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ID. ADMTD.

MS. JABER: Counsel, go ahead and read the notice.

PSC STAFF: Notice issued by the clerk of the Florida Public Service Commission on November 19, 2001, and published in Florida Administrative Weekly on November 30, 2001, advises that a customer service hearing in this docket beginning at 10:00 a.m. Central Standard Time Wednesday January 16, 2002, at the Pensacola City Hall in Pensacola, Florida.

Another service hearing will be held beginning at 1:00 p.m. Central Standard Time Wednesday January 16, 2002, in the main ballroom of the Holiday Inn Select in Panama City, Florida.

The notice states that the purpose of this hearing is to take testimony from members of the public concerning Gulf Power Company's request for a rate increase. The procedure to be used in this hearing is follows: The company will present brief summary of its case and then members of the public may present testimony. Members of the public who wish to present testimony are urged to appear promptly at each scheduled service hearing since the
hearing may be adjourned early since no witnesses are present to testify.

MS. JABER: Thank you. Mr. Stone, can you go near a microphone where you can make an appearance, please.

MR. STONE: Thank you, Chairman Jaber. I'm Jeffery A. Stone of the law firm of Beggs and Lane here in Pensacola and I represent Gulf Power Company and after you have finished taking appearances, I do have some preliminary matters before we proceed.

MS. JABER: Thank you, Mr. Stone. Mr. Burgess?

MR. BURGESS: My name is Steve Burgess. I'm here for the Office of the Public Counsel. The Office of the Public Counsel is the office that is established by statute to represent the citizens of the state of Florida.

MR. STRINGER: I'm Michael Stringer and I'm Public Service Commission staff.

MS. STERN: I'm Marlene Stern and I'm in the Office of General Counsel for the Public Service Commission.

MS. JABER: Good morning. I am Lila
Jaber of the Florida PSC and I would like to
take this opportunity to welcome you all for being here this morning and I also would like to introduce my colleagues here that are with us today. To my right we have Commissioner Terry Deason and to his right, Commissioner Michael Palecki. To my left we have our newest commissioner, this is Commissioner Rudy Bradley.

You need to know that this item eventually will be decided by a full commission. There are five of us. We are part of a five member panel. We are here today because Gulf Power Company has filed a petition for a rate increase that the Commission will consider real soon. And part of every rate case, there is a quality of service determination and we have these service hearings so that we cari hear comments and concerns and questions from customers related
 hear input with respect to the rate increase overall.

We will be here until the last
customer finishes his or her testimony. You
are very much invited to participate to the
degree you feel comfortable today. You need to know that if you testify today it is part of formal proceeding and I will, once the company and public counsel make opening statements, I will ask that anyone who intends to speak stand up and I'll administer an oath and have you sworn in to testify today.

We will not leave, though, until the
last customer testifies. If you are not comfortable testifying or would rather submit your comments in a more informal fashion, you may do so. When you walked in, you should have received a yellow sheet like this. The last page of the yellow sheet allows you to file customer comments, and you may submit those comments to me, to any of the commissioners or our staff that are here today.

Richard Tudor is the staff person that greeted you when you walked in this morning. He is right there (indicating). So you are welcome to give him the yellow sheet as well.

When you come up to testify, please spell your last name for the court reporter and I would ask that we make sure that we speak one
at a time so that the court reporter can catch all of the comments.

And with that, I would like to turn it over to Mr. Stone. Let's do opening statements and go ahead and get started this morning.

MR. STONE: Thank you, Chairman Jaber. Before we begin with the company's brief presentation, I have a few preliminary matters to take care of. Eirst, I have provided each of the commissioners with a copy and the court reporter with the original of the affidavit regarding the efforts Gulf has undertaken pursuant to the rules and the applicable order regarding both this hearing and the rate case itself. That is a composite exhibit I would like to have marked for identification. It consists of the affidavit and three attachments.

MS. JABER: Yes, that will be
Composite Exhibit Number 1, and without objection, it will be admitted into the record.

MR. STONE: Next, I would like to advise the Commission and the audience that Gulf has its district matter, Mr. J.to. Young,
present and he has a staff of customer service representatives that are present and are available to address any individual customer's specific issue and if they have any of those matters they would like to present to the company, Mr. Young is available and he would get them in touch with his staff of customer service representatives to resolve the issues. I would also like to make note of the fact that although there have been a number of articles in the local newspapers regarding Gulf Power's plans to install additional emissions controls at Plant Krist just outside of Pensacola, those plans have not been finalized and regardless, they are not part of the rate case that is the reason you have come to town today.

Given the limited time available for the service hearing and the fact that there is another hearing in Panama City this afternoon, it maybe, and more importantly, there will be a future opportunity for comment once those plans are complete and presented to the Commission. It may be appropriate to hold such comments regarding those articles and those plans for a

## future date.

And then when the Commission is ready pursuant to the notice, the company is required to present a brief summary of the case and Mr. Bowden, company president, will be prepared to make that presentation when you are ready.

MS. JABER: Thank you. Mr. Burgess, would you prefer that Mr. Bowden make the presentation prior to your opening statement or would you like to go ahead and make your opening statement?

MR. BURGESS: Perhaps I think I'd prefer, if the Commission approves, of the company going first and then $I$ could simply respond.

MS. JABER: Mr. Stone, go ahead and have Mr. Bowden come up and make that presentation. And it's my understanding, Mr. Bowden, that we will be able to see it on the screen?

MR. BOWDEN: That's correct. There will be a presentation that will show up on the screen, and I think for the convenience of the commissioners, I have given you a hard copy as well if you would prefer to use that.

But we want to welcome the Commission to Pensacola and Northwest Florida. We're glad you are here to be with us today. I want to just take a few minutes to go over some of the issue involved in this case as well as issues surrounding Gulf Power Company.

The last rate case that we filed with this Commission was more than twelve years ago and in that intervening time, we have been able to maintain our low rates. They're lower today than they were in 1989 because of a decrease we had a couple of years ago. They're among the lowest in Florida as well as in the nation.

During this intervening time since our last case, a number of things have changed. We have had significant growth in Northwest Florida. As you can see here, we have added over a hundred thousand customers since 1990 which is a thirty percent ( $30 \%$ ) increase in our number of customers that we serve.

Also our energy use by our customers has also increased significantly. It's up some twenty-five percent (25\%) when you measure it is on a peek demand basis which is about 450 megawatts. Because of these increases, that is
why we're here today. We had to do some things to meet this challenges and the main thing that we're doing to meet that is building a new generating unit that we will discuss in a little detail in a moment.

The need for this unit was submitted to the Florida Public Service Commission and that need was approved in June of 1999. As a part of the power plant siting process in the state of Florida, it was also submitted to the Governor and the cabinet and that project was approved in July of 2000 . This unit is located at our Plant Smith which is near Panama City. We began construction in 2000 and that unit is on schedule to be -- to go into commercial service later on this year.

A few particulars about this unit: It's a 574 megawatt unit. It's the largest single generating unit that we have ever installed in Gulf Power Company's history. It is a natural gas-fired unit containing the latest environmental controls. Most of our generators is coal-fired, so this will give us some fuel diversity when we have this unit coming on line since it's natural gas-fired.

This unit, this investment in this unit, is the major factor in our rate increase. It makes up about seventy percent (70\%) of the total requested amount of the request that we submitted to the Commission.

But also beyond the investment in this, we have had significant investment over the last twelve years in distribution and transmission facilities as well. As you can see, some of the lines are depicted here in this photograph. We've also had substations, transformers and other associated equipment associated with the transmission and distribution facilities. These were necessary also because of the growth that we have had in our customer base.

We feel like in this time period since on our last case, Gulf Eower Company has had several notable achievements. Some of those are located here on this side and I will comment briefly on those.

We have focused on customer satisfaction in recent years and we have achieved some significant marks in that regard. We participate or are a participant in a
national survey that is conducted by an independent survey party of sixteen peer utilities around the United States and Gulf Power Company ranks first or second in several categories in that survey.

We have also maintained high reliability during this time period. We have had several storms in the Pensacola area in Northwest Elorida, particularly in 1995 having two major hurricanes. We restored that service after those hurricanes as well as the normal kind of thing that you have weather and otherwise throughout the year and we're proud of the reliability that we have had with -- and we think this is a major contributor in those satisfaction ratings that $I$ just mentioned.

