29 PM 4:

ORIGINA

PATRICK W. TURNER General Attorney

BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. 150 South Monroe Street Room 400 Tallahassee, Florida 32301 (404) 335-0750

November 29, 2000

Mrs. Blanca S. Bayó Director, Division of Records and Reporting Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Re: Docket No. 000907-TP (Level 3 Arbitration)

Dear Ms. Bayó:

Enclosed is BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.'s Response to the Motion to Compel by Level 3 Communications, LLC which we ask that you file in the captioned docket.

A copy of this letter is enclosed. Please mark it to indicate that the original was filed and return the copy to me. Copies have been served to the parties shown on the attached Certificate of Service.

Sincerely, Patrick H. Jumer Patrick W. Turner

cc: All Parties of Record Marshall M. Criser III R. Douglas Lackey Nancy B. White

APP GAE CMP m COM 236913 CTR EGR LEG 民臣の伝行すたら 多子 回差し OPC PAL SC-BUREAU OF RECORDS SER OTH

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE 15267 NOV 298 FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE Docket No. 000907-TP

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing was served via

U. S. Mail and Hand Delivery* this 29th day of November, 2000 to the following:

C. Lee Fordham Division of Legal Services Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Ms. Felicia R. Banks* Division of Legal Services Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Russell M. Blau Tamas E. Finn Swidler Berlin Shereff Friedman, LLP 3000 K Street, N.W., Suite 300 Washington, D.C. 20007 Tel. No. (202) 424-7500 Fax. No. (202) 424-7645

Michael R. Romano Level 3 Communications, LLC 1025 Eldorado Boulevard Broomfield, CO 80021 Tel. No. (720) 888-7015 Fax. No. (720) 888-5134

Kenneth A. Hoffman, Esq. John R. Ellis, Esq. Rutledge, Ecenia, Purnell, et al. P.O. Box 551 Tallahassee, FL 32302 Tel. No. (850) 681-6788 Fax. No. (850) 681-6515

Patrick W. Turner

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

ORIGINAL

In re:

Petition by Level 3 Communications, LLC for)Arbitration of Certain Terms and Conditions of a)Proposed Agreement with BellSouth Telecommunications,)Inc.)

) Docket No. 000907-TP) Filed: November 29, 2000

RESPONSE OF BELLSOUTH TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC. TO THE MOTION TO COMPEL BY LEVEL 3 COMMUNICATIONS, LLC

BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. ("BellSouth") respectfully submits its Response to Level 3 Communications, LLC's Motion to Compel. BellSouth has discussed the Motion with counsel for Level 3 and, based on those conversations, BellSouth is optimistic that the parties can resolve the Motion without the need of a hearing. In an abundance of caution, however, BellSouth submits this Response.

I. The Motion is Moot with regard to Interrogatories Nos. 15 & 17 and Document Requests Nos. 9 & 10.

Each these requests seeks information only if BellSouth's responded in a particular manner to a prior request. In each instance, BellSouth did not respond to the prior request in a manner that would require it to provide any additional information. Level 3's motion, therefore, is most with regard to these items.

As an example, Interrogatory No. 15 seeks information only "[i]f the answer to Interrogatory No. 14 is in the affirmative." BellSouth's response to Interrogatory No. 14, however, is "no." Interrogatory No. 15, therefore, does not seek to require BellSouth to

> DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE 15267 NOV 298 PPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

provide any additional information. The same is true of Interrogatory No. 15 and Document Requests Nos. 9 and 10.

II. BellSouth has provided the information requested in Interrogatories Nos. 55 & 57 and Request Nos. 24-25.

Each of these requests seeks information regarding the legal support for BellSouth's position that: reciprocal compensation payments are not due for ISP-bound traffic under the parties' current agreement; and reciprocal compensation should not be due for ISP-bound traffic under the agreement being arbitrated in this docket. In addition to the information set forth in BellSouth's pre-filed testimony, BellSouth's response to Interrogatory No. 57 quotes the relevant portion of the parties' existing agreement, and BellSouth's response to Interrogatory No. 54 explains its position and provides citations to legal rulings supporting its position. BellSouth's response to these requests, therefore, are appropriate, and Level 3's motion with regard to these items should be overruled.

III. BellSouth's objections with regard to Interrogatory Nos. 30 and 31 are valid and should be upheld.

Level 3 asks BellSouth to explain whether certain charges are or are not compliant "with a Total Element Long Run Incremental Cost methodology adopted by the Federal Communications Commission and/or the Commission" and with "the pricing standards of section 252(d) of the Act." These requests clearly seek to require BellSouth to perform a legal analysis (which by definition would constitute attorney work product) and provide that analysis to Level 3 prior to any hearings. This clearly is improper, as legal strategy and legal analysis are protected from discovery by the work product doctrine and/or attorney-client privilege. Without waiving these objections, BellSouth is discussing these items with counsel for Level 3 in a good-faith effort to resolve this discovery dispute, and BellSouth is optimistic that the parties will be able to reach a mutually agreeable resolution of this issue.

Respectfully submitted this 29th day of November 2000.

BELLSOUTH TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC.

NANCY B. WHITE

c/o Nancy Sims 150 South Monroe Street, #400 Tallahassee, Florida 32301 (305) 347-5558

R DOUGLAS

PATRICK W. TURNER 675 West Peachtree Street, #4300 Atlanta, Georgia 30375 (404) 335-0761

237748-pwt/gbt