. Amortization		O	0	0	0	0	0	٥	0	0	0	0	0	0
	c. Dismantlement		0	Đ	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	d. Property Taxes		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	e. Other		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	9 Total System Recoverable Expenses	(Lines 7 + 8)	52,369	52,231	52,092	51,955	51,816	\$1,678	51,539	51,402	51,263	51,125	50,986	50,849	619,305
	a. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Er	nergy	52,369	52,231	52,092	51,955	51,816	51,678	51,539	51,402	51,263	51,125	50,986	50,849	619,305
	b. Recoverable Costs Allocated to De	emand	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	10 Energy Jurisdictional Factor		0.9738980	0.9806130	0.9655794	0.9561833	0.9470662	0.9404479	0.9358342	0,9388154	0.9623807	0.9644148	0.9693569	0.9736423	
	11 Demand Jurisdictional Factor		0.9138481	0.9141946			0.9148255		_	-		0.9214644	0.9124712	0.9088745	
	12 Retail Energy-Related Recoverable C	costs (B)	51,002	51,218	50,299	49,679	49,073	48,600	48,232	48,257	49,335	49,306	49,424	49,509	593,934
	13 Retail Demand-Related Recoverable		. 0	. 0	0	0	0_	0	. 0	٥	D	0	0	0	0
	14 Total Jurisdictional Recoverable Cost	s (Lines 12 + 1	3 \$51,002	\$51,218	\$50,299	\$49,679	\$49,073	\$48,600	\$48,232	\$48,257	\$49,335	\$49,306	\$49,424	\$49,509	\$593,934

Notes:

- (A) Lines 6 x 8.8238% x 1/12. Based on ROE of 11.75% and weighted income tax rate of 38.575% (expansion factor of 1.628002)
- (B) Line 9a x Line 10
- (C) Line 9b x Line 11

EXHIBIT NO. 000007-EI DOCKET NO. 000007-EI TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPAI (KOZ-3)

(KOZ-3)

(KOZ-3)

DOCUMENT NO. 4

PAGE 2 OF 19

FORM 42-4P

FILED: SEPTEMBER 21, 20

Return on Capital Investments, Depreciation and Taxes For Project: Big Bend Unit 4 Continuous Emissions Monitors (in Dollars)

Line	Description	Beginning of Period Amount	Estimated Jan 01	Estimated Feb 01	Estimated Mar 01	Estimated Apr 01	Estimated May 01	Estimated June 01	Estimated July 01	Estimated Aug 01	Estimated Sep 01	Estimated Oct 01	Estimated Nov 01	Estimated Dec 01	End of Period Total
	1 Investments														
	a. Expenditures/Additions		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	b. Clearings to Plant		0	. 0	0	0	0	0	0	. 0	0	0	0	0	
	c. Retirements		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	d. Other		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
;	2 Plant-in-Service/Depreciation Base	\$866,211	866,211	866,211	866,211	866,211	866,211	866,211	866,211	866,211	866,211	866,211	866,211	866,211	
;	3 Less: Accumulated Depreciation	(\$144,557)	(146,506)	(148,455)	(150,404)	(152,353)	(154,302)	(156,251)	(158,200)	(160,149)	(162,098)	(164,047)	(165,996)	(167,945)	
	4 CWIP - Non-interest Bearing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
:	5 Net Investment (Lines 2 + 3 + 4)	\$721,654	719,705	717,756	715,807	713,858	711,909	709,960	708,011	706,062	704,113	702,164	700,215	698,266	
	6 Average Net Investment		720,680	718,731	716,782	714,833	712,884	710,935	708,986	707,037	705,088	703,139	701,190	699,241	
	7 Return on Average Net Investment														
	a. Equity Component Grossed Up For Tax	es (A)	5,299	5,285	5,271	5,256	5,242	5,228	5,213	5,199	5,185	5,170	5,156	5,142	\$62,646
ಬ	b. Debt Component (Line 6 x 2.82% x 1/12		1,694	1,689	1,684	1,680	1,675	1,671	1,666	1,662	1,657	1,652	1,648	1,643	20,021
	8 Investment Expenses														
	a. Depreciation		1,949	1,949	1,949	1,949	1,949	1,949	1,949	1,949	1,949	1,949	1,949	1,949	23,388
	b. Amortization		O	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	c. Dismantlement		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	d. Property Taxes		0	O	0	0	0	0	0	D	0	0	0	0	0
	e. Other		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0_
	9 Total System Recoverable Expenses (Line	s 7 + 8)	8,942	8,923	8,904	8.885	8,866	8,848	8,828	8,810	8,791	8,771	8,753	8,734	106,055
	a. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy	•	8,942	8,923	8,904	8,885	8,866	8,848	8,828	8,810	8,791	8,771	8,753	8,734	106,055
	b. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Deman	d	. 0	Ó	0	0	0	0	0	. 0	0	0	0	. 0	0
11	© Energy Jurisdictional Factor		0.9738980	0.9806130	0.9655794	0.9561833	0.9470662	0.9404479	0.9358342	0.9388154	0.9623807	0 9644148	0.9693569	0.9736423	
	1 Demand Jurisdictional Factor		0.9138481	0.9141946	0.9052031	0.9107203	0.9148255	0.9140625	0.9356342	0.9142473	0.9310434	0.9214644	0.9124712	0.9088745	
1:	2 Retail Energy-Related Recoverable Costs	(B)	8,709	8,750	8,598	8,496	8,397	8,321	8,262	8,271	8,460	8,459	8,485	8,504	101,712
1:	3 Retail Demand-Related Recoverable Costs	(C)	C	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
14	4 Total Jurisdictional Recoverable Costs (Lin	es 12 + 13)	\$8,709	\$8,750	\$8,598	\$8,496	\$8,397	\$8,321	\$8,262	\$8,271	\$8,460	\$8,459	\$8,485	\$8,504	\$101,712

Notes:

(A) Lines 6 x 8.8238% x 1/12. Based on ROE of 11.75% and weighted income tax rate of 38.575% (expansion factor of 1.628002)

(B) Line 9a x Line 10

(C) Line 9b x Line 11

Return on Capital Investments, Depreciation and Taxes For Project: Big Bend Unit 1 Classifier Replacement (in Ooliars)

Line	Description	Beginning of Period Amount	Estimated Jan 01	Estimated Feb 01	Estimated Mar 01	Estimated Apr 01	Estimated May 01	Estimated June 01	Estimated July 01	Estimated Aug 01	Estimated Sep 01	Estimated Oct 01	Estimated Nov 01	Estimated Nov 01	End of Period Total
1 investments			•												
 a. Expenditures 			\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$ 0	
b. Clearings to	Plant		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
c. Retirements			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
d. Other			0	0	0	0	0	0	σ	0	0	0	0	U	
2 Plant-in-Service	/Depreciation Base	\$1,316,257	1,316,257	1,316,257	1,316,257	1,316,257	1,316,257	1,316,257	1,316,257	1,316,257	1,316,257	1,316,257	1,316,257	1,316,257	
3 Less: Accumula	ated Depreciation	(\$87,272)	(91,111)	(94,950)	(98,789)	(102,628)	(106,467)	(110,306)	(114,145)		(121,823)	(125,662)	(129,501)	(133,340)	
4 CWIP - Non-inte	erest Bearing	0	0	00	0	0	0	0		0_	0	<u> </u>	0	0_	
5 Net Investment	(Lines 2 + 3 + 4)	\$1,228,985	1,225,146	1,221,307	1,217,468	1,213,629	1,209,790	1,205,951	1,202,112	1,198,273	1,194,434	1,190,595	1,186,756	1,182,917	
6 Average Net Inv	estment		1,227,066	1,223,227	1,219,388	1,215,549	1,211,710	1,207,871	1,204,032	1,200,193	1,196,354	1,192,515	1,188,676	1,184,837	
7 Return on Avera	ige Net Investment														
	onent Grossed Up For Taxes	(A)	9,023	8,995	8,966	8,938	8,910	8,882	8,853	8,825	8,797	8,769	8,741	8,712	\$106,411
b. Debt Compo	nent (Line 6 x 2.82% x 1/12)		2,884	2,875	2,866	2,857	2,848	2,838	2,829	2,820	2,811	2,802	2,793	2,784	34,007
8 Investment Expe	enses														
a. Depreciation			3,839	3,839	3,839	3,839	3,839	3,839	3,839	3,839	3,839	3,839	3,839	3,839	46,068
b. Amortization	et in the second of the second		0	0	0	O	0	٥	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
c. Dismantleme	ent		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	. 0	0	0
d. Property Tax	es		0	0	0	0	0	a	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
e. Other			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0
9 Total System R	ecoverable Expenses (Lines 7	+8)	15,746	15.709	15,671	15,634	15,597	15,559	15,521	15,484	15,447	15,410	15,373	15,335	186,486
	Costs Allocated to Energy	•	15,746	15,709	15,671	15,634	15,597	15,559	15,521	15,484	15,447	15,410	15,373	15,335	186,486
b. Recoverable	Costs Allocated to Demand		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	O	0	0	0	0	0
10 Energy Jurisdic	tional Factor		0.9738980	0.9806130	0.9655794	0.9561833	0.9470662	0.9404479	0.9358342	0.9388154	0.9623807	0.9644148	0.9693569	0.9736423	
11 Demand Jurisdi			0.9138481	0.9141946	0.9052031	0.9107203	0.9148255	0.9140625	0.9147970	0.9142473	0.9310434	0.9214644			
12 Retail Energy-R	elated Recoverable Costs (B)		15,335	15,404	15,132	14,949	14,771	14,632	14,525	14,537	14,866	14,862	14,902	14,931	178,846
	Related Recoverable Costs (C		0	0_	_ 0	0	0	0	0	0_	0	0	0	0	0_
14 Total Jurisdiction	nal Recoverable Costs (Lines	12 + 13)	\$15,335	\$15,404	\$15,132	\$14,949	\$14,771	\$14,632	\$14,525	\$14,537	\$14,866	\$14,862	\$14,902	\$14,931	\$178,846

Notes:

(A) Lines 6 x 8.8238% x 1/12. Based on ROE of 11.75% and weighted income tax rate of 38.575% (expansion factor of 1.628002) (B) Line 9a x Line 10

(C) Line 9b x Line 11

FILED: SEPTEMBER 21, 2000 REVISED: NOVEMBER 2, 2000

Return on Capital Investments, Depreciation and Taxes For Project: Big Bend Unit 2 Classifier Replacement (in Dollars)

Beginning of Period Amount		Estimated Feb 01	Estimated Mar 01	Estimated Apr 01	Estimated May 01	Estimated June 01	Estimated July 01	Estimated Aug 01	Estimated Sep 01	Estimated Oct 01	Estimated Nov 01	Estimated Dec 01	End of Period Total
1 Investments									•	••	••	**	
a. Expenditures/Additions	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0 0	\$0	\$0 0	\$0 0	
b. Clearings to Plant	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	U	0	
c. Retirements	0	D 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
d. Other	0	U	U	U	U	U	v	U	U	U	U	•	
2 Plant-in-Service/Depreciation Base \$984,79	984,794	984,794	984,794	984,794	984,794	984,794	984,794	984,794	984,794	984,794	984,794	984,794	
3 Less: Accumulated Depreciation (\$75,57)	') (78,285)	(80,993)	(83,701)	(86,409)	(89,117)	(91,825)	(94,533)	(97,241)	(99,949)		(105,365)	(108,073)	
4 CWIP - Non-Interest Bearing		0	0	0	0_	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
5 Net Investment (Lines 2 + 3 + 4) \$909,21	906,509	903,801	901,093	898,385	895,677	892,969	890,261	887,553	884,845	882,137	879,429	876,721	
6 Average Net Investment	907,863	905,155	902,447	899,739	897,031	894,323	891,615	888,907	886,199	883,491	880,783	878,075	
7 Return on Average Net Investment													
a. Equity Component Grossed Up For Taxes (A)	6,676	6,656	6,636	6,616	6,596	6,576	6,556	6,536	6,516	6,496	6,477	6,457	\$78,794
b. Debt Component (Line 6 x 2.82% x 1/12)	2,133	2,127	2,121	2,114	2,108	2,102	2,095	2,089	2,083	2,076	2,070	2,063	25,181
8 Investment Expenses													
a. Depreciation	2,708	2,708	2,708	2,708	2,708	2,708	2,708	2,708	2,708	2,708	2,708	2,708	32,496
b. Amortization	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
c. Dismantlement	0	Đ	Đ	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
d. Property Taxes	0	0	0	٥	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
e. Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	
9 Total System Recoverable Expenses (Lines 7 + 8)	11,517	11.491	11,465	11,438	11,412	11,386	11,359	11,333	11,307	11,280	11,255	11,228	136,471
a. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy	11,517	11,491	11,465	11,438	11.412	11,386	11,359	11,333	11,307	11,280	11,255	11,228	136,471
b. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10 Energy Jurisdictional Factor	0.9738980	0.9806130	0.9655794	0.9561833	0.9470662	0.9404479	0.9358342	0.9388154	0.9623807	0,9644148	0.9693569	0.9736423	
11 Demand Jurisdictional Factor	0.9138481	0.9141946	0.9052031	0.9107203		0.9140625		0.9142473	0.9310434	0.9214644		0.9088745	
12 Retail Energy-Related Recoverable Costs (B)	11,216	11,268	11,070	10,937	10,808	10,708	10,630	10,640	10,882	10,879	10,910	10,932	130,880
13 Retail Demand-Related Recoverable Costs (C)	0	0	_0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0_
14 Total Jurisdictional Recoverable Costs (Lines 12 + 13)	\$11,216	\$11,268	\$11,070	\$10,937	\$10,808	\$10,708	\$10,630	\$10,640	\$10,882	\$10,879	\$10,910	\$10,932	\$130,880

Notes:

(A) Lines 6 x 8.8238% x 1/12, Based on ROE of 11.75% and weighted income tax rate of 38.575% (expansion factor of 1.628002)

(B) Line 9a x Line 10 (C) Line 9b x Line 11

DOCUMENT NO. 4
PAGE 5 OF 19
FORM 42-4P
FILED: SEPTEMBER 21, 2000
REVISED: NOVEMBER 2, 2000

Return on Capital Investments, Depreciation and Taxes For Project:Gannon Unit 5 Classifier Replacement (in Dollars)

