

SOHON IL MIND: 54



Via Two Day Delivery

November 13, 2000

APP

CAF

CMP

CTR

OPC PAI

SEC

SER OTH

COM 4

ECR Stallcup

LEG Vaccaro

Division of Records and Reporting Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Andrew Isar, Director - State Affairs aisar@millerisar.com

RE: BellSouth Performance Assurance Plan Penalties, Docket 000121-TP

The Association of Communications Enterprises (ASCENT), on behalf of its members and in response to the Commission's request for comment regarding Staff's proposed BellSouth Performance Assurance Plan (PAP) in the above-referenced matter, expresses its general support of Staff's proposed PAP and its approach. The importance of adopting a meaningful performance plan and penalties that will serve as a strong compliance incentive for BellSouth, rather than a "cost of business" for impeding its competitors' efforts, cannot be over emphasized. While ASCENT hopes that BellSouth will serve its wholesale subscribers effectively and pay no penalties, the reality remains that in the absence of competitive market incentives, BellSouth must be bound to financial incentives that will compel responsible service. Staff's proposed PAP takes an important step toward creating a meaningful performance plan.

From an economic perspective, the purpose of any PAP that is ultimately adopted is to ensure that BellSouth does not engage in anticompetitive behavior and to provide an incentive for BellSouth to perform reliably. These objectives can only be achieved if the magnitude of the financial consequences of discriminatory behavior by BellSouth is greater than the expected value of the gains that BellSouth will be able to earn through calculated performance. For example, the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) noted of the Texas PAP that its penalties "would discourage anti-competitive behavior by setting the damages and penalties at a level above the simple cost of doing business."1 But the proverbial devil is in the details.

The structuring of penalties is as important as the penalties themselves. Efforts to cap penalties or to otherwise limit penalties on a regional basis, by measure, by period, by type of non-performance, or in any manner that mitigates the effect of substandard performance, as several incumbents now argue must be incorporated into PAPs, would dilute what could otherwise appear to be substantial penalties. By refusing to impose such penalty caps, Staff's proposed plan succeeds in creating a more effective financial

Bell Atlantic New York Order 15 FCC Rcd at 4166-67. ¶433. ASCENT maintains, however, that the RGO Harvey Texas PAP's penalties fall far short of discouraging Southwestern Bell Telephone's substandard performance.



DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

3220 Uddenberg Lane, NW, Suite 4, Gig Harbor, WA 98335 • tel. 253-851-6700 • fax. 253-851-6474 • www.ascent.org

Division of Records and Reporting November 13, 2000

Page 2

incentive for reliable performance. The increase in penalty severity for continued nonperformance coupled with the proposed payment of penalties to competitors who are most impacted by BellSouth non-performance under the proposed Tier 1 payment proposal, is entirely appropriate. These aspects of the proposed plan, in particular, will preclude BellSouth from gaming the process through a limitation of penalties and potential protracted penalty payments to wholesale subscribers.

The FCC in its June Texas 271 Order<sup>2</sup> drew on its Bell Atlantic-New York's 271 application experience, when reiterating the elements of an effective PAP that fell into a "zone of reasonableness" and were be likely to provide sufficient incentives to foster post-entry checklist compliance. Citing to its Bell Atlantic – New York 271 order, these key elements include: 1) a potential liability that provides a meaningful and significant incentive to comply with the designated performance standards; 2) clearly-articulated, pre-determined measures and standards, which encompass a comprehensive range of carrier-to-carrier performance; 3) a reasonable structure that is designed to detect and sanction poor performance when it occurs; 4) a self-executing mechanism that does not leave the door open unreasonably to litigation and appeal; and 5) reasonable assurances that the reported data is accurate.<sup>3</sup> Staff's PAP contains these elements.

ASCENT generally supports the proposed penalty and payment structure of Staff's proposed plan. The direction taken by Staff will create the necessary incentives for BellSouth to meet its market opening obligations, and assurances that competitors will be able to serve their customers reliably when obtaining underlying services, facilities, and interconnection from BellSouth. ASCENT urges the Commission to support Staff's approach for BellSouth performance assurance.

Sincerely,

Association of Communications Enterprises

Andrew O. Isar

Cc: Service List

<sup>3</sup> Bell Atlantic New York Order at ¶433.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> In the Matter of Application by SBC Communications Inc., Southwestern Bell Telephone Company, And Southwestern Bell Communications Services, Inc. d/b/a Southwestern Bell Long Distance Pursuant to Section 271 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996 To Provide In-Region, InterLATA Services In Texas, CC Docket 00-65, Memorandum Opinion and Order (June 30, 2000) ["Texas 271 Order"], at ¶422 et seq.

## **State of Florida**

## Florida Public Service Commission

In the Matter of BellSouth	)	Docket 000121-TP
Performance Assurance	)	
Plan Penalties.	)	November 13, 2000

## CERTIFICATION

This is to certify that on November 13, 2000, an original and fifteen (15) copies of the Comments of the Association of Communications Enterprises in the matter of BellSouth Performance Assurance Plan Penalties have been sent via two day express delivery to the Division of Records and Reporting, Florida Public Service Commission, 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard, Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850, and copies of the foregoing have been mailed via first class mail, postage prepaid to :

- ALLTEL Communications, Inc. c/o Ausley Law Firm Jeffrey Wahlen P.O. Box 391 Tallahassee, FL 32302 Phone: 850-425-5471 Fax: 222-7952
- \*

• .

