

Hublic Serbice Commission

CAPITAL CIRCLE OFFICE CENTER • 2540 SHUMARD OAK BOULEVARD TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32399-0850

-M-E-M-O-R-A-N-D-U-M-

- DATE: NOVEMBER 7, 2001
- TO: DIRECTOR, DIVISION OF THE COMMISSION CLERK & ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES (BAYÓ)
- FROM: DIVISION OF COMPETITIVE SERVICES (ISLER)
- RE: CANCELLATION BY FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF ALTERNATIVE LOCAL EXCHANGE TELECOMMUNICATIONS CERTIFICATE FOR VIOLATION OF RULE 25-4.0161, F.A.C., REGULATORY ASSESSMENT FEES; TELECOMMUNICATIONS COMPANIES.

DOCKET NO. 011130-TX - EASY PHONE, INC. D/B/A EASY TEL, INC. DOCKET NO. 011143-TX - EASYCOMM CORPORATION DOCKET NO. 011145-TX - ALL KINDS CASHED, INC.

AGENDA: 11/19/01 - REGULAR AGENDA - PROPOSED AGENCY ACTION - INTERESTED PERSONS MAY PARTICIPATE

CRITICAL DATES: NONE

SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS: NONE

FILE NAME AND LOCATION: S:\PSC\CMP\WP\011130.RCM

CASE BACKGROUND

Each of the certificated companies listed on Attachment A were mailed the 2000 Regulatory Assessment Fee (RAF) notice. When payment had not been received by the due date, the Division of the Commission Clerk & Administrative Services mailed a delinquent notice to the companies. As of October 23, 2001, none of the companies have paid the past due amount.

The Commission is vested with jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to Sections 364.336, 364.285, and 364.337, Florida DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

14067 NOV-63

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

Statutes. Accordingly, staff believes the following recommendations are appropriate.

DISCUSSION OF ISSUES

ISSUE 1: Should the Commission impose a \$1,000 fine or cancel the certificates issued to the companies listed on Attachment A for apparent violation of Rule 25-4.0161, Florida Administrative Code, Regulatory Assessment Fees; Telecommunications Companies?

RECOMMENDATION: Yes. The Commission should impose a \$1,000 fine or cancel each company's respective certificate as listed on Attachment A if the fine and the regulatory assessment fees, including statutory penalty and interest charges, are not received by the Commission within five business days after the issuance of the Consummating Order. The fine should be paid to the Florida Public Service Commission and forwarded to the Office of the Comptroller for deposit in the State General Revenue Fund pursuant to Section 364.285(1), Florida Statutes. If the Commission's Order is not protested and the fine and regulatory assessment fees, including statutory penalty and interest charges, are not received, the certificate numbers listed on Attachment A should be canceled administratively. (Isler)

<u>STAFF ANALYSIS:</u> Pursuant to Section 364.285, Florida Statutes, the Commission may impose a fine or cancel a certificate if a company refuses to comply with Commission rules. Rule 25-24.820, Florida Administrative Code, establishes the requirements for cancellation of a certificate. The rule provides for the Commission to cancel a certificate on its own motion for violation of Commission Rules and Orders.

Rule 25-4.0161, Florida Administrative Code, which implements Section 364.336, Florida Statutes, requires the payment of regulatory assessment fees by January 30 of the subsequent year for telecommunications companies, and provides for penalties and interest as outlined in Section 350.113, Florida Statutes, for any delinquent amounts.

Staff was notified by the Division of the Commission Clerk & Administrative Services that the companies listed on Attachment A have not paid their 2000 regulatory assessment fees, plus statutory penalty and interest charges. Therefore, it appears the companies have failed to comply with Rule 25-4.0161, Florida Administrative Code, Regulatory Assessment Fees; Telecommunications Companies and have not requested cancellation of their certificates in compliance with Rule 25-24.820, Florida Administrative Code. This fine amount is consistent with amounts imposed for recent, similar violations.

This is the second docket opened against each company for nonpayment of the RAF. In Docket No. 992038-TX, Order No. PSC-00-1679-AS-TX was issued on September 19, 2000, which accepted Easy Phone, Inc. d/b/a Easy Tel, Inc.'s settlement offer. The company paid the past due amount, proposed to pay future RAFs on a timely basis, and offered to pay a \$100 contribution. The company paid the \$100 contribution, and the docket was closed.

In Docket No. 991999-TX, Order No. PSC-00-2038-AS-TX was issued on June 13, 2000, which accepted EasyComm Corporation's settlement offer. The company paid the past due amount, proposed to pay future RAFs on a timely basis, and offered to pay a \$100 contribution. The company paid the \$100 contribution, and the docket was closed.

In Docket No. 992004-TX, Order No. PSC-00-1236-AS-TX was issued on July 7, 2000, which accepted All Kinds Cashed, Inc.'s settlement offer. The company paid the past due amount, proposed to pay future RAFs on a timely basis, and offered to pay a \$100 contribution. The company paid the \$100 contribution, and the docket was closed.

Accordingly, staff recommends that the Commission assess a \$1,000 fine for failure to comply with the Commission rules or cancel each company's respective certificate as listed on Attachment A if the fine and the regulatory assessment fees, including statutory penalty and interest charges, are not received by the Commission within five business days after the issuance of the Consummating Order. The fine should be paid to the Florida Public Service Commission and forwarded to the Office of the Comptroller for deposit in the State General Revenue Fund pursuant to Section 364.285(1), Florida Statutes. If the Commission's Order is not protested and the fine and regulatory assessment fees,

including statutory penalty and interest charges, are not received, the certificate numbers listed on Attachment A should be canceled administratively.

ISSUE 2: Should these dockets be closed?

RECOMMENDATION: Yes. The Order issued from this recommendation will become final upon issuance of a Consummating Order, unless a person whose substantial interests are affected by the Commission's decision files a protest within 21 days of the issuance of the Proposed Agency Action Order. These dockets should then be closed upon receipt of the fine and fees or cancellation of the certificate. A protest in one docket should not prevent the action in a separate docket from becoming final. (J. Elliott; K. Peña; B. Keating)

STAFF ANALYSIS: Whether staff's recommendation on Issue 1 is approved or denied, the result will be a Proposed Agency Action Order. If no timely protest to the Proposed Agency Action is filed within 21 days of the date of issuance of the Order, these dockets should be closed upon issuance of a Consummating Order and upon receipt of the fine and fees or cancellation of the certificate. A protest in one docket should not prevent the action in a separate docket from becoming final.

DOCKET NO.	PROVIDER LAST REPORTED REVENUES & PERIOD COVERED	<u>CERT.</u> NO.	RAFS	<u>P and I</u>
011130-TX	Easy Tel, Inc. 1999 Revenues - \$0 For the Period Ended 12/31/99	4769	2000	2000
011143-TX	EasyComm Corporation 1999 Revenues - \$0 For the Period Ended 12/31/99	5706	2000	2000
011145-TX	All Kinds Cashed, Inc. 1999 Revenues - \$0 For the Period Ended 12/31/99	5760	2000	2000

-