Line	Description	Beginning of Period Amount	Actual Jan 01	Actual Fèb 01	Actual Mar 01	Actual Apr 01	Actual May 01	Estimated June 01	Estimated July 01	Estimated Aug 01	Estimated Sep 01	Estimated Ctober 01	Estimated Nov 01	Estimated Dec 01	End of Period Total
	i investments											••	••	**	
	a. Expenditures/Additions		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	b. Clearings to Plant		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	c, Retirements		0	0	0	0	0	0	U	Ü	0	0	ν n	0	
	d. Other		G	0	δ	0	0	0	0	0	U	U	U	U	
:	2 Plant-in-Service/Depreciation Base	\$1,357,040	1,357,040	1,357,040	1,357,040	1,357,040	1,357,040	1,357,040	1,357,040	1,357,040	1,357,040	1,357,040	1,357,040	1,357,040	
;	3 Less: Accumulated Depreciation	(\$359,680)		(401,237)	(422,015)	(442,793)	(463,572)	(484,350)		(525,907)	(546,685)	(567,463)	(588,242)	(609,020)	
	4 CWIP - Non-Interest Bearing	0	0	0	0_	0_	0	0	0	0	0	0	700 700	748 020	
:	Net investment (Lines 2 + 3 + 4)	\$997,360	976,582	955,803	935,025	914,247	893,468	872,690	851,912	831,133	810,355	789,577	768,798	748,020	•
•	S Average Net Investment		986,971	966,193	945,414	924,636	903,858	883,079	862,301	841,523	820,744	799,966	779,188	758,409	
	7 Return on Average Net Investment														
	a. Equity Component Grossed Up For Taxes	(A)	7,257	7,105	6,952	6,799	6,646	6,493	6,341	6,188	6,035	5,882	5,729	5,57 <i>7</i>	\$77,004
N	b. Debt Component (Line 6 x 2.82% x 1/12)	•	2,319	2,271	2,222	2,173	2,124	2,075	2,026	1,978	1,929	1,880	1,831	1,782	24,610
o	8 Investment Expenses														
	a. Depreciation		20,778	20,778	20,778	20,778	20,778	20,778	20,778	20,778	20,778	20,778	20,778	20,778	249,340
	b. Amortization		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	o o	0	
	c. Dismantlement		0	0	0	0	C	O	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	d. Property Taxes		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	s. Other		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			<u> </u>		
	9 Total System Recoverable Expenses (Lines 7	+8)	30,354	30,154	29,952	29,750	29,548	29,346	29,145	28,944	28,742	28,540	28,338	28,137	350,950
	a. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy	•	30,354	30,154	29,952	29,750	29,548	29,346	29,145	28,944	28,742	28,540	28,338	28,137	350,950
	b. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand		o	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4	D Energy Jurisdictional Factor		0.9738980	0.9806130	0.9655794	0.9561833	0.9470662	0.9404479	0.9358342	0.9388154	0.9623807	0.9644148	0.9693569	0,9736423	
	1 Demand Jurisdictional Factor		0.9138481	0.9141946	0.9052031	0.9107203	0.9148255	0.9140625	0.9147970	0.9142473	0.9310434	0,9214644	0.9124712	0.9088745	
1	2 Retail Energy-Related Recoverable Costs (B)		29,562	29,569	28,921	28,446	27,984	27,598	27,275	27,173	27,661	27,524	27,470	27,395	336,578
	3 Retail Demand-Related Recoverable Costs (C		0	0_	0	0	G	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	4 Total Jurisdictional Recoverable Costs (Lines		\$29,562	\$29,569	\$28,921	\$28,446	\$27,984	\$27,598	\$27,275	\$27,173	\$27,661	\$27,524	\$27,470	\$27,395	\$336,578

Notes:

(A) Lines 6 x 8.8238% x 1/12. Based on ROE of 11.75% and weighted income tax rate of 38,575% (expansion factor of 1.628002)

(B) Line 9a x Line 10

(C) Line 9b x Line 11

TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY (KOZ-3)

DOCUMENT NO. 4

PAGE 6 OF 19

FORM 42-4P

FILED: SEPTEMBER 21, 2000

REVISED: NOVEMBER 2, 2000

Return on Capital Investments, Depreciation and Taxes For Project:Gannon Unit 6 Classifier Replacement (in Dollars)

Line	Description	Beginning of Period Amount	Estimated Jan 01	Estimated Feb 01	Estimated Mar 01	Estimated Apr 01	Estimated May 01	Estimated June 01	Estimated July 01	Estimated Aug 01	Estimated Sep 01	Estimated Oct 01	Estimated Nov 01	Estimated Dec 01	End of Period Total
1 investments										_					
a. Expenditures/Ad	lditions		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	20	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
 b. Clearings to Pla 	nt		0	0	O	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
c. Retirements			Ō	0	0	0	0	0	0	G	0	0	0	0	
d. Other			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
2 Plant-in-Service/De	preciation Base	\$1,418,424	1,418,424	1,418,424	1,418,424	1,418,424	1,418,424	1,418,424	1,418,424	1,418,424	1,418,424	1,418,424	1,418,424	1,418,424	
3 Less: Accumulated	Depreciation	(\$301,935)	(325,195)	(348,456)	(371,716)	(394,976)	(418,236)	(441,496)	(464,756)	(488,017)	(511,277)	(534,537)	(557,797)	(581,057)	
4 CWIP - Non-Interes	st Bearing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0_	0	
5 Net Investment (Lir	ies 2 + 3 + 4)	\$1,116,489	1,093,229	1,069,968	1,046,708	1,023,448	1,000,188	976,928	953,668	930,407	907,147	883,887	860,627	837,367	
6 Average Net Invest	ment		1,104,859	1,081,599	1,058,338	1,035,078	1,011,818	988,558	965,298	942,037	918,777	895,517	872,257	848,997	
7 Return on Average	Net Investment														
a. Equity Compone	ent Grossed Up For Taxes	(A)	8,124	7,953	7,782	7,611	7,440	7,269	7,098	6,927	6,756	6,585	6,414	6,243	\$86,202
	t (Line 6 x 2.82% x 1/12)		2,596	2,542	2,487	2,432	2,378	2,323	2,268	2,214	2,159	2,104	2,050	1,995	27,548
3															
8 Investment Expens	5 5		23,260	23,260	23,260	23,260	23,260	23,260	23,260	23,260	23,260	23,260	23,260	23,260	279,122
a. Depreciation b. Amortization			23,200	23,200 N	23,200	23,200	23,200	20,200	23,200	23,200	23,200	20,200	25,250	20,200	0
c. Dismantiement			0	0	ő	0	0	Ö	ň	Ŏ	ő	ő	ā	ō	Ď
d. Property Taxes			ă	ő	ő	ō	a	3	ō	٥	ă	Ō	. 0	0	0
e. Other			<u>o</u>	0	0	Ō	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	00	0
D T-4-1 O-4-#- D		T 0/	33,980	33.755	33,529	33,303	33,078	32,852	32,626	32,401	32,175	31,949	31,724	31,498	392,870
	verable Expenses (Lines 7	+0)	33,980	33,755	33,529	33,303	33,078	32,852	32,626	32,401	32,175	31,949	31,724	31,498	392,870
	sts Allocated to Energy		33,300	33,733	33,329 0	33,303	43,070	32,032 N	32,020	32,701	32,113 D	31, 343	01,724	0 .,430	0.22,010
p. Kecoverable Co	sts Allocated to Demand		U	v	U	υ	U	u	U	0	U	U	U	·	•
10 Energy Jurisdiction	al Factor		0.9738980	0.9806130	0.9655794	0.9561833	0.9470662	0.9404479	0.9358342	0.9388154	0.9623807	0,9644148	0.9693569	0.9736423	
11 Demand Jurisdiction			0.9138481	0.9141946	0.9052031	0.9107203	0.9148255	0.9140625	0.9147970	0.9142473	0,9310434	0,9214644	0.9124712	0.9088745	
12 Retail Energy-Rela	ted Recoverable Costs (B)		33,093	33,101	32,375	31,844	31,327	30,896	30,533	30,419	30,965	30,812	30,752	30,668	376,785
	ated Recoverable Costs (C	2)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
*	Recoverable Costs (Lines	•	\$33,093	\$33,101	\$ 32,375	\$31,844	\$31,327	\$30,896	\$30,533	\$30,419	\$30,965	\$30,812	\$30,752	\$30,668	\$376,785

(A) Lines 6 x 8.8238% x 1/12. Based on ROE of 11.75% and weighted income tax rate of 38.575% (expansion factor of 1.628002)

(B) Line 9a x Line 10 (C) Line 9b x Line 11

Return on Capital Investments, Depreciation and Taxes For Project:Gannon Coal Crusher (NOx Control) (in Dollars)

Line	Description	Beginning of Period Amount	Estimated Jan 01	Estimated Feb 01	Estimated Mar 01	Estimated Apr 01	Estimated May 01	Estimated June 01	Estimated July 01	Estimated Aug 01	Estimated Sep 01	Estimated Oct 01	Estimated Nov 01	Estimated Dec 01	End of Period Total
	1 Investments							_			•				
	a. Expenditures/Additions		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0 0	
	b. Clearings to Plant		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	ū	0	0	
	c. Retirements		0	0	0	0	O N	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	d, Other		υ	U	V	U	U	U	U	v	Ū	v	U		
	2 Plant-in-Service/Depreciation Base	\$5,227,289	5,227,289	5,227,289	5,227,289	5,227,289	5,227,289	5,227,289	5,227,289	5,227,289	5,227,289	5,227,289	5,227,289	5,227,289	
	3 Less: Accumulated Depreciation	(\$1,129,070)	(1,214,449)	(1,299,829)	(1,385,209)	(1,470,588)	(1,555,968)	(1,641,347)	(1,726,727)	(1,812,106)	(1,897,486)	(1,982,865)	(2,068,245)		
	4 CWIP - Non-Interest Bearing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	00	0_	
	5 Net investment (Lines 2 + 3 + 4)	\$4,098,219	4,012,840	3,927,460	3,842,081	3,756,701	3,671,321	3,585,942	3,500,562	3,415,183	3,329,803	3,244,424	3,159,044	3,073,664	
	6 Average Net Investment		4,055,529	3,970,150	3,884,770	3,799,391	3,714,011	3,628,632	3,543,252	3,457,872	3,372,493	3,287,113	3,201,734	3,116,354	
	7 Return on Average Net Investment													-	
N	 a. Equity Component Grossed Up For ' 		29,821	29,193	28,565	27,938	27,310	26,682	26,054	25,426	24,799	24,171	23,543	22,915	\$316,417
∞	b. Debt Component (Line 6 x 2.82% x	1/12)	9,530	9,330	9,129	8,929	8,728	8,527	8,327	8,126	7,925	7,725	7,524	7,323	101,123
	8 Investment Expenses														
	a. Depreciation		85,380	85,380	85,380	85,380	85,380	85,380	85,380	85,380	85,380	85,380	85,380	85,380	1,024,555
	b. Amortization		0	0	0	0	0	O	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	c. Dismantlement		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	d. Property Taxes		0	0	0	0	0	0	σ	0	Ō	0	. 0	0	0
	e. Other		0	0	0	0	0	0_	0_	0	0	0	0	0	0
	9 Total System Recoverable Expenses (L	ines 7 + 8)	124,731	123,903	123,074	122,247	121,418	120,589	119,761	118,932	118,104	117,276	116,447	115,618	1,442,100
	a. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Ene		124,731	123,903	123,074	122,247	121,418	120,589	119,761	118,932	118,104	117,276	116,447	115,618	1,442,100
	b. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Den		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	10 Energy Jurisdictional Factor		0.9738980	0.9806130	0.9655794	0.9561833	0.9470662	0.9404479	0.9358342	0.9388154	0.9623807	0.9644148	0.9693569	0.9736423	
	11 Dernand Jurisdictional Factor		0.9138481			0.9107203	0.9148255	0,9140625	0.9147970	0.9142473	0.9310434	0.9214644		0.9088745	
	12 Retail Energy-Related Recoverable Cos	its (B)	121.475	121,501	118,838	116,891	114,991	113,408	112,076	111,655	113,661	113,103	112,879	112,571	1,383,049
	13 Retail Demand-Related Recoverable Co		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	14 Total Jurisdictional Recoverable Costs		\$121,475	\$121,501	\$118,838	\$116,891	\$114,991	\$113,408	\$112,076	\$111,655	\$113,661	\$113,103	\$112,879	\$112,571	\$1,383,049

Notes:

- (A) Lines 6 x 8.8238% x 1/12. Based on ROE of 11.75% and weighted income tax rate of 38.575% (expansion factor of 1.628002)
- (B) Line 9a x Line 10
- (C) Line 9b x Line 11

39 EXHIBIT NO. 000007-EI
33 DOCKET NO. 000007-EI
33 TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPAN
53 (KOZ-3)
DOCUMENT NO. 4
DOCUMENT NO. 4
15 PAGE 8 OF 19
15 PAGE 8 OF 19
15 FILED: SEPTEMBER 21, 200
15 FILED: NOVEMBER 2 21

