


THEREUPON:

## BRADFORD HAMILTON,

 was called as a witness on behalf of BELLSOUTH, and having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:DIRECT EXAMINATION
BY MR. MEZA:
Q. Good morning. Good afternoon.
A. Good afternoon.
Q. My name is Jim Meza. I represent

BellSouth. We're here to take your deposition today.

Sir, what is your full name?
A. Bradford Hamilton.
Q. What's your home address?
Q. What is your social security number?
A.

MR. MILLER: Speak slowly enough so
the court reporter can take down what you say.

THE WITNESS: Sorry. Every time you call your credit card company they ask for that, so I'm used to it.

BY MR. MEZA:
Q. Where are you from?
A. Saint Paul, Minnesota.
Q. Have you ever been deposed before?
A. To where?
Q. Have you ever been deposed before?
A. Yes, I have.
Q. When?
A. I believe it was in the spring of '98.
Q. What type of proceeding?
A. It was a PSE hearing.
Q. What hearing was that?
A. It was a complaint that the company I worked for had made against Bellsouth.
Q. What company were you working for?
${ }^{\text {A }}$ Supra Telecom.
1 Q. So do you know the rules of the game int this deposition?
A. Yes. Not to mention any customer proprietary informatior.
Q. Well, what I was referring to was when you are providing responses to my questions, I ask that you give me a verbal response so that the court reporter can pick it up.

If at any time you don"t understand my
question, or it's unclear, please ask me to try again or to characterize it a different way and I will be glad to do that.

If at any time you need a break, let me know and I will be sure to accommodate you.
A. Okay.
Q. All right. Give me a summary of your educational background, starting after high school.
A. I started at the University of Minnesota in '86. Then I transferred to Chicago and I went to DePaul University and continued my education.

I transferred to Florida and finished my degree at Nova Southeastern University. I started a Master's program, a graduate program, however I didn't complete that program.
Q. All right. Let's go over some years there.
A. Okay.
Q. What was the first school you went to?
A. University of Minnesota.
Q. What years?
A. From '86 to '88.
Q. Do you have a major?
A. I majored in psychology.
Q. What school did you go to after that?
A. I went to DePaul University in Chicago.
Q. DePaul?
A. DePaul.
Q. For what years?
A. From '88 to '90.
Q. Did you obtain a degree?
A. I was still continuing my education.
Q. Okay.
A. I obtained my degree in Florida.
Q. I don't know if you had an Associate degree or something?
A. No. I didn't, no.
Q. Again, was your major in psychology?
A. Yes.
Q. Then 1990, why did you leave the University of Minnesota?
A. I worked for AT\&T. They closed the office and I transferred to Chicago. Then they closed that office and I transferred to Florida.
Q. What years did you attend Nova Southeastern?
A. From '90 to '92. I graduated in '92.
Q. In psychology?
A. Yes. A Bachelor's in psychology.
Q. And then you went to some graduate courses there as well?
A. I started a graduate program in alternative dispute resolution.
Q. Is that mediation?
A. Mediation, right.
Q. You haven't finished it?
A. No. I lost interest.
Q. It says here you have two courses to complete?
A. Yes, that's right.
Q. When was the last -- when did you take the last course?
A. I can't remember exactly, but it may have been '95, '96.
Q. Okay. All during your college education you were working at AT\&T?
A. Yes.
Q. What responsibilities or specific jobs did you have at AT\&T?
A. Do you want me to go through all the jobs from 1978?
Q. Yes.
A. Okay. I started in 1978, worked in TSBS, which is a customer contact job. Then I
worked for about nine months in the building department, architectural department.

And then AT\&T was broken up in '84, so I elected -- they asked me did I want to stay with Northwestern Bell or go with AT\&T. I selected to go with AT\&T.

Starting in '85, we started to have massive downsizing and layoffs. I started college because I figured I wouldn't have a job much longer, so that's why I started going to college.

Then when I came to Chicago I worked in customer interface again, and then $I$ worked in the public phone market. For a while I worked in that.
Q. When were you a service rep?
A. I was a service rep at AT\&T in Florida from '93.
Q. From '93?
A. From '93 to '96. Well, maybe' 95.
Q. To '95?
A. Yes. Because I became management around that time.
Q. What were your responsibilities as a service rep?
A. Well, I had a set of customers, about

500 AT\&T long distance customers, that belonged to me. My responsibility was to keep them on AT\&T and up-sell them to more AT\&T services.
Q. Were these residential or business
customers?
A. No. Strictly small business.
Q. So I guess the service rep term is different for AT\&T than BellSouth?
A. Actually, I was called a business account consultant.
Q. You're not the person answering the phone when you have a question?
A. No. I had specific customers I dealt with.
Q. Did you ever provision any orders?
A. Yes. I did my own orders.

MR. MILLER: Wait for his question
before you answer.
THE WITNESS: I'm sorry.
MR. MILLER: I also ask you to
speak slowly so the court reporter can
take down what you're saying.
THE WITNESS: I'm sorry.
BY MR. MEZA:
Q. What did you do after you were a service
rep, for lack of better word?
A. I was promoted to a position of sales support manager.
Q. Okay.
A. My title exactly was business account manager, I believe.
Q. Okay. What were your specific job duties?
A. There were seven service reps that I supported. If there was a case where a customer needed a premise visit, I would actually go out to that premise and gather what information was needed.
Q. What years were you a sales support manager?
A. Must have been from '95 to '96.
Q. Okay. What did you do after that?
A. That job was eliminated and I was given an outside sales position.
Q. When were you a telecommunications consultant?
A. That's what my title was at AT\&T, business account consultant.
Q. What years?
A. That was '93 through '97, I would say.
Q. Okay. Were you ever purely just a service rep?
A. No.
Q. Because I'm looking at your resume--
A. Right.
Q. -- which you attached to your direct. I think it's Exhibit BH-1. Do you have it with you?
A. No.
Q. Let me show you a copy and you can just clear things up for me.

MR. MILLER: I don't have any
exhibits attached to this.
THE WITNESS: Can I just see?
MR. MEZA: This is his resume.
BY MR. MEZA:
Q. You see how it has --
A. Oh, yeah. Okay. The service rep, I did that. We didn't call it exactly that, but that's what it would be called in the industry.
Q. Okay. But is that different than telecommunications consultant?
A. I actually did both.
Q. So they are different jobs?
A. They are different jobs, but I did both of them.
Q. How do they differ?
A. Well, one was more customer interfaced and the other was simply provisioning. What happened there is they merged the two positions together.
Q. Customer interface, what does that mean?
A. I actually called customers, or they called me and dealt directly with me.
Q. Provisioning?
A. Strictly sitting, processing orders.
Q. When you combine both, you were processing your customers' orders?
A. I was doing both of them.
Q. And from '93 to '97, you were either a service representative or telecommunications consultant?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. When were you a business account executive?
A. That was the position after that job.
Q. How long were you in that position?
A. About a year, I think.
Q. Okay. So when did you leave AT\&T?
A. May of '97.
Q. If you were a telecommunications
consultant and service rep until '97, when in '97 did you become a business account executive?
A. I know it's kind of complicated, but they kept downsizing. So they would eliminate the provisions department, so then you had to do your own provisioning. Then they would eliminate the telemarketing department, so you had to do your own telemarketing. So I had to do all three jobs. But the title that they had in the business cards was business account executive.
Q. So when you became a business account executive, you did all three jobs; you did customer interface, you did provisioning, and then telemarketing?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Why did you leave AT\&T?
A. I wanted to do something else.
Q. Were you fired?
A. I was asked to leave.
Q. Why is that?
A. Because the sales were very poor, very down. The department was downsizing. My boss told me to leave, that there was no future at AT\&T.
Q. Then where did you go?
A. I went to Supra Telecom.
Q. Is Supra an ALEC?
A. Yes.
Q. Is it located in Miami?
A. Yes.
Q. What positions did you hold at Supra?
A. Customer service manager.
Q. What were your job duties as a customer service manager?
A. I pretty much did it all. I did provisioning of the orders, customer interface; anything that was customer related, I dealt with it.
Q. How many customers did you have as a customer service manager?
A. Is it okay to discuss that? That's proprietary, I would think.
Q. I don't think it's proprietary if you don't give me the names or numbers, just the amount of customer service customers that you interfaced with.

MR. MILLER: I would say I don't
know what the terms of any of your
agreements are. So you need to answer
it to the extent that you can. I can't
advise you any further than that.
THE WITNESS: I would say it was
less than
BY MR. MEZA:
Q. How many customer service managers were there?
A. Just me.
Q. It says in your Resume you reduced clarifications from 40 to 10 percent.
A. Yes.
Q. What does that mean?
A. The service orders that I was submitting to BellSouth initially were getting 40 percent, and they were getting kicked back for clarification. And I reduced that to 10 percent.
Q. Were you the only provisioner?
A. No. I had two or three people.
Q. Okay. It says here that you then became the local product manager?
A. Yes.
Q. What does that mean; what does that job entail?
A. I manage the products that IDS is selling.
Q. For Supra?
A. No. That's IDS.

I did some of that work -- I'm sorry. You know what? I'm sorry. I was customer service manager, then I took the local product manager position there.
Q. What does that job entail?
A. Responsible for researching the products, making sure we had a local product.
Q. What do you mean a local product?
A. Local telephone service.
Q. You would research and see if you had local telephone service?
A. Research the products through the local service and then help develop our own product that would compete against that.
Q. Okay.
A. Let me tell you exactly what happened. I was a customer service manager, then became local product manager for a few months, then they laid-off everybody in customer service and he asked me to go back and do that job.
Q. Which job?
A. So when I left Supra Telecom, I was customer service manager again.
Q. Why did you leave Supra?
A. I didn't see a future there.
Q. Were you asked to leave?
A. No.
Q. Then you went to IDS?
A. Yes.
Q. What was your first position at IDS?
A. Provisioning manager.
Q. What years were you provisioning
manager?
A. From '99 until 2000. I don't remember which month.
Q. What were your responsibilities as provisioning manager?
A. Making sure my staff was processing orders efficiently with BellSouth.
Q. How many staff members did you have?
A. I had four at that time.
Q. These are the -- I think Mr. Kramer called them customer service reps, or provisioning reps?
A. They would be provisioning reps. They were separate from customer service.
Q. Again, you say you improved clarifications from 40 to 10 percent?
A. Yes.
Q. Were these clarifications a result of IDS inputter records?
A. It more had to do with, I don't know, templates. I created templates.
Q. To reduce the amount of IDS errors?
A. I wouldn't say that. It was more to autopopulate information redundance.
Q. You had no control over BellSouth's errors?
A. No.
Q. So the only way you could control or lessen the error rate is to do something to the IDS side that's emitting the orders?

THE WITNESS: Yes.
MR. MILLER: Objection. Calls for
speculation.
You need to wait for me to have
time to object before you answer.
BY MR. MEZA:
Q. I just want to make sure I understand. When you reduced the clarifications you were receiving back, those were clarifications IDS receive as a result of IDS error that caused the order to fall out or be clarified?
A. I would say I put systems in place to
reduce the amounts of clarifications we were receiving from BellSouth.
Q. What causes a clarification to be sent?
A. My impression is lack of adequate documentation from BellSouth on how to process the orders correctly.
Q. Okay. Well, that may be the case. But who actually inserts the incorrect information into the LSR that goes to BellSouth?

MR. MILLER: Objection to the form.
MR. MEZA: What's the problem with
the form?
MR. MILLER: Objection to form.
THE WITNESS: I can answer?
MR. MILLER: Yes. Unless I
instruct you not to answer, you can answer.

THE WITNESS: Okay, yes.
BY MR. MEZA:
Q. It's your opinion that the reason why they were inserting the wrong information is because BellSouth did not provide the correct information; is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. But despite that, you got the error rate
down from 40 percent to 10 percent?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. You said responsible for managing team of local, long distance, and dedicated telecommunications provisioning staff.

Does IDS have a local provisioning group
and long distance group and a telecommunications staff?
A. We did.
Q. How many local provisioning reps did you have?
A. There was four in local.

Do you want to know how many in the
others?
Q. Yes.
A. There was two in long distance.
Q. What is dedicated telecommunications provisioning staff; what does that entail?
A. That was dedicated services like $T-1$.
Q. Were these your facility based customers?
A. Exactly.
Q. How many provisioning reps did you have?
A. Two or three.
Q. When you were a provisioning manager
from 1999 to 2000, do you know how many local customers IDS had?
A. From when?
Q. From '99 to 2000.

MR. MILLER: At any point during
that time?
BY MR. MEZA:
Q. Okay. We'll go there.
A. I would say more than
Q. All right. For that same time period, do you know how many long distance customers IDS had?
A. I would say less than
Q. Did any of the local also have IDS long distance?
A. Yes.
Q. So wouldn't the $\quad$ e included in the IDS long distance, or would it be you know?
A. I don't know.
Q. Did you say it was less than
more than ong distance customers?
A. . ress.
Q. How many facility based customers did IDS have in 1999 to 2000?
A. Less than
Q. Do you know how many lines those local customers represented in 1999/2000?

MR. MILLER: Objection to the form. THE WITNESS: No, I don't.

BY MR. MEZA:
Q. Do you know how many lines the long distance customers represented in '99 and 2000?
A. I could speculate, but no, I don't.
Q. What about the $\mathrm{T}-1$ customers, the
facility based customers?
A. How many?
Q. Lines.
A. No. I can't spocule there either, because the $T-1$ s are channelized into how many lines you want.
Q. Okay. Let's tak fron 2000 to 2001.
A. Um-hum.
Q. How many local customers did IDS have?
A. I can't give you an exact figure. I know our sales volumes increased dramaticall.
Q. Just $g$ ve me a rough estimation of what you belie
A. From 2000 to 2001, how many customers?
Q. Yes. Local.

MR. MILLER: At what point in that
year?
BY MR. MEZA:
Q. Let's take the highest total that you can remember for that entire year.
A. That I can remember?
Q. Um-hum.
A. Approximately
Q. Okay. Now, what is the lowest number that you remember?
A. I don't recall.
Q. For long distance for $2000 / 2001$, what is the highest number of customers you can remember?
A. I don't know. I was not in a meeting where we discussed that.
Q. What about dedicated lines or facility based customers?
A. I believe it's stayed constant.
Q.
A. Um-hum.
Q. All right. From January 2001 to September 1st, 2001, do you know how many customers, the most amount of local customers IDS had?
A. No, I don't.
Q. What about long distance customers?
A. I don't have that information.
Q. Dedicated?
A. I don't know that.
Q. For the local customers you referenced from 2000 to 2001, do you know how many lines those ustomers represented?
A. I don't know.
Q. Okay. Did you have another job at IDS other than provisioning manager?
A. Well, I'm currently the local product manager.
Q. Okay. Was that considered a promotion?
A. Yes.
Q. When were you promoted?
A. I believe it was February or March of 2000.
Q. Do you know why you were promoted?