Other things that we have done throughout Gulf Power Company, we have taken advantage of new tax technologies throughout the company with a dual objective there of controlling and lowering our costs as well as improving customers satisfaction. And we have been able to do this by using technology and improving our work processes. In spite of the growth that we have had, we have thirteen
percent (13\%) fewer employees today than we had twelve years ago.

These actions have culminated, I
think, in what I mentioned earlier about having the low rates. We have had some of the lowest rates -- we have the lowest rates in Florida and some of the lowest in the nation. And even after this increase, we will still be significantly below the national average. If you measure this increase and the comparison with the national average based on a thousand kilowatt hour, we're over ten percent (10\%) below the national average even after this full increase would be implemented.

We're proud of the record that we have had in Gulf Power Company and the service that we have rendered to our customers, but we know that we can't be satisfied with that record. We have a number of new challenges in this year and out beyond in the future. Our industry is undergoing changes. We expect a continuation of the technological advances that have occurred where our customers have taken advantage of those technologies and we expect that to continue and we know that that is going
to bring some higher reliability issues that we will have to address, some that we don't even know about today, but we're getting geared up for that.

Another thing that has changed is financial markets. They're much more volatile today than they were say ten to twelve years ago. People today have many more options to invest in different types of financial instruments and other things, and I think also investors' expectations are much higher than they were a few years ago.

Another thing that happened right after the day we filed our case with the PSC is the Terrorist attacks in New York and Washington, and of course, this has heightened significantly the security that we're going to have to obtain in the future and we're already under way with doing that as well.

Next thing I will talk about it higher customers expectations and I don't to minimize this because $I$ think that has been something that has been very significant in the last few years. Today our customers, and I don't think we're unique in that, people want
service that is reliable. They want quick response to their needs and they insist on that and I think this is brought about because most people today have computers and other highly sensitive electronic equipment in their homes and businesses and they want a reliable source of electric power and we have been providing that and we're geared up and will be geared up to do that in the future.

As I mentioned earlier, this has been
a high growth area and we expect that to continue in the future, and our plans are focused on meeting those challenges in the future. Gulf Power Company plans well in advance for that and this rate increase request is based on those future plans.

We expect to meet the high growth that we expect to continue in this area. I mentioned the reliability of needs have grown in recent years and I think they will continue to grow, and we want to maintain a high customers satisfaction rate that we have achieved in the last few years. We think that is very important.
investor expectations. If we don't do that, we won't be able to meet or customers growth needs and those needs in the future. So we have to have access to the capital markets to obtain capital to make the investments and do the things that we have to do, and I mentioned it before how those financial markets have changed and we expect that to continue to change as well.

Let me just express my appreciation to the Commission and to the members of the audience for the opportunity to present this overview of Gulf Power Company and our rate case. Thank you.

MS. JABER: Mr. Burgess.
MR. BURGESS: Thank you, Chairman
Jaber. We salute Gulf Power's restraint, its history of rate stability for the last decade and for the cost saving measures that they have implemented to be able to achieve this. Nevertheless, we're looking at a substantial rate increase that Gulf is seeking at this point, 70 million dollars. That has to be examined in depth and each and every aspect of that has to be examined and scrutinized to
determine whether, in fact, it does justify the rates that Gulf is seeking to obtain from this hearing.

Public Service Commission staff, the PSC's professional staff has examined Gulf Power's books and records in depth. Our staff has examined Gulf Power's records and we have hired consultants that have also examined the books and records of Gulf Power.

We have five witnesses, the Public Counsel's office, has five witnesses that will testify in this case and the cumulative result of this is our conclusion is that Gulf Power has substantially overstated its need for rate relief. We'll present this in due course before the Public Service Commission in Tallahassee and the lines are being drawn at this point.

We have preliminary have identified over a hundred and twenty specific issues that will be presented to the Public Service

Commission for them to arrive at a
determination on each one the cumulative effect of which will determine how much the rate increase, if any, will end up being. That
will happen, as I say, in Tallahassee in what we call the technical side of the hearing.

At this point, though, that is
secondary as far as our concern and the reason that the Public Service Commission and our office as well as members of the Gulf Power Company travel to the service areas, Panama City specifically, and here as well, is to determine what the customer input is. That is the point of this hearing and so I will be brief in my comments and look forward to hearing what the customers have to say. Thank you.

MS. JABER: Thank you, Mr. Burgess. At this time, for those customers or members of the audience that wish to speak, if you will please rise and raise your right hand.
(WHEREUPON, all parties were sworn.)
MS. JABER: Mr. Burgess will call you up in the order that you signed up to speak and if you will come to the podium and remember to spell your last name for us that would be wonderful. Mr. Burgess, go ahead.

MR. BURGESS: Monty Blews.
MR. BLEWS: My last name is spelled

B-I-E-W-S. Madam Chairman and members of the Commission, my name is Monty Blews. I'm the general manager of the Santa Rosa Island Authority which is an independent special district of Escambia County. In effect, we manage Pensacola Beach which is on the barrier island out here. It's about an eight mile segment and is commonly referred to as Pensacola Beach.

This is a barrier island and I'm here to speak today about the quality of service that our entity has received from Gulf Power. I have been in this role for almost ten years now, about eight or nine years. I would like to speak specifically to something that has already been mentioned which was the effects of the major hurricanes after 1995 and 1996. Our island was devastated and essentially we went into essentially twenty-four seven operation essentially every day trying to get this place put back together. Gulf Power had its crews out on the beach. Everyday at 4:30 in the afternoon we had a daily review and ops briefing of what we had accomplished throughout the day. We had arguments between the power
people, the water people on however you want to do it. We opened up streets by street. The bottom line is they committed the resources to allow us to get our island back in operation. We could not have done it without them. We were one of the quickest operating to get back in operation. Our businesses became operational quickly. We had residents back out on the beach, and there was a commitment to get us back and going.

The significance is that not only are there residents there but there are businesses and this is the core of the tip of the spear, if you will, for our tourism industry and we had to get that back and operational and let the nation know that we were still there. They also went off -- we had Hurricane Georges which came on later on. They have been very cooperative helping us to get our island back in shape.

Another item of interest to all of us in the state of Florida is the natural turtle habitat. Those of us that are essentially on our coast have a problem with disorientation and other dangers and threats to species of
turtles when they try to lay their eggs and the hatchlings try to swim out to see. They are disoriented by high and bright lights along the shoreline, they turn around and come back and essentially die. Their navigational system is all turned around. We have had strong corporation with Gulf Power. Like Mr. Sacks (phonetic) some members of the staff, they are here today to work with us on this project and he was the key guy that worked with us on hurricanes also.

And what we have done is worked with
Gulf Power and at their expenses we have modified and shielded lights along the gulf front area and in addition established and installed new items I think referred to as cobra heads which essentially bring the power down into a cone and it doesn't go out on the beach. And to They have been strong partners with us, if you will, to try to keep our island in good shape and in fine condition.

And just on a closing note, we are a very patriot community up three. When $9 / 11$ hit, we as a community had to cancel a fifty year tradition which was the Homecoming show
for the Blue Angels and we didn't know how we were going to do that. The Navy asked the Island Authority if we would host it, so we did. We normally do a show and do it in the summertime out here, but we did a three week period of time what we normally do in about six months. They were supportive in helping us. They had positioned vehicles out here in case we had big crowds and had some problems with service.

And on a real small note, we just installed about a hundred and fifty American flags across our bridge going out there. We couldn't get up on the poles to hang them on the light posts and Gulf Power helped us do this. It's a small thing. I just wanted to share with you today my feelings as the general manager of the Island Authority and the community that the culture of Gulf Power is a strong, good contributing corporate citizen. Madam Chairman.

MS. JABER: Thank you, Mr. Blews. Commissioners, do you have questions? Thank you, sir. Mr. Burgess.

MR. BURGESS: Paul Goudy.
MR. GOUDY: My name is Goudy, G-O-U-D-Y. Madam Chairman and the rest of the commissioners, thank you very much for the opportunity to speak to you. I spoke at the last hearing ten years ago and my objections are the same this year as they were then.

