FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

31B

VOTE SHEET

DECEMBER 5, 2000

RE: DOCKET NO. 000061-EI - Complaint by Allied Universal Corporation and Chemical Formulators, Inc. against Tampa Electric Company for violation of Sections 366.03, 366.06(2), and 366.07, F.S., with respect to rates offered under commercial/industrial service rider tariff; petition to examine and inspect confidential information; and request for expedited relief. (Deferred from the 11/28/00 Commission Conference.)

Issue 1: Should the Commission grant TECO's second Motion for Reconsideration?

Recommendation: No. Pursuant to Rule 25-22.0376(1), Florida Administrative Code, "the Commission shall not entertain a motion for reconsideration of an order disposing of a motion for reconsideration."

WITHDRAWN

COMMISSIONERS ASSIGNED: JC JB BZ

COMMISSIONERS' SIGNATURES

MAJORITY	DISSENTING

REMARKS/DISSENTING COMMENTS:

PSC/RAR33 (5/90)

VOTE SHEET
DECEMBER 5, 2000
DOCKET NO. 000061-EI - Complaint by Allied Universal Corporation and Chemical Formulators, Inc. against Tampa Electric Company for violation of Sections 366.03, 366.06(2), and 366.07, F.S., with respect to rates offered under commercial/industrial service rider tariff; petition to examine and inspect confidential information; and request for expedited relief. (Deferred from the 11/28/00 Commission Conference.)

(Continued from previous page)

Issue 2: Should the motions for reconsideration filed by TECO and Odyssey on July 6 and 7, 2000, respectively, be granted with respect to the issue of Mr. Namoff's ability to review confidential information.
Recommendation: No. The motions for reconsideration should be denied with respect to the issue of Mr. Namoff's ability to review confidential information.

NO VOTE

Moot, based on decision in Issue 3.

Issue 3: Should Allied's Motion for Authorization be granted with respect to Mr. Namoff's ability to review confidential information?
Recommendation: Yes. Allied's Motion for Authorization should be granted with respect to Mr. Namoff's ability to review confidential information.

APPROVED

Issue 4: Should this docket be closed?
Recommendation: No. This docket should not be closed.

APPROVED