## BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In Re: Consideration of BellSouth	)
Telecommunications, Inc.'s Entry into	)
InterLATA Services Pursuant to Section	) Docket No. 960786 A
271 of the Federal Telecommunications	)
Act of 1996.	)

## REBUTTAL TESTIMONY

**OF** 

ELINA PADFIELD

ON BEHALF OF XO FLORIDA, INC

JULY 20, 2001



This confidentiality request was filed by or for a "telco" for DN <u>OP 175-O1</u>. No ruling is required unless the material is subject to a request per 119.07, FS, or is admitted in the record per Rule 25-22.006(8)(b), FAC.

(x-ref. 08898-01)

CONFIDENTIAL

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

09775 AUG 10 a

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

1	Q.	PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND BUSINESS ADDRESS.
2	A:	My name is Elina Padfield. My business address is 105 Molloy St., Suite 300,
3		Nashville, Tennessee 37201.
4	Q:	BY WHOM ARE YOU EMPLOYED AND IN WHAT CAPACITY?
5	A:	I am a Senior Manager for XO Communications, Inc. ("XO").
6	Q:	PLEASE DESCRIBE YOUR BACKGROUND AND EXPERIENCE IN
7		THE TELECOMMUNICATIONS INDUSTRY.
8	<b>A</b> :	I have nearly twenty years of experience in the telecommunications industry.
9		I spent fourteen years with MCI Telecommunications, nine of those as a
10		provisioner. I was later promoted to manager of the Provisioning Department.
11		I have been employed with XO for five years, three years as the Regional
12		Provisioning Manager and one and a half years as the manager of
13		Provisioning Support for the Region. I am presently Senior Manager of the
14		South Region Disconnect Center.
15	Q:	WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY?
16	<b>A</b> :	My testimony addresses Checklist Items 2 and 11 and rebuts BellSouth's
17		testimony that it has complied with these two Checklist items. With respect to
18		Checklist Item 2, my testimony demonstrates that BellSouth's performance
19		data is inaccurate and unreliable. My testimony rebuts the testimony of
20		BellSouth witness Cox who states that BellSouth performance data associated
21		with commercial usage shows that BellSouth has complied with the Checklist.
22	15	My testimony also presents XO's concerns about BellSouth's failure to meet
23		its obligation to provide local number portability (Checklist Item 11).

#225963 2

1	BellSouth's Performance Data Is Inaccurate and Unreliable	
2	Q:	IS BELLSOUTH'S SELF-REPORTED DATA ACCURATE AND
3		RELIABLE?
4	A:	No. XO submits local service requests ("LSRs") to BellSouth via the
5		Electronic Data Interchange ("EDP") interface. XO's electronic systems
6		record the number of LSRs submitted by XO to BellSouth and the number of
7 .		responses received from BellSouth, including firm order confirmations
8		("FOCs"). I compared XO's Florida data with BellSouth's self-reported data
9		and found that BellSouth did not include a significant number of transactions
10		in its reports.
11	Q:	WHAT DATA DID YOU COMPARE?
12	A:	I compared XO data on FOCs for orders for local number portability ("LNP")
13		and for orders for unbundled loops with LNP for Florida with BellSouth's
14		self-reported data.
15	Q:	WHAT DID YOU FIND?
16	A:	For May 2001, XO received 106 FOCs for LNP. BellSouth reports data on
17		FOC timeliness for LNP orders in its report "LNP Firm Order Timelines
18		Interval Distribution and Firm Order Confirmation Average Interval." The
19		BellSouth raw data file for this report is contained in the "Ordering: FOC
20		Timeliness (LNP)" file accessible via the PMAP website. BellSouth's file
21		reflects 86 LNP FOCs for May 2001 in Florida - 20 fewer LNP FOCs for May
22		than XO actually received. This is an error rate of nearly 20%.
23	Q:	DID XO FIND ANY OTHER INDICATIONS THAT THE PMAP DATA