But before I get to the objections I would also like to comment on the service. I believe it is excellent. I have absolutely no Complaints except for squirrels who knock us out but that is taken care of very rapidly. I have a number of friends -- I am an electrical engineer, I'm retired -- I have a number of friends working for Gulf Power and I can attest to the quality of their personnel. So on that I give them very high grades.

My objection is and I have no argument that they probably need a rate increase. How much I am not able to determine. That is your job. My problem is that the rate increase seems to be directed to the individual consumer. If you go through the rates, they're the RS class which I think is residential service as an eighteen point four percent rate
increase. The others are substantially less and in the upper bracket, I guess the industrial bracket, there is actually a rate decrease and this is where I come apart.

The statement was made that there are
a thousand -- hundred thousand more customers and the usage has gone up and I think this is just another case that says that growth does not pay for itself. In my own personal case, I built a new home two years ago, made it energy efficient as possible. My electrical usage, and you all can check this out if you want, has overall gone down somewhere between thirty-three and fifty percent of what it was in my former residence. And this can be done. So I have cut my usage down and yet I'm going to have to pay a substantial increase in the rates.

It leads me to question why is there
not some sort of an impact fee on new users
that would help pay for the investment in
capital that would provide the energy required?
That is the question number one.
And number two, why cannot
residential customers have say a sliding rate
on the first thousand then a little more on the next two thousand a little more on the next three thousand. I know they have a system in now based on time of day which I do not subscribe to because when I want power I want it. I'm ready to pay for it. So the time of day doesn't bother me, but the usage bit is something that I personally think ought to be explored.

I believe last time the rate increase for residential customers was something in the order of seventeen percent. This time it's more.

So that's about all I have to say. From a service standpoint, they're excellent and I feel they're entitled to something, but don't take it out of the individual consumer customer's hide. Thank you.

MS. JABER: Thank you Mr. Goudy. Just so you know, Mr. Goudy, in response to your questions, the actual rate structure will be an issue in the case and with respect to allocations, that is something that our staff will thoroughly review.

MR. BURGESS: John Marts.

MR. MARTS: My name is spelled M-A-R-T-S. Thank you for the opportunity to address this group. If I ramble, that is my normal procedure.

Speaking of the same rates that he just spoke about, they run from eighteen and half down to one percent and if you would like to write them down, the ones that are on your yellow sheet are eighteen and a half, eight point three, five point two. That is GST. LP four point oh. PX is a wash. So is PXD.

Another point that was brought up here about having a sliding scale for low usage. You already have a built-in scale for that. You have an eight dollar fee at least on my thing which I will pay and which becomes a lesser part of the total bill as the usage goes up. So that partly is covered already, good or bad.

I will also wonder if this isn't a good time to run a bond issue because they're certainly not paying any interest anywhere else.

I would also like to know how much of the total power produced goes to the RS
customers. And I have got some other questions of my own mind. For instance, what percent of power is lost in transmission. That is of interest to me. And are large users' rates negotiated. Now, I used to work in a chemical plant and I ran a lot of mechanical and electrical equipment. At the time we installed a fifteen hundred horsepower engine that was capable of direction a power type of say a synchronous motor. We negotiated our power rates very substantially because at that time we elected not to take gas and we were also correcting the power company's power factor without the use of capacitors as such.

And I'm also interested in my own mind if someone wants to comment on it, is what area is the new plant going to serve. Is it going to be tied in essentially with Pensacola so that these two plants are feeding together towards a middle so to speak or if there is some other general -- some other distribution. And that is all questions $I$ have got. And I would like to say though, I'll save it to last, that Gulf Power service is excellent. I have absolutely no complaint at all. I'm very
pleased with it. I have been other places. It's good. Thank you.

MS. JABER: Thank you, sir. Mr. Marts, let me address the company and what I believe the answer is, but I want to make sure. Mr. Stone, it's my understanding that the new plant although located in Panama City, it is your intent that the service would be provided, that the plant would be used to provide service throughout the Gulf Power territory.

MR. STONE: That is correct, Commissioner Jaber. The plant serves our entire grid.

MS. JABER: And with respect to the percentages of power produced that goes to the residential customers, if you will have one of your folks here today sit down with Mr. Marts and walk him through those percentages, that would be very helpful. Thank you, sir.

MR. DEASON: Madam Chairman, may I take a moment to answer a few of those questions?

MS. JABER: Yes. Yes.
MR. DEASON: Mr. Marts, I appreciate you being here today. I'm the one speaking
right here. You make a very good point about the fact that interest rates are low currently and you raised the question about issuing bonds. That is a matter which will be reviewed in the technical hearings which Mr. Burgess eluded to earlier. We will review the entire capital structure of the company, the way they go out and obtain financing and the cost of that financing and it will be the attempt of the commission to determine what is the least cost way to finance the capital improvements which are necessary.

You question how much power goes to residential customers. That is a factual question which we can get the answer to you. I don't have that with me right at the moment, but that is probably part of what we refer to as the minimum filing requirements which are required to be filed at the very beginning of a rate proceeding. And you are correct, there is a certain percentage of transmission lossage which do occur. We also can get that number for you as well.

You also question as to whether there are rates that are negotiated for large
customers. The company has a certain amount of discretion. We have allowed them tariff provisions which allow them to negotiate with certain customers under certain situations. We have given that flexibility, it is something that is reviewed by the commission. They have the burden to demonstrate to the commission that if they enter into such an agreement that it is for the benefit of all customers.

What I mean by that, if there are certain loads that would not have occurred that would be lost off the system which is cost effective load which helps spread fixed cost and if that load was not served, then that would be a loss to all customers and they have the obligation to demonstrate that any such arrangements are cost beneficial to the entire body of customers.

And Madam Chairman, you already addressed the fact that the new plant is part of an integrated grid and it's designed to serve all customers as need arises. I also would point out that it's my understanding that if and when it's possible for power from that plant to be exported out of system that that
can happen, but what that does is that creates revenues which can then offset customer rates within the area and this plant is efficient and it's my understanding that those opportunities may arise.

And we have staff here and if there are any other questions you wish us to address, we'll try to give you any information you desire. Thank you for being here.

MS. JABER: Mr. Burgess.
MR. BURGESS: Jeff Schembera.
MR. SCHEMBERA: Schembera,
$S-C-H-E-M-B-E-R-A$. I represent Okaloosa-Walton Junior college. I'm the senior vice president there for administrative services and that encompasses utilities payment and utilization. We have had a long and fruitful relationship with Gulf Power over the last thirty-five plus years and I would like to speak to the issue of quality of service.

To use an example of the responsiveness of the community involvement of Gulf Power, back in September, September 8th to be exact, we had seventeen hundred people coming to our Fine and Performing Arts Center
and we have a new library going up and the contractor, in spite of the plans and in spite of the engineering markings where the power lines that fed the entire campus happened to be, drilled into those not once but twice knocking out the entire campus, and specifically that evening the Arts Center. So as those seventeen hundred people began arriving, we made an emergency call to numerous people one of which was the local Gulf Power manager, Ms. Sandy Sims, and within minutes we had four crews arrive and they worked at, we didn't, they worked out a solution to run power above ground temporarily to a transformer that would feed the Arts center so that we would be able to provide the performance and satisfy those seventeen hundred paying customers that had made some fifty or sixty thousand dollars worth of ticket purchases.

That is an example of the type of responsiveness that we've had and the working relationship we've with Gulf Power over the years, and we think that their involvement in the community and their work with their
customers has been outstanding and that the quality of service is outstanding and we would just like to attest to that. Thank you.

MS. JABER: Thank you. Commissioners, any questions or comments? Mr. Burgess.

MR. BURGESS: Penny Desimone.
MS. DESIMONE: Hello, I'm Penny
Desimone. That is spelled D-E-S-I-M-O-N-E. I represent Action for the State of Communities and we're about ecotechnology. I am a citizen of Pensacola and been so since '72. Please forgive me. I came unprepared. I wasn't aware this meeting was going to be until late yesterday.

What interests me in rate increases is I guess the bigger overall picture. I know economy and finance and marketing is very important. What guides that? What rules that? What are the bigger issues? What is happening in our country in our global transmission. I look at the technology and all I've gotten so far, to my understanding, is that we're going to be paying seventy million dollars in a new energy providing plant that is run on natural
gas which is a conversion from the coal. That still leaves issue that are very pertinent here and across the globe.

