

West Florida Electric Cooperative Association, Inc. P.O. Box 127 Graceville, FL 32440-0127 (850) 263-3231 Toll Free Florida: 1-800-342-7400 Web Address: www.wfcca.net

RIGINI

P.O. Box 37 Bonifay, FL 32425-0037 (850) 547-9325

P.O. Box 1100 Sneads, FL 32460-1100 (850) 593-6491

A Touchstone EnergySM Partner

August 22, 2001

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

Blanca S. Bayo, Director Division of Records and Reporting Florida Public Service Commission Capital Circle Office Center 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850 VIA HAND DELIVERY

> RE: In Re: Territorial Dispute Between West Florida Electric Cooperative Association, Inc. and Gulf Power Company in Washington County, Florida Docket No.: 010441-EU

Dear Ms. Bayo:

I am enclosing herewith the originals and fifteen (15) copies of the Rebuttal Testimony of Jeff Parish, Joseph E. Perry, III, P.E., Mark A. Cicchetti, Michael K. Moore, P.E. and Russell L. Dunaway filed on behalf of West Florida Electric Cooperative Association, Inc.

I am also enclosing a copy of this letter as an acknowledgement copy and would appreciate it if you would file stamp it and return it to me in the enclosed self-addressed/stamped envelope as an acknowledgement of the date the above Rebuttals were filed. Please call me if you have any questions regarding this matter.

Very truly yours,

Li I Chil

PSC-BUREAU OF RECORDS

Gary F. Clark

10407-01 thru 10411-01

GFC/daj Enclosures

CC:

John H. Haswell, Esquire Attorney for West Florida Electric Cooperative Association, Inc. Frank E. Bondurant, Esquire Attorney for West Florida Electric Cooperative Association, Inc. William S. Rimes, Executive Vice President and CEO West Florida Electric Cooperative Association, Inc. Jeffrey A. Stone, Esquire Attorney for Gulf Power Company Russell A. Badders, Esquire Attorney for Gulf Power Company

The power of human connections

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In Re: Territorial Dispute Between West Florida Electric Cooperative Association, Inc. and Gulf Power Company in Washington County, Florida.

Docket No.: 010441-EU

Date Filed: August ____, 2001

٠,

REBUTTAL

OF

MICHAEL K. MOORE, P.E.

FOR

WEST FLORIDA ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE ASSOCIATION, INC.

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

1		West Florida Electric Cooperative Association, Inc.
2		Before the Florida Public Service Commission
3		Prepared Rebuttal Testimony of
4		Michael K. Moore, P.E.
5		Docket No. 010441-EU
6		Date of Filing: August 22, 2001
7		
8	Q.	Please state your name and business address.
9	Α.	My name is Michael K. Moore. My business address is 5555
10		North Grand Blvd., Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73112-5507.
11	Q.	By whom are you employed?
12	Α.	I am employed by C. H. Guernsey & Company, Engineers,
13		Architects, and Consultants, Oklahoma City, Oklahoma.
14	Q.	What is your position with the firm and what are your general
15		areas of responsibility?
16	Α.	I am Executive Vice President and Manager of the Analytical
17		Services Group of the Energy Division. I am involved in the
18		administration and overall operation of the firm. I direct the firm's
19		activities in the area of analytical, technical, and economic studies
20		for utility, municipal, industrial and governmental clients. I also
21		manage a number of the firm's projects in such areas as contract
22		negotiations, electric utility planning studies, power supply studies,
23		rate analysis, and engineering economic analysis.
24	Q.	Please summarize your educational and professional background.
25	Α.	Exhibit (MKM-1) is a resume summarizing my

.

Docket No. 010441-EU 1 Witness: Michael K. Moore

1 educational and professional background.

2 Q. Have you previously testified in regulatory proceedings?

A. Yes. I have previously testified before state commissions in
Texas, Oklahoma, Louisiana, Maryland, and Wyoming, and before
the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission.