Return on Capital Investments, Depreciation and Taxes For Project: Big Bend Units 1 and 2 Scrubber (in Dollars)

a. Expenditures/Additions a. Expenditures/Additions b. Clearings is Plant c. Retisements d. Other c. Retisements d. Other d. Oth	Line	Description	Beginning of Period Amount	Estimated Jan 01	Estimated Feb 01	Estimated Mar 01	Estimated Apr 01	Estimated May 01	Estimated June 01	Estimated July 01	Estimated Aug 01	Estimated Sep 01	Estimated Oct 01	Estimated Nov 01	Estimated Dec 01	End of Period Total
Clearings to Plant C. Clearing to Pl	• •										••				••	
6. Retirements 6. Other 6. Other 6. Chairments 6. Chairments 6. Other 6. Chairments 6. Chairments 6. Chairments 6. Chairments 6. Other 6. Chairments 6. Chai		N. Committee of the com		\$0	-	•	•		-	-	-			•	-	
4. Chiefer 4. Chiefer 4. Chiefer 5. Chain-in-Service/Depreciation Base 3. Legrociation Base 3. Legrociation 5. Net Investment 6. Chiefer 6.		~		0	_	- '	-	-	· -	-		-	-	U		
2 Plant-in-Service/Depreciation Base 3 Less: Accumulated Depreciation Base 4 CVP - Non-Internat Seating 5 Net Investment (Lines 2 3 + 4)		-		u A	_	_	U	-	~	-	•	-	-	0	0	
3 Less: Accumulated Depreciation 4 (A)25,533 (A)32,225 (4,638,983) (4,945,708) (5,252,433) (5,559,150) (5,658,833) (6,172,608) (6,479,333) (6,780,659) (7,092,783) (7,399,508) (7,092,783)	•	1. Other		· ·	U	u	U	U	v	v	·	•	·	·	J	
CWIP - Non-Internat Bearing O O O O O O O O O	2 1	Plant-in-Service/Depreciation Base	\$83,181,085													
State New Investment (Lines 2 + 3 + 4) \$79,462,277 79,155,555 78,848,827 78,542,102 78,235,377 77,928,652 77,621,927 77,315,202 77,08,477 76,701,752 76,985,302 76,688,302 75,781,517	3 (ess: Accumulated Depreciation	(\$3,718,808)	(4,025,533)	(4,332,258)	(4,638,983)	(4,945,708)	(5,252,433)	(5,559,158)	(5,865,883)	(6,172,608)	(6,479,333)	(6,786,058)	(7,092,783)	(7,399,508)	
6 Average Net Investment 79,308,915 79,002,190 78,695,465 78,388,740 78,082,015 77,775,290 77,468,565 77,161,840 76,855,115 76,543,390 76,241,665 75,934,940 78,681 75,934,940 78,681 75,834,940													0	0	0	
7 Return on Average Net Investment a. Equity Component Grossed Up For Taxes (A) b. Debt Component (Line 6 x 2.82% x 1/12) 186,376 185,655 184,934 184,214 183,493 182,772 182,051 181,303 182,772 182,051 181,303 180,610 179,889 179,168 178,447 2,188,939 189,019 180,	5 N	Net Investment (Lines 2 + 3 + 4)	\$79,462,277	79,155,552	78,848,827	78,542,102	78,235,377	77,928,652	77,621,927	77,315,202	77,008,477	76,701,752	76,395,027	76,088,302	75,781,577	
a. Equity Component Grossed Up For Taxes (A) b. Debt Component (Line 6 x 2.82% x 1/12) 186,376 185,655 184,934 184,214 183,493 182,772 182,051 181,330 180,610 179,889 179,168 553,362 \$68,849,203 182,772 182,051 181,330 180,610 179,889 179,168 553,362 \$68,849,203 182,772 182,051 181,330 180,610 179,889 179,168 553,362 \$68,849,203 182,772 182,051 181,330 180,610 179,889 179,168 553,362 \$68,849,203 182,772 182,051 181,330 180,610 179,889 179,168 553,362 \$68,849,203 182,772 182,051 181,330 180,610 179,889 179,168 553,362 \$68,849,203 182,772 182,051 181,330 180,610 179,889 179,168 558,362 \$68,849,203 182,772 182,051 181,330 180,610 179,889 179,168 558,362 \$68,849,203 182,772 182,051 181,330 180,610 179,889 179,168 558,362 \$68,849,203 182,772 182,051 181,330 180,610 179,889 179,168 558,362 \$68,849,203 182,772 182,051 181,330 180,610 179,889 179,168 558,362 \$68,849,203 182,772 182,051 181,330 180,610 179,889 179,168 558,362 \$68,849,203 179,168 578,369	6 /	Average Net Investment		79,308,915	79,002,190	78,695,465	78,388,740	78,082,015	77,775,290	77,468,565	77,161,840	76,855,115	76,548,390	76,241,665	75,934,940	
b. Debt Component (Line 6 x 2.82% x 1/12) 186,376 185,655 184,934 184,214 183,493 182,772 182,051 181,330 180,610 179,889 179,168 178,447 2,188,939 178,447 2,188,939 178,447 2,188,939 178,447 2,188,939 178,447 2,188,939 178,447 2,188,939 178,447 2,188,939 178,447 2,188,939 182,772 182,051 181,330 180,610 179,889 179,168 179,168 178,447 179,889 179,168 178,447 178,447 178,447 178,447 178,447 178,447 178,447 178,447 183,493 182,772 182,051 181,330 180,610 179,889 179,168 178,447 2,188,939 179,168 178,447 2,188,939 179,168 178,447 178,447 179,889 179,168 178,447 178,447 178,447 178,447 183,493 182,772 182,051 181,330 180,610 179,889 179,168 179,168 178,447 2,188,939 179,168 178,447 2,188,939 179,168 178,447 2,188,939 179,168 178,447 2,188,939 179,168 178,447 2,188,939 179,168 179,168 178,447 183,493 182,772 182,051 181,330 180,610 179,889 179,168 179,168 179,168 178,447 2,188,939 179,168 178,447 2,188,939 179,168 178,447 2,188,939 179,168 179,168 179,168 178,447 183,939 179,168 179,168 178,447 2,188,939 179,168 179,168 178,447 183,939 179,168 179,168 179,168 179,168 178,447 183,939 182,772 182,051 181,330 180,610 179,889 179,168 179,168 179,168 179,168 178,447 2,188,939 179,168 178,447 2,188,939 179,168 179,168 178,447 183,939 179,168 179,168 178,447 183,939 179,168 178,447 183,939 184,214 183,493 182,772 182,051 181,330 180,6725 306,725	7 5	Return on Average Net Investment														
Investment Expenses a. Depreciation b. Amortization c. Dismantifement c. Dismantifem		a. Equity Component Grossed Up For Taxes (A)	583,172	580,916	578,661	576,405	•				•	,	•	•	
a. Depreciation b. Amortization c. Dismantlement d. Depreciation b. Amortization c. Dismantlement d. Depreciation d. Depreciat	₩,	b. Debt Companent (Line 6 x 2.82% x 1/12)		186,376	185,655	184,934	184,214	183,493	182,772	182,051	181,330	180,610	179,889	179,168	178,447	2,188,939
b. Amortization 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	G,	nvestment Expenses														
c. Dismantiament c. Dismantiament d. D. O.		a. Depreciation		306,725	306,725	306,725	306,725	306,725	306,725	306,725	306,725	306,725	306,725	306,725	306,725	3,680,700
d. Property Taxes 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	t	o. Amortization		0	0	ø	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0
e. Other 9 Total System Recoverable Expenses (Lines 7 + 8) a. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy b. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand 0 0,000000000000000000000000000000000				0	0	•	-	0	0	0	0	•	0	0	0	0
9 Total System Recoverable Expenses (Lines 7 + 8) a. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy b. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand conditional Factor 1,076,273 1,073,296 1,070,320 1,067,344 1,064,368 1,061,392 1,058,415 1,055,439 1,052,463 1,049,487 1,046,511 1,043,534 12,718,842 1,064,368 1,061,392 1,058,415 1,055,439 1,052,463 1,049,487 1,046,511 1,043,534 12,718,842 1,064,368 1,061,392 1,058,415 1,055,439 1,052,463 1,049,487 1,046,511 1,043,534 12,718,842 1,064,368 1,061,392 1,058,415 1,055,439 1,052,463 1,049,487 1,046,511 1,043,534 1,043,534 12,718,842 1,064,368 1,061,392 1,058,415 1,055,439 1,052,463 1,049,487 1,046,511 1,043,534 1,043,534 1,043,534 1,043,534 1,043,534 1,043,534 1,043,534 1,043,534 1,043,534 1,043,534 1,043,534 1,043,534 1,043,534 1,043,534 1,043,534 1,043,534 1,043,534 1,043,534 1,044,435 1,046,511 1,043,534 1,043,534 1,044,435 1,046,511 1,043,534 1,046,511 1,046,511 1,043,534 1,046,511		•		0	_	•	•	•	0	0	0	_	0	0	0	0
a. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy b. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	•	e. Other		0	0	0	0	0		0		0	0	0_		
a. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy b. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	9 7	Total System Recoverable Expenses (Lines 7 :	÷ 8)	1,076,273	1,073,296	1,070,320	1,067,344	1,064,368	1,061,392	1,058,415	1,055,439	1,052,463	1,049,487	1,046,511	1,043,534	12,718,842
b. Recoverable Costs Aflocated to Demand 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0			•	1,076,273	1,073,296	1,070,320	1,067,344	1,064,368	1,061,392	1,058,415	1,055,439	1,052,463	1,049,487	1,046,511	1,043,534	12,718,842
11 Demand Jurisdictional Factor 0.9138481 0.9141946 0.9052031 0.9107203 0.9148255 0.9140625 0.9147970 0.9142473 0.9310434 0.9214644 0.9124712 0.9088745 12 Retail Energy-Related Recoverable Costs (B) 1,048,180 1,052,488 1,033,479 1,020,577 1,008,027 998,184 990,501 990,862 1,012,870 1,012,141 1,014,443 1,016,029 12,197,781 13 Retail Demand-Related Recoverable Costs (C) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	t	p. Recoverable Costs Affocated to Demand		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	O	O	0	0
12 Retail Energy-Related Recoverable Costs (B) 1,048,180 1,052,488 1,033,479 1,020,577 1,008,027 998,184 990,501 990,862 1,012,870 1,012,141 1,014,443 1,016,029 12,197,781 13 Retail Demand-Related Recoverable Costs (C) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	10 8	Energy Jurisdictional Factor		0.9738980	0.9806130	0.9655794	0.9561833	0.9470662	0.9404479	0.9358342	0.9388154		0.9644148	0.9693569		
13 Retail Demand-Related Recoverable Costs (C)0000000	11 [Demand Jurisdictional Factor		0.9138481	0.9141946	0.9052031	0.9107203	0.9148255	0.9140625	0.9147970	0.9142473	0.9310434	0.9214644	0.9124712	0.9088745	
13 Retail Demand-Related Recoverable Costs (C)0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	12 F	Retail Energy-Related Recoverable Costs (B)		1,048,180	1,052,488	1,033,479	1,020,577	1,008,027	998,184	990,501	990,862	1,012,870	1,012,141	1,014,443	1,016,029	12,197,781
14 Total Jurisdictional Recoverable Costs (Lines 12 + 13) \$1,048,180 \$1,052,488 \$1,033,479 \$1,020,577 \$1,008,027 \$998,184 \$990,501 \$990,652 \$1,012,870 \$1,012,141 \$1,014,443 \$1,016,029 \$12,197,781				0	0	0	0	0			0		<u>_</u>		<u>_</u>	0
	14 1	Total Jurisdictional Recoverable Costs (Lines 1	12 + 13)	\$1,048,180	\$1,052,488	\$1,033,479	\$1,020,577	\$1,008,027	\$998,184	\$990,501	\$990,862	\$1,012,870	\$1,012,141	\$1,014,443	\$1,016,029	\$12,197,781

- (A) Lines 6 x 8.8238% x 1/12. Based on ROE of 11.75% and weighted income tax rate of 38.575% (expansion factor of 1.628002)
 (B) Line 9a x Line 10
- (C) Line 9b x Line 11

KET NO. 000007-EI

Return on Capital Investments, Depreciation and Taxes For Project: Section 114 Mercury Testing Platform (in Dollars)

Line	Description	Beginning of Period Amount	Estimated Jan 01	Estimated Feb.01	Estimated Mar 01	Estimated Apr 01	Estimated May 01	Estimated June 01	Estimated July 01	Estimated Aug 01	Estimated Sep 01	Estimated Oct 01	Estimated Nov 01	Estimated Dec 01	End of period Total
1 hy	vestments										_				
a,	Expenditures/Additions		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
b.	. Clearings to Plant		O	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
c.	Retirements		0	0	O	0	0	D	0	0	0	0	0	0	
d.	. Other		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
2 PI	lant-In-Service/Depreciation Base	\$120,737	120,737	120,737	120,737	120,737	120,737	120,737	120,737	120,737	120,737	120,737	120,737	120,737	
3 Le	ess: Accumulated Depreciation	(\$2,551)	(2,762)	(2,973)	(3,184)	(3,395)	(3,606)	(3,817)	(4,028)	(4,239)	(4,450)		(4,872)	(5,083)	
4 C1	WIP - Non-Interest Bearing	C	0	0	0	0_	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	
5 N	et Investment (Lines 2 + 3 + 4)	\$118,186	117,975	117,764	117,553	117,342	117,131	116,920	116,709	116,498	116,287	116,076	115,865	115,654	
6 A	verage Net Investment		118,081	117,870	117,659	117,448	117,237	117,026	116,815	116,604	116,393	116,182	115,971	115,760	
7 R	eturn on Average Net Investment														
A . a.	. Equity Component Grossed Up For Taxes (/	A)	868	867	865	864	862	861	859	857	856	854	853	851	\$10,317
30 %	Debt Component (Line 6 x 2.82% x 1/12)		277	277	276	276	276	275	275	274	274	273	273	272	3,298
8 (n	vestment Expenses														
a.	. Depreciation		211	211	211	211	211	211	211	211	211	211	211	211	2,532
b.	. Amortization		O	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
C.	. Dismantlement		0	0	0	0	0	0	O	a	0	0	0	0	0
d.	. Property Taxes		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6.	. Other		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	<u>0</u>	0	0	0_	0_	0
о т	otal System Recoverable Expenses (Lines 7 :	+ A)	1,356	1,355	1,352	1,351	1,349	1,347	1,345	1,342	1,341	1,338	1,337	1,334	16,147
	. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy	,	1,356	1,355	1,352	1,351	1,349	1,347	1,345	1,342	1,341	1,338	1,337	1,334	16,147
	Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand		0,000	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	. 0	0	Ô	0
10 E	norgy Jurisdictional Factor		0.9738980	0.9806130		0,9561833	0.9470662	0.9404479			0.9623807			0.9736423	
11 D	ernand Jurisdictional Factor		0.9138481	0.9141946	0.9052031	0,9107203	0.9148255	0.9140625	0.9147970	0.9142473	0.9310434	0.9214644	0.9124712	0.9088745	
12 R	tetail Energy-Related Recoverable Costs (B)		1,321	1,329	1,305	1,292	1,278	1,267	1,259	1,260	1,291	1,290	1,296	1,299	15,487
	Retail Demand-Related Recoverable Costs (C)	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	o o	. 0	0	_ 0	0
	otal Jurisdictional Recoverable Costs (Lines		\$1,321	\$1,329	\$1,305	\$1,292	\$1,278	\$1,267	\$1,259	\$1,260	\$1,291	\$1,290	\$1,296	\$1,299	\$15,487

(A) Lines 6 x 8.8238% x 1/12. Based on ROE of 11.75% and weighted income tax rate of 38.575% (expansion factor of 1.628002)

(B) Line 9s x Line 10

(C) Line 9b x Line 11

Return on Capital Investments, Depreciation and Taxes For Project: Big Bend FGD Optimization and Utilization (in Dollars)