One, and I don't know who it was that was speaking that the emissions controlled plant has not totally been closed or defined or whatever. These are very important when you are talking about rate increase, we're looking at yes, maybe Florida has low rate increase but we also have very high illness here and cancer and pollution from emissions. And we have to start look at the bigger picture. That's very interesting and it might be new and it be old, but I don't know people's own understanding, but I have had the opportunity to research and coordinate with many great people at our own Southern Company.

So my question is on the technology, we have now our global transmissions, hydrogen technology that gasification, plasma gasification technology, has been researched and developed for now over fifty years. It's been in the background for good reason, you know. It has to be Texaco, all the big DOE, the Department of Energy, you have to have the
background scale research and application before you put it on the wide scale market.

For thirty years now that has been going on. It's already been researched. It has large scale application. Our Department of Energy, we don't have to re-invent the wheel. So I began to research. Our own Southern Company has been involved and it's really interesting and so exciting. As part of the DOE fossil energy program, Southern company is working with the National Energy Technology Laboratory, the Electric Power Research Institute, and several industrial partners to improve the technology.

They have a huge plant in
Wilsonville, Alabama. So I called, I called Gulf Power and I asked them, you know, about the technology because there is so much funding now with -- the Government just released and I knew they would. $9 / 11$ expedited what was going to come.

Hydrogen is the most abundant fuel element in the whole universe. We extract it by incineration through our fossil fuels. That is (inaudible) now because of natural gas. Now
we're going to go to natural gas. We were doing it in many more ways. You talk about the problems with the emissions and Krist -- I'm sorry. Let me get back on task here.

Anyway, after $9 / 11$ it's been well in the making and many, many, many grants and fundings that are available to introduce plasma science into school systems.

We just finished a deadine on
December 12 th that we could have gotten in, Gulf Power, on getting millions of dollars to begin implementing this technology that already their Southern Company has done. They're not aware of that and that is okay. We wonder why. If it's so good, why are we not aware? There is timing in economics. There is timing. 9/11 said, Okay, we're putting it forward. No, they're not going to just make it available. So I'm saying, Please, let's look at it, let's contact our Southern Company and find out, now when are you going to release Gulf Power as one of the pilot companies to begin implementing this technology. We're recognized as nationally critical. And he said, Well, we plan to begin implementing the technology
surrounding areas within two years, but Gulf

Power is not a candidate. And the reason behind it is because it's not solicited because of the knowledge base.

So I'm here to say I have done months and months of resources and research and I would be glad to meet with you. I don't have it complied very well right today, but I'm making a resource guide that will give you the resources you need. The Department of Energy, I'm working with them very carefully. The education plans are out there. The community plans, the fundings are out there to implementing the partnership for schools.

Eact, we have a pollution problem
here. The money that is going toward is outdated technology. To me it's going to just down the road you can look everywhere, it is coming out economically. Two hundred billion dollars a year alone was in this technology in this country.

And this is not something I invented and it's very exciting and $I$ know many people aren't aware. And I really want to be able to provide you so that we all can begin to be
renewable and reuse the clean energy that is readily available to us. It's not a futuristic thing. It's here, it's available, and we just have to open our eyes and resource and network out so that we can become aware that as a community that is what it takes, community awareness.

And so any information, the monies, the Vision 21 program, ISO 14000 programs, all these programs toward bringing the technology that we truly, truly need to remedy our health problems, turn around and be economically powerful here.

You can take Gulf Power turn around in their own energy, Krist mill we won't have fly ash because we won't have to worry about disposing of it. It can convert. It's called feed stock and it can do solid, liquid, gas, anything. It goes in a feed stock. Even our hazardous waste sites, it is incredible. This has been done. It converts to a cine (phonetic) gas which is a non-volatile gas and then converts to a slab which is a molten material, almost glass. It is non-toxic, it's reusable and it's a remarkable product. And it
is exciting. It is the nomenclature of our children.

It is -- the people who are wise will begin to look at investments now. Just in the three months since $9 / 11$, the investment has increased, the energy companies. Tampa Electric gasification, they just got one of the largest grants from the DOE to expend down there because they've got state of the art using the plasma system.

There is a lot of going on here that I think our town, that we're not educated on. We're focusing on our immediate needs which is fine. This is not making anybody wrong. Why aren't we there? Why aren't we doing this? Why aren't we talking about new technology? Why aren't we talking about, you know, the economic potential that we have now and to clean up the environment at the same time. It seems too good to be believed, but it's here and we have the opportunity.

So I would really like us to look in that direction because those truly are solutions. To me these are temporary diversions because our energy needs are not
only going to increase as they have a hundred and thirty percent, they're going to be compelled beyond our imaginable needs.

And so all of our country leaders are already implementing it and getting it pretty much -- it's pretty much a hands full to say here it is and I would like to offer that information resources so that you can take off on your own journey of exploration and I think it will be quite interesting what you find.

So if I can help you or assist you in any way with my research that I've done to provide that to make it easier for you because I know we're all busy and all have to focus on certain things and that is why we come together as a community. And it's time we look at the bigger picture, look at the bigger picture in the technologies and what we're using, pulling the emissions we pull in and all that we pull in our economy. This is sound solutions and they are our future and they are our now and I'm saying let's hop on board. Let's be the pioneers of Pensacola enterprise. You know, our parent company, Southern, already has had their foot in the door, you know, for fifteen
years or more. So let's joint forces, please.
I don't mind paying rate increases if it's toward these solutions that are going to be a true solution. You know, seventy million rate increases to continue to pollute it and still having the problems that we have and just taking coal to natural gas is not really a solution. It's a temporary diversion and it will run out. Thank you.

MS. JABER: Thank you, Ms. Desimone. You probably, because of your research, already know that the Govern's 20/20 Commission has issued it's final report. I think it was issued mid-November, and there was a huge section devoted to the environmental needs and new technologies and encouraging fuel diversity for environmental needs, but also for the long term meeting the needs of Elorida for the next twenty years and it is very much on the state radar screen. Everyone is trying to get there. The interesting balance, of course, is to make sure that there is a balance between cost and increases and protecting the environment and I'm sure you are well aware of that.

I want you to know that Gulf Power
participated thoroughly in that process, and as it relates to the unit that they're proposing now for Panama. City, there was a determination several years ago that at the time that was the least cost alternative. It is a combined cycle unit which makes it more efficient than the coal unit. So I wanted to just to offer that to you to let you know that from a statewide prospective, many people have gotten together and Gulf was certainly a partner in that regard.

As far as your research, you are welcome to share it with us. If you would like to make sure our staff gets it, you are welcome to that. We're all accessible on the e-mail if you like to e-mail it to us. I encourage you to share it with the Department of Environmental Protection.

MS. DESIMONE: I have, and being a small, you know, and it's a very new introduction and really you get a lot of resistance which is okay. I keep coming back. But I have been to those and I will continue to go to International Paper because this same technology can be used as we're building

International Paper with a brand new ECUA water delivery. I mean, it can be implemented now also. So there are things out there. Interestingly enough, I would like -there is the National Hydrogen Association, okay. This is the kind of thing we began to look at and say on a state level but on a local level, and I truly, and that is what $I$ would like to offer is that we just missed in Orlando this past Monday and Tuesday the Coal Based Energy Hydrogen conference in Orlando, you know. It was very inexpensive. It was a great symposium and introduced our infrastructure and anybody who is really truly interested in what is happening and where it's going with this and it was strictly -- it was focused on our energy producers.

Another one is coming up you may be interested in looking at. And this is on a local level, I want to see this, too, yes, on the state we're becoming interested. There are people already understanding where it's going and knowing and already making -- it is economically feasible. The government are giving grants like crazy and matching it with
companies that have been well established.
The National Hydrogen Association is having a meeting now. This is international. It's going to be in Canada in June and $I$ believe the ninth through the fourteenth. I mean, truly, one representative from every -from Gulf Eower, from Southern, from everybody to go to these things and begin to really educate and see what is happening, and get the resources that we need because they're available. It's soliciting. It's getting the time to get the education and the knowledge out there. So we need to say yes, I could go to DEP and DEP knows about it up in certain areas, like Washington and certain areas. They always guide you to the grants and the educational material which is great.