1		IS UNRELIABLE?
2	<b>A</b> :	Yes. BellSouth's Parity Analysis and Remedy Information ("PARIS") data
3		for XO provides another illustration of the unreliability of BellSouth's
4		performance data. This data is used to calculate remedy payments ordered by
5		the Georgia Public Service Commission for BellSouth's failure to meet
6		Commission-established performance benchmarks. The purpose of discussing
7		this data here is simply to illustrate the discrepancies in the data BellSouth
8		utilizes. For example, for the month of April 2001, BellSouth's PMAP
9		website showed a total payment due XO of \$6,360. However, XO received a
10		check from BellSouth in June for \$134,179.16 for the April PARIS payment.
11	Q:	WHAT IS THE SIGNIFICANCE OF THIS LARGE DISCREPANCY
12		TO THIS PROCEEDING?
12 13	<b>A</b> :	TO THIS PROCEEDING?  This enormous discrepancy in the BellSouth data strongly suggests that the
	<b>A</b> : <sub>,</sub>	
13	<b>A</b> : <sub>,</sub>	This enormous discrepancy in the BellSouth data strongly suggests that the
13 14	<b>A</b> : <sub>1</sub>	This enormous discrepancy in the BellSouth data strongly suggests that the XO data reported on the PMAP website for April severely overstates
13 14 15	A: Q:	This enormous discrepancy in the BellSouth data strongly suggests that the XO data reported on the PMAP website for April severely overstates  BellSouth's performance. Likewise, other BellSouth self-reported data may
13 14 15 16		This enormous discrepancy in the BellSouth data strongly suggests that the XO data reported on the PMAP website for April severely overstates  BellSouth's performance. Likewise, other BellSouth self-reported data may be similarly overstated.
13 14 15 16 17	Q:	This enormous discrepancy in the BellSouth data strongly suggests that the XO data reported on the PMAP website for April severely overstates  BellSouth's performance. Likewise, other BellSouth self-reported data may be similarly overstated.  HAVE THESE DISCREPANCIES CONTINUED?
13 14 15 16 17	Q:	This enormous discrepancy in the BellSouth data strongly suggests that the XO data reported on the PMAP website for April severely overstates  BellSouth's performance. Likewise, other BellSouth self-reported data may be similarly overstated.  HAVE THESE DISCREPANCIES CONTINUED?  Yes. The PMAP website reported at total of \$480,260 in PARIS payments
13 14 15 16 17 18	Q:	This enormous discrepancy in the BellSouth data strongly suggests that the XO data reported on the PMAP website for April severely overstates  BellSouth's performance. Likewise, other BellSouth self-reported data may be similarly overstated.  HAVE THESE DISCREPANCIES CONTINUED?  Yes. The PMAP website reported at total of \$480,260 in PARIS payments due XO from BellSouth for performance benchmarks missed in May.

23

the basis for them, despite the huge discrepancies illustrated above.

1	Q:	DOES XO HAVE CONFIDENCE IN THE PMAP DATA?
2	A:	No. For the reasons described above, XO does not have confidence in
3		BellSouth's PMAP data. This data is not accurate and is not a reliable
4		indicator of BellSouth's performance.
5	Num	ber Portability (Checklist Item 11)
6	Q:	IS BELLSOUTH MEETING ITS OBLIGATIONS UNDER
7		CHECKLIST ITEM 11?
8	A:	No. While BellSouth's Ms. Cox and Mr. Milner claim that BellSouth meets
9		this item, BellSouth's self-reported data demonstrate that BellSouth has not
10		complied with its obligations regarding number portability. The LNP-
11		Average Disconnect Timeliness Interval measures the time BellSouth takes to
12		disconnect its service after a customer has been ported to a ALEC. If a LNP
13		disconnect does not happened in a timely manner, the customer will not be
14		able to receive calls originating from BellSouth customers in the same central
15		office serving area. When BellSouth does handle a LNP disconnect properly,
16		the ALEC customer generally regards this as a problem caused by the ALEC.
17		The opposite is true. Nevertheless, the LNP disconnect problem can cause the
18		ALEC's new customer to lose confidence in the ALEC.
19	Q:	WHAT IS THE CURRENT BENCHMARK FOR THIS METRIC?
20	A:	The current benchmark for LNP-Disconnect Timeliness ordered by the
21		Commission is fifteen minutes.
22	Q:	WHAT DOES THE MAY FLORIDA DATA SHOW FOR XO?
23	A:	The May LNP-Average Disconnect Timeliness data for XO Communications