				60											End of
		Beginning of Period	Estimated	Estimated ,	Estimated Mar 01	Estimated Apr 01	Estimated May 01	Estimated June 01	Estimated July 01	Estimated Aug 01	Estimated Sep 01	Estimated Oct 01	Estimated Nov 01	Estimated Dec 01	Period Total
Line	Description	Amount	Jan 01	Feb 01	Mar OT	Aprot	may or	ound or	,						
	Investments a. Expenditures/Additions		\$444,283	\$ 411,761	\$1,232,734	\$2,309,155	\$2,698,630	\$449,235	\$98,370	\$95,858	\$509,393 0	\$282,501 0	\$532,519 0	\$328,736 0	
	b. Clearings to Plant		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Ô	0	
	c. Retirements		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	d. Other		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	U	U	•	-		
	u. Gaisi					0.405.046	2 460 770	3,203,724	3,237,678	3,271,632	3,305,586	3,339,540	3,373,494	12,393,175	
2	Plant-in-Service/Depreciation Base	\$3,000,000	3,033,954	3,067,908	3,101,862	3,135,816	3,169,770 (61,834)	(69,535)	(77,318)	(85,183)	(93,130)	(101,160)	(109, 272)	(127,949)	
3	Less: Accumulated Depreciation	(\$24,559)	(31,850)	(39,223)	(46,678)	(54,215)	6,926,793	7,342,074	7,406,490	7,468,394	7,943,833	8,192,380	8,690,945	00	
4	CWIP - Non-Interest Bearing	0	410,329	788,136	1,986,916 5,042,100	4,262,117 7,343,718				10,654,843	11,156,289	11,430,760	11,955,167	12,265,226	14
5	Net Investment (Lines 2 + 3 + 4)	\$2,975,441	3,412,433	3,816,821	5,042,100	7,343,710	10,004,725	10,110,200							
6	Average Net Investment		3,193,937	3,614,627	4,429,461	6,192,909	8,689,224	10,255,496	10,521,557	10,610,847	10,905,566	11,293,525	11,692,964	12,110,197	
7	Return on Average Net Investment		02.485	26,579	32,571	45,537	63,893	75,410	77,367	78,023	80,190	83,043	85,980	89,048	\$761,127
	a. Equity Component Grossed Up For	Taxes (A)	23,486	8,494	10,409	14,553	20,420	24,100	24,726	24,935	25,628	26,540	27,478	28,459	243,248
ಒ	b. Debt Component (Line 6 x 2.82% x	1/12)	7,506	0,434	10,403	14,000	20,.20	##.							
1													0.440	18,677	103,390
	Investment Expenses		7,291	7,373	7,455	7,537	7,619	7,701	7,783	7,865	7,947	8,030	8,112 0	10,077	00,550
	a. Depreciation		0	0	. 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	b. Amortization		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	c. Dismantlement		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	d. Property Taxes e. Other		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	- 0			
							04.022	107,211	109,876	110,823	113,765	117,613	121,570	136,184	1,107,765
9	Total System Recoverable Expenses (Lines 7 + 8)	38,283	42,446	50,435	67,627	91,932 91,932	107,211	109,876	110,823	113,765	117,613	121,570	136,184	1,107,765
	a. Recoverable Costs Allocated to En	ergy	38,283	42,446	50,435	67,627	91,932		03,070	0	0	0	0	0	0
	b. Recoverable Costs Allocated to De	mand	0	0	0	0	U	J	·	-					
			0.0700000	0.9806130	0.9655794	0.9561833	0.9470662	0.9404479	0.9358342	0.9388154	0.9623807	0.9644148	0.9693569	0.9736423	
10	Energy Jurisdictional Factor		0.9738980	0.9806130	0.9052031	0.9107203			0.9147970	0.9142473	0.9310434	0.9214644	0.9124712	0.9088745	
11	Demand Jurisdictional Factor		0.9130401	0.5 14 1540	0.3032031	5.5 (6, 200								100 505	4 000 202
		t- (D)	37,284	41,623	48,699	64,664	87,066	100,826	102,826	104,042	109,485	113,428	117,845	132,595	1,060,383 0
12	Retail Energy-Related Recoverable Co	Costo (C)	37,204	41,020	0,000	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10000
13	Retail Demand-Related Recoverable Cost	c (Lines 12 ± 13		\$41,623	\$48,699	\$64,664	\$87,066	\$100,826	\$102,826	\$104,042	\$109,485	\$113,428	\$117,845	\$132,595	\$1,060,383
14	Total Jurisdictional Recoverable Cost	3 (Lilles 12 1 1	7 401,1201	4,525											ססקבת

(A) Lines 6 x 8.8238% x 1/12. Based on ROE of 11.75% and weighted income tax rate of 38.575% (expansion factor of 1.628002)

(B) Line 9a x Line 10

(C) Line 9b x Line 11

Return on Capital Investments, Depreciation and Taxes For Project: Big Bend PM Minimization and Monitoring (in Dollars)

Line	Description	Beginning of Period Amount	Estimated Jan 01	Estimated Feb 01	Estimated Mar 01	Estimated Apr 01	Estimated May 01	Estimated June 01	Estimated July 01	Estimated Aug 01	Estimated Sep 01	Estimated Oct 01	Estimated Nov 01	Estimated Dec 01	End of Period Total
•	Investments		****	***	4554 544	4 004 000	£47.000	£44.000	\$14,000	£400.000	£220 000	£24 000	\$8,000	£7.000	foot 000
	a. Expenditures/Additions		\$84,500	\$12,000	\$202,500	\$281,000	\$17,000	\$11,000 0	314,000 0	\$100,000	\$230,000	\$21,000 0	30,000	\$7,000 0	\$988,000
	b. Clearings to Plant		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	c. Retirements		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Ö	ň	0	n	0	
	d. Other		U	Ū	·	U	·	·	ŭ	·	·	J	Ū	v	
2	Plant-in-Service/Depreciation Base	\$105,000	105,000	105,000	105,000	105,000	710,000	710,000	710,000	710,000	710,000	710,000	710,000	710,000	
	Less: Accumulated Depreciation	(\$394)	(657)	(920)	(1,183)	(1,446)	(2,591)	(4,619)		(8,675)	(10,703)		(14,759)	(16,787)	
4	CWIP - Non-Interest Bearing	60,000	144,500	156,500	359,000	640,000	52,000	63,000	77,000	177,000	407,000	428,000	436,000	443,000	
5	Net Investment (Lines 2 + 3 + 4)	\$164,606	248,843	260,580	<u>462,817</u>	743,554	759,409	768,381	780,353	878,325	1,106,297	1,125,269	1,131,241	1,136,213	
6	Average Net Investment		206,724	254,711	361,698	603,185	751,481	763,895	774,367	829,339	992,311	1,115,783	1,128,255	1,133,727	
7	Return on Average Net Investment														
	a. Equity Component Grossed Up For Taxes (/	()	1,520	1,873	2,660	4,435	5,526	5,617	5,694	6,098	7,297	8,205	8,296	8,336	\$65,557
$\frac{3}{8}$	b. Debt Component (Line 6 x 2.82% x 1/12)		486	599	850	1,417	1,766	1,795	1,820	1,949	2,332	2,622	2,651	2,664	20,951
	Investment Expenses														
	a. Depreciation		263	263	263	263	1,145	2,028	2,028	2,028	2,028	2,028	2,028	2,028	16,393
	b. Amortization		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	c. Dismantlement		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	O	0
	d. Property Taxes		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	e. Other		0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0		0	
۵	Total System Recoverable Expenses (Lines 7 -	- 81	2,269	2,735	3,773	6,115	8,437	9,440	9,542	10,075	11,657	12,855	12,975	13,028	102,901
	a. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy	-,	2,269	2,735	3,773	6,115	8,437	9,440	9,542	10,075	11,657	12,855	12,975	13,028	102,901
	b. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand		0	. 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
				0.0006400	0.9655794	0.9561833	0.9470662	0.9404479	0.9358342	0.9388154	0.9623807	0.9644148	0.9693569	0.0706400	
	Energy Jurisdictional Factor		0.9738980	0.9806130 0.9141946	0.9052031	0.9107203	0.9470002		0.9356342	0.9388134			0.9124712		
11	Demand Jurisdictional Factor		0.9130481	U.3 14 1340	0,3032031	0.5 (0) 203	V,3 170233	0.3170023	0.5171510	0.3 M471 J	0.3010434	V,32 (1011	V.3 1441 12	V.JU00143	
12	Retail Energy-Related Recoverable Costs (B)		2,210	2,682	3,643	5,847	7,990	8,878	8,930	9,459	11,218	12,398	12,577	12,685	98,517
	Retail Demand-Related Recoverable Costs (C)		0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Total Jurisdictional Recoverable Costs (Lines 1		\$2,210	\$2,682	\$ 3,643	\$5,847	\$7,990	\$8,878	\$8,930	\$9,459	\$11,218	\$12,398	\$12,577	\$12,685	\$98,517

Notes:

(A) Lines 6 x 8.8238% x 1/12. Based on ROE of 11.75% and weighted income tax rate of 38.575% (expansion factor of 1.628002)

(B) Line 9a x Line 10

(C) Line 9b x Line 11

FILED: SEPTEMBER 21, 2000 REVISED: NOVEMBER 2, 2000

Return on Capital Investments, Depreciation and Taxes For Project: Big Bend NOx Reduction (in Dollars)

Line	Description	Beginning of Period Amount	Estimated Jan 01	Estimated Feb 01	Estimated Mar 01	Estimated Apr 01	Estimated May 01	Estimated June 01	Estimated July 01	Estimated Aug 01	Estimated Sep 01	Estimated Oct 01	Estimated Nov 01	Estimated Dec 01	End of Period Total
1 Investment			* 000 000	\$29,000	\$384,000	\$165,000	\$17.000	\$9,000	\$9,000	\$19,000	\$68,000	\$123,000	\$18,000	\$24,000	\$1,068,000
	tures/Additions		\$203,000	\$29,000 0	\$364,000 0	3105,000	317,000	39,000	39,000	\$15,000	400,000	\$123,000 D	3 10,000	\$24,000 0	41,000,000
b. Clearing	•		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Õ	ñ	
c. Retirem	ents		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	ŏ	ň	n	Õ	
d. Other			U	v	U	U	·	U	·	·	J	·	·	·	
2 Plant-in-Se	rvice/Depreciation Base	\$0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,093,000	
3 Less: Accu	amulated Depreciation	\$0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	(1,467)	
4 CWIP - No	n-Interest Bearing	130,000	333,000	362,000	746,000	911,000	928,000	937,000	946,000	965,000	1,033,000		1,174,000	105,000	
5 Net investn	nent (Lines 2 + 3 + 4)	\$130,000	333,000	362,000	746,000	911,000	928,000	937,000	945,000	965,000	1,033,000	1,156,000	1,174,000	1,196,533	•
6 Average No	et Investment		231,500	347,500	554,000	828,500	919,500	932,500	941,500	955,500	999,000	1,094,500	1,165,000	1,185,267	
	Average Net investment	41	1,702	2,55\$	4,074	6,092	6,761	6,857	6,923	7,026	7,346	8,048	8,566	8,715	\$ 74,665
	component Grossed Up For Taxes (^)	544	2,555 817	1,302	1,947	2,161	2,191	2,213	2,245	2,348	2,572	2,738	2,785	23,863
	omponent (Line 6 x 2.82% x 1/12)		544	017	1,302	1,947	2,101	2,191	2,213	2,240	2,340	2,512	2,7 50	2,700	25,003
ದು 8 Investment	Expenses														
a. Depreci	•		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,467	1,467
b. Amortiza			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
c. Dismani			0	0	0	0	0	0	Œ	0	0	0	0	D	٥
d. Property			0	0	0	0	0	0	٥	0	0	0	0	0	0
e. Other			0	0	0	O	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0_	0
O Tatal Susta	em Recoverable Expenses (Lines 7	4 R\	2,246	3,372	5,376	8,039	8,922	9,048	9,136	9,271	9,694	10,620	11,304	12,967	99,995
	rable Costs Allocated to Energy	· - /	2,246	3,372	5,376	8,039	8,922	9,048	9,136	9,271	9,694	10,620	11,304	12,967	99,995
	rable Costs Allocated to Demand		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10 Energy Juri	isdictional Factor		0.9738980	0.9806130	0.9655794	0.9561833	0.9470662					0.9644148		0,9736423	
11 Demand Ju	urisdictional Factor		0.9138481	0.9141946	0.9052031	0.9107203	0.9148255	0.9140625	0,9147970	0.9142473	0.9310434	0.9214644	0.9124712	0,9088745	
12 Retail Foor	rgy-Related Recoverable Costs (B)		2,187	3,307	5,191	7,687	8,450	8,509	8,550	8,704	9,329	10,242	10,958	12,625	95,739
	nand-Related Recoverable Costs (C)	2,151	0	0	0	0	0	. 0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	dictional Recoverable Costs (Lines		\$2,187	\$3,307	\$5,191	\$7,687	\$8,450	\$8,509	\$8,550	\$8,704	\$9,329	\$10,242	\$10,958	\$12,625	\$95,739

(A) Lines 6 x 8.8238% x 1/12. Based on ROE of 11.75% and weighted income tax rate of 38.575% (expansion factor of 1.628002)

(B) Line 9a x Line 10

(C) Line 9b x Line 11

PAGE 13 OF 19
FORM 42-4P
FILED: SEPTEMBER 21, 2000
REVISED: NOVEMBER 2, 2000

Return on Capital Investments, Depreciation and Taxes For Project: Gannon Ignition Oil Tank (in Dollars)

