James Meza III Attorney

BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. 150 South Monroe Street Room 400 Tallahassee, Florida 32301 (305) 347-5561

October 1, 2001

Mrs. Blanca S. Bay6 Director, Division of the Commission Clerk and Administrative Services Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Re: Docket No. _____ BellSouth's Petition for Expedited Review of Growth Code Denials by the North American Numbering Plan Administration for the Orlando Exchange

Dear Ms. Bayó:

Enclosed is BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.'s Petition for Expedited Review of Growth Code Denials by the North American Numbering Plan Administration for the Orlando Exchange, which we ask that you file in the captioned docket.

A copy of this letter is enclosed. Please mark it to indicate that the original was filed and return the copy to me. Copies have been served to the parties shown on the attached Certificate of Service.

Sincerely,

James Meza III James Meza

Enclosures

cc: All Parties of Record Marshall M. Criser III R. Douglas Lackey Nancy B. White

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE Docket No.

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing was served via

U.S. Mail this 1st day of October, 2001 to the following:

Staff Counsel Florida Public Service Commission Division of Legal Services 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

NANPA Ron Connor Director Suite 400 1120 Vermont Avenue Washington, D.C. 20005

Leng III/V.F. James Meza III

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Petition for Expedited Review of Growth) Code Denials by the North American Numbering) Administration for the Orlando Exchange) Docket No.

Filed: October 1, 2001

PETITION FOR EXPEDITED REVIEW OF NXX CODE DENIALS

NOW COMES BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. ("BellSouth"), pursuant to 47 C.F.R. § 52.15(g)(iv) and Federal Communications Commission ("FCC") Order FCC 00-104, who petitions the Florida Public Service Commission ("FPSC" or "Commission") to review the North American Plan Administrator's ("NANPA") denials of BellSouth's applications for use of additional numbering resources in the Orlando exchange. In support of this petition, BellSouth states:

PARTIES

1. BellSouth is a corporation organized and formed under the laws of the State of Georgia and an incumbent local exchange company ("ILEC") regulated by the Commission and authorized to provide local exchange telecommunications and intraLATA toll telecommunications in the State of Florida.

2. NANPA is an independent non-governmental entity, which is responsible for administering and managing the North American Numbering Plan ("NANP"). See 47 C.F.R. § 52.13(a),(b).

JURISDICTION

3. The Commission has jurisdiction of this matter pursuant to 47 C.F.R. § 52.15(g)(iv). This provision provides that a carrier may challenge the

NANPA's decision to deny numbering resources to the appropriate state regulatory commission.

BACKGROUND AND REQUEST FOR RELIEF

4. On March 31, 2000, the FCC issued Order No. 00-104 ("FCC 00-104" or the "Order") in the Numbering Resource Optimization docket (Docket No. 99-200). The goal of FCC 00-104 was to implement uniform standards governing requests for telephone numbering resources in order to increase efficiency in the use of telephone numbers and to avoid further exhaustion of telephone numbers under the NANP.

5. Among other things, FCC 00-104 adopted a revised standard for assessing a carrier's need for numbering resources by requiring rate center based utilization rates to be reported to NANPA. FCC Order at § 105. The FCC further required that, to qualify for access to new numbering resources, applicants must establish that existing numbering inventory within the applicant's rate center will be exhausted within six months of the application. Prior to the ruling, the Central Office Code Assignment Guidelines, used by the industry and NANPA to make code assignments, required the applicant's existing number inventory within the applicant's serving switch to exhaust within a specific months-to-exhaust ("MTE") of the code application in order for a code to be assigned or for the carrier to prove that it was unable to meet a specific customer's request with its current inventory of numbers. The FCC stated its reason for the shift to a "rate center" basis for determining the need for new numbering resources was intended to "more accurately reflect how numbering

resources are assigned" and to allow "carriers to obtain numbering resources in

response to specific customer demands." FCC Order at ¶ 105.