#225963 5

1		for Florida reported on BellSouth's PMAP website shows that BellSouth met
2		the benchmark for this measure only 3.72% of the time. BellSouth fell short
3		of this important measure nearly 97% of the time for the month of May in
4		Florida. Of a total of 430 transactions, only 16 were processed in a timely
5		manner.
6	Q:	WHY IS THIS IMPORTANT?
7	A:	Even accepting BellSouth's self-reported data as accurate (which XO does
8		not), this data demonstrates that XO is not meeting its obligations to provide
9		number portability.
10	Q:	DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY?
11	A:	Yes.
12		

## CONFIDENTIAL

#225963 6

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing Rebuttal Testimony of Elina Padfield on Behalf of XO Florida, Inc. has been furnished by (\*) hand delivery or by U. S. Mail on this 20<sup>th</sup> day of July, 2001, to the following:

(\*)Beth Keating Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, Florida 32399

Jeremy Marcus
Blumenfeld & Cohen
1625 Massachusetts Avenue, NW
Suite 300
Washington DC 20036

Nancy B. White c/o Nancy Sims BellSouth Telecommunications, inc. 150 South Monroe Street Suite 400 Miami Florida 32301

James Falvey
e.spire Communications
131 National Business Parkway
Suite 100
Annapolis Junction, MD 20701

Michael Gross
Florida Cable Telecommunications
Association
246 E. 6th Avenue
Tallahassee, Florida 32303

Kim Caswell GTE Post Office Box 110 FLTC0007 Tampa, Florida 33601 Richard Melson Post Office Box 6526 Tallahassee, Florida 32314

Scott Sapperstein Intermedia One Intermedia Way MC FLT-HQ3 Tampa, Florida 33619-1309

Donna McNulty 325 John Knox Road Suite 105 Tallahassee, Florida 32303

Floyd Self/Norman Horton Messer Law Firm Post Office Box 1876 Tallahassee, Florida 32302

Pete Dunbar/Karen Camechis Pennington Law Firm Post Office Box10095 Tallahassee, Florida 32301

Susan S. Masterton
Sprint
Post Office Box 2214
MC: FLTLH00107
Tallahassee, Florida 32316-2214

Ken Hoffman Rutledge Law Firm Post Office Box 551 Tallahassee, Florida 32302-0551 Andrew Isar Ascent 3220 Uddenberg Lane, Suite 4 Gig Harbor, WA 98335

Matthew Feil Florida Digital Network, Inc. 390 North Orange Avenue Suite 2000 Orlando, Florida 32801

Angela Green, General Counsel Florida Public Telecommunications Assoc 125 S. Gadsden Street Suite 200 Tallahassee, Florida 32301-1525

Patrick Wiggins
Katz, Kutter Law Firm
12th Floor
106 East College Avenue
Tallahassee, Florida 32301

John Marks, III Knowles Law Firm 215 S. Monroe Street Suite 130 Tallahassee, Florida 32301

Scheffel Wright Landers Law Firm Post Office Box 271 Tallahassee, Florida 32302 Office of Public Counsel c/o The Florida Legislature 111 W. Madison Street Suite 812 Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1400

Rodney L. Joyce 600 14th Street, N.W. Suite 800 Washington DC 20005-2004

John Kerkorian MPower 5607 Glenridge Drive, Suite 300 Atlanta, GA 30342

CWA (Orl) Kenneth Ruth 2180 West State Road 434 Longwood, FL 32779

ITC^ DeltaCom Nanette S. Edwards 4092 South Memorial Parkway Huntsville, AL 35802-4343

Network Access Solutions Corporation 100 Carpenter Drive, Suite 206 Sterling, VA 20164

Swidler & Berlin Richard Rindler/Michael Sloan 3000 K. St. NW #300 Washington, DC 20007-5116 Jim Lamoureux AT&T Communications, Inc. 1200 Peachtree Street, NE Room 8068 Atlanta, GA 30309

Vicki Gordon Kaufman

McWhirter, Reeves, McGlothlin, Davidson, Decker, Kaufman, Arnold & Steen, P.A.

117 South Gadsden Street

Tallahassee, Florida 32301

(850) 222-2525 (telephone)

(850) 222-5606 (fax)

Henry C. Campen, Jr. Parker, Poe, Adams & Bernstein First Union Capital Center 150 Fayetteville Street Mail, S-1400 Post Office Box 389 Raleigh, NC 27602 (919) 890-4145 (telephone) (919) 834-4564 (fax)

Dana Shaffer XO Communications, Inc. 105 Molloy Street, Suite 300 Nashville TN 37201-2315

Attorneys for XO Florida, Inc.