	Beginning of Period Amount	Estimated Jan 01	Estimated Feb 01	Estimated Mar 01	Estimated Apr 01	Estimated May 01	Estimated June 01	Estimated July 01	Estimated Aug 01	Estimated Sep 01	Estimated Oct 01	Estimated Nov 01	Estimated Dec 01	End of Period Total
1 Investments														
a. Expenditures/Additions		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
b. Clearings to Plant		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
c. Retirements		C	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0 0	0	
d. Other		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Q	0	U	0	
2 Plant-in-Service/Depreciation Base	\$589,752	589,752	589,752	589,752	589,752	589,752	589,752	589,752	589,752	589,752	589,752	589,752	589,752	
3 Less: Accumulated Depreciation (\$153,956)	(163,035)	(172,114)	(181,193)	(190,272)	(199,351)	(208,431)	(217,510)	(226,589)	(235,668)	(244,747)	(253,826)	(262,905)	
4 CWIP - Non-Interest Bearing	(266,000)	(266,000)	(266,000)	(266,000)	(266,000)	(266,000)	(266,000)		(266,000)	(266,000)	(266,000)	(266,000)	(266,000)	
5 Net investment (Lines 2+3+4)	\$169,798	150,717	151,638	142,559	133,480	124,401	115,322	106,242	97,163	88,084	79,005	69,926	60,847	•
6 Average Net Investment		165,256	156,177	147,098	138,019	128,940	119,861	110,782	101,703	92,624	83,545	74,466	65,387	
7 Return on Average Net Investment														
English Consequent Consequent Har English (A)		1,215	1,148	1,082	1,015	948	881	815	748	681	614	548	481	\$10,176
b. Debt Component (Line 6 x 2.82% x 1/12)		388	367	346	324	303	282	260	239	218	196	175	154	3,252
8 Investment Expenses														
a. Depreciation		9,079	9,079	9,079	9,079	9,079	9,079	9,079	9,079	9.079	9.079	9,079	9.079	108.949
b. Amortization		9,0,5	3,073	9,019	3,0,3	0,075	3,013	3,0,3	0,0,0	0,0,0	0,0,0	0,0,0	0,0,0	0
c. Dismantlement		ŏ	ő	ő	0	ŏ	ō	Ô	Ô	n	Õ	ŏ	ō	ō
d. Property Taxes		ā	ō	Ď	ŏ	ō	ŏ	ō	ō	ō	ō	0	0	Ō
e. Other		Ŏ	ŏ	ō	ō	ō	ő	ō	Ō	ō	. 0	0	0_	0
	•													
9 Total System Recoverable Expenses (Lines 7 + 8)		10,682	10,594	10, 5 07	10,418	10,330	10,242	10,154	10,066	9,978	9,889	9,802	9,714	122,376
 a. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy 		0	0	0	0	0	0	O	0	O	0	0	0	0
 Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand 		10,682	10,594	10,507	10,418	10,330	10,242	10,154	10,066	9,978	9,889	9,802	9,714	122,376
10 Energy Jurisdictional Factor		0.9738980	0.9806130	0.9655794	0.9561833	0.9470662	0.9404479	0.9358342	0.9388154	0.9623807	0.9644148	0.9693569	0.9736423	
11 Demand Jurisdictional Factor		0.9138481	0.9141946	0.9052031	0,9107203	0.9148255	0.9140625	0.9147970	0.9142473	0.9310434		0,9124712		
12 Retail Energy-Related Recoverable Costs (B)		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	G	O	o	0
13 Retail Demand-Related Recoverable Costs (C)		9,762	9,685	9,511	9,488	9,450	9,362	9,289	9,203	9,290	9,112	8,944	8,829	111,924
14 Total Juristilctional Recoverable Costs (Lines 12 +	- 13)	\$9,762	\$9,685	\$9,511	\$9,488	\$9,450	\$9,362	\$9,289	\$9,203	\$9,290	\$9,112	\$8,944	\$8,829	\$111,924

(A) Lines 6 x 8.8238% x 1/12. Based on ROE of 11.75% and weighted income tax rate of 38.575% (expansion factor of 1.628002)

(B) Line 9a x Line 10

(C) Line 9b x Line 11

FILED: SEPTEMBER 21, 2000 REVISED: NOVEMBER 2, 2000

Return on Capital Investments, Depreciation and Taxes For Project: Big Bend Fuel Oil Tank #1 Upgrade (in Dollars)

Line	Description	Beginning of Period Amount	Estimated Jan 01	Estimated Feb 01	Estimated Mar 01	Estimated Apr 01	Estimated May 01	Estimated June 01	Estimated July 01	Estimated Aug 01	Estimated Sep 01	Estimated Oct 01	Estimated Nov 01	Estimated Dec 01	End of Period Total
	estments				•	**	**	••	**	**	fo.	*0	ŧ0	\$ 0	
	Expenditures/Additions		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0 0	\$0 0	\$0 0	\$0 0	\$0 0	\$0 0	\$0 0	\$0 0	30	
	Clearings to Plant Retirements		0 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Õ	ő	ŭ	
	Other		0	0	0	ő	ő	ő	ŏ	ō	ő	ő	ō	õ	
2 Pla	nt-in-Service/Depreciation Base	\$497,578	497,578	497,578	497,578	497,578	497,578	497,578	497,578	497,578	497,578	497,578	497,578	497,578	
	s: Accumulated Depreciation	(\$27,640)	(28,801)		(31,123)	(32,284)	(33,445)	(34,606)	(35,767)	(36,928)	(38,089)	(39,250)	(40,411)	(41,572)	
	/IP - Non-Interest Bearing	``` o´	· o´	0	`o´	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
5 Net	t Investment (Lines 2 + 3 + 4)	\$469,938	468,777	467,616	466,455	465,294	464,133	462,972	461,811	460,650	459,489	458,328	457,167	456,006	
6 Ave	orage Net Investment		469,358	468,197	467,036	465,875	464,714	463,553	462,392	461,231	460,070	458,909	457,748	456,587	
ر کر Rei	turn on Average Net Investment														
(17 a.	Equity Component Grossed Up For Taxes (A)		3,451	3,443	3,434	3,426	3,417	3,409	3,400	3,392	3,383	3,374	3,366	3,357	\$40,852
О≀ ъ.	Debt Component (Line 6 x 2.82% x 1/12)		1,103	1,100	1,098	1,095	1,092	1,089	1,087	1,084	1,081	1,078	1,076	1,073	13,056
8 Inve	estment Expenses														
	Depreciation		1,161	1,161	1,161	1,161	1,161	1,161	1,161	1,161	1,161	1,161	1,161	1,161	13,932
b	Amortization		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	D	0	0	0	0	0
C.	Dismantlement		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Property Taxes		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0 n	0	0
0.	Other		0	0_	0	0	U	0	U			- 0	- 0		0
9 Tot	al System Recoverable Expenses (Lines 7 + 6	3)	5,715	5,704	5,693	5,682	5,670	5,659	5,648	5,637	5,625	5,613	5,603	5,591	67,840
a.	Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	o	đ
b. 1	Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand		5,715	5,704	5,693	5,682	5,670	5,659	5,648	5,637	5,625	5,613	5,603	5,59 1	67,840
10 En	ergy Jurisdictional Factor		0.9738980	0.9806130	0.9655794	0,9561833	0.9470662	0.9404479	0.9358342	0.9388154	0.9623807	0.9644148	0,9693569	0.9736423	
	mand Jurisdictional Factor		0,9138481	0.9141946	0.9052031	0.9107203	0.9148255	0.9140625	0.9147970	0.9142473	0.9310434	0.9214644	0.9124712	0,9088745	
12 Re	tail Energy-Related Recoverable Costs (B)		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	tail Dernand-Related Recoverable Costs (C)		5,223	5,215	5,153	5 <u>,17</u> 5	5,187	<u>5,</u> 173	5,167	5,154	5,237	5,172	5,113	5,082	62,049
14 Tol	tal Jurisdictional Recoverable Costs (Lines 12	+ 13)	\$5,223	\$5,215	\$5,153	\$5,175	\$5,187	\$5,173	\$5,167	\$5,154	\$5,237	\$5,172	\$5,113	\$5,082	\$62,049

Notes:

⁽A) Lines 6 x 8.8238% x 1/12. Based on ROE of 11.75% and weighted income tax rate of 38.575% (expansion factor of 1.628002)

⁽B) Line 9a x Line 10

⁽C) Line 9b x Line 11

Return on Capital Investments, Depreciation and Taxes For Project: Big Bend Fue! Oil Tank #2 Upgrade (in Dollars)

<u>Lir</u>	Description	Beginning of Period Amount	Estimated Jan 01	Estimated Feb 01	Estimated Mar 01	Estimated Apr 01	Estimated May 01	Estimated June 01	Estimated July 01	Estimated Aug 01	Estimated Sep 01	Estimated Oct 01	Estimated Nov 01	Estimated Dec 01	End of Period Total
	1 Investments						**	••	**	ŧo.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	a. Expenditures/Additions		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0 0	\$0 0	\$0 0	\$0	10	40	ő	, o	
	b. Clearings to Plant		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	ñ	ō	ŏ	
	c. Retirements		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	ň	ŏ	ō	ō	
	d, Other		0	O	0	U	U	· ·	Ū	·	·	•	_		+.
	2 Plant-In-Service/Depreciation Base	\$818,401	818,401	818,401	818,401	818,401	818,401	818,401	818,401 (58,854)	818,401 (60,764)	818,401 (62,674)	818,401 (64,584)	818,401 (66,494)	818,401 (68,404)	
	3 Less: Accumulated Depreciation	(\$45,484)	(47,394)	(49,304)	(51,214) 0	(53,124) 0	(55,034)	(56,944) 0	(50,054)	(00,704)	(02,0,4)	(57,407)	(00,.0.,	0	
	4 CWIP - Non-Interest Bearing	0	771,007	769,097	767,187	765,277	763,367	761,457	759,547	757,637	755,727	753,817	751,907	749,997	•
	5 Net investment (Lines 2 + 3 + 4)	\$772,917	771,007	109,091	767,167	100,211	100,001	101,107		,,	7 2 - 7				
	6 Average Net Investment		771,962	770,052	768,142	766,232	764,322	762,412	760,502	758,592	756,682	754,772	752,862	750,952	
	7 Return on Average Net Investment												r cae	5 500	\$67,188
نث	a. Equity Component Grossed Up For Ta	exes (A)	5,676	5,662	5,648	5,634	5,620	5,606	5,592	5,578	5,564	5,550	5,536 1,769	5,522 1,765	21,474
O.		12)	1,814	1,810	1,805	1,801	1,796	1,792	1,787	1,783	1,778	1,774	1,709	1,705	21,474
	8 Investment Expenses										4.040	4.040	4.040	1,910	22,920
	a. Depreciation		1,910	1,910	1,910	1,910	1,910	1,910	1,910	1,910	1,910	1,910 0	1,910	1,910	22,920
	b. Amortization		0	0	0	0	0	.0	0	U	0	0	0	0	Ö
	c. Dismantlement		0	0	0	0	U	0	U	0	0	0	0	ŏ	Ö
	d. Property Taxes		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	ŏ	ñ	ő
	e. Other		0	0	0	0	U						<u>_</u>		
	9 Total System Recoverable Expenses (Lir	ses 7 + 8)	9,400	9,382	9,363	9,345	9,326	9,308	9,289	9,271	9,252		9,215	9,197	111,582
	a. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energ	ry .	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	b. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Dema		9,400	9,382	9,363	9,345	9,326	9,308	9,289	9,271	9,252	9,234	9,215	9,197.	111,582
	40 Farmer bust stational Factor		0.9738980	0.9806130	0.9655794	0.9561833	0.9470662	0.9404479	0.9358342	0.9388154	0.9623807	0.9644148	0,9693569	0.9736423	
	10 Energy Jurisdictional Factor 11 Demand Jurisdictional Factor		0.9138481	0.9000136			0.9148255	0.9140625	0.9147970		0.9310434	0.9214644	0.9124712	0.9088745	
	11 Damaria Junsaicuonai Pacior		U,U (UU-10 1	4,0171040			_,_,_,_								
	12 Retail Energy-Related Recoverable Cost	s (B)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0
	13 Retail Demand-Related Recoverable Co	sts (C)	8,590	8,577	8,475	8,511	8,532	8,508	8,498	8,476	8,614		8,408	8,359	102,057
	14 Total Jurisdictional Recoverable Costs (\$8,590	\$8,577	\$8,475	\$8,511	\$8,532	\$8,508	\$8,498	\$8,476	\$8,614	\$8,509	\$8,408	\$8,359	\$102,057

Notes:

(A) Lines 6 x 8.8238% x 1/12. Based on ROE of 11.75% and weighted income tax rate of 38.575% (expansion factor of 1.628002)

(B) Line 9a x Line 10

(C) Line 9b x Line 11

Return on Capital Investments, Depreciation and Taxes For Project: Phillips Fuel Oil Tank #1 Upgrade (in Oollars)

	Beginning of Period Amount	Estimated Jan 01	Estimated Feb 01	Estimated Mar 01	Estimated Apr 01	Estimated May 01	Estimated June 01	Estimated July 01	Estimated Aug 01	Estimated Sep 01	Estimated Oct 01	Estimated Nov 01	Estimated Dec 01	End of Period Total
1 investments			•										_	
a. Expenditures/Additions		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
b. Clearings to Plant		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
c. Retirements		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
d. Other		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
2 Plant-in-Service/Depreciation Base	\$57,277	57,277	57,277	57,277	57,277	57,277	57,277	57,277	57,277	57,277	57,277	57,277	57,277	
3 Less: Accumulated Depreciation	(\$4,728)	(4,928)	(5,128)	(5,328)	(5,528)	(5,728)	(5,928)	(6,128)	(6,328)	(6,528)	(6,728)	(6,928)	(7,128)	
4 CWIP - Non-Interest Bearing	O O	O		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0_		
5 Net Investment (Lines 2 + 3 + 4)	\$52,549	52,349	52,149	51,949	5 <u>1,749</u>	51,549	51,349	51,149	50,949	50,749	50,549	50,349	50,149	
6 Average Net Investment		52,449	52,249	52,049	51,849	51,649	51,449	51,249	51,049	50,849	50,649	50,449	50,249	
• .7 Return on Average Net Investment														
a. Equity Component Grossed Up For Taxes (A)		386	384	383	381	380	378	377	375	374	372	371	369	\$ 4,530
b. Debt Component (Line 6 x 2.82% x 1/12)		123	123	122	122	121	121	120	120	119	119	119	118	1,447
8 Investment Expenses														
a. Depreciation		200	200	200	200	200	200	200	200	200	200	200	200	2,400
b. Amortization		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	O	0
c. Dismantlement		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
d. Property Taxes		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
e. Other		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9 Total System Recoverable Expenses (Lines 7 + 8)	1	709	707	705	703	701	699	697	695	693	691	690	687	8,377
a. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	O	0	Û	Û
b. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand		709	707	705	703	701	699	697	695	693	691	690	687	8,377
10 Energy Jurisdictional Factor		0.9738980	0.9806130	0.9655794	0.9561833	0.9470662	0.9404479	0.9358342	0.9388154	0.9623807	0.9644148	0.9693569	0.9736423	
11 Demand Jurisdictional Factor		0.9138481	0.9141946	0.9052031	0.9107203	0.9148255	0.9140625	0.9147970	0.9142473	0.9310434	0,9214644	0.9124712	0.9088745	
12 Retail Energy-Related Recoverable Costs (B)		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	σ	ø	O	Ø
13 Retail Demand-Related Recoverable Costs (C)		648_	646	638	640	641	639	638_	635	645	637	630	624_	7,662
14 Total Jurisdictional Recoverable Costs (Lines 12	+ 13)	\$648	\$ 646	\$638	\$640	\$64 1	\$639	\$638	\$635	\$645	\$637	\$630	\$624	\$7,662

Notes:

(A) Lines 6 x 8.8238% x 1/12. Based on ROE of 11.75% and weighted Income tax rate of 38.575% (expansion factor of 1.628002)