6 Q. On whose behalf are you appearing in this proceeding?

A. I am appearing on behalf of West Florida Electric Cooperative
Association, Inc. ("West Florida"). West Florida is an electric
distribution cooperative headquartered in Graceville, Florida.
West Florida currently provides electric service in Washington
County, including service to Florida Gas Transmission's ("FGT's")
Station 13 and to the disputed area referred to, as a four-mile
radius around station 13-A.

14 Q. What is the purpose of your rebuttal testimony?

A. The purpose of my rebuttal testimony is to respond to the
suggestion by Gulf Power Company's ("GPC's") witness Ted
Spangenberg, Jr. that only GPC is capable of serving two large
electric motors without creating an uneconomic duplication of
facilities.

20 Q. Please briefly describe the nature of the dispute between West21 Florida and GPC.

A. GPC and Enron Compression Services ("ECS") have executed an
agreement under which GPC is to provide electric service to two
large electric motors to be installed by FGT and leased to ECS.
West Florida disputes GPC's right to provide electric service to the

1

two electric motors, or to any other site in the disputed area.

2 Q. Please explain how West Florida obtains power to serve its3 customers.

4 Α West Florida purchases all of its power requirements from 5 Alabama Electric Cooperative, Inc. ("AEC"). AEC is an electric 6 generation and transmission cooperative that provides wholesale 7 power to a number of electric distribution cooperatives, including 8 West Florida. AEC also provides transmission service to deliver 9 all of West Florida's power requirements to its delivery points. 10 AEC provides that transmission service through a combination of 11 transmission facilities that it owns and transmission service 12 arrangements with other transmission providers, including service 13 obtained pursuant to the Southern Companies' Open Access 14 Transmission Tariff ("OATT"). In accordance with the OATT, AEC is entitled to receive transmission service over the transmission 15 16 facilities of GPC.

17 Q. Does West Florida own any transmission facilities?

A. No. The relationship between West Florida and AEC is such that
 AEC assumes responsibility for all transmission arrangements,
 including construction of any transmission facilities that may be
 required to provide service to West Florida's delivery points. Such
 a relationship is typical between electric generation and
 transmission cooperatives (such as AEC) and the electric
 distribution cooperatives (such as West Florida) that they serve.

25 Q. Are the existing facilities of West Florida and AEC adequate to

Docket No. 010441-EU 3 Witness: Michael K. Moore

1 serve the two motors?

A. Apparently not. GPC witness M. W. Howell states at page 3, lines
13-17 of his direct testimony that "Gulf analyzed the electric
transmission system in the area and concluded that the only way
that service could be provided to this load in accordance with the
customer's requirements was to use Gulf's Smith - Shoal River
230 kV transmission line as a source." (emphasis in original).

8 Q. Can West Florida and AEC construct facilities necessary to serve9 the two motors?

10 Α. Yes. West Florida and AEC could construct the same 11 transmission and substation facilities that GPC proposes to 12 construct in order to provide service to the two motors. AEC could 13 tap GPC's Smith - Shoal River 230 kV transmission line (as GPC 14 proposes to do), and construct a new 230 kV transmission line 15 from the tap to Station 13-A (as GPC proposes to do). West 16 Florida could construct a 230 kV substation (as GPC proposes to 17 do) to provide distribution voltage service to the two motors (as 18 GPC proposes to do).

19 Q. Previously, you stated that AEC obtains transmission service
20 under Southern Companies' OATT. Does that service entitle AEC
21 to tap GPC's 230 kV transmission line?

A. Yes. That fact was confirmed by GPC in its response to
Interrogatory No. 11 of West Florida's First Set of Interrogatories,
wherein GPC stated that there were no "objections, legal
constraints, or reasons" why AEC could not tap into GPC's 230 kV

Docket No. 010441-EU 4 Witness: Michael K. Moore

1 transmission line. See my Exhibit _____ (MKM-2).

Q. If the Commission determines that West Florida is entitled to serve
the new load, is it necessary for West Florida and AEC to
construct the facilities necessary to serve the two motors?