MR. MILLER: Objection, calls for
speculation.
BY MR. MEZA:
Q. You can answer, if you can.
A. I was asked to take -- there was an opening.
Q. What are your job duties as a product
manager?
A. I monitor to make sure our products are in compliance with our tariffs and that we offer as many broadest range as possible of products to local telephone customers.
Q. Broadest range possible of what?
A. Of local telephone service products.
Q. What does that mean? Can you break it down a little further as to exactly what you do?
A. Okay. I monitor the ILEC tariffs, monitor the BellSouth interconnection website, the products available to us through interconnection, and I develop products that match what the market place is demanding.
Q. Do you provision any orders?
A. Very rarely. Occasionally.
Q. When do you provision orders?
A. If it's something new I'll try to provision the order.
Q. What is considered something new?
A. If it's a new product, something that we have not encountered before, I'll provision it to develop methods and procedures.
Q. Okay. The methods and procedures that the provisioning reps will follow?
A. In the provisioning orders, yes.
Q. Do you have any idea of how many
customers IDS has lost from 2000 and 2001?
A. I don't know.
Q. Today, do you know how many customers

IDS has?
A. No, I don't.
Q. Do you know how many lines?
A. I do not.
Q. Do you know how many customer service reps IDS has?
A. I do not.
Q. Do you know how many provisioning reps IDS has?
A. No.
Q. Do you know what was IDS's total gross revenue last year?
A. No.
Q. Do you know the amount of IDS's total expenses last year?
A. No.
Q. Do you know if IDS is current with all of its creditors?
A. I don't know that. I don't know yes or no.
Q. Okay. Do you know if IDS is current with BellSouth?
A. I don't know.
Q. What is IDS's business plan for local service?

MR. MILLER: Objection, foundation.
BY MR. MEZA:
Q. Do you know what I mean by business plan?
A. Our business plan is to switch as is whatever current services you are receiving now. If you switch to IDS, we leave the services the same.
Q. As far as marketing, what type of customer is IDS attempting to obtain?
A. The broadest range possible.
Q. Do you focus on business versus residential?
A. Yes, of course. Business accounts.
Q. Why is that?
A. They're the most profitable.
Q. Why are they the most profitable?
A. Because they pay
Q. If you know, what percentage of IDS's
customers are business?
A. I don't know.
Q. Do you know if the majority of IDS's
customers are business customers?
A. The majority are.
Q. Does IDS have any residential customers?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you know how many?
A. I don't know how many.
Q. Are you involved with IDS's telemarketing efforts?
A. No.
Q. Do you know if IDS belongs to any CLEC industry groups?
A. I don't know.
Q. Have you talked with an employee at any other CLEC or ALEC regarding this litigation?
A. No.
Q. Do you know if IDS has any subsidiaries or affiliates?
A. I don't know exactly.
Q. Do you know what I mean by a subsidiary?
A. I do know what you mean, but I don't know if we have one or not.
Q. Are you involved with Unified Solutions?
A. Not at all. I know it exists, that's all.
Q. When Unified Solutions -- if you don't know, tell me you don't know. From what I understand, Unified Solutions subcontracts IDS's employees?

MR. MILLER: Objection to
foundation.
BY MR. MEZA:
Q. Do you know if that's true or not?
A. I have no knowledge of what our business relationship is with Unified Solutions.
Q. As a product manager now, you don't necessarily deal with provisioning any more?
A. Very -- no.
Q. Very rarely?
A. Very rarely.
Q. Do you know in which states does IDS have either long distance or local customers?
A. I'm sorry, I didn't understand.
Q. Do you know what states or in which states does IDS have local or long distance customers?
A. Yes, I do.
Q. Can you tell me?
A. Kentucky, North Carolina, South Carolina, Georgia, Alabama, Mississippi and Florida.

MR. MEZA: Can we go off again?
(Thereupon, proceedings were held off
the record.)
BY MR. MEZA:
Q. Can you repeat those again?
A. Kentucky, North Carolina, South

Carolina, Georgia, Alabama, Mississippi, Florida.
Q. Georgia, Alabama, Mississippi, Florida.

Let me repeat, so I'm sure we have them.
Kentucky, North Carolina, South Carolina, Georgia, Alabama, Mississippi and Florida?
A. Yes.
Q. Any more?
A. No.
Q. Do you have any customers outside of BellSouth's region?
A. For long distance only.
Q. Okay. Do you know the states?
A. I don't know. It could be all 50 .
Q. Okay. But you don't know?
A. I don't know.
Q. Do you know if IDS is certificated to
provide local service in any state outside of the BellSouth region?
A. I don't know.
Q. Do you know how many customers IDS has in Kentucky?
A. I do not.
Q. Do you know how many in North Carolina?
A. No.
Q. Do you know how many IDS has on a specific state level?
A. No.
Q. Do you know how many customers they have in Florida?
A. No.
Q. Were you involved in IDS's decision to purchase EDI?
A. No.
Q. Were you involved in IDS's decision to purchase or use TAG?
A. I was consulted for my opinion.
Q. Who asked you?
A. I believe it was Keith Kramer.
Q. What did he ask you?
A. To clarify, purchase of TAG or ROBOTAG? Because I'm thinking ROBOTAG when you say TAG.
Q. Explain to me the difference.
A. TAG is the interface that any CLEC can use. ROBOTAG was an upfront system that interfaces with TAG that BellSouth was offering us.
Q. You need to slow down a little bit.
A. All right.
Q. Well, let's take tag first. To me, you can't have ROBOTAG without TAG; is that correct?
A. Correct.
Q. Did IDS make a decision to go with either tAG or ROBOTAG, or to consider tag or ROBOTAG, or any other third-party vender sofware?

MR. MILLER: Objection to the form.
MR. MEZA: That's fair.
THE WITNESS: My understanding is
we already had a TAG agreement in place
before I started at IDS.
BY MR. MEZA:
Q. When did you start?
A. In September of '99.
Q. So the only question was what sofware to get; would that be correct?
A. Exactly, yes.
Q. Were you involved in any way with IDS's
decision to use EDI originally?
MR. MILLER: Objection, asked and answered.

THE WITNESS: No.
BY MR. MEZA:
Q. Sometimes I hear things, sometimes I don't. When did Mr. Kramer first approach you about looking into the sofware for TAG?
A. I don't recall the exact date. It was in the winter of ' 99.
Q. So what did you do after he asked you to do this?
A. I'm sorry? What was the question before that?
Q. The question was --
A. When did he first approach me?
Q. When did he first approach you about looking into what sofware to use?
A. He asked me my opinion, but he did not ask me to pursue any type of procurement.
Q. What did you tell him?
A. Basically, I felt that we should actually explore looking to get some type of interface for TAG.
Q. Why is that?
A. Because I didn't feel EDI was adequate to process the orders.
Q. Why did you feel that?
A. Because the documentation we had from BellSouth was deficient. It was very time consuming and not user friendly.
Q. Prior to your employment with IDS, had you ever used EDI?
A. No.
Q. What was the first time that you actually used EDI?
A. The exact date I can't give you, but I believe it was sometime in the fall or winter of '99.
Q. For what purpose?
A. To place an order.
Q. For the Network Combination Platform?
A. Network Combination Platform.
Q. In your opinion, would that be after IDS implicated the Network Combination Platform?
A. I don't know when the contract was done.
Q. Was IDS able to make orders or to submit orders for the Network Combination product prior to November 2nd, 1999?

MR. MILIER: Objection to the form.

THE WITNESS: Not to my knowledge.
BY MR. MEZA:
Q. Okay. How many orders did you submit?
A. I recall two, total of maybe seven.
Q. For which customers?
A. The first two were for employees.
Q. And?
A. The remaining orders I attempted were for customers.
Q. When did you -- I may have asked you this, but do you know exactly when you submitted the first two orders?
A. I can't give you a date. I can't remember, except it was in the fall or winter of '99.
Q. Okay. Was this some type of BETA-test?
A. Not to my knowledge.
Q. You were just told to submit -- or explain to me how you were instructed to submit the orders of two employees?
A. I was instructed, and I don't recall by who, to try and get them through EDI. And I tried them. The first one lost his dial tone.
Q. Okay. What about the second?
A. The second one also lost dial tone.
Q. Did they lose them on the same day?
A. No, these were not submitted the same day. He lost his dial tone.
Q. Do you know why?
A. I recall, and I don't recall the first one, it was because it went into a PF status, pending facilities, because the order had been processed as a D\&N and they weren't related.
Q. Is that a problem with EDI?
A. It was a problem with the way BellSouth processed the order.
Q. If you know, was that a downstream problem or a problem with EDI?
A. I don't know exactly what the problem was.
Q. All right. What about the second one, what was the reason for the disconnect there?
A. I don't recall the exact reason.
Q. Do you know if it was the result of BellSouth error or IDS error?
A. By my conversations with BellSouth, it was a problem on their side.
Q. Who did you talk to?
A. Pat Rand.
Q. When did you talk to her?
A. It was on a conference call. I don't remember the date.
Q. Was it after you submitted both orders, or just the first one?
A. No. It was after the second one, too.
Q. Who else was on the call?
A. I believe Keith Kramer was there.
Q. Do you have any notes or documents that pertain to that call?
A. No.
Q. What did she tell you?
A. She had relayed that the system was failing to autopopulate the RRSO fit. And when I asked her if the problem had been fixed yet, she said to her knowledge it had not been fixed.
Q. Did she tell you the problem was with EDI?
A. No.
Q. Did you believe the problem was with EDI?
A. I did not believe that.
Q. When did you submit the other five orders?
A. I don't recall the exact dates, but it was in the fall or winter of 99.
Q. Do you consider November to be the fall? I'm just asking.
A. Yeah, I would consider November fall.
Q. See, me, I would consider it winter. It's cold. If it's cold, it's winter. Sorry.
A. That's okay. I come from Minnesota. When there's snow on the ground it's winter.
Q. Do you know the names of the specific customers?
A. No, I don't recall.
Q. Do you know how you got or how you acquired these customers?
A. They were through direct sales.
Q. That you obtained?
A. No, not myself.
Q. Who said: Mr. Hamilton, please convert
the five orders?
A. It was Mr. Kramer.
Q. Do you know how he selected the customers?
A. I do not know.
Q. So what happened, did you do them all at one time?
A. No.
Q. Did --
A. Maybe one a day to see if it flowed through.
Q. Did you do one every single day?
A. I don't recall exactly the pattern that

I took, but I think I tried one a day.
Q. So what happened after the first one was submitted?
A. The problem that came up is that when they went to Network Combo, we were billed per line.
Q. By who?
A. By BellSouth.

So I was instructed to stop.
Q. Did it work?
A. Did the phone service work? Yes.
Q. They were converted to Network

Combination?
A. They did convert.
Q. I'm confused.
A. Yes.
Q. You submitted one, the first order, right?
A. Yes.
Q. It worked. But then Bellsouth charged you so they said stop?
A. The CSR shows up. It's the price IDS pays for the customer. It shows per line on the customer's account.
Q. How many lines did he have?
A. One or two.
Q. What about two through five, did you ever submit those orders?
A. Yes, they were submitted.
Q. Did they work too?
A. They worked also.
Q. Again, IDS was charged Per line?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you know why?
A. I don't know exactly why, other than $I$
was told it was a system problem. Mr. Kramer investigated it.
Q. Did he give you the results of the investigation?
A. I was not involved in that.
Q. All right.
A. It has to do with cost and I don't get involved with that.
Q. Okay.
A. But it caused quite a bit of concern.
Q. I bet it did. Upon realizing that IDS
was being charged per Iine, is it your testimony that you were instructed to stop submitting orders?
A. Yes.
Q. Were you ever told to start submitting orders again?
A. No. And that time frame $I$ was moving into the other position.
Q. Which was?
A. The local product manager.
Q. To the best of your knowledge, IDS submitted seven conversion orders for Network Combination; is that correct?
A. Submitted seven, correct.
Q. And of the seven, five worked?
A. To my knowledge, five worked.
Q. Okay. When did Mr. Kramer -- well, after you gave him your opinion about whether to obtain the sofware for TAG, what happened next as far as the acquisition of ROBOTAG?
A. We received a letter from BellSouth, a notice that they were no longer support Herbinger, which was the sharing partner with EDI.
Q. When did that notice come out?
A. Again, sometime in the December time
frame, I believe, in '99. Because I believe it was effective in January they would know longer support it.
Q. Let me ask you this, because you said some things as to why you thought EDI was not -- I forgot the exact word you used, but wasn't as good as TAG?
A. Wasn't user friendly.
Q. Wasn't user friendly. Lack of
documentation?
A. Yes.
Q. Did any of those reasons effect your ability to submit seven orders?
A. Yes.
Q. How is that?
A. The lack of documentation particularly from Bellsouth on how to process an order.
Q. All right. Do you know of any other person at IDS that submitted an order through EDI?
A. Not to my knowledge.
Q. Do you know how many computers EDI was installed on at IDS?
A. I don't know.
Q. Do you know, was it installed on your computer?
A. It was after I started there, yes.
Q. Do you know if any other computer at IDS had a license to use EDI?
A. I don't know.
Q. Are you aware of any other person that could submit orders through EDI?
A. No, I'm not aware of that. However, what happened before I started there, I don't know.
Q. That's fair. That's fair.

All right. So sometime in the fall or winter of '99, you get a notice from BellSouth that Harbinger is no longer going to support EDI?
A. That BellSouth would no longer support Harbinger.
Q. Did the notice give a reason why?
A. No, it didn't.
Q. Do you still have this notice in your possession?
A. In my possession, no.
Q. Do you know if IDS still has the notice?
A. I'm sure we do.
Q. Do you know if this document was produced by IDS?
A. I don't know if it was.
Q. Did you provide any documents that were responsive to BellSouth's discovery request?

MR. MILLER: Objection to the form.
THE WITNESS: Yes, I did.
BY MR. MEZA:
Q. Okay. Did someone come to you and say I need --
A. Yes.
Q. Who was that?
A. The regulatory department, Mr. Angel Lerio and Suzanne Summerlin.
Q. Do you know what documents you provided?
A. Several things. I don't recall exactly.
Q. Do you know how many pages?
A. I don't recall exactly.
Q. A lot. Ten?
A. Ten sounds good.
Q. All right. Did this notice about BellSouth no longer supporting Harbinger, was that before or after Mr. Kramer came to you for your opinion as to whether it would be worth while looking at sofware for TAG?
A. To the best of my knowledge, it was after.
Q. Okay. All right.

After you gave him your opinion, what happened next regarding TAG? It was given -- the responsibility was given to the IT department to find an alternate sofware partner.
Q. Was there a reason for that?
A. I'm sorry?
Q. Was there a reason why the IT department was given instructions to look at an alternative?
A. Because they're totally responsible for any sofware that the company purchases.
Q. Were they instructed to look at ROBOTAG?
A. Yes, they were.
Q. And do you know of any other sofware that they looked at?
A. I know they did, but I can't tell you the names.
Q. Were you involved in the decision to purchase the sofware?
A. My opinion was elicited.
Q. From who?
A. I believe at that time it was Bud Hickdon.
Q. What was his job?
A. He was over IT, the IT department. He was the vice-president of operations.
Q. Which included the IT department?
A. Included IT.
Q. Do you know when he was hired, before or after you?
A. After me.
Q. What did Mr. Hickdon ask you
specifically?
A. Specifically, he asked me what my opinion was of it as far as user friendliness.
Q. Did you ever attend a demonstration of ROBOTAG sponsored by BellSouth?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. Where was the demonstration?
A. In Atlanta.