Now we need voices and that is why I appreciate having the opportunity to get up here and share this because a lot of people don't. And the ones that think I'm just ratting out my mouth, there is a very interesting -- to begin your search alone and the people in the community, just get on US Department of Energy and their hydrogen program
or their gasification technologies and it will take you everywhere from curriculums designed from kindergarten all the way the PhDs into plasma science and into hydrogen. It goes into your gassification emissions that go into the land fills.

It shows you and gives you everything you need. It shows the solicitations to bring up on a community level, programs for community awareness or charting out the five year plan. So this is something that has been already done. Thank goodness we don't have to redesign the wheel. Really. Reinvent it because it's there for us. Now we need to tap into it.

So those of you out there, the Department of Energy, look under gasification technology as well as www.plasmas.com. They are a coalition trying to get everyone out there more educated and knowledgeable. This is where we're going. This is what we need to leave our kids. So we can't leave them with what we have now. We're using up our resources and on an economic standpoint, this is what they're going to be educated about. Schools will flourish, education will change because we
are in the middle of a major, exciting work of transition and we got to pick up on it and so anyway. Thank you.

MS. JABER: Thank you. Mr. Burgess.
MR. BURGESS: Tom Roberson.
MR. ROBERSON: Good morning. My name
is Tom Roberson. My last name is spelled
$R-O-B-E-R-S-O-N$. I appreciate -- I have never
been to one of these meetings before and I appreciate the opportunity to come and speak. I want to thank you all for what you do. I know it can't be easy trying to balance the needs of a private company and a free enterprise system with the needs of consumers. It's a hard job and I appreciate you doing it.

I don't want to sound anti-Gulf Power in any of my remarks. I think Gulf Power is a first class company. Anyone who has seen them respond to the needs of people during the hurricanes or seen them get together and head out of state to help other states, I don't think you can say anything negative about that company. I am not against them making money. On the contrary I want to see them do well. One of the biggest holders of stocks and
utilities across our whole nation is public and private-owned pension funds. So we want our utilities to do well because a lot of people benefit in the long run.

What concerns me today and why I have come to speak is the amount of the rate increase. I really don't understand exactly how things work between the company and the way that they budget and what they present to you. When I first got the notice in the mail with the power bill, just reading the little notice that they sent to the customers, my first thought was I didn't really understand why there was a need for a rate increase. The company is saying that the customer base has increased, their existing customer base was buying more product from the company and that they were building a new plant which would provide additional products to be sold to additional customers.

So my first thought was that initial revenues would be generated and therefore, why would there be a need to have a rate increase. Also in their notice they mentioned that even with the increase their rates would still be
among the lowest in the nation. I think that is good. It should be a source of pride for the company to have low rates. On the other hand, I think it's kind of -- we really ought not to be basing rates here on other areas of the country, South Florida, New York and California. They all have different -they're all different areas. They have different costs of living and so forth. So I really don't think that that is something that we need to put a lot of stock in. One of the biggest things that concerned me about the amount of the double digit rate increase, right now the two biggest stories on the new is the state of -- the national state of emergency with the armed forces having been deployed overseas and the resulting recession nationwide. As a result of the terrorist attacks and need for additional security, the airlines are losing revenue because of reduced tourism all across the nation. There is reduced economic activity because of what is happening.

In this area, in this region, wages, jobs, those sort of things, are not -- I hear a
lot on this about people graduating from college and having to leave the area to find decent paying jobs. If any kind of increase, it's not just how much an individual home pays for their power, it's region-wide and when you add up all the individual homes and customers, business and whatever, that is a huge, huge amount of money to be pulling out of the economy and I just feel like that really is like throwing a pebble in the pond. It's going to have repercussions of not just only local and national.

> If there is a legitimate need which you are better to judge than I am. If there is a legitimate need for additional revenues and additional money I am not against that. I feel like there is a better way to be able to get them this revenue without such a large increase at one time, and once again, you are better able to judge how it can be done and I'm sure the company has some input. I'm sure there is a better way that could be a win-win, not just the company but the customers as well.

I thank you to take the time to
lister to me.

MS. JABER: Mr. Roberson, your initial question was how does it work, how is it that they come in and justify their request and it's a series of requirements that they have to meet and those requirements involve showing us their expenses, their level of revenues, how much growth has occurred in the area and as you can imagine, with growth comes additional expenses.

I preface my response to you by tell you we're not done. This is very preliminary in the process. So I don't want you to misunderstand our responses or concerns to be that we have already decided this case. In fact, we have a team of staff that analyzes the information as it was filed. We conduct discovery similar to a jury trial. We do depositions and send out requests for information and we audit the company. So we will look at all of that thoroughly.

Just to answer your question, there have been increased cost on utilities company even post September 11th because there is a heightened need for security and those are the kinds of things that a company may ask for a
rate increase for. There are environmental requirements that they have to meet and as you can imagine, those environmental requirements have become more stringent over the years. Those are the kinds of things that will show up in the requirements that are filed with the Public Service Commission.

MR. ROBERSON: Thank you.
MS. JABER: Thank you. Are there customers that have come in the audience after we swore in the initial round of customers? Are there people that would like to speak now?

MS. JABER: Come on up to the podium, sir, and I'll swear you in. Have you been sworn?

MR. GRIFFITH: Yes. My name is Michael Griffith. I'm a newspaper reporter with the Bay Beacon in Niceville. I had a couple of questions, particularly for the Gulf Power representatives and anyone else that cares to address them.

The first of these is you mentioned earlier about the increased use of personal computers having an effect on the demand for power. I noticed in the personal computer
industry as the number of customers buying personal computers increased, the prices of personal computers have decreased dramatically over the years. Whereas, infrastructure expansion in the companies was usually funded through investors. Why doesn't the power company do it the same way?

Also, the Northwest Florida Water
Management Authority has implemented conversation rates for water customers throughout northwest Florida. Are similar rates being considered for electrical power?

And also how do Gulf Power rates and I know they are favorable compared to the rest of the nation, but how do they compare to other regional power suppliers such as Choctawhatchee Electrical Cooperative or other companies?

And, last but not least, is there any possibility of a power crisis similar to the one that California faced last year?

MS. JABER: And you are asking those questions of the company? Would you like to do this after the hearing or are you trying to get --

MR. GRIFFITH: I could if that would
save time.
MS. JABER: You may want to do that after the hearing. I'm assuming you are trying to put an article together?

MR. GRIFFITH: Yes, I am.
MS. JABER: Because I don't think Mr. Stone is the person that will be able to answer your questions. You need to make sure you are sitting down with a technical person from Gulf Power so that they can give you that rate comparison that you are looking for. Let me verify that.

Mr. Stone, who is it that is better able to answer Mr. Griffith's questions?

MR. STONE: Mr. Hutchinson our manager of corporate communications will get with Mr. Grifíth.

MS. JABER: And Mr. Griffith, certainly if you need to speak to any one of us, to we can do that after the hearing as well.

MR. GRIFFITH: Thank you.
MS. JABER: Thank you. Are there customers? The purpose of this hearing is we want to make sure we're hearing from customers
today. Are there customers that wish to speak before we adjourn for the day? Okay.

Commissioners?
We are going to conclude for today.
We have a second service hearing in Panama City. Just for the benefit of the consumers, if you are following the development of the case, our staff will prepare a recommendation for us to consider that recommendation. It will be published April 18th tentatively and we are tentatively scheduled to decide this matter on May 7. Thank you for being here.
(WHEREUPON, the proceedings were concluded.)

## CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

## STATE OF FLORIDA )

COUNTY OF ESCAMBIA)

I, ANGELA E. SEWELL, Professional Court
Reporter and Notary Public, do hereby certify that the proceedings were taken by me in machine shorthand and was thereafter reduced to typewritten form by me or under my direction and supervision, that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the testimony given to the best of my understanding and ability.