5 No. Instead, West Florida and AEC can use the facilities being Α. 6 installed by GPC. Such use could consist of a combination of transmission service and/or purchase of facilities from GPC. The 7 transmission service would be obtained by AEC under the 8 9 Southern Companies' OATT. In response to Interrogatory No. 12 of West Florida's First Set of Interrogatories, GPC stated that it 10 11 would be willing to sell the 230 kV transmission line tap and associated facilities that it plans to construct to serve the two 12 13 motors if GPC does not serve the load. See my Exhibit_ (MKM-3). The parties would have to decide which of the facilities 14 being installed by GPC would be purchased, and which entity 15 16 would make the purchase. At this time, West Florida would expect that any transmission facilities to be purchased would be 17 purchased by AEC, and that any distribution facilities, including 18 the substation, to be purchased would be purchased by West 19 20 Florida.

Q. If West Florida and AEC use the 230 kV transmission tap line and
associated facilities being installed by GPC to serve the two
motors, would there be any uneconomic duplication of facilities?
A. No. That fact was confirmed by Mr. Spangenberg at lines 15-24
of page 14 of his direct testimony. There, Mr. Spangenberg stated

Docket No. 010441-EU 5 Witness: Michael K. Moore

1		that the facilities that GPC plans to construct to serve the two
2		motors will not result in any duplication of facilities. That is true
3		whether the use of the GPC facilities is through transmission
4		service or a purchase of facilities.
5	Q.	Does that conclude your rebuttal testimony?
6	Α.	Yes.
7		
8		
9	TO	BE SWORN TO AT HEARING
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

•

Florida Public Service Commission Docket No. 010441-EU

AFFIDAVIT

<u>*</u>

STATE OF OKLAHOMA

COUNTY OF OKLAHOMA

.

BEFORE ME, the undersigned authority personally appeared MICHAEL K. MOORE, P.E., who being by me first duly sworn and who is personally known to me, deposed and says that the foregoing Rebuttal Testimony and Exhibits, if any, are true and correct to the best of his information, knowledge and belief.

Michael K. Moore, P.E.

Sworn to and subscribed before me this 2/34 day of August, 2001.

Hat Burnett

Print Name: Par Burnett Commission Number: 98019533 Commission Expiration Date: 70-05-02

Exhibit ____ (MKM-1)

(A composite of 2 pages)

MKM __ 1 MICHAEL K. MOORE, P.E. EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT MANAGER, ANALYTICAL SERVICES

REGISTRATIONS

Registered Professional Engineer: Oklahoma No. 14829

EDUCATION

â

BEE (Highest Honor, Cooperative Plan), Georgia Institute of Technology, 1979

EXPERIENCE RECORD

1993 - Present C. H. Guernsey & Company, Engineers • Architects • Consultants; 5555 North Grand Boulevard, Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73112-5507.

2000 - Present, Director, Executive Vice President and Assistant Secretary; Manager of Analytical Services Group, Energy Division.

1998 to 2000, Director, Senior Vice President and Secretary-Treasurer; Manager of Analytical Services Group, Energy Division.

1997 to 1998; Director, Senior Vice President; Manager of Analytical Services Group, Energy Division.

Mr. Moore specializes in the technical and economic evaluation of numerous areas related to power system planning and operation, including cogeneration, power supply planning, transmission planning, contract negotiations, reliability analysis, system modeling and simulation studies, and valuation studies. Mr. Moore has presented expert testimony in numerous proceedings before state and federal regulatory commissions on issues related to transmission planning, transmission service, cost allocation studies, rate design, avoided cost analysis, and load management. He has also testified as an expert witness in civil proceedings, and has prepared comments to rulemaking proposals of regulatory agencies.