(B) Line 9a x Line 10

(C) Line 9b x Line 11

DOCKET NO. 000007-EI
TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY
(KOZ-3)
DOCUMENT NO. 4
PAGE 17 OF 19
FORM 42-4P
FILED; SEPTEMBER 21, 2000
REVISED: NOVEMBER 2, 2000

Return on Capital Investments, Depreciation and Taxes For Project: Phillips Fuel Oil Tank #4 Upgrade (in Dollars)

Line	Description	Beginning of Period Amount	Estimated Jan 01	Estimated Feb:01	Estimated Mar 01	Estimated Apr 01	Estimated May 01	Estimated June 01	Estimated July 01	Estimated Aug 01	Estimated Sep 01	Estimated Oct 01	Estimated Nov 01	Estimated Dec 01	End of Period Total
1 investme	ents											**	t o	\$0	
a. Expe	nditures/Additions		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0 0	\$ 0	90	
b. Clear	rings to Plant		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
c. Retire	ements		0	. 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Ö	
d. Other	•		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	U	U	U	•	
2 Plant-in-	-Service/Depreciation Base	\$90,472	90,472	90,472	90,472	90,472	90,472	90,472	90,472	90,472	90,472	90,472	90,472	90,472	
	accumulated Depreciation	(\$7,871)	(8,188)	(8,505)	(8,822)	(9,139)	(9,456)	(9,773)	(10,090)	(10,407)	(10,724)		(11,358)	(11,675) 0	
	Non-Interest Bearing	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0 00 005	0 70 740	70.424	79,114	78,797	
5 Net inve	stment (Lines 2 + 3 + 4)	\$82,601	82,284	81,967	81,650	81,333	81,016	80,699	80,382	80,065	79,748	79,431	73,114	10,131_	
6 Average	Net Investment		82,443	82,126	81,809	81,492	81,175	80,858	80,541	80,224	79,907	79,590	79,273	78,956	
	on Average Net Investment										500	585	583	581	\$7,122
a. Equit	ty Component Grossed Up For Taxes (A)	606	604	602	599	597	595	592	590	588 188	187	186	186	2,277
QO b. Debt	Component (Line 6 x 2.82% x 1/12)_		194	193	192	192	191	190	189	189	188	107	100	100	2,21
8 Investme	ent Expenses									247	242	317	317	317	3,804
a. Depr	reciation		317	317	317	317	317	317	317	317	317		317	0	0,004
	rtization		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	ő
c, Dism	nantlement		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	ő
d. Prop	erty Taxes		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	U	0	0	n	Ö	Ö
e. Othe	er		0	0	0	0	0	0	0		.0_			<u> </u>	
Q Total S	ystem Recoverable Expenses (Lines 7	+ 8)	1,117	1,114	1,111	1,108	1,105	1,102	1,098	1,096	1,093	1,089	1,086	1,084	13,203
a Beer	overable Costs Allocated to Energy	-,	. 0	0	. 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	overable Costs Allocated to Demand		1,117	1,114	1,111	1,108	1,105	1,102	1,098	1,096	1,093	1,089	1,086	1,084	13,203
10 Energy	Jurisdictional Factor		0.9738980	0.9806130	0.9655794	0.9561833	0.9470662	0.9404479	0.9358342	0.9388154	0.9623807	0.9644148	0,9693569		
	d Jurisdictional Factor		0.9138481	0,9141946	0.9052031	0.9107203	0.9148255	0.9140625	0.9147970	0.9142473	0.9310434	0.9214644	0.9124712	0.9088745	
				0	0	0	o	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Energy-Related Recoverable Costs (B)		4 024	_	_	1,009	1,011	1,007	1,004	1,002		-	991	985	12,076
	Demand-Related Recoverable Costs (C		1,021	1,018	1,006 \$1,006	\$1,009	\$1,011	\$1,007	\$1,004	\$1,002		\$1,003	\$991	\$985	\$12,076
14 Total Ju	urisdictional Recoverable Costs (Lines	12 + 13)	\$1,021	\$1,018	\$1,000	21,003	31,011	\$1,007	₩1,00	\$1,00Z	91,010	41,000			

Notes:

(A) Lines 6 x 8.8238% x 1/12. Based on ROE of 11.75% and weighted income tax rate of 38.575% (expansion factor of 1.628002)
(B) Line 9a x Line 10

(C) Line 9b x Line 11

Tampa Electric Company Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC)

Calculation of the Projected Period Amount

January 2001 - December 2001 Return on Capital Investments, Depreciation and Taxes For Project: SO2 Allowances

(in Dollars)

		Beginning of Period		•		(11. 50									End of Period
Line	<u>Description</u>	<u>Amount</u>	<u>January</u>	<u>February</u>	<u>March</u>	<u>April</u>	<u>May</u>	<u>June</u>	<u>July</u>	<u>August</u>	<u>September</u>	<u>October</u>	<u>November</u>	<u>December</u>	<u>Amount</u>
1	Investments														
	a Purchases/Transfers		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	b Sales/Transfers		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	c Auction Proceeds/Other		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
2	Working Capital Balance		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	a FERC 158.1 Allowance Inventory	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	b FERC 158.2 Allowances Withheld	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	c FERC 182.3 Other Regl. Assets - Losses	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	d FERC 254 Regulatory Liabilities - Gains _	0	0_	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		-
3	Total Working Capital Balance	0	0	0	. 0	0_	0_	0	0	0	0	. 0	0	0_	
4	Average Net Working Capital Balance		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
5	Return on Average Net Working Capital Balance					_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	
	a Equity Component Grossed Up For Taxes (A)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Ü
_	b Debt Component (Line 6 x 3.5137% x 1/12)	_	<u> </u>	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	O
6	Total Return Component (D)		U	U	v	U	U	U	·	U	Ū	v	v	v	v
. 7	Expenses:														
	a Gains		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	b Losses		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	c SO2 Allowance Expense		37,783	46,930	75,485	97,050	81,618	26,992	11,812	63,929	76,323	80,699	81,130	92,202	771,953
8	Net Expenses (E)		37,783	46,930	75,485	97,050	81,618	26,992	11,812	63,929	76,323	80,699	81,130	92,202	771,953
9	Total System Recoverable Expenses (Lines 6 +	7)	37,783	46,930	75.485	97,050	81,618	26,992	11,812	63,929	76,323	80,699	81,130	92,202	771,953
•	a Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy	•,	37,783	46,930	75,485	97,050	81,618	26,992	11,812	63,929	76,323	80,699	81,130	92,202	771,953
	b Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	O	0
10	Energy Jurisdictional Factor		0.9738980	0.9806130	0.9655794	0.9561833	0.9470662	0.9404479	0.9358342	0.9388154	0.9623807	0.9644148	0.9693569	0.9736423	
11			0.9138481	0.9141946	0.9052031	0.9107203	0.9148255	0.9140625	0.9147970	0.9142473	0.9310434	0.9214644		0,9088745	
				40.055	30.00	00.70-	77.000	05.005	44.051	00.010	70 150	77.007	70.011	00 770	744.056
	Retail Energy-Related Recoverable Costs (B)		36,797	46,020	72,887	92,798	77,298	25,385	11,054	60,018	73,452	77,827	78,644	89,772	741,952
	Retail Demand-Related Recoverable Costs (C)		0	0	0	0 755	0	0 00 000	0	0.040	0	- 0	0	0_	0
14	Total Juris. Recoverable Costs (Lines 12 + 13)		36,797	46,020	72,887	92,798	77,298	25,385	11,054	60,018	73,452	77,827	78,644	89,772	741,952

- Notes:

 (A) Line 6 x 6.9072% x 1/12. Based on ROE of 11.5% and weighted income tax rate of 38.575% (expansion factor of 1.628002)

 (B) Line 9a x Line 10 x 1.0014 line loss multiplier

 (C) Line 9b x Line 11

- (D) Line 6 is reported on Schedule 6E and 7E
- (E) Line 8 is reported on Schedule 4E and 5E

Form 42-5P Page 1 of 20

Tampa Electric Company

Environmental Cost Recovery Clause January 2001Through December 2001 Description and Progress Report for

REVISED: NOVEMBER 2, 2000

DOCKET NO. 000007-EI

DOCUMENT NO. 5 PAGE 1 OF 20

TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY

FILED: SEPTEMBER 21, 2000

EXHIBIT NO.

FORM 42-5P

(KOZ-3)

Environmental Compliance Activities and Projects

Project Title: Big Bend Unit 3 Flue Gas Desulfurization Integration

Project Description:

This project involved the integration of Big Bend Unit 3 flue gases into the Big Bend Unit 4 FGD system. The integration was accomplished by installing interconnecting ductwork between Unit 3 precipitator outlet ducts and the Unit 4 FGD inlet duct. The Unit 4 FGD outlet duct was interconnected with the Unit 3 chimney via new ductwork and a new stack breaching. New ductwork, linings, isolation dampers, support steel, and stack annulus pressurization fans were procured and installed. Modifications to the materials handling systems and controls were also necessary.

Project Accomplishments:

Project Fiscal Expenditures:

The actual/estimated depreciation plus return for the period January 2000 through December 2000 was \$1,063,822 and did not vary from the original

projection.

The actual/estimated O & M expense for period January 2000 through December 2000 was \$1,146,952 compared to the original projection of \$2,074,939, representing a variance of - 44.7%. This variance resulted primarily from the Big Bend Unit 3 outage in March and April of 2000 in which no consumable

costs for limestone and dibasic acid were incurred

Project Progress Summary:

The project is complete and in service.

Project Projections:

Estimated depreciation plus return for the period January 2001 through

December 2001 is expected to be \$1,036,000.

Estimated O & M costs for the period January 2001 through December 2001 are

projected to be \$1,896,122.

Form 42-5P Page 2 of 20 EXHIBIT NO. DOCKET NO. 000007-EI
TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY
(KOZ-3)
DOCUMENT NO. 5
PAGE 2 OF 20
FORM 42-5P
FILED: SEPTEMBER 21, 2000

Tampa Electric Company
Environmental Cost Recovery Clause
January 2001Through December 2001
Description and Progress Report for
Environmental Compliance Activities and Projects

Project Title: Big Bend Units 1 and 2 Flue Gas Conditioning

Project Description:

The existing electrostatic precipitators were not designed for the range of fuels needed for compliance with the CAAA. Flue gas conditioning was required to assure operation of the generating units in accordance with applicable permits and regulations. This equipment is still required to ensure compliance with the CAAA in the event the FGD system on Units 1 & 2 is not operating.

The project involved the addition of molten sulfur unloading, storage and conveying to sulfur burners and catalytic converters where SO₂ is converted to SO₃. The control and injection system then injects this into the ductwork ahead of the electrostatic precipitators (ESP).

Project Accomplishments:

Project Fiscal Expenditures:

The actual/estimated depreciation plus return for the period January 2000 through December 2000 was \$639,212 compared to the original projection of \$647,491, representing a variance of 1.3%.

The actual/estimated O & M for the period January 2000 through December 2000 was \$21,006 compared to the original projection of \$18,000, representing a variance of 16.7%. This variance is due primarily to start-up and check out activities associated with the Big Bend Units 1 and 2 FGD system. When the units were not scrubbed, lower sulfur coal was burned, necessitating additional flue gas conditioning costs.

Project Progress Summary:

The project is complete and in service

Project Projections:

Estimated depreciation plus return for the period January 2001 through

December 2001 is projected to be \$619,305.

Estimated O & M costs for the period January 2001 through December 2001 are

projected to be \$22,000.

Form 42-5P Page 3 of 20

Tampa Electric Company Environmental Cost Recovery Clause January 2001 Through December 2001 Description and Progress Report for Environmental Compliance Activities and Projects

Project Title: Big Bend Unit 4 Continuous Emissions Monitors

Project Description:

Continuous emissions monitors (CEMs) were installed on the flue gas inlet and outlet of Big Bend Unit 4 monitor compliance with the CAAA requirements. The monitors are capable of measuring, recording and electronically reporting SO₂, NO_x and volumetric gas flow out of the stack. The project consisted of monitors, a CEM building, the CEMs control and power cables to supply a complete system.

40 CFR Part 75 includes the general requirements for the installation, certification, operation and maintenance of CEMs and specific requirements for the monitoring of pollutants, opacity and volumetric flow. These regulations are very comprehensive and specific as to the requirements for CEMs, and in essence, they define the components needed and their configuration.

Project Accomplishment:

Project Fiscal Expenditures: The actual/estimated depreciation plus return for the period January 2000 through

December 2000 was \$108,778 compared to the original projection of \$109,490

and resulted in a variance of 0.7% from the original projection.

Project Progress Summary: The project is complete and in service

Project Projections: Estimated depreciation plus return for the period January 2001 through December

2001 is projected to be \$106,055.

Form 42-5P Page 4 of 20

Tampa Electric Company Environmental Cost Recovery Clause January 2001 Through December 2001 Description and Progress Report for Environmental Compliance Activities and Projects

Project Title: Big Bend Unit 1 Classifier Replacement

Project Description:

The boiler modifications at Big Bend Unit 1 are part of Tampa Electric's Nitrous Oxide (NO_X) compliance strategy for Phase II of the Clean Air Act Amendments of 1990 (CAAA). The classifier replacements will optimize coal fineness by providing a more uniform particle size. This finer classification, combined with the equalized distribution of coal to outlet pipes and furnaces, will enable a uniform, staged combustion. As a result, firing systems will operate at lower NO_X levels.

Project Accomplishments:

Project Fiscal Expenditures: The actual/estimated depreciation plus return for the period January 2000 through

December 2000 is \$188,357 compared to the original projection of \$190,527 resulting

in a variance of 1.1%

Progress Summary: The project is complete and was placed in service December 1998.

Project Projections: Estimated depreciation plus return for the period January 2001 through December

2001 is projected to be \$186,486.

Form 42-5P Page 5 of 20

Tampa Electric Company

Environmental Cost Recovery Clause January 2001 Through December 2001

Description and Progress Report for

Environmental Compliance Activities and Projects

Project Title: Big Bend Unit 2 Classifier Replacement

Project Description:

The boiler modifications at Big Bend Unit 2 are part of Tampa Electric's Nitrous Oxide (NO_x) compliance strategy for Phase II of the Clean Air Act Amendments of 1990 (CAAA). The classifier replacements will optimize coal fineness by providing a more uniform particle size. This finer classification, combined with the equalized distribution of coal to outlet pipes and furnaces, will enable a uniform, staged combustion. As a result, firing systems will operate at lower NO_x levels.

Project Accomplishments:

Project Fiscal Expenditures:

The actual/estimated depreciation plus return for the period January 2000 through

EXHIBIT NO.