I EURTHER CERTIEY that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to the action in which this proceeding was taken; and, further, that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney or counsel employed by the parties hereto, nor financially interested, or otherwise, in the outcome of this action; and that I have no contract with the parties, attorneys, or persons with an interest in the action that affects or has a substantial tendency to affect impartiality, that requires me to relinquish control of an original deposition transcription or copies of the transcript attorney, or that requires me to provide any service not made available to all parties, the action;


My commission expires: 09/15/04

## AFFIDAVIT

The undersigned JOHN L. HUTCHINSON ("Affiant"), being first duly sworn, deposes and says that:

1. Affiant is the Manager of Corporate Communications for Gulf Power Corporation ("Gulf Power") and has personal knowledge of the facts stated herein.
2. Gulf Power, in order to provide the public with information regarding its Request For Rate Increase before the Florida Public Service Commission, Docket 010949-EI, has provided information as detailed below in compliance with Rule 25-22.0406 of the Florida Administrative Code, Commission Order No. PSC-01-2035-PCO-EI and the Notice of Customer Service Hearings issued November 19, 2001.
3. On September 10, 2001, Gulf Power mailed a copy of the Petition for Rate Increase to the chief executive officer of each county and municipality in the affected service area in compliance with Section 25-22.0406(2), Florida Administrative Code.
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6. On November 2, 2001, Gulf Power began sending to its customers an approved notice in compliance with Section 25-22.0406(5), Florida Administrative Code. Beginning with billing cycle 3 on December 6, 2001, and ending with billing cycle 2 on January 5, 2002, Gulf Power sent to all of its customers an approved notice in the form of a bill insert in compliance with Section 25-22.0406(5), Florida Administrative Code. Those Gulf Power customers who receive electronic bills were sent a electronic notice via email on January 2, 2001, a copy of the text of the bill insert and email is attached hereto and made a part hereof as Exhibit " B ".

7. On December 29, 2001, Gulf Power published an approved display advertisement in the Pensacola News Journal and the Panama City News Herald which stated the date, time, location and purpose of the service hearings in compliance with Section 25-22.0406(6), Florida Administrative Code, a copy of the display ad is attached hereto and made a part hereof as Exhibit "C".
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## RATE CASE SYNOPSIS



A SOUTHERN COMPANY

## I. PURPOSE

Pursuant to Rule 25-22.0406(4)(a), Gulf Power Company is providing a synopsis of the rate request.

## II. COMPARISON OF THE PRESENT AND PROPOSED RATES FOR MAJOR SERVICES

Attached to the end of this synopsis are pages 112 through 115 of MFR E-17 (Attachment 1) which provides a comparison for all present and proposed rates.

## III. MAJOR RATE CASE ISSUES

The issues listed below are anticipated by the Company to be major areas considered; however, it is rather difficult to anticipate all the issues which may develop during a rate case.

1. What is the appropriate test year for setting base rates?
2. What is Gulf's test year rate base?
3. What is Gulf's test year working capital?
4. What is Gulf's test year cost of capital?
5. What is Gulf test year net operating income?
6. What is the proper Return on Equity for the test year?

## IV. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY OF THE RATE CASE

Gulf Power Company's Petition, new rate schedules, testimony, and Minimum Filing Requirements (MFRs) are filed under Section 366.06(3), Florida Statutes. Gulf seeks new base rates and charges which, based upon projected operations during the period June 2002 through May 2003 ("the test year"), would provide additional gross annual jurisdictional revenues of $\$ 69.9$ million or an 11.9 percent increase in retail revenues. This revenue increase request reflects a return on equity of 13.00 percent and an overall rate of return of 8.64 percent (the midpoint).

The increased revenues sought by the Company are necessary to maintain its financial integrity and permit it to earn a reasonable rate of return. Without rate relief, the overall jurisdictional return earned in the test year would be only 5.12 percent and the jurisdictional return on common equity will fall to 4.43 percent.

The company's test year for this case reflects one major factor and a number of other conditions that have caused the cost of providing electric service to increase in the twelve and one-half years since the Company's last rate filing in 1989 that makes filing this case necessary. The major factor is the completion and placing into service of Smith Unit 3, a 574 megawatt ("mW") combined cycle generating unit with a projected investment of $\$ 220.5$ million. Other factors contributing to increased costs are: the cumulative effect of inflation, the addition of over 100,000 new customers, the addition of over 1400 miles of new distribution lines and 90 miles of new
transmission lines, an increase in customer requirements and expectations primarily caused by the widespread use of computers and other technologies, and the replacement and repair of the aging electrical infrastructure associated with the double-digit customer growth that occurred in the mid 1980's.

Section A of the required Minimum Filing Requirements (MFRs) contains an executive summary of the case. A comparison of present and proposed rates for all services is found at pages 6-8 of the attached Section $A$ of the MFRs.

## V. DESCRIPTION OF THE RATEMAKING PROCESS AND TIME SCHEUDLE

A. Who is involved in the utility ratemaking process?

Many participants are involved in a utility rate case. Here is a list of some of the main participants:

1. FPSC Commissioners - The Florida Public Service Commission (FPSC) is composed of five Commissioners appointed by the Governor. All five may sit on a rate case, or the case may be assigned by the Chairman of the FPSC to a panel of Commissioners. The Commissioners assigned to the case will make final decisions on all of the issues in the case based on the evidence received.
2. FPSC Commission Staff - The Commissioners are assisted by a Staff of more than 300 people. The Staff members involved in a rate case include: attorneys who advise on legal issues; engineers who inspect utility sites and equipment; accountants who audit the utility's books and records; consumer affairs specialists who review complaints against the utilities; and rate and financial analysts who study the financial and rate issues.
3. The Office of Public Counsel (OPC) - The Public Counsel is appointed by the Legislature to represent the citizens in cases before the Commission. The Office of Public Counsel has a staff of attorneys, accountants, rate analysts and financial analysts, and may employ outside consultants as expert
witnesses. OPC participates in major rate cases, analyzes information filed by the utility, assists members of the public who wish to testify and provides expert witnesses on various issues in the case.
4. The Utility - Utility officers and staff, including accountants, rate analysts, engineers and others, testify and provide information about the utility, its operations and its financial revenues and expenses. The utility may also employee outside consultants as expert witnesses.
5. Intervenors - Other interested parties representing consumer groups, industrial groups, commercial organizations, government entities and others participate in the ratemaking proceedings. An intervenor is a party who legally intervenes in the rate case through a petition to the Commission to represent a specific interest or point of view. The intervenor has equal opportunity with the other parties to ask questions, present testimony and cross-examine witnesses.
B. What is involved in the ratemaking process? What information is considered?

The ratemaking process involves months of analysis of volumes of information regarding all aspects of a utility's operations.

1. The rate case process begins when the utility writes a letter to the FPSC notifying the Commission of its proposed "test year"
and filing date. The test year is a 12-month period for which utility operating data will be examined during the rate case. The letter signals that the utility is about to ask for a rate increase. That letter will start a process that will continue over the eight months the Commission investigates the case.
2. Next, the utility files its request for a rate increase. This includes Minimum Filing Requirements (MFRs), which are required by the Commission's Rules. These MFRs contain hundreds of pages of figures and reports regarding the utility's expenses, investment and operations during the test year. The utility also files the testimony of its witnesses in support of the rate increase requested. The law requires that the utility carry the burden of proof and show why the requested increase is needed.
3. The utility files this data and much more - before the ratemaking process is over, the Commission will try to gather all significant information about the utility. The FPSC, the Public Counsel and the intervenors will typically ask for additional information as the case continues. This data provides details about the utility's supplies, expenses, taxes, construction expenditures, depreciation and other operating and financial matters that are crucial to making a decision in the case. The Commission's auditors will review the utility's books and records and file their
report. Additional testimony may be filed by the utility, the Commission Staff, Public Counsel and the other intervenors.
4. Hearings - a utility can't change its prices (up or down) without authority from the Commission, and rate case procedures provide for public hearings. These hearings are governed by rules similar to those used by courts. Witnesses are sworn and subject to cross-examination. The final decision made by the Commissioners must be based upon information presented during the hearings.
a. The first hearings are scheduled in the local service area to hear from customers. At these "service hearings", customers may tell the Commission about service problems they have had. The customers may also testify about rates and charges they consider improper or unfairly discriminatory. This may lead to issues that the Commission will investigate further.
b. After time for investigation of all information, the Commission will hold additional hearings for detailed review of all technical, legal, and financial issues in the case. These hearings may last for several days.