<u>AT&T</u> Marsha Rule 101 North Monroe Street, Suite 700 Tallahassee, FL 32301-1549 Phone: (850) 425-6365 Fax: 425-6361

BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. Ms. Nancy B. White c/o Nancy H. Sims 150 South Monroe Street, Suite 400 Tallahassee, FL 32301-1556 Phone: (850) 224-7798 Fax: 222-8640

 Birch Telecom of the South, Inc. (NC) Monica Barone 8001 Fairlake Drive Wake Forest, NC 27587 Phone: (919) 562-3069

Covad Communications Company Catherine F. Boone, Esq. Regional Counsel 10 Glenlake Parkway, Suite 650 Atlanta, GA 30328-3495 Phone: 678-579-8388 Fax: 240-525-5673 <u>EMail: cboone@covad.com</u> Represented by: Katz, Kutter Law Firm

• \*

Florida Cable Telecommunications Assoc., Inc. Michael A. Gross 246 E. 6th Avenue Tallahassee, FL 32303 Phone: 850-681-1990 Fax: 681-9676 <u>EMail: mgross@fcta.com</u>

 Florida Public Telecommunications Assoc. Angela Green, General Counsel 125 S. Gadsden St., #200 Tallahassee, FL 32301-1525 Phone: 850-222-5050 Fax: 222-1355

Intermedia Communications, Inc. Mr. Scott Sapperstein 3625 Queen Palm Drive Tampa, FL 33619-1309 Phone: (813) 829-4093 Fax: (813) 349-9802 Represented by: Katz, Kutter Law Firm

• \*

ITC^Deltacom Nanette Edwards/Brian Musselwhite 4092 South Memorial Parkway Huntsville, AL 35802 Phone: (256) 382-3856 Fax: (256) 382-3936

• \*

Katz, Kutter Law Firm Charles Pellegrini/Patrick Wiggins 12th Floor

106 East College Avenue Tallahassee, FL 32301 Phone: 850-224-9634 Fax: 222-0103 Represents: Intermedia, Covad Communications

• \*

Kelley Law Firm Jonathan Canis/Michael Hazzard 1200 19th St. NW, Fifth Floor Washington, DC 20036 Phone: 202-955-9600 Fax: 202-955-9792 <u>EMail: jacanis@kelleydrye.com, mhazzard@kelleydrye.com</u> Represents: Z-Tel Communications

• \*

MCI WorldCom Ms. Donna C. McNulty 325 John Knox Road The Atrium, Suite 105 Tallahassee, FL 32303-4131 Phone: (850) 422-1254 Fax: 422-2586

• \*

\*

*McWhirter Law Firm* Joseph McGlothlin/Vicki Kaufman 117 S. Gadsden St. Tallahassee, FL 32301 Phone: 850-222-2525 Fax: 222-5606 <u>EMail: jmcglothlin@mac-law.com, vkaufman@mac-law.com</u> Represents: Z-Tel Communications

- MediaOne Florida Telecommunications, Inc. c/o Laura L. Gallagher, P.A. 101 E. College Ave., Suite 302 Tallahassee, FL 32301 Phone: 850-224-2211 Fax: 561-6311
- Messer Law Firm Floyd Self P.O. Box 1876 Tallahassee, FL 32302 Phone: 850-222-0720 Fax: 224-4359

Pennington Law Firm Peter Dunbar/Karen Camechis P.O. Box 10095 Tallahassee, FL 32302-2095 Phone: 850-222-3533 *Fax: 222-2126 <u>EMail: Pete@penningtonlawfirm.com</u> Represents: Time Warner* 

- Rutledge Law Firm Kenneth Hoffman/John Ellis P.O. Box 551 Tallahassee, FL 32302-0551 Phone: 850-681-6788 Fax: 681-6515
- SBC Telecom, Inc. Carol Paulsen
  5800 Northwest Parkway Suite 125, 1-Q-01
  San Antonio, TX 78249
  Phone: 210-641-3302
  Fax: 210-641-3882

• \*

Sprint Communications Company Limited Partnership Susan Masterton/Charles Rehwinkel P.O. Box 2214 MC: FLTLHO0107 Tallahassee, FL 32316-2214 Phone: (850) 847-0244 Fax: 878-0777 <u>EMail: susan.masterton@mail.sprint.com</u>

•

Supra Telecom Wayne Stavanja/Mark Buechele 1311 Executive Center Drive, Suite 200 Tallahassee, FL 32301 Phone: (850) 402-0510 Fax: 402-0522

• \*Telephone (Alternative Local Exchange only)

- \*Telephone (Incumbent Local Exchange only)
- •

Time Warner Telecom of Florida, L.P. Carolyn Marek 233 Bramerton Court Franklin, TN 37069 Phone: (615)376-6404 Fax: (615)376-6405 Represented by: Pennington Law Firm

•

Verizon Select Services Inc. Kimberly Caswell P.O. Box 110, FLTC0007 Tampa, FL 33601-0110 Phone: (813) 483-2617 Fax: (813) 223-4888

• \*

.

*Z-Tel Communications, Inc.* John Rubino/George S. Ford 601 S. Harbour Island Blvd. Tampa, FL 33602-5706 Phone: (813) 233-4630 Fax: (813) 233-4620 <u>EMail: gford@z-tel.com</u> Represented by: McWhirter Law Firm, Kelley Law Firm

u: illett

Sue Willett