ATTACHMENT B

BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. FPSC Docket No. 010740-TP Request for Confidential Classification Page 1 9/10/01

REQUEST FOR CONFIDENTIAL CLASSIFICATION OF DIRECT TESTIMONY AND EXHIBIT OF KEN L. AINSWORTH AS FILED ON AUGUST 20, 2001 IN FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION DOCKET 010740-TP

.

.

Two Redacted Copies for Public Disclosure

APP _____ CAF _____ COM _____ CTR _____ ECR _____ LEGG _____ PAI ____ RGO _____ SEC ____ SER OTH CDEL PS

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

•

1		BELLSOUTH TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC.
2		TESTIMONY OF KEN L. AINSWORTH
3		BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
4		DOCKET NO. 010740-TP
5		AUGUST 20, 2001
6		
7		
8	Q.	STATE YOUR NAME, YOUR BUSINESS ADDRESS, AND YOUR
9		POSITION WITH BELLSOUTH TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC.
10		("BELLSOUTH").
11		
12	A.	My name is Ken L. Ainsworth. My business address is 675 W. Peachtree
13		Street, Atlanta, Georgia 30305. I am a Director - Interconnection Operations
14		for BellSouth. I have served in my present position since December 1997.
15		
16	Q.	HAVE YOU TESTIFIED PREVIOUSLY?
17		
18	A.	Yes. I have previously testified before state regulatory commissions
19		concerning BellSouth's 271 applications.
20		
21	Q.	WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY?
22		
23	A.	The purpose of my testimony is to address certain allegations in the complaint
24		against BellSouth made by IDS before this Commission.
25		

-1-

1	Q.	IN HER TESTIMONY IN THIS PROCEEDING, BELLSOUTH'S WITNESS
2		SANDRA HARRIS DISCUSSED PROCESS BELLSOUTH USES TO
3		CONVERT RETAIL OR RESALE SERVICE TO UNE-P SERVICE.
4		PLEASE BRIEFLY DESCRIBE THAT PROCESS?
5		
6	A.	The BellSouth Unbundled Network Element-Platform ("UNE-P") project team
7		developed a process for the conversion of service from BellSouth as a retail
8		service or from the service provided by an Alternative Local Exchange Carrier
9		("ALEC") pursuant to resale provisions to service provided by an ALEC
10		pursuant to UNE-P provisions. The UNE-P product team developed the
11		process described in Ms. Harris' testimony to facilitate such a conversion. The
12		UNE-P project team, led by Ms. Harris proved the best conversion process
13		available. The project team made its decisions with a conscious effort to
14		ensure efficient and effective manual and electronic handling of this conversion
15		and thus avoid end user outages.
16		
17	Q	HAS BELLSOUTH PERFORMED ANY ANALYSIS THAT SUPPORTS
18		THE EFFECTIVENESS OF THAT PROCESS?
19		
20	А	Yes. BellSouth has been conducting internal maintenance analysis on UNE-P
21		conversions for all ALECs since June 22, 2001. Between June 22 and July 17,
22		2001, BellSouth processed 34,601 UNE-P conversion orders. Out of these,
23		only 77 (or 0.22%) resulted in disruption of service (that is, the customer had
24		no dial tone) because of the conversion. In other words, BellSouth performed
25		99.78% of these UNE-P conversions without a conversion-related service

1		disruption. Between July 7 and July 18, 2001, BellSouth incorporated
2		additional service order edits to further improve manual processing. As a
3		result, for the period between July 18 and August 6, 2001, out of 34,063 UNE-
4		P orders, only 57 (or 0.17%) experienced a no dial tone disruption of service.
5		In other words, BellSouth performed 99.83% of UNE-P conversions without
6		conversion-related no dial tone service disruption.
7		
8	Q.	ARE YOU FAMILIAR WITH SERVICE ISSUES RELATED TO THE IDS
9		BULK ORDERING UNE-P CONVERSION IN MAY OF 2000?
10		
11	A.	Yes. During May 2000, some IDS customers that were converted from resale
12		to UNE-P using BellSouth's Local Exchange Navigation System ("LENS")
13		Bulk-Ordering process experienced problems with their "hunting" feature. The
14		problem was that the hunting feature was not being applied to the converted
15		account. Said another way, the customer had the hunting feature on its lines
16		before the conversion but did not have the hunting feature after the conversion.
17		
18	Q.	DID ALL OF IDS' CUSTOMERS INVOLVED IN THE MAY 2000 BULK
19		ORDERING CONVERSION PROCESS EXPERIENCE A LOSS OF DIAL
20		TONE?
21		
22	A.	No. There were very few instances of conversion-related loss of dial tone
23		during the bulk ordering process. Only (4) four such instances could be
24		verified through BellSouth's analysis of its trouble resolution logs kept during

25

•

-3-

this period. This reflects a very low incidence of loss of dial tone during the
 conversion.