Witnesses from all parties, including the utility, the Commission staff, Public Counsel and the intervenors, present testimony and are cross-examined. Among the
things the Commission looks for are expenditures that could be considered unnecessary or imprudent. Such expenditures would be disallowed for ratemaking purposes, which means ratepayers don't have to pay for those costs.
c. There are official transcripts of all hearings. Court reporters record the hearings, just like they do in a courtroom. These transcripts may be thousands of pages long. In major cases, a transcript is made daily, with court reports working through the night to have the transcript ready before the start of the next day's session.
5. After the hearings are completed, the parties usually file legal briefs. These briefs summarize each party's position on the issues. The Commission Staff then makes recommendations to the Commissioners on each issue of the case. These recommendations are very detailed, and can cover hundreds of pages. All information filed is open to the public, and is available for review at the Commission offices in Tallahassee. The information filed by the utility (MFRs) is also available at the utility's local offices in cities where service hearings are conducted.
6. When the Commission makes its final decision, there will be a "vote sheet", which is a listing of all the issues requiring a vote
by the Commissioners. There are often hundreds of issues in a major rate case, and it may take the Commissioners two or three days to complete the final review of the case and to vote on each issue.
7. Once the Commission has made its decision, Staff attorneys prepare a formal order containing the background of the case, the Commission decisions and the basis for these decisions, the new rates, and the effective date for the new rates. After the order is issued, any party may ask the Commission to reconsider any decision on the issues. After reconsideration, any party may appeal the decision to the courts.

## GULF POWER COMPANY

2001 Retail Rate Case Schedule
(Docket No. 010949-EI)

| Filing | September 10, 2001 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Service Hearings | Pensacola January 16, 2002 <br> 10:00 A.M. - 12:00 Noon <br> Place: to be announced |
|  | Panama City January 16, 2002 <br>  <br> 4:00 P.M. - 6:00 P.M. <br> Place: to be announced |
| Pre-hearing Conference | February 8, 2002 |
| Hearing | February 25 - March 1, 2002 |

## VI. LOCATIONS AT WHICH COMPLETE MFRs ARE AVAILABLE FOR INSPECTION

## 1. Panama City

 1230 E. $15^{\text {th }}$ Street2. Pensacola

75 N. Pace Boulevard
3. Fort Walton Beach

140 Hollywood Boulevard



PROPOSED TARIFF SHEETS AND SUPPORT FOR CHARGES
EXPLANATION: Provide proposed tariff sheets highlighting changes in legislative format from existing tariff provisions. For each charge, reference by footnote unit costs as shown on Schedules $\mathrm{E}-8 \mathrm{~b}$ and $\mathrm{E}-10$, if applicable. Indicate whether unit costs are calculated at the class or system rate of return. On separate attachment explain any differences between unit costs and proposed charges. Provide the derivation (calculation and assumptions) of all charges and credits other than those for which unit costs are calculated in these MFR schedules, including those charges and credits the company proposes to continue at the present level. Workpapers for street and outdoor lighting rates, T-O-U rates and standard energy charges shall be furnished under separate cover to staff, Commissioners,


Schedule E-17
FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
COMPANY: GULF POWER COMPANY
DOCKET NO.: 010949-EI

PROPOSED TARIFF SHEETS AND SUPPORT FOR CHARGES
Page 113 of 115
EXPLANATION: Provide proposed tariff sheets highlighting changes Type of Data Shown: in legislative format from existing tariff provisions. For each charge, reference by footnote unit costs as shown on Schedules E-8b and $\mathrm{E}-10$, if applicable. Indicate whether unit costs are calculated at the class or system rate of return. On separate attachment explain any differences between unit costs and proposed charges. Provide the derivation (calculation and assumptions) of all charges and credits other than those for which unit costs are calculated in these MFR schedules, including those charges and credits the company proposes to continue at the present level. Workpapers for street and outdoor lighting rates, T-O-U rates and standard energy charges shall be furnished under separate cover to staff, Commissioners,
Commission Clerk, and upon request to other parties to this docket.


Schedule E-17
PROPOSED TARIFF SHEETS AND SUPPORT FOR CHARGES
EXPLANATION: Provide proposed tariff sheets highlighting change in legislative format from existing tariff provisions. For each charge, reference by footnote unit costs as shown on Schedules E-8b and $\mathrm{E}-10$, if applicable. Indicate whether unit costs are calculated at the class or system rate of return. On separate attachment explain any differences between unit costs and proposed charges. Provide the derivation (calculation and assumptions) of all charges and credits other than those for which unit costs are calculated in these MFR schedules, including those charges and credits the company proposes to continue at the present level. Workpapers for street and outdoor lighting rates, T-O-U rates and standard energy charges shall be furnished under separate cover to staff, Commissioners, Commission Clerk, and upon request to other parties to this docket.


NOTES: (A) OS I \& OS II - All proposed charges are set equal to unit costs.
(B) OS III - Proposed charge is set equal to unit costs.
(C) OS IV - The Customer Charge was set the same as the GS rate, and the Energy Charge was set to recover the remaining revenue requirement.


## BEFORE THE

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

DOCKET NO. 010949-EI

## MINIMUM FILING REQUIREMENTS

## SECTION A - EXECUTIVE SUMMARY SCHEDULES



A SOUTHERN COMPANY

## GULF POWER COMPANY

Docket No. 010949-EI
Minimum Filing Requirements
Index

## A. Executive Summary Schedules

| Schedules | Witness | Title | Page |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A-1a | Labrato | Full Revenue Requirements Increase Requested | 1 |
| A-1b | Labrato | Interim Revenue Requirements Increase Requested | 2 |
| A-2 | Labrato | Summary of Rate Case | 3 |
| A-3 | Labrato | Reasons for Requested Rate Increase | 5 |
| A-4a | Thompson | Full Revenue Requirements Bill Comparison - Typical Monthly Bills | 6 |
| A-4b | Thompson | Interim Revenue Requirements Bill Comparison - Typical Monthly Bills | 9 |
| A-5 | Thompson Saxon | Summary of Tariffs | 10 |
| A-6 | Thompson | Revenue From Sale of Electricity by Rate Schedule | 14 |
| A-7 | Labrato Moore McGee | Statistical Information | 17 |
| A-8 | Labrato <br> Moore, Fisher <br> McMillan, Saxon, <br> Howell, Neyman | Five Year Analysis - Change in Cost | 19 |
| A-9 | Labrato | Summary of Jurisdictional Rate Base | 20 |
| A-10 | Labrato | Summary of Jurisdictional Net Operating Income | 21 |

## GULF POWER COMPANY

Docket No. 010949-EI
Minimum Filing Requirements
Index
A. Executive Summary Schedules

| Schedules | Witness | Title | Page |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| A-11 | Labrato | Summary of Adjustments Not Made | 22 |
| A-12a | Labrato | Summary of Jurisdictional Capital Structure | 26 |
| A-12b | Labrato | Summary of Jurisdictional Capital Cost Rates | 27 |
| A-12c | Labrato | Summary of Financial Integrity Indicators | 28 |
| A-13 | McMillan | Affiliated Company Relationships | 29 |
| A-14 | Labrato | Financial and Statistical Report | 30 |


| FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION EXPLANATION: |  | Provide the calculation of the requested revenue increase. |  | Type of Data Shown: |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| COMPANY:GULF POWER COMPANY |  |  |  | Prior Year Ended 5/31/02 <br> Historic Test Year Ended 12/31/00 |
| DOCKET NO. 010949-EI |  |  |  | Witness: R.R. Labrato |
| Revenue Increases (Thousands of Dollars) |  |  |  |  |
| Line <br> No. | Description | Source | Amount |  |
| 1 | Jurisdictional Adjusted Rate Base | Schedule A-9 | 1,198,502 |  |
| 2 | Rate of Retum on Rate Base Requested | Schedule D-1 | 8.64\% |  |
| 3 | Jurisdictional Income Requested | Line $1 \times$ Line 2 | 103,551 |  |
| 4 | Jurisdictional Adjusted Net Operating Income | Schedule A-10 | 61,378 |  |
| 5 | Income Deficiency (Excess) | Line 3-Line 4 | 42,173 |  |
| 6 | Earned Rate of Return | Line 4/Line 1 | 5.12\% |  |
| 7 | Net Operating Income Multiplier | Schedule C-58, Line 12 | 1.656666 |  |
| 8 | Revenue Deficiency (Excess) | Line $5 \times$ Line 7 | 69,867 |  |
| 9 | Attrition Allowance | Schedule C-59 | - |  |
| 10 | Revenue Increase Requested | Line $8+$ Line 9 | 69,867 |  |


| FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION | EXPLANATION: Provide the calculation of the requested <br> interim revenue requirements increase. | Type of Data Shown: <br> Projected Test Year Ended 5/31/03 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| COMPANY: GULF POWER COMPANY |  | - Prior Year Ended 5/31/02 |
| DOCKET NO.: $010949-E I$ | Historical Year Ended 12/31/00 |  |

