McWhirter Reeves

TAMPA OFFICE: 400 NORTH TAMPA STREET, SUITE 2450 TAMPA, FLORIDA 33602 P. O. BOX 3350 TAMPA, FL 33601-3350 (813) 224-0866 (813) 221-1854 FAX

PLEASE REPLY TO: TALLAHASSEE

TALLAHASSEE OFFICE: 117 SOUTH GADSDEN TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32301 (850) 222-2525 (850) 222-5606 FAX

January 11, 2002

VIA HAND DELIVERY

Blanca S. Bayo, Director Division of Records and Reporting Betty Easley Conference Center 4075 Esplanade Way Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0870

Re:

Docket No. 010937

Dear Ms. Bayo:

Pursuant to Order No. PSC-01-1969-PAA-TI, dated October 3, 2001, USLD Communications, Inc. submits its Final Report regarding the refund that was the subject of the Order.

The attached detail delineates the amounts that were refunded, the manner in which the total was calculated, and the amount (\$69.41) that USLD proved unable to refund. A check in the amount of \$69.41 is enclosed.

USLD notes that the amount refunded exceeded the amount of the refund required by Order No. PSC-01-1969-PAA-TI. The discrepancy is due to a characteristic of the program used to apply the credits. In the preliminary analysis performed to identify the customers and the number of calls associated with the inadvertent overcharge that was the subject of this docket, the program netted, with the inadvertent overcharge that was the subject of this docket, the program netted, with the inadvertent overcharge that was the subject of this docket, the program netted, with the inadvertent overcharge that was the subject of this docket, the program netted, with the inadvertent overcharge that was the subject of this docket, the program netted, with the inadvertent overcharge that was the subject of this docket, the program netted, with the inadvertent overcharge that was the subject of this docket, the program netted, with the inadvertent overcharge that was the subject of this docket, the program netted of the program netted of the program is a subject of the program of the program netted of the program is a subject of th against the amount owed, any credits that had already been received by customers. The program that applies the refunds does not take any such credits into account. Accordingly, to ensure that all customers who were entitled to credits received them, it was necessary to issue duplicate credits in $\frac{1}{60}$ some instances.

Please contact me if you need additional information.

Sincerely,

Joseph A. McGlothlin

Joe a. Mi Blothlin

JAM/mls

Enclosure

cc:

Ray Kennedy Carol Kuhnow

FPSC-BUREAU OF RECORDS

to one received with thing and Jan Placal for deposit. er a copy of check का है जारी की का हरकी.

maleje of person who forwarded check:



Qwest Communications Credit Rejects Report





Library		<u>Calls</u>	<u>Minutes</u>	<u>Dollars</u>
21	QWEST Sent Processed	1	3 3	\$1.36 \$1.36
23	QWEST Sent BIC Rejects Processed	6,742 -134 6,608	22,418 -342 22,076	\$4,273.11 (\$69.41) \$4,203.70
	TBR Sent Processed	174 174	427 42 7	\$66.41 \$66.41

Page: 1 of 2



Qwest Communications Credit Rejects Report



GREDIT ID: FL-49SUFLT3 ISSUE DATE: 05/01/99 thru 04/30/01 STATE: FL

	Calls	<u>Minutes</u>	<u>Dollars</u>
Sent to LEC for processing Rejects owed to PUC (?)	6,917 -134	22,848 -342	\$4,340.88 (\$69.41)
Credit issued to customers	6,783	22,506	\$4,271.47

Page: 2 of 2

Program : CreditReject Final Report - Pg 2 of 2

Run Time : Jan 02, 2002 01:52:58 PM