MR. MILLER: Let's take a short
break.
(Thereupon, a break was had in the proceedings.) BY MR. MEZA:
Q. Do you know when the demonstration was held?
A. I believe it was January or February of 2000.
Q. What was your impressions of ROBOTAG?
A. I was not satisfied with the product.
Q. Okay. Why not?
A. It didn't have the business rules built in for edit checking capability.
Q. Any other reason?
A. That was the reason.
Q. Did Mr. Hickdon ask you to go to the demonstration as part of seeking your opinion?
A. I believe so.
Q. Did you look at any other sofware
venders?
A. Myself, personally, no.
Q. Did anyone else at IDS?
A. Yes.
Q. Who?
A. Frederic O'Kendall and Ilene Turpin.
Q. Do you know which venders they looked at?
A. I do not know. They attended the ROBOTAG with me.
Q. Oh, yeah?
A. Yes.
Q. Was there ever a decision whether to go with ROBOTAG or with the MANTISS sofware?
A. If there was, I was not involved.
Q. So you had no decision or no involvement
in the decision to select MANTISS CLECWARE?
A. None.
Q. At the time that IDS purchased the
sofware, were you still in your original job?
A. No.
Q. You were promoted?
A. I was promoted to product manager.
Q. Right. Was that one of the reasons you were not involved in that decision?
A. Yes, that's why.
Q. Do you have your direct testimony with you?

> MR. MILLER: I do.

BY MR. MEZA:
Q. Is this your testimony that your
attorney handed to you?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you draft it?
A. Yes, I did.

MR. MILLER: Just a clarification.
This is without exhibits.
BY MR. MEZA:
Q. Okay. Did you authorize its filing or did you review it prior to its being file?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. On page one, lines 22 to 23, you state, "Yes. I have previously testified before the Florida Public Service Commission." Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. When have you previously testified before the PSC?
A. Again, that was sometime in the spring of '98, winter, spring.
Q. Do you remember the proceeding?
A. That was the Supra proceeding.
Q. What did you testify about?
A. From what I recall, it had to do with provisioning issues.
Q. What type of provisioning issues?
A. From what I recall, it had to do with the fact that we had to submit so many orders manually on paper.
Q. That's not an issue in this proceeding, is it?
A. Not for me.
Q. What did the Commission do regarding that issue, do you remember?
A. The issue was that previously the Commission had ordered BellSouth to make, lend, or
whatever, interfacing to have the on-line edit checking capabilities equal to BellSouth's. And they had recapped that in our testimony. They ordered BellSouth to put FUEL and SOER as the interfacing on-line editing capability.
Q. So they adopted Supra's position?
A. If that's the terminology, yes.
Q. Let me back up. I know you weren't part of the decision to go with the MANTISS sofware, but do you know if on-line MANTISS had internal business rules, or are the business rules part of the --
A. I did see one demonstration. And the MANTISS people, when I asked, did have more on-line edit checking capabilities than ROBOTAG had.
Q. What do you mean by on-line edits?
A. For example, if a customer orders call forwarding don't answer, it prompts the user to put in a ringing cycle.
Q. What do you mean by prompt? I can't visualize it.
A. I know. A data $\log$ box would pop up to say you need to put this fit in this box.
Q. Does ROBOTAG have those types of things?
A. It did not.
Q. Do you know how many MANTISS had?
A. No, I do not.
Q. Going on to page two, line 17, we talked about the Full Circle program notice on August 15 of 2000, and you say see Exhibit BH-2. I know you don't have exhibits, but I'll show you what I have as BH-2. Can you tell me if this is in reference to August 15th or January 15, 2001?
A. Okay. Your question is referencing this here, and this is the exhibit that we produced.
Q. No. What I'm asking is in your reference to the August filing, you say see that exhibit. And that exhibit, to me, doesn't address the August filing.
A. This is the incorrect one.
Q. Okay.
A. But we do have the August one.
Q. So that's incorrect?
A. This is the incorrect one, this is the January one.
Q. But there's no disagreement that's BH-2?
A. I agree. That's incorrect though.
Q. Look on page three, lines one and two?
A. Um-hum.
Q. This program included the participation of CLECs?
A. Yes.
Q. How did that program involve CLECs?
A. It simply stated on the bottom it was available for resale, I believe, is what the statement said.
Q. That again was on the August filing?
A. Yes, to the best of my recollection.
Q. All right. Page three, lines four through six, you talk about conversations you had with Michael Lepowski. Do you know when that conversation occurred?
A. I don't have the exact date.
Q. You say shortly after the program. How shortly after?
A. Actually, it was more like the end of October, early November.
Q. Late October to early November?
A. Early November, right. So shortly is a misnomer on there.
Q. So shortly is incorrect?
A. Um-hum.
Q. And in this conversation, what did you ask for?
A. I recall -- to the best of my recollection, I recall that $I$ asked him what the program was and how IDS could participate in the program.
Q. What did he tell you?
A. He was not familiar with it and he would refer it to Cathy Crosswhite.
Q. When did Miss Crosswhite get back to. you?
A. She sent me an E-mail. It appears to be dated November 14th. It's difficult to tell from the E-mail. It indicated that the tariff had been withdrawn on November 9th.
Q. Do you have this E-mail?
A. Yes, I do.
Q. Did you bring it with you today?
A. I don't have it here, but it was filed.
Q. It was filed?
A. From my understanding.
Q. Was it filed in your direct?
A. I'm not sure.
Q. How many exhibits did you have in your direct testimony?
A. I don't recall.
Q. Maybe it's in your rebuttal. I'm going
to show you, and don't look at these marks because they're my internal thoughts, that's your direct and rebuttal. See if you see that E-mail in there.
A. No, I do not see it here.
Q. Is it your belief that that exhibit was actually attached to your testimony?
A. I believe, yes.

MR. MEZA: Can you check on that,
Brian, and see if there's more than two?
MR. MILLER: Sure. We'll check.
BY MR. MEZA:
Q. Why is there a question as to when the E-mail is dated?
A. It looks like November 14th. Maybe it's me. I don't exactly know how to read the tracking mechanisms on Microsoft Outlook.
Q. It seems to me you had a question whether it was truly dated on that day.
A. I know it was on that time frame, but I don't recall if it came in after November 9 th, or before.
Q. So there's a possibility it could actually have been sent prior to November 9th?
A. Thinking about it, it could not have
been. Because she couldn't have known it was withdrawn on November 9th. So it had to have come in afterwards.
Q. Okay. Then you talk on line 11 about on November 30th, some notice came in informing IDS it was initiating another promotion called Full Circle 2001. What type of notice is this?
A. It's a tariff confirmation on BellSouth websites.
Q. What's on it?
A. Notice of any changes BellSouth is making on the tariff.
Q. They specifically sent it to IDS?
A. No.
Q. It's on the website?
A. Yes.
Q. You check the website regularly?
A. Yes.
Q. That's part of your job duties, right?
A. That's right.
Q. What did you do after seeing Full Circle 2001 would be the new promotion?
A. I printed it out and gave that to Mr.

Kramer.
Q. Did you contact Michael Lepowski again?
A. Again, no.
Q. Why not?
A. Because I had already contacted him once before and he said he's not familiar with that program. I was really expecting Cathy Crosswhite to get back to me.
Q. Did you contact Miss Crosswhite?
A. No.
Q. Why not?
A. Because I had already asked her about the program. I expected her to give me more information on this program.
Q. You asked her about the first program?
A. Right.
Q. Because by the time that she responded to your question, the notice on Full Circle 2001 had not been issued yet; is that correct?
A. Correct.
Q. Look on page three, line 14. You are asked, "What is the difference between the Full Circle program and Full Circle 2001?"

Your answer, on lines 15 to 20, I don't know if it ever gives a difference between the two programs. I'm just wondering why.
A. Because in the first Full Circle
program, the tariff was never posted on the website. So all I could see was the notice.
Q. Do you know if there truly is a difference between the two?
A. Do I know? I'm not sure.
Q. So what is your answer to the question on page three on line 14? MR. MILLER: Objection. Document speaks for itself.

BY MR. MEZA:
Q. What is the difference between a Full Circle program and Full Circle 2001?
A. I couldn't answer that question.
Q. Okay. Page three, lines 15 through 20, you describe what appears to be the Full Circle 2001 program. Would you agree with me on that?
A. Yes.
Q. Where did you get that information?
A. Off the BellSouth tariff.
Q. You would agree with me that the Full Circle 2001 gives the customer who selects or accepts this promotion either a 10 percent, 15 percent, or 20 percent discount; is that correct?
A. Correct.
Q. All right. Look on page 22 -- excuse
me. Page three, lines 22 and 23, and then going on to page four, line one. "Despite the verbiage in the tariff stating that CLEC's must contact account manager concerning the program, there is no viable way that IDS or other CLEC's can participate in a program that is targeted at customers who left BellSouth for the competition." Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. You would agree with me there is some information in the tariff that instructs CLECs to contact their account manager for more information, wouldn't you?
A. Yes.
Q. And it's your -- what is your understanding as to whether this is available to CLECs?
A. I'm sorry, I don't understand the question.
Q. Do you believe that A CLEC could use Full Circle 2001?
A. On a resale basis only.
Q. Why is that?
A. It's not available for UNE-P.
Q. How do you know?
A. UNE-P is not a tariff product.
Q. What do you mean by your statement that CLECs can participate -- there's no viable way IDS or other CLECs can participate in a program that is targeted at customers that have left BellSouth for the competition?
A. It was clear that the intent was to take Full Circle, to return to Bellsouth to complete the circle.
Q. What would prohibit IDS from targeting their customers that left?
A. Because our accounts were on UNE-P.
Q. In reference to your testimony, there is a viable way that IDS could participate in it, just not on the UNE-P basis; would that be correct?

MR. MILLER: Objection.
Mischaracterizing the witness'
testimony.
BY MR. MEZA:
Q. Let me ask it another way. The only limitation you told me today is that Full Circle 2001 is not available for UNE-P, correct?
A. Correct.
Q. All right. If. IDS wanted to use or to
utilize the Full Circle promotion it could, it would just be on a resale basis, correct?

MR. MILLER: Objection. Calls for speculation.

THE WITNESS: Possibly.
BY MR. MEZA:
Q. All right. So in your opinion, is that a viable way that IDS could participate in the program?
A. Possibly.
Q. Okay. Go to page four, lines five through seven. "To this day, I have not received an explanation as to how IDS can participate in any of these win-back promotions." Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. Have you asked for any explanation?
A. Yes.
Q. From who?
A. Cathy. Actually, Michael Lepowski who referred me to Cathy Crosswhite.
Q. You're referring to the initial Full Circle program?
A. Yes.
Q. Full Circle 2001, have you asked for any
explanation?
A. No.
Q. Looking at page four, lines 14 to 16, you say, "By simultaneously raising business line rates for its existing customers, BellSouth effectively subsidized the discounts being provided under the Full Circle tariff. What do you mean by that statement?
A. I mean that since BellSouth raised the prices and then they offered the Full Circle program which gave a discount, effectively BellSouth was earning back the revenue they were losing and giving the customer the discount.
Q. Do you know that for a fact?
A. It's my belief.
Q. Are you familiar with how carriers are regulated by the commission?
A. Not really.
Q. Do you know that -- do you know what an ILEC is?
A. Yes.
Q. Is BellSouth an ILEC?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you know if BellSouth is regulated by other commissions to a price cap statute or on a
rate basis?
A. I don't know.
Q. So you're not familiar with -- well, do you know what the effect of a price cap statute is?
A. No.
Q. Do you know under the price cap statute if you are prohibited from raising rates for a. certain period of time?
A. I don't know. It sounds like it, but I don't know. If they say price cap, that's pretty much prices are capped.
Q. So you don't know anything about the price cap statute?
A. I'm not familiar with it.
Q. What facts or evidence do you have to support your belief that BellSouth was using the -- subsidizing the discounts by raising its rates?
A. Again, it's my belief that they were doing that.
Q. I understand that. Do you have any specific facts, or have you had any conversations with BellSouth?
A. No.
Q. Have you reviewed any documents --
A. Other than the tariff, no.
Q. -- that would support your belief?
A. No.
Q. All right. Look on page six, lines one through three. You state, "This is no coincidence and is further proof positive that BellSouth intended to target IDS's customers, notwithstanding the tariff's effects on other CLECs." Do you see that?
A. Um-hum.
Q. It's your belief that BellSouth intended to target IDS's customers with the Full Circle 2001 program?
A. Yes.
Q. Why is that?
A. At the same time that our sales had been improving dramatically, they came out with the Full Circle 2001 promotion.
Q. Okay. So it's your belief that -- when did you start getting this ramp up in customers, do you know?
A. It started to progress, and I would say the summer of 2000 .
Q. Do you believe BellSouth implemented the
first version of the Full Circle program to go after IDS?
A. I believe initially it was, yes, in August.
Q. What facts or evidence do you have to support your belief?
A. When I asked BellSouth about the program, all I got back was an E-mail that it's been cancelled.
Q. Okay.
A. With no information as to what the scope of it was or was targeted to.
Q. Okay.
A. It disappeared all of a sudden.
Q. What else?
A. Then it reappeared in November that it was going to be filed. And again, no response.
Q. Well, you didn't ask for one, did you?
A. I asked for the initial one. I expected more than oh, this has been cancelled. I expected this was this, this is this, but it's going to be refiled in November and this is how you can participate.
Q. Do you know if Cathy Crosswhite knew it was going to be refiled in November?
A. I don't know.
Q. Anything else?
A. No.
Q. Have you had any specific conversations with any personnel at BellSouth to support your belief that BellSouth was targeting IDS through the Full Circle promotion?
A. No.
Q. Have you reviewed any documents other than the tariff --
A. No.
Q. Let me finish my question. MR. MILLER: Wait for the question. BY MR. MEZA:
Q. -- that would support your belief that BellSouth specifically targeted IDS with its Full Circle promotion?
A. No.
Q. Look on page six, lines 10 through 14. You talk about a Customer Relations Management Team and some type of poll that was done. What exactly is the Customer Relationship Management Team?
A. They do any pickup work between customer service provisioning and marketing.

For example, a pickup, we might need to get some customer specific information to process the orders. They would actually make that call to the customer and get the information so it could go through the different channels.

They also call customers that have left IDS service to find out why they left.
Q. Do they call every single IDS former customer?
A. I don't know.
Q. How often do they call a former customer?
A. I don't know the schedule.
Q. Who is on this team?
A. Exact names I can't give you, but it's a team.
Q. What type of positions?
A. It's --
Q. I mean, are these people that this is all they do, or do they have other jobs and they're also on this team?
A. This is all they do.
Q. Who supervises them?
A. Mr. Hiram Vega.
Q. How do you spell that?
A. $H-I-R-A-M$. Vega, $V-E-G-A$.
Q. How many people are on the team?
A. I believe there's five or six.
Q. Do you know if they keep any records?
A. I don't know.
Q. Have you ever seen them contact a
customer?
A. I have not been in the room when they're making calls, no.
Q. Are they full-time employees?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you know what percentage of time in their job they are contacting former customers?
A. No, I do not.
Q. All right.