ŝ

- **1982 1991** C. H. Guernsey & Company, Member and Manager of Power Systems Analysis Department.
- **1991 1993 Rayburn Country Electric Cooperative, Inc.**, Rockwall, Texas. Director of Power Supply. Primary responsibilities involved evaluation, negotiation, implementation and administration of power supply and transmission arrangements for an electric generation and transmission cooperative. Also responsible for wholesale metering and billing, various regulatory activities, negotiation and administration of facilities lease arrangements, and coordinating technical activities with members.

MICHAEL K. MOORE, P.E. EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT MANAGER, ANALYTICAL SERVICES

Page 2 of 2

1979 - 1982 South Mississippi Electric Power Association, Hattiesburg, Miss. System Planning Engineer. Primary responsibilities involved transmission system planning, generation planning, load forecasting, collection and analysis of substation metering data, revenue and sales estimates for budget, design of wholesale electric rates, and preliminary work on load management. Considerable experience in performing load flow studies and developing computer software for special applications.

PAPERS AND PRESENTATIONS

- "The Texas Senate Interim Committee on Electric Utility Restructuring." Texas Senate Select Committee, Grapevine, Texas; March 25, 1998.
- "The Purpose of a Valuation Study." NRECA Valuation Studies, Lincoln, Nebraska; September 1996.
- "Waiver Applications for "Small" Public and Non-Public Utilities How Might The Standards for Exemptions be Set?" Panelist. NRECA Forum '96 - Complying with FERC Order Nos. 888 & 889, Arlington, Virginia; August 8, 1996.
- "Public/Competitive Power Pooling." 1996 Power Pooling Meeting, Irving, Texas; July 17, 1996.
- "Stakeholders Dialogue and Collaborative Wyoming Rural Electric Cooperatives," presented for conference sponsored by Wyoming Public Service Commission in Casper, Wyoming; May 14, 1996.
- "Power System Planning and Operation," presented to Philippines Energy Regulatory Board; Manila, Philippines; December 18-20, 1995.
- "System Valuation Studies," presented for NRECA's Advanced Management Training; Lincoln, Nebraska; September 13, 1995.
- "Reducing Oilfield Electrical Costs Through Efficient Operations." TEC/North Texas Oil & Gas Workshop, Wichita Falls, Texas; August 24, 1995.
- "Rate Design and Financial Strategy Issues for Cooperatives," presented for South Dakota Rural Electric Office Managers and Accountants Association; Huron, S. Dak.; April 27, 1995.
- "Rates & Equity Structuring For Survival." NRECA Annual Meeting; March 1, 1995.
- 3
- "Transmission Access Revolution," presented for NRECA's 1994 EXPO; New Orleans, Louisiana; February 5, 1994.

West Florid: Exhibit (MKM-2) First Set of I Docket No. GULF POWER CONFAINT July 2, 2001 Item No. 11 Page 1 of 1

 Please state in detail any objection, legal constraints, or reasons as to why WFEC or Alabama Electric Cooperative, Inc. ("AEC") could not tap into GPC's 230 kV transmission line in Washington County under Southern Company's currently effective Open Access Transmission Tariff ("OATT") on file at FERC.

ANSWER:

\$

None, provided that the activities of AEC or WFEC would comply with the provisions of the OATT. The OATT is not a Southern Company tariff as inferred in the Interrogatory. It is a tariff administered by Southern Company Services ("SCS") as agent for Gulf and its sister operating companies..

West Florida E First Set of Int Docket No. 01 GULF POWE. July 2, 2001 Item No. 12 Page 1 of 1

12. State whether and under what conditions GPC is willing to sell the 230 kV transmission line tap and associated facilities constructed or to be constructed to provide service to the disputed area ("Station 13A as identified by ECS") to AEC or WFEC?

ANSWER:

3

At this time, Gulf Power Company would be willing to sell the facilities that it currently has planned for construction to serve ECS at Station 13-A only if the Florida Public Service Commission prohibits Gulf from serving ECS. In that case, Gulf would sell its facilities to WFEC or AEC at a price that would fully compensate Gulf for its investment costs, including any applicable overheads, fees, taxes, or other charges associated with this installation.