6. As a result of FCC 00-104, the FCC adopted 47 C.F.R. § 52.15

(g)(iii) and (iv) which provides:

All service providers shall maintain no more than a six-month inventory of telephone numbers in each rate center or service area in which it provides telecommunications service.

The NANPA shall withhold numbering resources from any U.S. carrier that fails to comply with the reporting and numbering resources application requirements established in this part. The NANPA shall not issue numbering resources to a carrier without an Operating Company Number (OCN). The NANPA must notify the carrier in writing of its decision to withhold numbering resources within ten (10) days of receiving a request for numbering resources. The carrier may challenge the NANPA's decision to the appropriate state regulatory commission. The state regulatory commission may affirm or overturn the NANPA's decision to withhold numbering resources from the carrier based on its determination of compliance with the reporting and numbering resource application requirements herein.

7. Since the beginning of this year, BellSouth has submitted several

applications for additional numbering resources to NANPA and NeuStar, the pooling administrator, for assignment of additional numbering resources to meet the demands of its customers in several Florida exchanges, including Jacksonville, Ft. Lauderdale, Orlando and Miami.

8. BellSouth has completed these applications in accordance with Industry Numbering Committee's (INC's) guidelines and filled out the necessary Months-to-Exhaust Certification Worksheets as required.

9. BellSouth has utilized mechanisms such as number pooling to manage its numbering resources in the most efficient manner. However, as the Commission is well aware, in some circumstances, BellSouth has been required to petition the Commission for relief. As for this request for additional numbering resources for the Orlando exchange, BellSouth states the following:

10. The Orlando exchange consists of six (6) central offices and seven (7) switches, Azalea Park (ORLDFLAPDSO), Colonial (ORLDFLCLDSO), Magnolia (ORLDFLMADS1 and ORLDFLMA42E), Pinecastle (ORLDFLPCDSO), Pinehills (ORLDFLPHDSO), and Sand Lake (ORLDFLSADSO).

11. On August 27, 2001, BellSouth made a request for numbering resources for the Colonial switch to allow BellSouth to meet the numbering demands of its customers in this switch. See Attachment 1. Specifically, the request for the Colonial (ORLDFLCLDSO) switch was for general growth numbering resources needed to retain a six (6) month inventory of telephone numbers.

12. At the time of the code request, the entire Orlando exchange had a MTE of 7 and a utilization of 94%, while the MTE for the Colonial (ORLDFLCLDSO) switch was 1.54.

13. On September 13, NANPA denied BellSouth's code request for the Colonial switch in the Orlando exchange. See Attachment 2. The basis for

NANPA's denial was that BellSouth had not met the rate center based MTE criteria for each switch now set forth in the Central Office Code Guidelines Section 4.2.1, notwithstanding the fact that BellSouth does not have the numbering resources needed to satisfy customer demand in this switch.

14. BellSouth's requested numbering resources would not materially impact exhaustion of available numbers in the 407/321 area codes.

15. As discussed above, both the FCC Order and NANPA's Central Office Code Guidelines provide that state regulatory authorities have the power and authority to review NANPA's decision to deny a request for numbering resources. See 47 C.F.R. § 52.15(g)(3)(iv); § 13.0 of the NANPA Central Office Code (NXX) Guidelines.

16. Under earlier MTE procedures used by NANPA, waivers or exceptions were granted when customer hardships could be demonstrated or when the service provider's inventory did not have a block of sequential numbers large enough to meet the customer's specific request. Under existing procedures, NANPA looks at the number of MTE for the entire rate center without any exceptions. The current process is arbitrary and results in (1) decisions contrary to the public interest and welfare of consumers in the State of Florida; and (2) decisions that do not necessarily promote the efficient use of telephone numbers.

17. Unfortunately, BellSouth's inability to obtain numbering resources in the above switches, which are necessary to meet its customers numbering demands in multi-switch rate centers, will not be the last time BellSouth

experiences this problem. BellSouth has a total of 101 rate centers in Florida with 30 of these being multi-switch rate centers. Some of the switches within these multi-switch rate centers are already within or near the six MTE. BellSouth, however, believes that it will be unable to meet the six MTE threshold at the rate center level in all of these multi-switch rate centers, causing serious problems with fulfilling its carrier of last resort obligations.