You talk about this poll that was done.
What exactly -- well, can you describe in detail the polling that was conducted?
A. No. All I can relate is from the management meetings we had where they gave information.
Q. All right. So you have no firsthand knowledge of the poll?
A. No.
Q. This was told to you at a management
meeting?
A. Yes.
Q. Then you have page six, lines 11 to 14 , you make some statements about what customers stated to the CRM. You state, "Although they would rather avoid a lengthy contract, the discount was the same and BellSouth could, in most cases, provide services in a much faster and more accurate way than IDS." Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. Is that -- what is the basis of that statement?
A. Again, from the management meetings we had, we were discussing the issues.
Q. Did you ever have any conversations with any former customers?
A. Yes.
Q. Did they tell you this?
A. Yes.
Q. Which customer?
A. I don't recall which one.
Q. When?
A. It would have been in the spring of 2001, of this year.
Q. Okay. What specifically did the
customer tell you?
A. From what I recall, it was -- she said she believed that BellSouth had caused the problems she had experienced during a conversion, but she could not jeopardize her business and E-mail service. Even though she wanted to stay with IDS, she went back to BellSouth just to keep her phone working.
Q. Is that all she said?
A. To the best of my recollection.
Q. I asked you in reference to lines 12 to 14 if you had any conversations with customers regarding those specific lines.
A. Um-hum.
Q. Is it your testimony today that what you just told me relates or pertains to what is included in lines 12 to 14?
A. The customer that I recall -- this is what you asked me, which one, okay? I was thinking of one $I$ was recalling.
Q. I'm asking you. You state -- I asked you did you talk to a customer that actually told you what's included on page six, lines 12 through 14.
A. Yes, I did.
Q. And the customer experience that you just described to me I don't think actually addressed the talk of the lengthy contract, the discount that BellSouth could provide, and provide services at a much faster and more accurate way than IDS. Am I missing something?
A. I'm recalling --

MR. MILLER: Objection to form.
THE WITNESS: I'm recalling a specific customer that I just recalled when you asked me the question. But there was more than one customer that I spoke to.
BY MR. MEZA:
Q. How many customers have you spoken to?
A. Perhaps less than 20.
Q. Why is it that you're talking to customers, is that within your normal job description?
A. No.
Q. Okay. Why are you talking to customers?
A. On occasion a customer will get transferred to me in error. On occasion a customer will have a service problem and no one else is available and I'll take the call.
Q. Do you keep notes of these conversations?
A. No.
Q. Has any customer that you talked to specifically said they would rather avoid a lengthy contract, the discount was the same, and BellSouth could in most cases provide services in a much faster and more accurate way than IDS?
A. Yes.
Q. Which customer?
A. I don't recall which one specifically.
Q. Then how do you know they said exactly that?
A. Because I remember.
Q. So this lines 12 to 14 is based on your firsthand personal knowledge?
A. Yes. This in particular is based on both things, the Customer Relationship Management Team, and from my contact with customers.
Q. But you're not a member of the Customer Relationship Management Team?
A. No.
Q. You were told that information at some type of management meeting, right?
A. Yes.
Q. But you do remember that someone told you exactly what it says on lines two to 14 , but you don't remember when it happened or what customer it was?
A. What I remember is a pattern was developing.
Q. I'm specifically asking you --
A. Right.
Q. -- has a customer specifically told you what is marked --
A. I see.
Q. -- on lines 12 to 14 ?

MR. MILLER: Objection. Asked and
answered.
BY MR. MEZA:
Q. What is your answer?
A. Yes.
Q. You don't remember that customer's name though, do you?
A. No, I do not.
Q. Or when it actually happened?
A. No.
Q. You don't have any notes?
A. No.
Q. Okay. Look at number -- page six, lines

16 to 18. You state, "A customer is more likely to go with the incumbent local exchange company if the incentive, in this case the discount, is equal to or similar to that which is offered by the CLEC." What is that statement based upon?
A. Again, from management meetings where customer information was relayed, and also from my own contact with a few customers.
Q. Okay. Did you do any study or analysis to reach that conclusion?
A. No, I did not.
Q. A customer -- you remember a customer specifically telling you that if the incentive is equal to or similar to that a CLEC has offered, they're going to go with the ILEC?
A. Yes.
Q. Which customer?
A. I don't recall.
Q. How many?
A. I don't recall.
Q. When did it happen?
A. I don't recall.
Q. But you know for sure they said this?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. Look on page seven, lines
two to four. "When a customer experiences a disruption of service during the conversion from BellSouth, the first instinct is to contact BellSouth repair." How do you know that?
A. Customers reported that they dial 611.
Q. How do you know that?
A. Because they report to us that's what they call, 611.
Q. How many customers reported that to you?
A. I don't recall how many.
Q. Which customers?
A. I don't recall which ones.
Q. Do you remember the name of one customer who told you that?
A. No, I don't recall.
Q. Do you know when this occurred or when these instances occurred?
A. This was in the summer of 2000 that most of the customers were calling.
Q. Do you have any notes or records to support your belief?
A. I do not.
Q. Then these are personal conversations that you've had with customers?
A. Also from management meetings.
Q. How many customers told you that the first thing they did was call 611?
A. Told me personally?
Q. Told you.
A. I called one customer.
Q. One customer?
A. Right.
Q. Do you remember the customer's name?
A. No.
Q. Do you know when it occurred?
A. Sometime in the summer of 2000 .
Q. Again, how did you receive this call?
A. It was either transferred to me in error, or I picked it up.
Q. Do you normally get a lot of calls sent to you in error?
A. No.
Q. Is it your testimony that for every single customer that experiences a disruption of service during the conversion, their first instinct is to contact BellSouth repair?
A. Yes.
Q. Look on the next sentence. "The BellSouth Repair Department, instead of referring the customer to IDS immediately (which we now know
is useless anyway) sees there's a Pending Service Order to convert the customer to IDS and, as such, cannot place a trouble ticket to restore service." How do you know that the BellSouth Repair Department doesn't refer the customer to IDS immediately?
A. Because the customers again reported that they were told to contact the BellSouth business office when they called repair.
Q. How many customers were told that?
A. I don't have those numbers.
Q. Did any customer specifically tell you that?
A. One customer.
Q. The same customer that told you the first instinct was to contact BellSouth repair, or a different customer?
A. I believe it was a different customer.
Q. Do you remember that customer's name?
A. No.
Q. Do you know when they told you this?
A. No. Sometime in the summer.
Q. Do you have any documents or recordings or notes to support that belief?
A. No.
Q. Did you also -- is it your testimony today that you also obtained your belief from statements made from the CSO? I mean, whatever it's called.
A. CRM.
Q. Yes.
A. My belief developed from that also, yes.
Q. How many times did they tell you that?
A. I don't recall.
Q. Did they produce any type of memorandum or summary of conversations with customers or anything like that?
A. Not to me.
Q. They just told you: Hey, Brad, these customers are doing this?
A. It was a subject in a meeting.
Q. Who else was present at that meeting?
A. It would have been the marketing department, Fabio Galopi, Nancy Manson, Angel Lerio. Customer service would be Nancy Manson.
Q. Is it your testimony today that for every IDS customer that calls BellSouth Repair Department during a conversion, that the BellSouth Repair Department does not refer the customer to IDS immediately?

MR. MILLER: Objection. Mischaracterizing the witness' testimony.

THE WITNESS: Is it my belief that in every instance?

```
BY MR. MEZA:
```

Q. Yes.
A. No.
Q. Okay. Do you know how often it occurs?
A. I do not know.
Q. Why is it useless? I like that parenthetical, "Which we now know is useless." What does that mean on lines five and six?
A. Okay. If they had contacted -- if they had referred them to IDS, IDS can't do anything because there's a pending service order. The order is in limbo, who actually owns the account.
Q. Let me ask you this: Is it your belief that if there's a problem during a conversion of a customer, neither IDS nor BellSouth will help that customer get service restored?
A. Neither IDS nor BellSouth will help?
Q. Yes.
A. No. That's not what I testified.
Q. Your testimony is that IDS would not
help that customer get service?
A. My testimony is we cannot. No, we cannot.
Q. You cannot. Is it your testimony that BellSouth chooses not to?
A. Yes.
Q. So it's your testimony today that when BellSouth knows that a customer is without service, BellSouth chooses not to fix it?
A. Do you want me to explain to you how it works?
Q. I'm just --
A. The repair center cannot take trouble tickets on a pending service order issue. So repair will not take a report from either the CLEC or the customer.
Q. So again, the customer has no way of getting service back up?
A. They would have to contact whoever made the order.
Q. And didn't IDS make the order?
A. We did.
Q. I'm utterly confused. Let's try this again.

It's your testimony that during a
disruption of service during a conversion process, and the customer calls BellSouth Repair, BellSouth repair does not tell the customer to go back to IDS?
A. Generally not.
Q. If they did, you're saying that would be useless. Why is that?
A. Our experience is we cannot cut a lens if the order is in pending status because of the database problems that BellSouth has. We know we submitted an order, but we may not know when they're actually going to work that.

Or we can call repair. We have a C-1 center to call repair. They will say we can't help you because there's a pending service order. You need to call the LCSC. So repair cannot help us, cannot open our trouble ticket.
Q. And when you call the LCSC, do they fix the problem?
A. If you can get through, sometimes.
Q. Your next statement is, "The BellSouth Repair Department then refers the customer to BellSouth's Retail Business Office in order to inquire about the problem." Isn't that contrary to what you just told me?
A. No. I said generally they tell them, you know, to call the IDS center, or generally they call the BellSouth center. But there are occasions where they may tell them to call IDS, yes.
Q. Why would they refer them to the retail business office and not to IDS?

MR. MILLER: Objection. Calls for
speculation.
THE WITNESS: Because they want to
win the customer back.
BY MR. MEZA:
Q. Do you have any proof of that?
A. No.
Q. That's just your belief?
A. My belief.
Q. Do you have any facts or evidence to support that?
A. It's my belief.
Q. Do you have any facts or evidence to support that?
A. No.
Q. Okay. Look on page seven, lines 11 to 15. "When a customer contacts BellSouth's Retail Business Office, he's again told nothing can be
done to restore the service because there's a PSO to convert the service to IDS. And in many instances, the customer is told the IDS's order has caused the disruption." Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. What is the basis for this statement?
A. Again, from management meetings and from my personal contact with customers.
Q. How many customers have you, personally spoken to about this?
A. Two to three.
Q. Do you remember who they were?
A. No.
Q. Do you remember when you talked to them?
A. Sometime in the summer of 2000 .
Q. Did you keep any notes or memorandums that would reflect your conversations?
A. I don't believe so.
Q. I have to ask you: Why didn't you keep any notes?
A. It wasn't in my realm of job to do this job, and my priority was to get whatever result for the customer as quickly as possible.
Q. Didn't you think it would probably be a good idea to document this?

MR. MILLER: Objection to the form.
THE WITNESS: I referred anything
that came across my desk like this, I
referred it to our regulatory
department.
BY MR. MEZA:
Q. Are you finished?
A. Yes.
Q. For these two to three customers that you talked to, were they calls that you received in error?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Are you saying in your testimony that we were just talking about that every single time an IDS customer contacts the BellSouth Retail Business Office, he's told that nothing can be done to restore service because there's a PSO to convert the service to IDS?

MR. MILLER: Objection.
Mischaracterizing the witness' testimony.

THE WITNESS: Am I saying that every time?

BY MR. MEZA:
Q. Yes.
A. No. Because they wouldn't call the business office every time.
Q. Okay. When they do call the business office?
A. When they have a pending service or they're told that, yes.
Q. How do you know that?
A. Customers report to me.
Q. You've only talked to three customers, right?
A. Right.
Q. Then the management meeting you told this happens too?
A. Yes.
Q. So how can you state that this happens all the time?
A. Because we saw that a trend was developing, same type of complaint.
Q. So that led you to believe, based on your conversation with two or three customers and what you hear at a management meeting, that led you to believe this happens all the time?
A. Yes.
Q. Then you state on line 14, "In many instances the customer is told that IDS's order
has caused the disruption." Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. What is the basis for that statement?
A. I recall very clearly one specific customer, because it stands out in my mind, that told me that BellSouth told her that they had been trying to work with IDS to get the order corrected, but we just couldn't do it. So you know, you might as well just come back to BellSouth to get it back up. Which surprised me that a retail operation would be saying that since they supposedly can't see our order.
Q. Well, did that person tell you that Bellsouth told them that IDS caused the disruption?
A. The reason she was having a problem was because IDS had not submitted the order correctly is what she was told. And BellSouth had been trying to work with us to get the order in right, but we couldn't get it in right.
Q. Did you do any research to see if that was true?
A. No. It's not really possible to. It's not possible for BellSouth retail reps to discuss the processing of an order with IDS.
Q. How do you know she called the retail business office?
A. She told me.
Q. How do you know she knew that she was talking to the retail business office?
A. She couldn't talk to any other department at BellSouth.
Q. How do you know that?
A. Well, because they won't -- if she called, for example, the LCSC, they will not talk to a customer.
Q. Do you know for a fact she talked to the retail side?
A. She told me she talked to BellSouth.
Q. She didn't say I talked to the retail business office?
A. No. Nobody says that.
Q. Who is this customer?
A. I don't recall exactly.
Q. Do you know when she told you this?
A. It was sometime in the summer of 2000.
Q. Was this a call you received in error too?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you know if prior to calling IDS she
was able to contact or speak with anyone else at IDS?
A. I don't know if she did or not. I don't recall anyway.
Q. At this time, you were not even part of -- your job is not provisioning, correct?
A. No.
Q. Look on page seven, lines 20 to 23, "BellSouth's service representatives take advantage of an otherwise vulnerable customer and informs him if he cancels his order to convert service to IDS and stays with BellSouth, he can have his service restored in a few minutes to couple of hours." Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. What's the basis?
A. Again, that's customers. From management meetings and customers I spoke to. And a customer actually faxed me a copy of the LOA from BellSouth.
Q. How many management meetings did you attend where this was stated?
A. Two that I recall.
Q. Do you know when they were?
A. Sometime in the summer of 2000 .
Q. Who told you this at the management meeting?
A. It was reported either by customer service, and maybe possibly marketing aiso.
Q. Are there minutes at these management meetings?
A. I don't recall.
Q. Are there agendas?
A. Sometimes.
Q. Do you know if there was an agenda at these two meetings?
A. I don't remember. I don't know.
Q. Does IDS generally keep the agendas?
A. We do now, but at that time there was not a process that I recall.
Q. Could there have been?
A. There could have been.
Q. It wasn't your job responsibility to check documents?
A. No.
Q. How many customers did you talk to that actually said this?
A. I recall one.
Q. Do you remember the customer's name?
A. No.
Q. Do you know when it occurred?
A. Sometime, again, in the summer of 2000.
Q. Did you keep any notes or records to reflect your conversation?
A. It may have been. Because she had faxed me a copy of the LOA she signed with BellSouth.
Q. So this is the same person who gave you the LOA?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you keep anything other than the LOA?
A. I don't think so.
Q. So --
A. I don't think I had anything else to keep.
Q. So the only notes you may have had would have been on the LOA?
A. I didn't write any notes on the LOA.
Q. The only document you had to support your claim or allegation is the LOA?
A. The LOA.
Q. Did you give Suzanne Summerlin the LOA?
A. I believe it was -- I believe I gave it to Angel Lerio.
Q. What does it look like?
A. It looks like a BellSouth LOA, Letter of Authorization.
Q. Is it a two page, three page document?
A. I believe there were two pages to it.
Q. Is that it, just an LOA?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. Was it faxed to you?
A. Yes.
Q. So it would have a fax heading on it?
A. I believe so.
Q. Does it say Letter of Authorization or something on top?
A. I haven't looked at that part of it. I didn't really focus on that part. My focus was on was the bottom.
Q. Did you keep a copy of this?
A. I didn't.
Q. You gave it --
A. I believe I turned it over to
regulatory.
Q. When did you turn it over?
A. As soon as I received it.
Q. When did you receive it?
A. Again, I think sometime in the summer of 2000.
Q. So you did not produce this document in response to BellSouth's discovery request; is that what you're saying?