FORM 42-5P

(KOZ-3)

DOCKET NO. 000007-EI

DOCUMENT NO. 5 PAGE 5 OF 20

TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY

FILED: SEPTEMBER 21, 2000 **REVISED: NOVEMBER 2, 2000**

December 2000 is \$136,402 as compared to the original projection of \$137,633

resulting in a variance of 1.2%.

Progress Summary:

The project is complete and was placed in service May 1998.

Project Projections:

Estimated depreciation plus return for the period January 2001 through December

2001 is projected to be \$136,402.

Form 42-5P Page 6 of 20 EXHIBIT NO. DOCKET NO. 000007-EI TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY (KOZ-3)
DOCUMENT NO. 5
PAGE 6 OF 20
FORM 42-5P
FILED: SEPTEMBER 21, 2000

Tampa Electric Company Environmental Cost Recovery Clause January 2001 Through December 2001 Description and Progress Report for Environmental Compliance Activities and Projects

Project Title: Gannon Unit 5 Classifier Replacement

Project Description:

The boiler modifications at Gannon Unit 5 are part of Tampa Electric's Nitrous Oxide (NO_X) compliance strategy for Phase II of the Clean Air Act Amendments of 1990 (CAAA). The classifier replacements will optimize coal fineness by providing a more uniform particle size. This finer classification, combined with the equalized distribution of coal to outlet pipes and furnaces, will enable a uniform, staged combustion. As a result, firing systems will operate at lower NO_X levels.

Project Accomplishments:

Project Fiscal Expenditures: The actual/estimated depreciation plus return for the period January 2000 through

December 2000 is \$379,984 compared to the original projection of \$200,122 resulting in a variance of 89.9%. This asset will be retired as a result of the Gannon repowering project and the increased costs result from the accelerated depreciation of this asset

beginning January 2000.

Progress Summary: The project is complete and was placed in-service December 1997.

Project Projections: Estimated depreciation plus return for the period January 2001 through December

2001 is projected to be \$350,950. Due to the Gannon Station repowering this equipment will be retired as of May 2003 and Tampa Electric will fully recover the

remaining book value of these assets through December 31, 2004.

Tampa Electric Company Environmental Cost Recovery Clause January 2001 Through December 2001 Description and Progress Report for Environmental Compliance Activities and Projects

Project Title: Gannon Unit 6 Classifier Replacement

Project Description:

The boiler modifications at Gannon Unit 6 are part of Tampa Electric's Nitrous Oxide (NO_X) compliance strategy for Phase II of the Clean Air Act Amendments of 1990 (CAAA). The classifier replacements will optimize coal fineness by providing a more uniform particle size. This finer classification, combined with the equalized distribution of coal to outlet pipes and furnaces, will enable a uniform, staged combustion. As a result, firing systems will operate at lower NO_X levels.

Project Accomplishments:

Project Fiscal Expenditures: The actual/estimated depreciation plus return for the period January 2000 through

December 2000 is \$425,369, compared to the original projection of \$211,627, representing a variance of 101.0%. This asset will be retired as a result of the Gannon repowering project and the increased costs result from the accelerated depreciation of

this asset beginning January 2000.

Progress Summary: The project is complete and was placed in service July 1999.

Project Projections: Estimated depreciation plus return for the period January 2001 through December

2001 is projected to be \$392,870. Due to the Gannon Station repowering this equipment will be retired as of May 2003 and Tampa Electric will fully recover the

remaining book value of these assets through December 31, 2004.

Tampa Electric Company Environmental Cost Recovery Clause January 2001 Through December 2001 Description and Progress Report for Environmental Compliance Activities and Projects

Project Title: Gannon Coal Crushers (NOx Control)

Project Description:

Two Gannon Coal Crushers will be used in conjunction with the boiler modifications at Gannon as part of Tampa Electric's Nitrous Oxide (NO_X) compliance strategy for Phase II of the Clean Air Act Amendments of 1990 (CAAA). The coal crushers will assist in achieving compliance by providing a more uniform particle size. The finer coal particles, combined with the equalized distribution of coal to outlet pipes and furnaces, will enable a uniform, staged combustion. As a result, firing systems will operate at lower NO_X levels.

Project Accomplishments:

Project Fiscal Expenditures: The actual/estimated depreciation plus return for the period January 2000 through

December 2000 is \$1,561,369, compared to the original projection of \$795,302, representing a variance of 96.3%. This asset will be retired as a result of the Gannon repowering project and the increased costs result from the accelerated depreciation of

this asset beginning January 2000.

Progress Summary: The project is complete and was placed in service June 1999.

Project Projections: Estimated depreciation plus return for the period January 2001 through December

2001 is projected to be \$1,442,100. Due to the Gannon repowering this equipment will be retired as of May 2003 and Tampa Electric will fully recover the remaining

book value of these assets through December 31, 2004.

Tampa Electric Company Environmental Cost Recovery Clause January 2000 Through December 2000 Description and Progress Report for Environmental Compliance Activities and Projects

Project Title: Big Bend Units 1 & 2 Flue Gas Desulfurization

Project Description:

The Big Bend Units 1 & 2 FGD system consists of equipment capable of removing sulfur dioxide ("SO₂") from the flue gas generated by the combustion of coal. The FGD was installed in order to comply with Phase II of the Clean Air Act Amendments ("CAAA"). Compliance with Phase II is required by January 1, 2000. The CAAA impose SO₂ emission limits on existing steam electric units with an output capacity of greater than 25 megawatts and all new utility units. Tampa Electric conducted an exhaustive analysis of options to comply with Phase II of the CAAA that culminated in the selection of the FGD project to serve Big Bend Units 1 & 2.

The Commission, in Order No. 99-0075 issued January 11, 1999 in Docket No. 980693-EI, found the FGD project to the most cost- effective alternative for compliance with the SO₂ requirements of Phase II of the CAAA.

Project Accomplishments:

Project Fiscal Expenditures: The actual/estimated depreciation plus return for the period January 2000 through

December 2000 was \$12,757,961 as compared to the original projection of

\$12,841,731 resulting in a variance of 0.7%.

The actual/estimated O & M expense for period January 2000 through December

2000 was \$3,420,330 as compared to the original estimate of \$3,475,272 resulting in

a variance of 1.6%.

Project Progress Summary: The project was placed in service in December 1999.

Project Projections: Estimated depreciation plus return for the period January 2001 through December

2001 is expected to be \$12,718,842. Estimated O & M costs for the period

January 2001through December 2001 are projected to be \$3,733,254.

Form 42-5P Page 10 of 20

Tampa Electric Company Environmental Cost Recovery Clause January 2001 Through December 2001 Description and Progress Report for Environmental Compliance Activities and Projects

Project Title: 114 Mercury Testing and 114 Mercury Testing Platform

Project Description:

The Mercury Emissions Information Collection Effort is mandated by the United States EPA. The EPA asserts that Section 114 of the Clean Air Act grants to the EPA the authority to request the collection of information necessary for it to study whether it is appropriate and necessary to develop performance or emission standards for electric utility steam generating units.

In a letter dated November 25, 1998, Tampa Electric was notified by the EPA that, pursuant to Section 114 of the Clean Air Act, the company was required to periodically sample and analyze coal shipments for mercury and chlorine content during the period January 1, 1999 through December 31, 1999.

In addition to coal sampling, stack testing and analyses are also required. Tampa Electric received a second letter from EPA, dated March 11, 1999, requiring Tampa Electric to perform speciated mercury testing of the inlet and outlet of the last emission control device installed for Big Bend Units 1, 2 or 3, and Polk Unit 1 as part of the mercury data collection. Part of the cost incurred to perform the stack testing is due to the need to construct special test facilities at the Big Bend stack testing location to meet EPA's testing requirements.

Project Accomplishments:

Project Fiscal Expenditures:

The actual/estimated depreciation plus return for the period January 2000 through December 2000 was \$15,994 as compared to the original estimate of \$14,540 resulting in a variance of 10.0%. This project was originally projected to be completed in December 1999, however it was not completed until early 2000 causing costs which had been expected to be incurred in 1999 to be deferred to early 2000, resulting in the project being under-budget by \$1,405 in 1999 and over-budget in 2000 by \$1,567.

The actual/estimated O & M expense for period January 2000 through December 2000 was \$5,367 as compared to the original estimate of \$12,820 resulting in a variance of 58.1%. This variance is due to a decrease in laboratory expenses

Project Progress Summary:

The project was placed in-service in December 1999 and was completed in May

2000.

Project Projections:

Estimated depreciation plus return for the period January 2001 through December 2001

is expected to be \$16,147.

There are no O & M costs projected for the period January 2001 through

December 2001.

<u>Tampa Electric Company</u> Environmental Cost Recovery Clause

January 2001Through December 2001
Description and Progress Report for

Environmental Compliance Activities and Projects

Project Title: Big Bend Flue Gas Desulfurization Optimization and Utilization

Project Description:

In order to meet the requirements of the DEP Consent Final Judgement and the EPA Consent Decree, Tampa Electric is required to optimize the SO₂ removal efficiency and operations of the Big Bend Units 1, 2 and 3 FGD systems. Tampa Electric will perform activities in three key areas to improve the performance and reliability of the Big Bend Units 1, 2 and 3 FGD systems. The majority of the improvements are required to be performed on the Unit 3 tower module and include tower piping, nozzle and internal improvements, duct work improvements, electrical system reliability improvements, tower control improvements, DBA system improvements, booster fan reliability improvements absorber system improvements quencher system improvements and tower demister improvements. Big Bend Units 1 and 2 FGD system improvements include additional preventative maintenance, oxidation air control improvements and tower water, air reagent and start-up piping upgrades. In order to ensure reliability of the FGD systems, improvements to the common limestone supply, gypsum dewatering stack reliability and wastewater treatment plant are also being performed.

Project Accomplishments:

Project Fiscal Expenditures:

The actual/estimated depreciation plus return for the period January 2000 through

December 2000 is \$122,593.

The actual/estimated O & M expense for this new project for the period January 2000

through December 2000 is \$1,346,038

Project Progress Summary:

The project is in progress.

Project Projections:

Estimated depreciation plus return for the period January 2001 through December 2001

is expected to be \$1,107,765.

Estimated O & M costs for the period January 2001 through December 2001 are

projected to be \$1,104,330.

Form 42-5P Page 12 of 18 EXHIBIT NO. DOCKET NO. 000007-EI
TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY (KOZ-3)
DOCUMENT NO. 5
PAGE 12 OF 20
FORM 42-5P
FILED: SEPTEMBER 21, 2000

REVISED: NOVEMBER 2, 2000

Tampa Electric Company
Environmental Cost Recovery Clause
January 2001 Through December 2001
Description and Progress Report for

Environmental Compliance Activities and Projects

Project Title: Particulate Matter Minimization and Monitoring

Project Description:

In order to meet the requirements of the DEP Consent Final Judgement and the EPA Consent Decree, Tampa Electric is required to develop a Best Operational Practices Study (Study) to minimize emissions from each electrostatic precipitator (ESP) at Big Bend and to perform a Best Available Control Technology (BACT) analysis for the upgrade of each existing ESP and the installation and operation of particulate matter continuous emission monitors. Tampa Electric has identified improvements that are necessary to optimize ESP performance such as modifications to the turning vanes and precipitator distribution plates, and upgrades to the controls and software system of the precipitators. Tampa Electric also expects to implement some of the costs associated with the recommendations of the Study and the BACT analysis in 2001. In addition to these costs, Tampa Electric will incur the expenditure of capital dollars in 2001 to install the PM CEM.

Thee costs associated with these projects are being incurred after April 13, 1993 and are not included in base rates or any other cost recovery mechanism.

Project Accomplishments:

Project Fiscal Expenditures: The actual/estimated depreciation plus return for the period January 2000 through

December 2000 is \$4,782.

The actual/estimated O & M expense for this new project for the period January 2000

through December 2000 is \$215,000

Project Progress Summary: The project is an on-going compliance activity.

Project Projections: Estimated depreciation plus return for the period January 2001 through December 2001

is expected to be \$102,901.

Estimated O & M costs for the period January 2001 through December 2001 are

projected to be \$115,000.

Form 42-5P Page 13 of 18 **REVISED: NOVEMBER 2, 2000**

Tampa Electric Company Environmental Cost Recovery Clause January 2001Through December 2001 Description and Progress Report for Environmental Compliance Activities and Projects

Project Title: Reduction of NO_x Emissions

Project Description:

In order to meet the requirements of the DEP Consent Final Judgement and the EPA Consent Decree Tampa Electric is required to spend up to \$3 million with the goal to reduce NO_x emissions at Big Bend Station. The Consent Decree requires that by December 31, 2002, the company must achieve at least a 30 percent reduction beyond 1998 levels for Big Bend Units 1 and 2 and at least a 15 percent reduction in NO_x emissions from Big Bend Unit 3. Tampa Electric has identified projects which are the first steps to decrease NO_x emissions in these units such as burner and windbox modifications and the installation of a neural network system on each of the Big Bend units.

Project Accomplishments:

Project Fiscal Expenditures: The actual/estimated depreciation plus return for the period January 2000 through

December 2000 is \$1,602.

The actual/estimated O & M expense for this new project for the period January 2000

through December 2000 is \$0.

Project Progress Summary: The project is complete and in service.

Project Projections: Estimated depreciation plus return for the period January 2001 through December 2001

is expected to be \$99,995.

Estimated O & M costs for the period January 2001 through December 2001 are

projected to be \$50,000.

Form 42-5P Page 14 of 20 EXHIBIT NO. DOCKET NO. 000007-EI
TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY
(KOZ-3)
DOCUMENT NO. 5
PAGE 14 OF 20
FORM 42-5P
FILED: SEPTEMBER 21, 2000
REVISED: NOVEMBER 2, 2000

Tampa Electric Company
Environmental Cost Recovery Clause
January 2001Through December 2001
Description and Progress Report for
Environmental Compliance Activities and Projects

Project Title: Gannon Ignition Oil Tank Upgrade

Project Description:

The Gannon Ignition Oil Storage Tank is a 300,000 gallon field erected fuel storage tank that is required to meet the requirements of DEP Rule 62-762 as an existing field erected above ground storage tank containing a regulated pollutant (diesel fuel). The rule required various modifications and a complete internal inspection by the end of 1999.

The scope of work for this project included:

- Cleaning and inspecting the tank in accordance with API 653 specifications
- Applying a coating to the internal floor and 30 inches up the tank wall. Installing an "El Segundo" bottom to the tank, including installing a leak detection system.
- Installing a spill containment for piping fittings and valves surrounding the tank.
- Installing a new truck unloading facility and spill containment for the truck unloading facility.
- ▶ Installing level instrumentation for overfill protection.
- Installing secondary containment for below ground piping or reroute to above ground.
- Conducting a tank closure assessment.