3

4 Q. HOW DID BELLSOUTH RESPOND TO THE HUNTING PROBLEMS
5 THAT RESULTED FROM THE MAY 2000 BULK ORDER
6 CONVERSION?

7

8 Α. When the problem was initially identified, BellSouth's Operations Assistant Vice President over the Local Carrier Service Center (LCSC) acted as the 9 10 point of contact to resolve any customer issued identified by IDS. Each end 11 user customer account that had been converted was analyzed to determine if 12 the hunting feature had inadvertently been dropped. Next, BellSouth set up a 13 hotline in its Customer Wholesale Interconnection Services ("CWINS") center 14 for IDS to call to report these problems and expedite resolution. This hotline 15 was staffed seven days a week, twenty-four hours a day until all of the 16 problems were resolved. BellSouth also proactively reviewed all converted 17 accounts to assure the existing account translations matched the IDS 18 conversion request. In cases where the hunting feature had inadvertently been 19 dropped (whether determined by BellSouth's proactive work or in response to 20 a call from IDS), BellSouth corrected the situation immediately. Additionally 21 BellSouth turned off the Bulk-Ordering processor to prevent any further 22 occurrences until the bulk ordering problem could be analyzed and resolved. 23 To summarize, BellSouth implemented extra ordinary measures to resolve the 24 situation, expedite any needed repairs, and BellSouth maintained that focus 25 until all of IDS' problems were resolved.

.

1	Q.	IF AN END USER EXPERIENCES PROBLEMS TODAY WHEN
2		CONVERTING FROM BELLSOUTH TO AN ALEC PROVIDING UNE-P
3		SERVICE, HOW SHOULD THAT END USER OBTAIN ASSISTANCE?
4		
5	A.	The end user should contact the ALEC providing service. The ALEC, in turn,
6		should call the Maintenance Group in BellSouth's CWINS Center. The
7		CWINS Center responds by analyzing the problem and determining what
8		action must be taken to resolve the problem. BellSouth provides resources and
9		processes that can be utilized by IDS, or any other ALEC, in such a
10		circumstance.
11		
12	Q	ARE YOU AWARE OF ANY END USERS AFFECTED BY THE BULK
13		ORDERING PROCESS WHO MAY HAVE RETURNED TO BELLSOUTH?
14		
15	A.	Not directly, but I have reviewed a report that indicates that IDS issued some
16		disconnect orders during the May 2000 period. IDS used one of three
17		disconnect reason codes on these orders. One of these reason codes was "CB".
18		The CB code is used when BellSouth disconnects a UNE provided to an ALEC
19		and then BellSouth provides service to the end user whose service was
20		provided over that UNE. The second reason code IDS used in its orders was
21		"RB" which means an end user to whom IDS had provided service under the
22		resale provisions would now be provided service by BellSouth. The third code
23		IDS used in its orders was "SE" which means the end user was converted in
24		error.
25		

-5-

1	Q.	PLEASE PROVIDE BELLSOUTH'S DISCONNECT REASON CODE
2		STATISTICS FOR IDS FOR MAY?
3		
4	A.	For May 2000, IDS issued disconnect orders to BellSouth. Of these,
5		orders carried the CB reason code, carried the RB reason code and
6		carried the SE reason code.
7		
8	Q.	ARE YOU INVOLVED WITH BELLSOUTH'S "WINBACK"
9		INITIATIVES?
10		
11	A.	No.
12		
13	Q.	COULD THE DISCONNECT REASON DATA PREVIOUSLY DISCUSSED
14		BE USED TO IDENTIFY IDS CUSTOMERS RETURNING TO
15		BELLSOUTH VIA A DISCONNECT PROCESS?
16		
17	A.	Yes, this data can be requested specific to IDS by month to validate IDS
18		disconnects for customers returning to BellSouth. All customers identified
19		with the CB, RB and SE codes would have been existing IDS end user
20		accounts. Exhibit KLA-1 is attached to my testimony and shows the quantity of
21		end users who left IDS from January 2000 to August 2001. The most
22		significant conclusion to be reached from a review of this information is that
23		each and every one of these end users had to be disconnected from IDS before
24		returning to BellSouth.
25		

-6-

1	Q.	DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY?
2		
3	A.	Yes.
4		
5		
6	407046	
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

•

PUBLIC DISCLOSURE DOCUMENT

BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. FPSC Docket No. 010740-TP Ken Ainsworth Exhibit KLA-1 August 20, 2001 Page 1 of 1

IDS SERVICE ORDERS