18. BellSouth requests that the Commission's reverse NANPA's decision to withhold numbering resources from BellSouth on the following grounds:

(a) NANPA's denial of numbering resources to BellSouth interferes with BellSouth's ability to serve its customers within the State of Florida.

(b) The MTE at the rate center level requirement is discriminatory against the incumbent LEC, since the ILEC is typically the only local service provider with multiple switches in a rate center. The ILEC deploys multiple switches in a rate center in order to meet customer demand for telephone service. The new FCC rules for obtaining numbering resources both penalizes and discriminates against the ILECs for deploying multiple switches. BellSouth believes that it is patently unfair to require that the ILEC only get six (6) MTE in all the switches it has deployed in a rate center, when the ALECs, which have recently entered the local service market, have to meet the MTE requirement in only the single switch that they have deployed to serve their customers in a single rate center or even multiple rate centers.

(c) As a result of NANPA's denial of BellSouth's request for additional numbering resources, BellSouth will be unable to provide telecommunications services to its customers as required under Florida law.

WHEREFORE, BellSouth requests:

1. The Commission review the decision of the NANPA to deny

BellSouth's request for additional numbering resources; and

2. The Commission direct NANPA to provide the requested code for

the Orlando exchange discussed above.

Respectfully submitted this 1 st day of October, 2001

BELLSOUTH TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC.

Nancy B. White James Meza III 150 West Flagler Street Suite 1910 Miami, Florida 33130 (305) 347-5558

Lacker VF. 101/ant R. Douglas Lacke

675 West Peachtree Street, Suite 4300 Atlanta, Georgia (404) 335-0747

ATTACHMENT 1

	Centi		le (NM) Assi red Septemb	gnment Reques er 11, 2000	t – Part 1
	Type of A	pplication:	New	Change ¹	Delete
1.0 GEN	ERAL INFOR	MATION			
1_1 Contact	Information:				
Code Ap					
Company	y/Entity Name: rters Address:	BellSouth Tel		ns, Inc st Peachtree Street	NE
City, Stat		Atlanta, Georgi		st Parchtree Street	, N.C.
Contact	Name:	Rena Wilkie			
Contact City, Stat		(same as above) (same as above)			
Phone:	 			: rena.wilkie@brid	ge.bellsouth.com
	dministrator:'	unh Adaaa			
Name: Address:	Su	rah Adger ite 570 / 1800 Su	itter Street		
City, Stat	te, Zip: Co	oncord, California			 .
Phone:	925-363-87			FAX: 925-363-8	<u>3714</u>
1.2 NPA:	407	LATA:4580	26 OCN:3941	7 Parent Compa	ny's OCN(s) 9400
Quitabias	Identification	(Quitobiog Enling		<u>RLDFLCL</u>	nso.
		~		_	_
		: OPLAND		ate Center:50P	JAN () O
Homina	Tandem Operat	ing Co.: <u>BS</u>	T Tanden	Homing CILITM.7	ORLOFLCLOI
		AT 14	-01 R		
1.3 Dates: I	Date of Applic	ation: 0	Re	quested Effective D	ate: ^{as} <u>AS</u> AP
1.4 Type of c	ompany/entity 🕅	questing the co	de:		
a). L	.EC (LEC,	IC, CMRS, Other	·)		
b). b) T	ype of service	Preference (Optio	(e.g., Cel	lular • Type 2)	
				800 000	
d). Code	s that are unde	esirable, if any	666	900 900)
e). Type			2 /		
1.5 Type of	Request (Initial	, growth, etc.):	youth		e e e de la comise within 00
tr an initi	iai code, altaic h (attach months	nication and (2)		e code in service within 60
davs. I	-				
-					
Pod Ind	icator			×	
Pod Ind			No	×	
Pod Ind	opardy Criteria	(YES) ¹⁰ Apply: Yes ervice (Explain):		×	
Pod Indi 1.6 NPA Jec 1.7 Code rec	opardy Criteria quest for new a	Apply: Yes ervice (Explain):		for RO	BS & BRIDS ^{11 12}
Pod Indi 1.6 NPA Jeo 1.7 Code rec 1.8 Part 2 is I hereby certi knowledge ar Assignment	attached fy that the above dudelines poste	Apply: Yes ervice (Explain): Part 2 e information reque ication has been	is not attached _ esting an NXX c o prepared in acc	for RO	BS & BRIDS ^{11 12} ate to the best of my tral Office Code (NXX) c/incdocs.htm) as of the date
Pod Indi 1.6 NPA Jeo 1.7 Code rec 1.8 Part 2 is I hereby certi knowledge ar	attached fy that the above d that this appl Guidelines poste	Apply: Yes ervice (Explain): Part 2 e information reque ication has been ed to the ATIS W	is not attached _ esting an NXX c o prepared in acc	for RO	ate to the best of my tral Office Code (NXX)