Project Accomplishments:

Project Fiscal Expenditures: The actual/estimated depreciation plus return for the period January 2000 through

December 2000 is \$135,061 compared to the original projection of \$55,160 representing a variance of 144.9%. This asset will be retired as a result of the Gannon repowering project and the increased costs result from the accelerated depreciation of

this asset beginning January 2000.

Project Progress Summary:

The project is complete and was placed in service January 1998.

Project Projections:

Estimated depreciation plus return for the period January 2001 through December 2001 is projected to be \$122,376. Due to the Gannon repowering this equipment will be retired as of May 2003 and Tampa Electric will fully recover the remaining book

value of these assets through December 31, 2004.

Form 42-5P Page 15 of 20 Tampa Electric Company
Environmental Cost Recovery Clause
January 2001 Through December 2001
Description and Progress Report for
Environmental Compliance Activities and Projects

Project Title: Big Bend Fuel Oil Tank No. 1 Upgrade

Project Description:

The Big Bend Oil Storage Tank No. 1 is a 500,000 gallon field erected fuel storage tank that is required to meet the requirements of DEP Rule 62-762 as an existing field erected above ground storage tank containing a regulated pollutant (diesel fuel). The rule required various modifications and a complete internal inspection by the end of 1999.

The scope of work for this project included:

- ► Cleaning and inspecting the tank in accordance with API 653 specifications
- Applying a coating to the internal floor and 30 inches up the tank wall. Installing an "El Segundo" bottom to the tank, including installing a leak detection system.
- Installing a spill containment for piping fittings and valves surrounding the tank.
- Installing a new truck unloading facility and spill containment for the truck unloading facility.
- Installing level instrumentation for overfill protection.
- Installing secondary containment for below ground piping or reroute to above ground.
- Conducting a tank closure assessment.

Project Accomplishments:

Project Fiscal Expenditures: The actual/estimated depreciation plus return for the period January 2000 through

December 2000 was \$69,462 compared to an original projection of \$69,325,

representing a variance of 0.2%.

Project Progress Summary: The project is complete and was placed in service October 1998.

Project Projections: Estimated depreciation plus return for the period January 2001 through December 2001

is projected to be \$67,840.

Form 42-5P Page 16 of 20 EXHIBIT NO. DOCKET NO. 000007-EI
TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY
(KOZ-3)
DOCUMENT NO. 5
PAGE 16 OF 20
FORM 42-5P
FILED: SEPTEMBER 21, 2000

Tampa Electric Company Environmental Cost Recovery Clause January 2001 Through December 2001 Description and Progress Report for Environmental Compliance Activities and Projects

Project Title: Big Bend Fuel Oil Tank No. 2 Upgrade

Project Description:

The Big Bend Oil Storage Tank No. 2 is a 4,200,000 gallon field erected fuel storage tank that is required to meet the requirements of DEP Rule 62-762 as an existing field erected above ground storage tank containing a regulated pollutant (diesel fuel). The rule required various modifications and a complete internal inspection by the end of 1999.

The scope of work for this project included:

- Cleaning and inspecting the tank in accordance with API 653 specifications
- Applying a coating to the internal floor and 30 inches up the tank wall. Installing an "El Segundo" bottom to the tank, including installing a leak detection system.
- Installing a spill containment for piping fittings and valves surrounding the tank.
- Installing a new truck unloading facility and spill containment for the truck unloading facility.
- Installing level instrumentation for overfill protection.
- Installing secondary containment for below ground piping or reroute to above ground.
- Conducting a tank closure assessment.

Project Accomplishments:

Project Fiscal Expenditures: The actual/estimated depreciation plus return for the period January 2000 through

December 2000 was \$114,254 compared to an original projection of \$114,138,

representing a variance of 0.1%.

Project Progress Summary: The project is complete and was placed in service December 1998.

Project Projections: Estimated depreciation plus return for the period January 2001 through December 2001

is projected to be \$111,582.

EXHIBIT NO. DOCKET NO. 000007-EI TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY (KOZ-3) DOCUMENT NO. 5 PAGE 17 OF 20 FORM 42-5P FILED: SEPTEMBER 21, 2000 REVISED: NOVEMBER 2, 2000

Environmental Cost Recovery Clause
January 2001 Through December 2001
Description and Progress Report for
Environmental Compliance Activities and Projects

Tampa Electric Company

Project Title: Phillips Oil Tank No. 1 Upgrade

Project Description:

The Phillips Oil Storage Tank No. 1 is a 1,300,000 gallon field erected fuel storage tank that is required to meet the requirements of DEP Rule 62-762 as an existing field erected above ground storage tank containing a regulated pollutant (diesel fuel). The rule required various modifications and a complete internal inspection by the end of 1999.

The scope of work for this project included:

- Cleaning and inspecting the tank in accordance with API 653 specifications
- Applying a coating to the internal floor and 30 inches up the tank wall.
- Installing a spill containment for piping fittings and valves surrounding the tank.
- Installing level instrumentation for overfill protection.
- Installing secondary containment for below ground piping or reroute to above ground.
- Conducting a tank closure assessment.

Project Accomplishments:

Project Fiscal Expenditures: The actual/estimated depreciation plus return for the period January 2000 through

December 2000 was \$8,658 compared to an original projection of \$8,378,

representing a variance of 3.3%.

Project Progress Summary: The project is complete and was placed in service October 1998.

Project Projections: Estimated depreciation plus return for the period January 2001 through December 2001

is projected to be \$8,377.

Tampa Electric Company Environmental Cost Recovery Clause January 2001 Through December 2001 Description and Progress Report for Environmental Compliance Activities and Projects

Project Title: Phillips Oil Tank No. 4 Upgrade

Project Description:

The Phillips Oil Storage Tank No. 4 is a 57,000 gallon field erected fuel storage tank that is required to meet the requirements of DEP Rule 62-762 as an existing field erected above ground storage tank containing a regulated pollutant (diesel fuel). The rule required various modifications and a complete internal inspection by the end of 1999.

The scope of work for this project included:

- Cleaning and inspecting the tank in accordance with API 653 specifications
- Applying a coating to the internal floor and 30 inches up the tank wall.
- Installing a spill containment for piping fittings and valves surrounding the tank.
- Installing level instrumentation for overfill protection.
- Installing secondary containment for below ground piping or reroute to above ground.
- Conducting a tank closure assessment.

Project Accomplishments:

Project Fiscal Expenditures: The actual/estimated depreciation plus return for the period January 2000 through

December 2000 was \$13,644 compared to an original projection of \$13,182,

representing a variance of 3.5%.

Project Progress Summary: The project is complete and was placed in service October 1998.

Project Projections: Estimated depreciation plus return for the period January 2001 through December 2001

is projected to be \$13,203.

Form 42-5P Page 19 of 20

> <u>Tampa Electric Company</u> Environmental Cost Recovery Clause

EXHIBIT NO.

(KOZ-3)

DOCKET NO. 000007-EI TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY

FILED: SEPTEMBER 21, 2000 REVISED: NOVEMBER 2, 2000

DOCUMENT NO. 5

PAGE 19 OF 20

FORM 42-5P

January 2001 Through December 2001 Description and Progress Report for

Environmental Compliance Activities and Projects

Project Title: SO₂ Emission Allowances

Project Description:

The acid rain control title of the Clean Air Act Amendments (CAAA) of 1990 sets forth a comprehensive regulatory mechanism designed to control acid rain by limiting sulfur dioxide emissions by electric utilities. The CAAA require reductions in sulfur dioxide emissions in two phases. Phase I began on January 1, 1995, and applies to 110 mostly coal-fired utility plants containing about 260 generating units. These plants are owned by about 40 jurisdictional utility systems that are expected to reduce annual sulfur dioxide emissions by as much as 4.5 million tons. Phase II begins on January 1, 2000, and applies to virtually all existing steam-electric generating utility units with capacity exceeding 25 megawatts and to new generating utility units of any size. The Environmentally Protection Agency (EPA) issues to the owners of generating units allowances (defined as an authorization to emit, during or after a specified calendar year, one ton of sulfur dioxide) equal to the number of tons of sulfur dioxide emissions authorized by the CAAA. EPA does not assess a charge for the allowances it awards.

Project Accomplishments:

Project Fiscal Expenditures:

The actual/estimated O & M for the period January 2000 through December 2000 is \$632,593 compared to the original projection of (\$714,142), representing a variance of –188.6%. Tampa Electric had projected that SO₂ allowance revenues associated with wholesale sales would be credited to and exceed retail SO₂ allowance costs resulting in a reduction to overall O&M expenses. Specifically, Tampa Electric had projected a \$2.1 million credit from SO₂ allowance revenues associated with its wholesale sale to the Florida Municipal Power Association ("FMPA") and another \$100,000 credit associated with SO₂ revenues from economy sales. Based upon the 2000 ECRC true-up filing, FMPA SO₂ revenues are expected to be about \$630,000 and there are not expected to be any revenue credits from economy SO₂ revenues. The SO₂ revenues from wholesale sales are expected to be lower for several reasons including:

- Economy (Schedule C and X) sales are no longer made,
- SO₂ allowance costs associated with wholesale sales were originally projected to be over \$200/ton. They are now estimated to be \$135/ton, and
- The FMPA sale was modeled assuming that FMPA took energy at 100% capacity factor, however actual data indicates that it has been less during 2000.

Project Summary:

SO₂ Emission Allowances are being used by Tampa Electric to meet compliance standards for Phase I of the CAAA.

Project Projections:

Estimated O & M costs for the period January 2001 through December 2001 are projected to be \$771,953.

Form 42-5P Page'20 of 20

Tampa Electric Company Environmental Cost Recovery Clause January 2001 Through December 2001 Description and Progress Report for Environmental Compliance Activities and Projects

Project Title: National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Annual Surveillance Fees

Project Description:

Chapter 62-4.052, Florida Administrative Code (F A C), implements the annual regulatory program and surveillance fees (annual fees) for wastewater permits. These fees are in addition to the application fees described in Rule 62-4.050, FAC Tampa Electric's Big Bend, Hookers Point, Polk Power and Dinner Lake Stations are affected by this rule.

Project Accomplishments:

Project Fiscal Expenditures: The actual/estimated depreciation plus return for the period January 2000 through

December 2000 was \$39,100 compared to an original projection of \$48,300, representing a variance of 19.0%. This variance is due to the delay in delegation to the Florida Department of Environmental Protection of the NPDES program from the United States Environmental Protection Agency for the Gannon facility.

the Office States Environmental Potection Agency for the Gamon facility

Project Summary: NPDES Surveillance fees are paid annually for the prior year.

Project Projections: Estimated O & M costs for the period January 2001 through December 2001 are

projected to be \$50,600.

Ç

Tampa Electric Company

Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC) Calculation of the Energy & Demand Allocation % By Rate Class January 2001 to December 2001

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)
Rate Class	Average 12 CP Load Factor . at Meter (%)	Projected Sales at Meter (kWh)	Projected Avg 12 CP at Meter (kW)	Demand Loss Expansion Factor	Energy Loss Expansion Factor	Projected Sales at Generation (kWh)	Avg 12 CP at	kWh Sales	Percentage of 12 CP Demand at Generation (%)	12 CP & 1/13 Allocation Factor (%)
RS, RST	54.73187%	7,670,033,000	1,599,753	1.058177	1.035443	7,941,881,980	1,692,822	44.97%	58.68%	57.63%
GS, GST, TS	59.49139%	970,053,542	186,139	1.058415	1.035439	1,004,431,269	197,012	5.69%	6.83%	6.74%
GSD, GSDT	78.41515%	4,713,618,387	686,199	1.057711	1.035057	4,878,863,707	725,800	27.62%	25.16%	25.35%
GSLD, GSLDT, SBF, SBFT	87.44403%	1,959,503,071	255,807	1.045933	1.027293	2,012,983, 7 89	267,557	11.40%	9.27%	9.43%
IS1, IST1, SBI1, SBIT1, IS3, IST3, SBI3,SBIT	127.32181%	1,621,416,960	0	1.019822	1.010205	1,637,963,520	0	9.27%	0.00%	0.71%
SL/OL	1290.45988%	179,446,000	1,587	1.071429	1.035441	185,805,746	1,700	1.05%	0.06%	0.14%
TOTAL	68.29030%	17,114,070,960	2,729,485	1.054866	1.031795	17,661,930,011	2,884,891	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

Notes:

- (1) Average 12 CP load factor based on actual 1999 load research data
- (2) Projected kWh sales for the period January 2001 to December 2001
- (3) Calculated: (Column 2) / (8,760 hours X Column 1)
- (4) Based on actual 1999 load research data
- (5) Based on actual 1999 load research data
- (6) Column 2 X Column 5
- (7) Column 3 X Column 4
- (8) Column 6 / Total Column 6
- (9) Column 7 / Total Column 7
- (10) Column 8 X 1/13 + Column 9 X 12/13

<u>Tampa Electric Company</u> Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC)

Calculation of the Energy & Demand Allocation % By Rate Class January 2001 to December 2001

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
Rate Class	Percentage of kWh Sales at Generation (%)	12 CP & 1/13 Allocation Factor (%)	Energy- Related Costs (\$)	Demand- Related Costs (\$)	Total nvironmenta Costs (\$)	Projected Sales at Meter (kWh)	nvironmental Cost Recovery Factors (¢/kWh)
RS, RST	44.97%	57.63%	12,461,677	213,618	12,675,295	7,670,033,000	0.165
GS, GST, TS	5.69%	6.74%	1,576,761	24,983	1,601,744	970,053,542	0.165
GSD, GSDT	27.62%	25.35%	7,653,803	93,965	7,747,768	4,713,618,387	0.164
GSLD, GSLDT, SBF, SBFT	11.40%	9.43%	3,159,064	34,954	3,194,019	1,959,503,071	0.163
IS1, IST1, SBI1, IS3, IST3, SBI3	9.27%	0.71%	2,568,818	2,632	2,571,450	1,621,416,960	0.159
SL/OL	1.05%	0.14%	290,966	519	291,485	179,446,000	0.162
TOTAL	100.00%	100.00%	27,711,089	370,672	28,081,761	17,114,070,960	0.164

Notes:

- (1) From Form 42-6P, Column 8
- (2) From Form 42-6P, Column 10
- (3) Column 1 x Total Jurisdictional Energy Dollars from Form 42-1P, line 5
- (4) Column 2 x Total Jurisdictional Demand Dollars from Form 42-1P, line 5
- (5) Column 3 + Column 4
- (6) Projected KWH sales for the period January 2001 to December 2001
- (7) Column 5 / Column 6 x 100

61