#### VOTE SHEET

#### SEPTEMBER 18, 2001

RE: Docket No. 010089-TP - Complaint of Charlene Hoag against Verizon Florida Inc. and Sprint Communications Company, Limited Partnership d/b/a Sprint for alleged improper billing.

ISSUE 1: Should the request for oral argument by Ms. Charlene Hoag be granted? RECOMMENDATION: No. Ms. Hoag has not stated why oral argument would aid

the Commission in comprehending and evaluating the issue before it.

# DEFERRED

COMMISSIONERS ASSIGNED: Full Commission

### COMMISSIONERS' SIGNATURES

MAJORITY

DISSENTING

**REMARKS/DISSENTING COMMENTS:** 

DOCUMENT NUMBER -DATE

## 11609 SEP 18 a

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK.

----

PSC/RAR33 (5/90)

VOTE SHEET SEPTEMBER 18, 2001 Docket No. 010089-TP - Complaint of Charlene Hoag against Verizon Florida Inc. and Sprint Communications Company, Limited Partnership d/b/a Sprint for alleged improper billing.

(Continued from previous page)

<u>ISSUE 2</u>: Should the Motion for Reconsideration filed by Ms. Charlene Hoag be granted? <u>RECOMMENDATION</u>: No. Neither Ms. Hoag's March 12 letter nor the subsequent letters identify any point of fact or law which was overlooked or the Commission failed to consider in rendering its Order.

<u>ISSUE 3</u>: Should this docket be closed? <u>RECOMMENDATION</u>: Yes. If the Commission approves staff's recommendation in Issue 2, no further action is required and this docket should be closed.

4 vi