Title	ature of Authorized Representative of Code Applicant: <u>KULA</u> WUKLE <u>CODE</u> ACMINISTRATOR Telephone No.: <u>404927-4917</u> FAX No.: <u>404524-2</u>
•	Telephone Numbers (TNs) Available for Assignment (See Glossary3): 55,221 Month Month 11 #12
B. C.	Previous 6-month growth history4 4418 5343 7415 17045 1588 1304 Forecast - Next months 1-125 6186 6186 6186 6186 6186 6186 6186 618
D. E.	Average Monthly Forecast (Sum of months 1-6 Part C above divided by 6):
F.	Utilization Level7 = Telephone Numbers (TNs) Assigned X 100 = 94 % Total Numbering Resources in Applicant's Inventory
	growth code for ORLOFLCLDSO
plai	nation:

Ч

ATTACHMI	ENT	2		
			0 P004/00	4
NO.	7934	P .	4/4	

Central Office Code (NXX) Assignment Request - Part 3 Effective May 18, 1998

Code Request Tracking Number: 407-125766

SEP. 13.200111:07AM NANPA CO CODE ADN

09/13/01 13:30

	trator's Respecte/Confirmation
Date of Application: <u>August 27, 2001</u>	Date of Receipt: <u>August 29, 2001</u>
Date of Response: September 13, 2001	Effective Date:
Company/Entity Name:BELLSOUTH TELEC	COMMINC DBA SOUTHERN BELL TELA TEL
Code Administrator Contact Information:	
Terah Adger	Phone: 9253638705
Signature of Code Administrator	
Terah Aden	Fax: <u>9253638714</u>
X NPA: 407	Code Assigned: Date of NXX Cede Assignment:
a. Switch Identification (Switching Entity/PC	DI): ORLDFLCLDSO Rate Center: ORLANDO
b. The Code Administrator is is not _X	responsible for inputting Part 2 information into RDBS and BRIDS.
c. Routing and Rating information complete: Additional RDBS and BRIDS information 	
d. To be published in the LERG and TMP by Additional RDBS and BRIDS information	I needs to be received by the code administrator no later than
Code Received:	Date of Reservation:
Your code will be honored until	
Switch Identification (Switching Entity / P	*Ol):
Form incomplete	
Additional information required in the foll	
Months to exhaust exceeds 6.0 months	
X Form complete, code request denied	
Explanation:	
Assignment activity suspended by the administrator	
Explanation:	
Further Action:	
X NPA is jeepardy: Yes No _X	
If yes, refer to Section 7 of the assignment	guidelines.
hangs/Disconnect List:	
ades must demonstrate that existing codes within	de Assignment Guidelines, Section 4.2.1. code holdors requesting grow the rate center will exhaust within 6.0 months If you are in disagreement for to the Central Office Code (NXX) Assignment Guidelines for the

Ĩ

End of Document