MR. MILLER: You're asking him
personally?
BY MR. MEZA:
Q. Did you give it to Mr . Lerio as part of BellSouth's response to IDS's discovery request?
A. I believe it was before this proceeding.
Q. Do you know if IDS has produced the LOA?
A. I don't know if they have or not.
(Thereupon, a break was had in the proceedings.) BY MR. MEZA:
Q. Page eight, lines 10 to 15, say, "Along with the LOA, BellSouth sends the Full Circle program promotion agreement, leaving the customer with the impressions that he must sign both documents to have the services restored, instead of simply restoring the services back to the way the customer had just prior to his attempt to convert his services, which of course was never completed." How do you know that?
A. It was brought up in a management meeting that brought up the LOA and also a copy of
the term plan.
Q. How do you know that they were sent together?
A. They were presented together. The customer -- apparently, they had requested the customer to send it and they had a copy.
Q. You don't know firsthand knowledge?
A. No. It's what $I$ saw in the meeting.
Q. Was this established once? In one meeting you heard this?
A. I saw it in one meeting.
Q. Is it your testimony today that BellSouth, as a matter of course, sent the LOA with the Fill Circle promotion agreement?
A. To my knowledge, they do.
Q. And other than what you heard at that meeting, do you know is there is there any other facts or evidence to support that belief?
A. No.
Q. Look on page nine, lines one through three. "The customer is mislead into thinking he's getting the same discount as IDS is offering, but is not informed that the standard rates have been raised by BellSouth." Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. How do you know the customer is mislead?
A. I know from the CRM report, the reports on the meetings. Again, when we called them back to see why they left and we informed them BellSouth raised their rates, they said BellSouth had not informed them that the rates had gone up.
Q. Did you say notes from the CRM?
A. No. From the meeting?
Q. Notes from meeting?
A. No. I didn't say notes.
Q. Wishful thinking.

You said this was again told to you by the CRM in a management meeting?
A. Right.
Q. How many meetings was this discussed at?
A. Two or three.
Q. Do you know when the meeting occurred?
A. It would have been in the winter or spring of 2001.
Q. Do you have any firsthand knowledge of this actually occurring?
A. No.
Q. Who is in charge of the CRM? I think you told me that.
A. Fabio Galopi.
Q. The manager?
A. Fabio Galopi.

I'm sorry, Hiram Vega. He reports to
Fabio.
Q. Are either gentlemen presented as witnesses in this case?
A. Not to my knowledge.
Q. Look on page nine, lines 11 through 14.
"Furthermore, IDS did not raise its rates in response to BellSouth's increase." Is that still true today?
A. That is still true.
Q. Okay. So what does IDS charge a business customer for one line?
A. It depends on which market.
Q. Miami.
A. I believe
Q. And how long has that been the price?
A. Since we deployed the product. That would be summer of 2000 .
Q. Is that your UNE-P price or resale price?
A. It's the same. We charge the same.
Q. Okay. Then you state on lines 12 through 14, "Therefore, IDS provides an
approximate 38 percent discount compared with BellSouth's offer of 20 percent off the newly increase BellSouth rate." Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. How did you come to the calculation?
A. This is a misprint. It should say 28 percent.
Q. How did you come to that calculation?
A. Deducting the new price that BellSouth
charges for retail.
Q. Which is?
A. It's
Q. For one line?
A. Yes.
Q. In Miami?
A. Well, it depends if you're a single line business or multiline business. That's for a multiline business.
Q. As compared to your
A. Yes.
Q. Your price for that's Miami?
A. Yes.
Q. And BellSouth's price of that's Miami also?
A. That's right.
Q. How did you calculate that again?
A. Let's see, minus percent should come out around It's approximate.
Q. It's a difference between and
A. Right.
Q. All right. Look on page 10, lines 18 to 21. "BellSouth intentionally creates as negative an experience as possible during the conversion process by resetting the voicemail, dropping features, sending Yellow Pages bills to IDS in error, and a menu of other disruptions while blaming IDS for the service disruption." What is the basis for that statement?
A. It is my belief based on my experience.
Q. How do you know that BellSouth intentionally creates as negative an experience as possible?
A. Because IDS has repeatedly reported these problems to BellSouth and nothing has been done to fix them.
Q. Okay. Because of that, you believe that it's intentional?
A. Yes.
Q. Have you talked to any BellSouth employee that would support your belief that it's
intentional?
A. I believe, yes.
Q. Who?
A. Tammy England.
Q. Who?
A. Miss Tammy England.
Q. She's still employed at BellSouth?
A. To my knowledge.
Q. What's her job title?
A. She worked for BIPCO. I'm not sure if she works for BellSouth or BellSouth Yellow Pages or BellSouth Billing, but she's BIPCO I believe.
Q. Do you know if BIPCO is part of BellSouth?
A. Yes, it is.
Q. Are you sure about that?
A. As far as $I$ know, it's a wholly owned subsidiary, maybe.
Q. Is BIPCO a division of BellSouth Telecommunications, Incorporated?
A. As far as I know.
Q. Why do you believe that to be the case?
A. It's called BellSouth Yellow Pages and Advertising.
Q. But you don't know -- do you know for a
fact that it's --
A. I don't know the exact structure.
Q. Okay. So this person who works at BIPCO told you something. What did she tell you?
A. I reported she told me that she's aware that the problem still exists and that it would be fixed in such-and-such time frame.

The time frame passed, it was not fixed, and we continued to still have the same problem.
Q. All right. She said the reason we're not fixing it is because we don't want to fix it?
A. No.
Q. Then how does your conversation with Tammy England support your belief that BellSouth intentionally creates as negative an experience as possible?
A. Because we've been reporting it to her and she's acknowledging there is a problem and saying that it will be fixed on such and such a date. And here it's still not fixed as of today.
Q. As of today?
A. It's still not fixed.
Q. What problem are you referring to?
A. Yellow Pages bills.
Q. How often does that occur?
A. We're still getting them. I don't know the quantity, but they're still coming in.
Q. For which customers?
A. We have it -- I can't give you the names, but we have them.
Q. I'm asking you can you give me the names?
A. We can.
Q. Today, right now?
A. At this minute, no. But if $I$ go back to my office, I could.
Q. Okay.
A. I also made a transcript of the call.
Q. Did you produce that to BellSouth?
A. I believe I referred it to our regulatory department. I don't know if it was produced or not.
Q. Did you give it to Mr . Lerio?
A. I actually gave it to Mr. Kramer at that time.
Q. In response to BellSouth's discovery request?
A. No. I don't know if it was prior to that. I don't know if they used it or not.
Q. This is a transcript of a call with who?
A. Tammy England.
Q. You recorded the call?
A. Yes.
Q. Did she know that you were recording the call?
A. No. I thought you said reported.

No, not recorded. Yes, I reported the
call.
Q. Did you record the call?
A. No.
Q. I thought you said you had a transcript?
A. Yes. I took notes.
Q. Do you know when this occurred?
A. I believe it's in November, 2000.
Q. What percentage of IDS conversion orders experience some drop in features?
A. I don't know the exact number.
Q. What is your guesstimate?
A. What line are you on?
Q. I'm looking on lines 19 and 20.
A. The figures, I would estimate about 70 percent experience one of these problems.
Q. Okay. Have you done any analysis to come to that conclusion?
A. No, I have not.
Q. What's the basis for that belief?
A. The experience that I come across from speaking to customers. Also, some things that were referred to me.
Q. By who?
A. By the accounting department. Specifically, all the Yellow Pages bills. MR. MEZA: Off the record for a second.
(Thereupon, proceedings were held off the record.) BY MR. MEZA:
Q. So it's your belief that 70 percent of IDS conversion orders experience some type of voicemail problem, feature loss, or Yellow Pages bill error?
A. Yes.
Q. What percentage of the orders experience a problem with voicemail?
A. Well, it was every customer that had voicemail was experiencing it. So I would put that guesstimate at 20 percent.
Q. What percentage of orders experienced a drop in feature?
A. Again, 20 percent.
Q. And what percentage experience a Yellow Pages bill error?
A. Fifty percent.
Q. If I add that up, that's 90 percent.
A. I'm sorry. Should be 30 percent, excuse me.
Q. All right. That's based purely on your belief, right?
A. Yes, on belief.
Q. It's not based on any review of any documents?
A. No.
Q. It's not based upon an analysis of errors, is it?
A. NO.
Q. Are there any documents that BellSouth can review to confirm or verify your belief?
A. I believe we have every document Yellow Pages sent to us in error.
Q. Say that again?
A. I believe we have documented every Yellow Pages bill that was sent to IDS in error, I believe.
Q. Has that -- are you responsible for documents that --
A. No.
Q. Who is?
A. That would be the accounting department.
Q. Do you know if this information was produced to Bellsouth in response to its discovery request?
A. I don't know.
Q. Did you look at those documents to come to the 30 percent figure?
A. I have seen them, some of them.
Q. In calculating the belief that it's 30 percent, did you specifically look at them?
A. Yes.
Q. How many?
A. How many did I look at?
Q. Yes.
A. Maybe a little explanation is necessary here. I was responsible for resolving that problem for a period of time.
Q. Okay.
A. That's how come they came across my desk, all of them.

Then I got some solutions. I got ahold of Tammy England. I transferred the responses back to accounting to do that. So I was
specifically responsible for those Yellow Pages bills for a period of time.
Q. I'm asking you how many bills or errors did you receive out of the total amount of orders submitted to come to the conclusion or the guesstimation that approximately 30 percent of IDS's orders experienced this problem?

MR. MILIER: Object to the form.
THE WITNESS: The exact number?
BY MR. MEZA:
Q. Yeah.
A. I can't recall exactly.
Q. Just give me a rough estimate.
A. For one period of time there might have been three to 400 .
Q. Out of how many orders?
A. That's it, I can't tell you. Maybe 1,000.
Q. In what period of time are you talking about?
A. I'm talking about three or four weeks.
Q. It's your testimony today that IDS should be in possession of those three to 400?
A. The bills are actually sent back to BellSouth, but we may have kept spreadsheet
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records of how many we received.
Q. Look on page 11, lines one to three. "In fact, on several occasions, customers have told me they would never consider switching from BellSouth again because of the negative experience they had." Which customers?
A. I can recall speaking to customers that told me that, but I couldn't give you exact names.
Q. How many customers?
A. Probably five or six that I spoke to.
Q. When did they tell you this?
A. When I called them and asked them why they left.
Q. Why are you calling them?
A. There was a period before we had CRM that they would ask me to call a few customers and I called a few.
Q. How many have you called? In your career at IDS, how many have you called to find out why they left IDS?
A. I think I may have called six or seven total.
Q. Did you keep any notes of those calls?
A. No, I didn't keep notes.
Q. So let me understand how this works.

Who told you to go and make these calls?
A. My boss.
Q. Who is your boss?
A. Fabio Galopi.
Q. Mr. Galopi tells you: Mr. Hamilton, I'd like you to find out why the customers left IDS?
A. Yes.
Q. You called the customers?
A. Yes.
Q. How long is the average conversation?
A. It wasn't very long. Maybe a minute or two.
Q. And what did they tell you?
A. Basically, that because of what had happened during the conversion they would never consider leaving BellSouth again.
Q. Did you take any notes as to what they told you?
A. If I did, I don't have them. I don't recall that I did.
Q. Okay. So you would call -- I mean, did you call the six or seven people on the same day?
A. It was over a period of two or three days.
Q. They all said the same thing?
A. Yes.
Q. Was there a list that they told you to call, or that he provided you with?
A. There was a list, yes.
Q. Did you just check them off after you called them?
A. I think so.
Q. Do you still have that list?
A. I think so.
Q. Look on page 11, lines four through six. "In some instances, BellSouth Service Representatives have personally told the customer that BellSouth caused service disruption." All right. Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. What is the basis of that statement?
A. That again is from the management meeting. I recall two cases that were bought up in the management meeting that someone had contacted.
Q. So that's not base upon your firsthand knowledge, is it?
A. No.
Q. That was only told in one management meeting?
A. That I recall, one. One or two. May have been two.
Q. Do you know when they were?
A. Again, it would have been that summer of 2000 time frame.
Q. All right. Look on page 11, lines 11 through 18.
A. Um-hum.
Q. You're talking about when BellSouth dispatches a technician to the customer premises?
A. Yes.
Q. You stated on line 14, "Technician explains to the customer that they have an order from IDS to disconnect their phones." Do you see that?
A. Yes, I do.
Q. How do you know that?
A. The sales rep calls me up to say: Brad, why are these technicians going out to my customers and telling them they're there to disconnect their phones?
Q. That's how you know that?
A. That's how I know.
Q. That's not based on your firsthand knowledge?
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A. No.
Q. Who is the sales rep?
A. This was
Q. Who?
A.
Q.
A. Yes.
Q.
A. Yes.
Q. Is she still employed, or he still
employed by IDS?
A. She still has a relationship to IDS, yes.
Q. In what capacity?
A. She's an agent.
Q. What's an agent?
A. She doesn't work directly for the company, but she sells for IDS.
Q. Does she also sell for other
telecommunications companies?
A. Yes.
Q. Did she tell you how many times this was occurring?
A. Yes. I believe there was three or four.
Q. Three or four. Did she tell you which
customers?
A. I recall one customer specifically.
Q. Who is it?
A. I believe ... it's called
Q. Is that in Elorida?
A. In Elorida, yes.
Q. Did you provide a response to

BellSouth's discovery request, wherein BellSouth asked for IDS to identify all customers that were told by technicians that they were there to disconnect the phones?
A. I don't believe that was my responsibility. I had some of that to give, but it wasn't common for me.
Q. No one asked you: Brad, do you know of anything?
A. I believe so.
Q. Did you give them the name of
A. I think so.
Q. So should be mentioned in IDS's responses, right?

MR. MILLER: Objection. Calls for
speculation.

THE WITNESS: It may be.
BY MR. MEZA:
Q. Have you seen IDS's responses to
discovery to Bellsouth's discovery?
A. I believe I have perused it.
Q. Do you remember seeing the name of
A. I don't recall, but I really wasn't looking in that part.
Q. Now, how do you know was one of those three to four customers you referenced?
A. Because she told me.
Q. Did she mention the other two or three customers?
A. She may have, but I don't remember who they were.
Q. Why do you remember
A. Because it was a brand new customer. They were not an existing long distance customer. We did not have a business relationship with them prior to that. We were concerned about the impression it would give the customer.
Q. Did you talk to anyone at
A. No, I did not.
Q. Did you do any investigation into these allegations?
A. Did I investigate these? No.
Q. Did you investigate it generally?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. What did you find?
A. What was happening was that we were getting through my fax machine, that was sent to me in error, missed appointment notifications. And on these notifications there were conversion orders where a technician had gone to the premises and had faxed us a form that they were not able to get access to the premises because they missed the appointment, they missed the person.

I turned this documentation over to the regulatory department, saying why are they dispatching a technician on a conversion order.
Q. So is it your testimony to date that those missed appointment notifications support your belief that BellSouth technicians are telling IDS customers that they are there to disconnect the phones?
A. That's part of it.

In general, from the conversations I've had with the sales rep, they told me that that is
what BellSouth told the person when they got there. Then also, we have the missed appointment notes.
Q. I have seen the missed appointment notifications. To the best of your recollection, where do they say anything about talking to a customer?

MR. MILLER: Objection to the form. THE WITNESS: On the missed appointment notification? BY MR. MEZA:
Q. Yeah.
A. It may have a section on there for comments.
Q. Okay. So for instance, if a missed appointment notification says no access, it's your belief that in that situation the technician told the customer he was there to disconnect the customer's phones?
A. That's not what I said.
Q. Okay. Explain to me again how a missed appointment notification is relevant to your belief that technicians tell customers that they're there to disconnect the phone?
A. Sometimes the missed appointment says
cancel request per customer.
Q. What's wrong with that?
A. Because they told the customer they're there to disconnect the telephone.
Q. How do you know that?
A. Why would the customer cancel?
Q. What if the customer was slammed?
A. Then it wouldn't have gotten through.

Because we have the TPB for the customer and a Letter of authorization.
Q. So IDS doesn't slam any customers?
A. Not to my knowledge.
Q. What if the customer was confused and didn't want to go to IDS; is that the not a possibility?

MR. MILLER: Objection. Calls for speculation.

THE WITNESS: Do I have to answer?
I'm sorry, repeat the question.
BY MR. MEZA:
Q. Is it possible that a customer cancelled because he was confused and really didn't want to be an IDS customer?

MR. MILLER: Same objection.
THE WITNESS: That happens.

BY MR. MEZA:
Q. Okay. What's your answer?
A. It's possible.
Q. So then how do you know by looking at a missed appointment notification saying it was cancelled that means the tech. said I'm here to disconnect your telephone service?
A. Because of the report I received from the sales rep that told me BellSouth was there to disconnect the phones.
Q. That happened two or three times, right?
A. Yes.
Q. According to a person?
A. Yes.
Q. A sales rep?
A. Yes.
Q. You have not heard that firsthand, correct?
A. No. Because I don't interface with them. It would not be my firsthand knowledge.
Q. Is it your opinion and testimony today that every time a technician goes to an IDS customer premises for a conversion, that he tells the IDS customer that he's there to disconnect their service?
A. No.

MR. MILLER: Objection.
Mischaracterizing the witness'
testimony.
THE WITNESS: No.
BY MR. MEZA:
Q. Why is it that a technician is not necessary for a conversion?
A. We're using the existing facilities. There's no reason to send a technician out.
Q. Ever?
A. On a conversion to UNE-P, never.
Q. How do you know that the missed appointment notifications that you have received have been for conversions?
A. Because they have the POTS number on them.
Q. What if the customer calls BellSouth for service, are you saying that all the missed appointment notifications that you receive have a POTS number on them?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you believe that the technicians are intentionally telling IDS's customers this in order for them to stay a BellSouth customer?
A. Yes.
Q. And is it fair to say that the basis for your belief is that you've talked to a sales rep who tells you BellSouth is telling two or three customers this?
A. Yes.
Q. And based upon your review of certain missed notification appointments --
A. Yes.
Q. -- have you ever talked to a BellSouth technician?
A. Very rare occasions, yes.
Q. Did you ever ask them this?
A. No, we didn't. This was not what we're talking about.
Q. You never directly asked the BellSouth technician whether this was true or not?
A. No.
Q. Did you ever ask anyone at BellSouth whether this was true?
A. No.
Q. Why not?
A. It wasn't my place. I reported it to the regulatory department.
Q. Look on page 12, lines 15 to 17.
"Despite this representation, BellSouth continues to connect customers' ADSL service without notice and informs the customer upon inquiry that the ADSL disruption is due to having converted to a CLEC." Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. What time period are you referring to?
A. From what I understand, this is continuing to happen to today.
Q. All right. Are you familiar with BellSouth's policy that it's not required to provide ADSL service when it is not the voice provider?

MR. MILIER: Objection, foundation.
THE WITNESS: Am I familiar?
BY MR. MEZA:
Q. Are you familiar with BellSouth's policy that it's not required to provide ADSL service when it's not the voice provider?

MR. MILLER: Objection.
THE WITNESS: I'm not familiar with
that.
BY MR. MEZA:
Q. Are you familiar with BellSouth's request that ALEC's who have customers that also
have BellSouth's ADSL service, if the line is on a UNE-P account, to convert it back to resale?

MR. MILLER: Same objection.
BY MR. MEZA:
Q. Are you familiar with that?
A. I'm familiar with that a letter went out.
Q. Has IDS done that?
A. From what I understand.
Q. So it's still your opinion that BellSouth continues to disconnect customers' ADSL service without notice?
A. We find it happens on resale. I'm not talking about UNE-P.
Q. On resale?
A. Yes.
Q. Which customers?
A. I don't have the list, but I'm sure the regulatory department can provide you with that.
Q. Do you know when you said it's happening now?
A. It continues to happen.
Q. Do you know how many times it has happened?
A. I don't have the quantity, no.
Q. Do you have a problem with BellSouth's position that it set forth in its letter.
A. I didn't read the letter personally.
Q. You didn't?
A. No.
Q. Are you familiar -- you said you are familiar.
A. I'm familiar a letter went out. I have not read the letter.
Q. Do you know what the letter generally says?
A. I just understand that the letter says they're moving back to resale.
Q. Do you have a problem with that?
A. Personally, no.
Q. Does IDS have a problem with that?
A. I believe we have some objections.
Q. All right. You say on page 12, lines 22 and 23, that "IDS can convert accounts with PBX, but not accounts that have PBX and DID." Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. Why is that?
A. Because BellSouth has not provided a UNE-P USOC for the DID.
Q. Do you know if there's any technical reason BellSouth has not done that?
A. From what I understand, there is no technical reason.
Q. What is the basis of your understanding?
A. It's not in the UNE-P USOC manual.
Q. Have you asked anybody at BellSouth about this?
A. Not myself, no.
Q. Has anybody at IDS asked?
A. I believe so.
Q. Who?
A. I believe Bill Gulas.
Q. Do you know who he asked at BellSouth?
A. I don't know.
Q. Do you know when he asked him?
A. I believe it's been several times.
Q. What is the response that Mr. Gulas has received, if you know?
A. I don't know.
Q. Under your current job duties, is this something you would be responsible for?
A. What?
Q. Converting accounts.
A. No.
Q. Okay. Is this based upon your personal knowledge, this statement?
A. No. This is my working knowledge. Because as a product manager, these accounts are brought to me: Brad, how can we convert these to UNE-P? I have to say we can't.
Q. The explanation that you have in here is information that you received from Mr. Gulas, . right, on line one, page 13?
A. Yes, exactly.
Q. All right. Page 13, line five, you say 20 percent of IDS accounts have DID?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you have any documents to support that figure?
A. Probably could produce that.
Q. Okay. Was that -- when you drafted your testimony, did you work with any specific documents or do any calculations?
A. I believe so.
Q. Or was that just an estimate?
A. I believe it was an estimate based on some information I requested.
Q. From who?
A. From the IT department.
Q. What percentage of IDS lines have watch orders?
A. I don't know the percentage. Probably small.
Q. Is there a reason why Watch Alert lines cannot be converted to UNE-P?
A. No technical reason.
Q. How do you know that?
A. Because it works.
Q. It works?
A. Yes.
Q. So why don't you convert it?
A. BellSouth said it's restricted. It's not available in UNE-P. So we can only put it on resale.
Q. BellSouth said it's not available on UNE-P?
A. Right.
Q. But you know it works?
A. Let's back up.

When we started doing the UNE-P, I sent an E-Mail to Michael Lepowski asking if it can be done on UNE-P. He responded by E-mail that he thought it was an antiquated service and we probably shouldn't try it, because it probably
won't work.
Q. Okay. Have you tried it?
A. Yes.
Q. It works?
A. The one customer that $I$ did convert UNE-P, the Watch Alert went down. But then I called repair and they had it fixed right away.
Q. So is it your opinion, does it work?

I'm asking you.
A. Does it work?
Q. Yes.
A. Yes.
Q. But it originally it didn't work, it dropped off?
A. It fell off, yes.

It works only after you had to call
repair?
A. I had to call repair, yes.
Q. And you still consider that to work?
A. It works, yes.
Q. Look at this on page 13, lines 15 to 17 ?
A. Um-hum.
Q. We may as well go to 15.
"However, because these types of orders
fall out to manual handling, the possibility of

BellSouth not clarifying the orders is greatly increased."

What is the basis for your statement that because it falls out to manual handling, the possibility of Bellsouth not clarifying the order is greatly increased?
A. Again, based on experience from management meetings, orders that drop off from. manual handling, for some reason or another they don't get clarified when they should be, or they're clarified when they shouldn't be, or some type of problem happens.
Q. Have you done any study or analysis to determine how often Bellsouth does not clarify an order?
A. Have I, personally? No.
Q. And the basis of your statement is solely based on information other people have told you?
A. Yes.
Q. Explain to me your understanding of what happens when an order falls out for manual handling.
A. In this time frame, from what I understood, at that time anything that had hung on
it, anything that had something that their automated systems could not handle, it would drop out to the LCSC center for manual handling.
Q. Then what?
A. Then actually a rep would have to type the order into whatever systems they used to submit the request.
Q. If the rep does that, where does the order go after he or she types it in?
A. I believe it flows down through their systems.
Q. When is the rep supposed to clarify it back to IDS?
A. I believe when she enters it into whatever system. Maybe LEO, I'm not quite sure what it is. The system will give her whatever.

If there's a clarification, they're
supposed to prompt her to that and then she'll send it back to IDS.
Q. You're saying that doesn't happen?
A. It wasn't happening.
Q. How do you know?
A. The orders were being processed. If they have Watch Alert, it converted.
Q. You only know about that because of what
other people told you?
A. I did one order myself, personally.
Q. So this is based upon personal
experience?
A. One particular case.
Q. One case for which customer?
A. It was a request. The customer, I forget the exact name, but I have it.
Q. Is this the one that it didn't work originally but then you called BellSouth?
A. Yes.
Q. But your statement that the possibility of BellSouth not clarifying the order is greatiy increased is based upon what other people told you; is that correct?
A. The fact my order was not clarified and we made -- there was a list of other customers that we converted that were not clarified also.
Q. When did you get this list?
A. It was back in that time frame, summer of 2000 .
Q. Has IDS produced this list?
A. I don't know if it was requested or not. I'm sure that -- I know that Angel was very aware of it, so I'm sure it was part of discovery.
Q. Did you produce it to Angel to produce to BellSouth?
A. I produced my part of it.
Q. Which was what?
A. I had a couple customers I knew about personally.
Q. What are those customers? Which customers are those?
A. The names of them?
Q. Yes.
A. I just recall -- the one that I can recall off the top of my head was or or something to that nature. something.
Q. Who was the customer that as a result of not having Watch Alert was robbed over stock?
A. I forget his name, but we have it.
Q. What do you mean you have it?
A. It's in the office. I can't remember the exact name of the customer, but I believe we produced that.
Q. Were stock certificates stolen? How do you steal stock?
A. That's what he claimed.
Q. Do you know if any police report was
ever filed?
A. I don't know if it was or not.
Q. Do you know when this was?
A. It was, I believe, in the last six
months.
Q. You believe this was produced?
A. Yes.
Q. Let's go to your rebuttal.

MR. MILLER: Can we take a short
break?
MR. MEZA: I was trying to get him
out for 5:30, but if you want to take a break, that's fine.
(Thereupon, a break was had in the proceedings.)

BY MR. MEZA:
Q. Mr. Hamilton, your counsel just gave you what I believe to be your rebuttal testimony; is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you draft this rebuttal testimony?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you review it before it was filed?
A. Yes.
Q. Look on page two.
A. Yes.
Q. Line 22. "IDS is the fastest growing ALEC in Florida." How do you know that?
A. Based on our sales growth numbers.
Q. What are they?
A. I believe that to be true.
Q. I'm sorry. What are your sales growth numbers?
A. I don't have the exact, but it was a dramatic increase.
Q. Give me a rough idea.
A. Maybe percent, approximately.
Q. Over what time period?
A. The year 2000 .
Q. Is it -- would that coincide with the numbers you've previously gave me today of going from, what was it, $\longrightarrow$ local lines to $\square$ or
local customers to
A. That's possible, yes.
Q. Did you compare that growth rate to any other CLEC in Florida?
A. I did, yes.
Q. Okay. Which CLEC did you look at?
A. I looked at our nearest competitors.
Q. Who were they?
A. Empower and FDM.
Q. What about AT\&T?
A. AT\&T? No, I did not look at AT\&T.
Q. What about SBC?
A. I looked at, yes.
Q. What about MCI?
A. No, I did not look at MCI.
Q. So you made that determination after
looking at only two other CLECs?
A. No. There was also SBC, you mentioned.
Q. I'm sorry, SBC.
A. I'm trying to think of the other ones out there.

Supra, of course.
There's some other ones $I$ can't think of their name right now.
Q. How do you have access to that information?
A. CLEC.com.
Q. Who gathers that information?
A. I do.
Q. You don't consider that to be proprietary?
A. It's companies' posted growth numbers on
the internet.
Q. That's not considered proprietary?
A. No.
Q. Okay.
A. They're actually telling people how many lines they have.
Q. Okay. How many lines does IDS have?
A. At this moment, I can't tell you exactly how many.
Q. What is the most IDS has ever had?
A. The low?
Q. The most.
A. The most. From what I understand, under the range maybe.

MR. MILLER: You're asking during
the time he's aware of?
MR. MEZA: Yes.
THE WITNESS: I believe.
MR. MILLER: I believe he testified
earlier he's unaware for a certain
period of time.
THE WITNESS: Right.
BY MR. MEZA:
Q. Look on page two, line 22 again.

You say, "Therefore poses the greatest
threats to BellSouth's monopoly position in the local exchange market."

Is it your testimony that IDS poses the greatest threat to BellSouth's monopoly position in the local exchange market?
A. Yes.
Q. In the entire BellSouth region?
A. No. We're talking about Florida.
Q. Okay. In Florida?
A. Yeah. I said in here ADS is the fastest growing ALEC in Florida.
Q. But yet, Florida is not also in the latter part of the sentence. So I just wanted to make sure.
A. I'm speaking of Florida.
Q. Okay. What percentage of business lines
does IDS have in Florida of all the business lines?
A. Compared to all the competition?
Q. No. Compared to BellSouth.
A. To BellSouth?
Q. Yes. What percentage? BellSouth being 100 percent, what percentage does IDS have?
A. We might have or less.
Q. How many does AT\&T have?
A. I don't know exactly the figure on AT\&T.
Q. How many does MCI have?
A. I don't know the MCI numbers.
Q. How many does SPRINT have?
A. SPRINT is an ILEC. I don't know how many lines they have in Florida. I haven't researched SPRINT.
Q. What CLECs have you researched?
A. I've looked at EDN, Empower. Those are the two that come to mind right now.
Q. What is their percent of business lines in Florida?
A. I don't know the exact percentage, but I would imagine -- my guesstimate, looking at it, would be around percent also.
Q. What percentage of business lines in Florida are controlled or owned by CLECs?
A. From what I understand, from business Iines?
Q. Businesses.
A. I think it's percent, approximately.
Q. Are you sure about that?
A. Referring to a study.
Q. The FEC's recent study?
A. No.
Q. What study are you referring to?
A. Consumer Federation recent study. I believe it was released in January, 2001.
Q. Are you familiar with the FEC?
A. I'm familiar, but I have not read it.
Q. Are you aware of the percentage of business lines that CLECs own or control under. that report?
A. Not under that report.
Q. So it's your belief that CLECs control percent topercent?
A. Approximately.
Q. Take that calculation. If IDS has one percent and it's your belief that both FDN and Empower have percent, have percent each, that equals percent, wouldn't it?
A. Probably, yes.
Q. So no other CLEC in Florida has a business line?
A. Yes.

MR. MILLER: Objection.
Mischaracterizing witness' testimony. BY MR. MEZA:
Q. I mean, is that true?
A. I'm talking about approximates here.
Q. Okay. Well, what approximation do you believe does AT\&T posses for business lines?
A. I don't have an approximation there, because their facility provider is based on $\mathrm{T}-1$ arrangements.
Q. You wouldn't consider them -- I know you probably would consider them a BETA-Com, but isn't AT\&T an ALEC?
A. They are, yes.
Q. So in your opinion, should you included the amounts of business lines that AT\&T has in calculating the percentage of CLECs share of the business line marketing in Florida?
A. I don't catagorize them the same as our product.
Q. Okay. So when you gave me the percent figure, that's just based upon what you consider to be your competitors and your share of the same product?
A. In the small business market is what I'm talking about.
Q. Do you have an idea of what the percentage of the CLEC share of the total business market is?
A. From the study that $I$ saw, I believe it was around or $\longrightarrow$ percent.
Q. For small business, about percent?
A. From what I saw, yes.
Q. Okay. Based upon those figures, you feel that IDS possesses the greatest threat to BellSouth's monopoly position; is that correct?
A. I base my figures on the growth rate.
Q. Okay.
A. I don't talk about how many lines we have. I talk about the growth rate.
Q. Does IDS have any customers in North Florida?
A. Yes.
Q. How many?
A. I don't know.
Q. What percentage of IDS customers are in South Florida?
A. The vast majority.
Q. ercent?
A. I would guesstimate at around percent of total.
Q. What percentage of IDS's customers are out of state?
A. Possibly percent.
Q. So it would be fair to say that the remaining percent are in North Florida or other parts of Florida?
A. Other parts of Florida. Other BellSouth territory.
Q. Does IDS have any customers in Verizon's territory?
A. No. Just long distance.
Q. Why didn't IDS have any customers in Verizon's territory?
A. Well, we have signed the interconnection agreement. We have not deployed it yet.
Q. What about in SPRINT's territory?
A. No, we never.
Q. So IDS only has customers where

BellSouth is the ILEC?
A. Only local customers.
Q. Local customers?
A. Right.
Q. Look at page three, lines three and four. You state, "BellSouth has used that information, (CPNI) information, to contact customers to win them back to BellSouth." Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. How do you know that?
A. Based on the fact that these customers receive letters from BellSouth saying that you left our service. They sent out a win back letter to these customers that left their service, that left BellSouth.
Q. How many customers received this letter?
A. From what I understand, everyone.
Q. Okay. How do you know that?
A. Well, BellSouth admits that they do.
Q. How does BellSouth admit that they do?
A. Previous testimony before the Commission is that they sent a letter out for every customer that left their service.
Q. Which proceeding?
A. It was a Supra proceeding.
Q. Was that the one where you testified?
A. It was part of that proceeding, but I didn't testify in that subject.
Q. Do you remember the docket number?
A. I can get it for you. I don't remember it.
Q. Which BellSouth witness testified to that?
A. I forget the name. For some reason

Milner comes to my mind, but I'm not sure.
Q. So it's your testimony today that in a previous proceeding in front of the Commission, a BellSouth witness testified that BeilSouth sends a win-back letter --
A. Yes.
Q. -- to every customer that leaves its
retail side?
A. Service, yes.
Q. Do you know how that list or how the information is acquired?
A. No, I do not know.
Q. Do you have any theories?
A. Theories? I would imagine they take it from the list of customers that we submitted orders for.
Q. Do you know that for a fact?
A. No.
Q. Look on page three, lines 12 and 13.
"BellSouth has repeatedly told customers that the fastest way to have their service restored is by switching back to BellSouth."
A. Um-hum.
Q. What is the basis of that statement?
A. From meetings that we had and my
conversations.
Q. So the same information you provided to me in direct?
A. Yes.
Q. Repeatedly, you don't know how many times this occurred; is that correct?
A. No, I don't have exactly.
Q. All right. On page three, you are referencing an article that is attached to your testimony?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you have that?
A. I have it.
Q. Is this the entire article?
A. Yes.
Q. Where is the author's name on it?
A. You're right. I don't see it on there. This was a cut and paste, so I don't know why it didn't show up.
Q. You cut it from where?
A. From the Sun Sentinal website.
Q. Is there any information that is left off of it?
A. No. Well, maybe the author.
Q. You sent this to Suzanne?
A. Yes.
Q. Suzanne who?
A. Summerlin.
Q. So you don't know if -- I mean, look at the last sentence. It says, "BellSouth, which says it loses 6,500 customers a day to rivals, defended its business practices and suggested competitors call their former customers to get. them back." Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. You're saying there's no quote or reference or anything more after that period?
A. I don't recall that there was.
Q. Now, look on page three, line 22.
A. Um-hum.
Q. You say, in referencing this article that is attached, "BellSouth defended the very win-back efforts that Mr. Ruscilli now denies." Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. Where does BellSouth defend the very win-back efforts that Mr. Ruscilli denies in his direct testimony?
A. Where it says here, the last line on the article, "Defended the business practices and
suggested competitors call their former customers to get them back."
Q. But look at the question that was raised on page three, lines 15 to 19.
A. Um-hum.
Q. Mr. Ruscilli, correct me if I'm wrong, said it was against BellSouth's policy for customer service reps to use calls from a switching customer regarding disconnects to attempt to win back that customer?
A. Um-hum.
Q. Now, you're saying that sentence referenced in the article that is based on an E-mail, BellSouth is defending the question presented in lines 15 to 19; is that correct? MR. MILLER: Objection.

Mischaracterizing witness' testimony. BY MR. MEZA:
Q. I mean, from what I understand, John Ruscilli says it's not BellSouth's policy for a customer service rep to use calls from a switching customer regarding disconnects to attempt to win them back. Do you agree with that?
A. He said that, yes.
Q. You said BellSouth is defending the very
win-back efforts Mr. Ruscilli denies?
A. Yes.
Q. You say that?
A. Yes.
Q. You cite to this article that doesn't address the situation that Mr. Ruscilli was talking about, does it?
A. It does not not address that issue either. It said defended its business practices.
Q. Right.
A. It didn't say which practices were defended.
Q. Okay. If its business practice is that customer service reps don't do what you say they do, then what?

MR. MILLER: Objection to the form.
THE WITNESS: If -- I'm sorry.
Repeat.
BY MR. MEZA:
Q. If its business practice is that customer service reps do not take advantage of a disconnect call to switch customers back, how does this article support your belief?

MR. MILLER: Objection. Calls for
speculation.

THE WITNESS: The article says it
defended its business practices. It didn't say denied that it does these things. It said defended it.

BY MR. MEZA:
Q. So is it your testimony to date that all this article says is that BellSouth defended its business practices?
A. I'm saying the article says it defends the business practices, yes.
Q. We don't know what those are as referenced in this article, do you?
A. No.
Q. Okay. How do you know that BellSouth customer service representatives operate under sales quotas?
A. This has been publicized in the paper.
Q. What paper?
A. The Sun Sentinal, the Miami Herald. This past August, BellSouth was going through a strike, possible strike.
Q. I'm curious as to why you didn't attach those articles or even cite to them to support your allegation, but you did for the previous portion your testimony?

MR. MILLER: Is that a question?
BY MR. MEZA:
Q. Yes. Why is that?
A. I wasn't asked to.
Q. Who asked you to attach the other
article?
MR. MILIER: Before you answer
that, I'm going to instruct you when you
answer your question not to reveal any conversations with -- the substance of any conversations with your attorneys.

THE WITNESS: Okay. No. I
volunteered this article.
BY MR. MEZA:
Q. Okay. And if the basis of your statement that BellSouth reps are under sales quotas are other newspaper articles, why didn't you attach those articles there?
A. It was an omission, oversight.
Q. So what newspaper, you said, was this?
A. It was in the MiamiHerald and Sun

Sentinal.
Q. This was during the BellSouth potential
strike?
A. Potential strike in August, yes.

It was one of the issues they were taking grievances, $I$ think they call it, or something like that.
Q. Okay. Look on the continuation of that sentence. "And are therefore under an inordinate amount of pressure to sell BellSouth service." What is the basis for that statement?
A. Again, from the article.
Q. Are you a sales person?
A. No.
Q. Does IDS have sales people?
A. Yes, we do.
Q. Are they under an inordinate amount of pressure to sell IDS services?
A. I wouldn't say that.
Q. Do they make money if they don't sell?
A. NO.
Q. Do BellSouth representatives make money if they don't sell service?

MR. MILLER: Objection. Calls for
speculation.
BY MR. MEZA:
Q. He just said they're under an inordinate amount of pressure.
A. Our customer service reps are not under
any type of sales quota.
Q. I asked you your sales people.
A. Sales people, okay.
Q. I'm asking you, do IDS's sales people have to sell IDS services in order to make money?
A. Yes.
Q. BellSouth sales people, whether they be customer service reps or other types of sales people, have to sell BellSouth services in order to make a living, would you agree with that?
A. As far as I know.
Q. Isn't that the concept of being a sales person?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. All right. Here we go again with this article.

Look on page four, lines two to five. "As a result, it's apparent that some customer service representatives have capitalized on local disconnect problems with local service requests to attempt to win back former BellSouth customers." Then you cite the article that we referenced before, the Palm Beach Post E-mail.
A. This is not Palm Beach Post.
Q. Whatever this is?
A. Sun Sentinal.
Q. Where in that article that is attached does it support your statements in lines two to five?
A. Defended its business practices again.
Q. We don't know what those are as defined in the article?
A. No.
Q. Look on page four, lines 12 and 13.

Quite the contrary. "The above-mentioned increase and decrease have everything to do with IDS."

I believe you're focusing on the 15
percent price increase in business rates?
A. Ri.ght.
Q. How do you know that?
A. I know that because when I asked BellSouth for information on the program, they sent me back an E-mail saying it appeared to them it had been discontinued.
Q. How does that support your belief that the increase in the prices and the Full Circle promotion have everything to do with IDS?

I don't understand that, because that E-mail was sent to you November 9th. BellSouth didn't increase its prices until January of this
year and didn't implement Full Circle 2001 until January of this year. How does your E-mail that's received regarding a program that is no longer in effect support that statement?

MR. MILLER: Objection to form.
THE WITNESS: They sent me an E-mail that it's no longer in effect. Then they reissued it without telling me or giving me any information about the reissue.

BY MR. MEZA:
Q. But you just told me today that you don't know if they were different. You don't know if they were identical, the Full Circle promotion and Full Circle 2001?
A. I think you can infer from the name they were pretty much similar, except that the dates were different.
Q. You know that for a fact?
A. No, I do not.
Q. Look on lines 13 and 14. "ALEC's control a very small percentage of the local exchange market." How do you know that?
A. Based on, again, the Consumer Federation Study.
Q. That's solely limited to small business?
A. No. It's the entire market.
Q. You're basing it upon your belief that the ALEC's control what percent of the local exchange market?
A. The total percentage, I believe it was around or or percent, somewhere around that range.
Q. That includes residential?
A. Residential. This was in Florida.
Q. This was a January, 2001?
A. Right.
Q. What's the name of this group?
A. Consumer Federation Society, I believe it is. IDC Association. I forget exactly.
Q. Do they have a website?
A. Yes. That's why I got it.
Q. Consumer Federation Society or

Association?
A. I have the documents.
Q. Is that a CLEC industry group?
A. No. It's a consumer group.
Q. Would you believe -- if you had a choice, which report would you find more credible, the FEC's report, or this Consumer Federation?
A. Consumer Federation.

MR. MILLER: Objection, foundation.
BY MR. MEZA:
Q. That's fair.

All right. Page four, lines 15 and 17.
"It is no coincidence that the Full Circle promotion discount matched the discount being offered by IDS." How do you know that?
A. They offered the same 20 percent that we offered.
Q. But didn't it also offer 10 percent and 15 percent discounts?
A. Yes. But our customers reported that they were offered 20 percent from BellSouth. Same discount.
Q. You agreed with me earlier today there were three types of discounts, 10 percent, 15 percent, 20 percent. IDS only has a 20 percent discount?
A. That's correct.
Q. Do you know of any other AuEC's in Florida that have 20 percent discount off of BellSouth's rate?
A. I don't know.
Q. Have you inquired?
A. I've checked.
Q. How many CLECs have you checked or ALECs have you checked?
A. ALECs, six or seven.
Q. How many CLECs have you checked?
A. That's what $I$ thought you were talking.
Q. You made the distinction. Is there a distinction in your mind between CLECs and ALECs?
A. No. They're the same.
Q. Are you only concerned with the South Florida market?
A. State of Florida.
Q. Are you not responsible for IDS's other customers around the country?
A. Yes. For the local part and other BellSouth territory.
Q. But you're only concerned with Florida?
A. I monitor mostly Florida.
Q. Okay. So isn't it true that the Full Circle 2001 promotion doesn't only match IDS's 20 percent discount, it offers other lesser discounts as well?
A. Yes.
Q. Does IDS operate and have customers in
every single state in BellSouth's region?
A. No.
Q. Let me see if $I$ understand this. It is your belief and testimony today that BellSouth implemented the Full Circle 2001 program solely to go after IDS's customers?
A. Yes.
Q. How do you know BellSouth has over 11 million lines?
A. From the Consumer Federation Report.
Q. Are you an economist?
A. No.
Q. Have you taken any special courses in economics?
A. Yes.
Q. Where?
A. Nova University.
Q. And what year?
A. That would have been before I got my
degree in '92. Somewhere between '90 and '92.
Q. What classes have you taken?
A. There was a couple business classes, as I recali.
Q. Do you hold yourself out as a person whose expertise involves economics?
A. No.
Q. So your statement on page five, lines 9 through 12, that "It is only in a market monopoly such as the one held by BellSouth that the monopolist can respond to the slightest hint of competition by raising rates, because customers have no viable alternative through which to secure services;" is that statement based upon any special expertise or indication in economics?
A. That's based on my education, yes.
Q. In economics?
A. Not specifically economics. In business.
Q. Okay. You agree with me that you're not an expert in economics?
A. I'm not an expert in economics.
Q. It's your statement today that despite the fact that IDS has - or had lines at one time that, customers have no viable alternatives through which to secure services?

MR. MIILER: Objection, foundation.
THE WITNESS: I'm sorry, repeat.
BY MR. MEZA:
Q. You state on line 12 that customers have no viable alternatives through which to secure
service.
A. That's correct.
Q. Even though IDS has customers today; is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. There's other CLECs out there today operating with customers; is that correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. So are there viable alternatives?
A. They all have to buy the line from Bell.South.
Q. Yes.
A. If not the port, they buy the line. The loop, excuse me. They have to get the loop.
Q. Okay. Were you involved in the February, 2000 training sponsored by BellSouth?
A. I was somewhat involved.
Q. Please describe your involvement.
A. I was in there for a few minutes in the classroom.
Q. Who was leading the training?
A. I believe her name was Dottie.
Q. Were there two trainers there?
A. I could tell you exactly who was there. Dottie, Pat Rand, and Patty Knight.
Q. Were you trained by Pat Rand?
A. Pat Rand, she was not really training. But if you want to say that --
Q. You came down there to educate you or train you on EDI; is that right?
A. She came down there to help me with EDI. I believe so.
Q. Okay. Did you find her training helpful?
A. Not really.
Q. Why not?
A. Because when we were in the process of $I$ was typing in the orders, she was standing there. She had to call on her cellphone several times to BellSouth -- I don't know who -- to find out what the business rule was for submitting this order.
Q. It's your testimony, that Pat Rand attempted to walk you through the proper way to process an order through EDI?
A. Yes.
Q. Were you successful?
A. No.
Q. Even though a couple months ago you were successful in submitting orders through EDI; is that correct?
A. I'm sorry, can you repeat?

MR. MILLER: Objection, foundation.
BY MR. MEZA:
Q. You previously testified today that you submitted seven orders through EDI, two of which failed and five that worked?
A. Those were network combinations.
Q. You weren't submitting Network Combinations?
A. I believe we were doing UNE-P, if I recall.
Q. Do you know when you signed your UNE-P agreement?
A. No.
Q. Subject to check, would you accept the date of March 27 of 2000?

MR. MILLER: Objection, foundation.
THE WITNESS: Yeah, I would accept
that.
BY MR. MEZA:
Q. Okay. Let's assume that you are submitting Network Combination Product.
A. Okay.
Q. It's your testimony that neither you nor Pat Rand could submit an order properly through

EDI in February, 2000; is that correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. Okay. Now, isn't it a fact that you told me today that sometime in the fall or winter of 1999, you were able to submit five orders successfully?
A. That is correct.
Q. Now, were you present or do you know if Keith Kramer ever told Pat Rand and Patty Knight to process an order through EDI?
A. No. I wasn't present when they had the conversation.
Q. Okay. Look on page seven, lines four through seven. "My assessment is that this confirmed that BellSouth's EDI system was simply not adequate to permit IDS to submit its orders for conversion to Network Combinations to BellSouth electronically." Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. You're basing it on the allegation that neither you nor Pat Rand could get an order through EDI, right?
A. That's right.
Q. Did you take into consideration the past success you had in the fall or winter of 1999 ?
A. There were different types of work. The ones that did complete were simple one and two line accounts. These were more complicated accounts, multiline accounts.
Q. How many lines were involved?
A. I don't recall exactly how many lines were involved.

Look on page seven, lines 18 through 21. "Because of the large time gap between completion of the disconnect and reconnect orders, customers switching to IDS experience disruptions in services and features such as dial tone, voicemail, and call forwarding." Are you talking about the DNN?
A. Yes.
Q. How are you familiar with the DNN process?
A. I know that when we submit the order, it gives us a D\&N. We see the D\&N on there.
Q. Okay. You believe there's a large time gap between completion between the disconnect and reconnect?
A. If they don't float the correct information, there is.
Q. That happens all the time?
A. It happens a lot of the time.
Q. How often?
A. Used to be much worse than it is now.
Q. Give us some numbers or percentages.
A. Approximately percent, percent.
Q. ercent of IDS's conversion orders result in a disconnect. Is that --
A. We're talking about a time frame here that I was involved. This was back in, again, the summer of 2000 .
Q. Have you ever heard of the RRSO?
A. Yes.
Q. In your opinion, does that cure the large time gap between completion of the disconnect and reconnect?
A. In my opinion, it does.
Q. On page eight, lines five and six, you state that "The system that BellSouth uses to process its own service orders has an automatic editing and checking function." What system are you referring to?
A. Both ROS and RNS have that.
Q. Didn't you testify today that CLECWARE also has that?
A. It had some.
Q. How do you know about BellSouth internal systems?
A. From PSC proceedings.
Q. Which proceedings?
A. AT\&T proceedings that I recall.

This is again going back to where they ordered that the CLEC provisioning system interfaces with FUEL and SOER.
Q. Were you a witness to that proceeding?
A. No.
Q. You were just witnessing for fun?
A. I was researching, but it had come up again in the Supra testimony. Because the Commission recapped again an order that had the same functionality.
Q. All right. You say on page eight, lines eight through 10, "The system which is used to process orders from IDS and other ALECs does not have the editing function." Is that true for CLECWARE?
A. CLECWARE also didn't have the amount of editing.
Q. It does have some editing?
A. Some editing.
Q. Look on page eight, lines 13 to 16. "I
believe that BellSouth intentionally maintains this disparity between the two systems to make the provisioning process as negative as possible for the customer, to ensure that its win-back efforts would be successful."

What faxes or evidence are you relying on to come to that belief?
A. Again, based on the Commission findings, they ordered BellSouth to make LENS interface with FUEL and SOER to give it the same functionality as RNS and ROS. And they have repeatedly not done that. I know of two cases where the Commission has ordered Bellsouth to do that.
Q. It's your testimony that BellSouth is intentionally refusing to comply with Commission orders?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you know what orders those were?
A. I could fine them out. I don't know.
Q. I didn't hear that.
A. I could find them.
Q. Do you know when these orders were issued?
A. I believe AT\&T was one in '97. I believe another one in '98.
Q. Do you know if the Commission has done anything to BellSouth for refusing to comply with its orders?
A. I don't know.
Q. If you're accurate in your assessment of these orders, wouldn't you believe that the Commission should do something?
A. I do.
Q. Have you raised it to the Commission?
A. No. I've raised it to my regulatory department.
Q. So one order was the 1997 with AT\&T.

By the way, are you a lawyer?
A. No.
Q. What's the other order?
A. I believe it was in '98.
Q. Who was involved there?
A. I believe Supra.
Q. Were you ever involved in a decision to accept additional EDI training from BellSouth?
A. No.
Q. In December or January of 1999/2000?
A. Was I involved in the decision?
Q. Yeah.
A. I don't recall.

I may have -- in that time frame, I may have recommended that we keep them to get more training.
Q. When did IDS install TAG, do you know?
A. I think they already had it before I started.
Q. All right. You were present at the CLEC forum when the against bulk ordering feature was announced?
A. Yes.
Q. Who made the announcement.
A. I believe Pat Rand.
Q. Did any other assistant vice-presidents or vice-presidents make that announcement?
A. No, I don't believe they did.
Q. What exactly did Pat Rand say?
A. That the bulk ordering system was up and had been up. And I believe she said since April 28th.
Q. Okay. What did you do upon hearing that?
A. I believe I asked for the microphone and I asked specifically is it available for port/loop combination conversion.
Q. What did she say?
A. Yes.
Q. What did you do after that?
A. After that, I conferred with my colleague, Freddie O'Kendall. I left the room. He made the cellphone call down to Keith and said this is available now. And he decided to leave a day early so he could go back and try it out right away.
Q. Were you aware that BellSouth and IGS were negotiating the BETA-test agreement around the same time?
A. No, I was not aware.
Q. Did Mr. Kramer ask that you confirm with someone other than Pat Rand that the bulk ordering feature was available?
A. No.
Q. Did you ever feel it was necessary to contact Pat or anyone else to --
A. No.
Q. Why not?
A. I was in a room with 300 people. They were up at a podium announcing everything. Everybody was aware.
Q. You were not aware of the BETA-test?
A. I was not aware of the BETA-test.
Q. Who submitted the orders through the bulk order feature in May of 2000?
A. I believe it may have been Frederic O'Kendall.
Q. Did you have any role in that?
A. No.
Q. Were you there when the confirmations came back?
A. I was in the building, but I wasn't involved in that at all.
Q. Do you know how many orders IDS attempted to submit through TAG in April of 2000?
A. No, I do not.
Q. Did you submit any orders through TAG yourself?
A. No.
Q. All right. Are you familiar with a local pick freeze or service freeze issue in April of 2000?
A. I'm aware of the local service freeze issue.
Q. Give me your understanding.
A. Our resale accounts had been frozen to us under the local service freeze, local service provider initiated.
Q. English, please?
A. We froze our accounts.
Q. Sorry. It's the end of the day. You froze your accounts. What result did that have?
A. I don't have firsthand knowledge, other than I know when they tried to convert them from a resale base to UNE-P base, they were getting clarifications that the freeze must be lifted or whatever.
Q. So it's your understanding that the freeze that IDS placed on the accounts was causing the clarification during the conversion process?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Did you have any involvement in the attempt to fix the edit or anything?
A. No. I was not involved in that, but I knew there was a lot going on.

MR. MEZA: You want to take a two minute break before we do the video, or just go forward?

MR. MILLER: What time do you think you're going to be finished?

MR. MEZA: I think it's an eight
minute video.

What I'm a planning to do now --
MS. HELTON: I thought you were going to break about five.

MR. MEZA: I thought so too. But I promise it won't last more than 10 minutes.

I need to go over with Mr. Hamilton a video he's in that was attached as an exhibit to Miss Wellman's rebuttal testimony. I'm playing that right now, in case you have it available.

Let the record reflect, I guess, that we're now playing a video that was produced as an exhibit in response to Becky Wellman's and Bill Gulas' rebuttal testimony about IDS.

BY MR. MEZA:
Q. Who asked you to do this?
A. Keith Kramer.
Q. When did he ask you?
A. Just like the day before.
Q. What did he ask you to do?
A. To narrate the video.
Q. What's the purpose of the video?
A. It was to introduce it in the testimony.

I really wasn't given a real purpose, other than to demonstrate and narrate how to process orders.
Q. What does the video attempt to show?
A. I haven't seen the video, but I believe it attempts to show there's a big disparity between $W$ and $V$.
Q. Let me ask you this: Do you know, were you in the position of being provisioner, or whatever the term was prior to the product manager --
A. Yes.
Q. -- when IDS began to use the W?
A. No, I was not there at the time.
Q. When did you become familiar with the $W$ ?
A. It was in the June to July time frame of 2000.
Q. How did you become familiar with it?
A. I don't recall exactly, but somehow in the provisioning process it came up.
Q. Did Mr. Kramer ever instruct you to use the $W$ on any per account basis?
A. On per account, I do recall doing some Key West orders. But I don't recall exactly the scenario I was told to do them under. I believe I
keyed them as W's. I don't believe I did them any other way.
Q. Do you know -- well, in your current position, are you in any way responsible for submitting provision orders?
A. No.
Q. Or submitting orders?
A. No.
Q. Do you currently submit orders with the $W ?$
A. Only on occasion if I'm testing something.
Q. That's someone else's job today?
A. Yes.
Q. And you have not testified today or either in your direct or rebuttal testimony specifically about the $W$, have you?
A. No, not at all.
Q. Do you consider yourself an expert on the $w$ ?
A. I wouldn't say that, no.
Q. Do you know the frequency with which IDS submits orders with the $W$ versus the $V$ ?
A. No.
Q. Do you know if the $W$ can be used for all
types of conversion orders?
A. I know it cannot.
Q. For which orders can it not be used?
A. It cannot be used if there's a usage that needs to be stripped off. An OCP usage partial migration of course cannot be used in that case.
Q. Who is the resident expert on ordering?
A. Becky Wellman.
Q. Let's just briefly look at this.

Did you have a script?
A. No.
Q. How do you know there's conversion new and conversion as is?
A. I know from the conversion business rules.
Q. Do you know the limitations as to when you can use conversion new?
A. The limitations?
Q. Well, is there any? When do you need to use provision new?
A. You mean conversion new?
Q. I'm sorry.
A. When do you use it?
Q. Yes.
A. There's nothing in the CSR that needs to be stripped off. If you're not making any changes and nothing needs to be stripped.
Q. Is that "as is" or conversion new?
A. I'm sorry. Conversion new is when you have to make changes, if you're making changes.
Q. That's V ?
A. A $V$, that's right.
Q. So IDS is currently using IENS; is that correct?
A. Yes. We use both.
Q. Do you know why you're doing a conversion new here?
A. Why?
Q. Yes.
A. It was for demonstration purposes.
Q. Do you know if this type of account you were required to use a conversion new?
A. No, I don't know.
Q. Who is filming this?
A. It was a professional filmer. I don't know what his name was today.
Q. Were you involved in any editing?
A. No, not at all. This is the first time I have seen it.
Q. How do you know that?
A. Because you got through the first page and it verified that you had a multiline business at this address for this number, this customer.
Q. Is this Andre, is he a good employee?
A. Yes.
Q. Is he one of your faster provisioners?
A. From what I know.
Q. You don't have direct contact with him?
A. No.
Q. What's going on here? Are you talking right now?
A. No.
Q. You're silent, okay.
A. This is all realtime.
Q. No edits have occurred as far as you know?
A. The only one is he did the cut away to show the CSR. That was the only one.
Q. I'm fast forwarding it.

What are you comparing this to as being time consuming?
A. The W.
Q. Why did you freeze the long distance carrier there?
A. Because he's selecting IDS. It's our policy to freeze the long distance to IDS.
Q. Do you need any Letter of Authorization?
A. No. Because the drop down CLEC freezes both.
Q. But you don't need an authorization from the customer for a freeze?
A. No. There's both. There's a user freezes both, you have that choice, that's if the customer freezes both. CLEC freezes both, that's if IDS chooses.
Q. So the CLEC can unilaterally decide to freeze accounts even if the user doesn't know?
A. Yes. It's right there in the drop down box.
Q. Is that legal in Florida?
A. In some states it's not. That's why it works per state. But It wouldn't be in there if not.

MR. MEZA: That's all the questions I have.

Mary Anne, do you have any
questions?
MR. CASEY: Mary Anne is answering the phone. We don't have any questions.

MR. MILLER: I have no questions. MR. MEZA: Bob, we're finished here.
(Thereupon, proceedings were concluded

```
at 5:45 p.m.)
```
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