Not Applicable. Gulf Power is not requesting an interim revenue requirements increase.


| Schedule A-2 | SUMMARY OF RATE CASE | Page 2 ol 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION | EXPLANATION: Provide a brief summary of the highlights of | Type of Data Shown: |
| COMPANYGULF POWER COMPANY | the case, supplemented by the following schedule. Describe | XX Projected Test Year Ended 05/31/03 |
| COMPANIGULF POWER COMPANY | requested rate making approaches that differ from a) those | Prior Year Ended 05/31/02 |
|  | used in the company's last rate case, and b) those used | Hisloric Test Year Ended 12/31/00 |
| DOCKET NO. 010949-EI | in recent Commission Orders. Itemize issues being raised which have not previousiy been addressed inlcuding new rate design. | Witness: R.R. Labrato |

## Summary of Case Highlights.

As set lorth in Gulf's MFR filing and the direct lestimony, the calculated revenue deficiency is $\$ 69,867,000$.
The Company's proposed revenue increase was calculated using a split test year period ending May, 2003. The test year data is based upon the Company's 2002/2003 tinancial forecast with specified adjustments and a rate of return on average jurisdictional rate base of $8.64 \%$, which reflecls a relum on commen equity of $13.0 \%$.

## Rate Design

As part of the rates/pricing filed in this case, the company proposes the following new or modified rate struclures:
A Gull Powar's Flat Bill Pilot Program, which offers residential and small commercial customers the opportunity to purchase service al fixed, or flat, monthly bill amounts

A Rate GSTOU, an optional rate for customers from 20 KW to 500 KW .
A A methodology approach for pricing Outdoor Service - lighting

| FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION <br> Company: GULF POWER COMPANY <br> Docket No. 010949-EI |  | REASONS FOR REQUESTED RATE INCREASE |  | Page 1 of 1 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | EXPLANATION: For the total amoun revenue requested, provide the deco categories for "make whole" midpoin of return, current capital structure, re requested rate of return, attrition and | creased sition into allowed rate d rate base, ther. | Type of data sh XX Projected T Prior Year Historical $Y$ Witness: R. R. | wn: <br> st Year Ended 0 <br> nded 05/31/2002 <br> ar Ended 12/31/2 <br> Labrato | 31/2003 <br> 00 |
| (1) Line No | (2) <br> Description |  | (3) <br> Revenue Requirement (\$000) | (4) Jurisdictional Adjusted NOI (\$000) | (5) Incr \$ Over Previous Items (\$000) | $\begin{gathered} \text { (6) } \\ \text { Percent } \\ \text { of Total } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ |
| 1 | Amount requested to allow utility to ear return of $\mathbf{7 . 6 0 \%}$ on previously authorize | loor of previously authorized rate of rate base of $\$ 861,159,000$. | 6,743 | 65,448 | 4,070 | 9.65\% |
| 2 | Amount requested to allow utility to ean of return of $7.93 \%$ on previously authoriz | midpoint of previously authorized rate d rate base of $\$ 861,159,000$. | 4,708 | 68,290 | 2,842 | 6.74\% |
| $3$ | Amount requested to allow utility to eam current capital structure and midpoint la previously authorized rate base of $\$ 86$ | ate of return of $8.23 \%$ based on allowed equity return of $12.0 \%$ on 59,000. | 4,279 | 70,873 | 2,583 | 6.12\% |
| 4 | Amount requested to allow utility to ean increase in previously authorized rate b rate base of $\$ 1,198,502,000$. | rate of return of $8.23 \%$ ( 3 above) on e of $\$ 861,159,000$ to requested | 45,996 | 98,637 | 27,764 | 65.83\% |
| 5 | Amount requested to increase equity re return to $8.64 \%$ | rn to $13.00 \%$ and overall rate of | 8,141 | 103,551 | 4,914 | 11.66\% |
| 6 | NOI Increase Requested |  |  |  | 42,173 |  |
| 7 | NOI Multiplier |  |  |  | 1.656666 |  |
| 8 | Revenue Increase Requested |  | 69,867 |  | 69,867 |  |


| Schedule A-4a |  |  | Page 1 of 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION | EXPLANATION: For each rate, calculate typical monthly | Typ |  |
|  | bills for present rates and proposed rates. |  |  |
| COMPANY: GULF POWER COMPANY |  |  |  |
| DOCKET NO.: 010949-EI |  |  |  |


|  |  |  |  |  | BILL UNDER PRESENT RATES \$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { RATE } \\ & \text { CLASS } \end{aligned}$ | KW |  | KWH |  | BASE <br> RATE | FUEL CHARGE | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ECCR } \\ & \text { CHARGE } \end{aligned}$ | ECR CHARGE | PPCC CHARGE | ADDITIONAL FL GRT | TOTAL |
|  | (1) |  | (2) |  | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) |
| RS |  |  | 1,000 |  | \$42.20 | \$18.42 | \$0.53 | \$0.96 | \$2.08 | \$0.66 | \$64.85 |
| GS |  |  | 1,500 |  | \$85.48 | \$27.63 | \$0.80 | \$1.44 | \$3.09 | \$1.21 | \$119.65 |
| GSD | 20 |  | 11,000 |  | \$263.00 | \$202.62 | \$5.50 | \$9.57 | \$17.60 | \$5.11 | \$503.40 |
| GSD | 25 |  | 11,000 |  | \$285.80 | \$202.62 | \$5.50 | \$9.57 | \$17.60 | \$5.34 | \$526.43 |
| GSD | 50 |  | 11,000 |  | \$399.80 | \$202.62 | \$5.50 | \$9.57 | \$17.60 | \$6.51 | \$641.60 |
| LP | 500 |  | 288,000 |  | \$5,744.62 | \$5,143.68 | \$135.36 | \$236.16 | \$403.20 | \$119.62 | \$11,782.64 |
| LP | 658 |  | 288,000 |  | \$7,098.68 | \$5,143.68 | \$135.36 | \$236.16 | \$403.20 | \$133.50 | \$13,150.58 |
| LP | 1,315 |  | 288,000 |  | \$12,729.17 | \$5,143.68 | \$135.36 | \$236.16 | \$403.20 | \$191.25 | \$18,838.82 |
| LPT | $\begin{aligned} & 5,000 \\ & 5,000 \end{aligned}$ | Max On | $\begin{array}{r} 600,000 \\ 1,800,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { On } \\ & \text { Off } \end{aligned}$ | \$53,310.98 | \$42,030.00 | \$1,128.00 | \$1,968.00 | \$3,360.00 | \$1,044.03 | \$102,841.01 |
| PX | 10,000 |  | 6,500,000 |  | \$103,795.01 | \$113,815.00 | \$2,925.00 | \$5,005.00 | \$7,800.00 | \$2,393.14 | \$235,733.15 |
| PXT | $\begin{aligned} & 10,000 \\ & 10,000 \end{aligned}$ | Max <br> On | $\begin{aligned} & 1,600,000 \\ & 4,900,000 \end{aligned}$ | On <br> Off | \$104,600.01 | \$111,478.00 | \$2,925.00 | \$5,005.00 | \$7,800.00 | \$2,377.42 | \$234,185.43 |

NOTES: (A) Fuel, ECCR, ECR, AND PPCC factors used were effective January, 2001, Bill Group 01.
(B) The Additional FL GRT factor for present rates is $\mathbf{0 . 0 1 0 2 5 6 .}$

| FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION | EXPLANATION: For each rate, calculate typical monthly <br> bills for present rates and proposed rates. | Type of Data Shown: <br> COMPANY: GULF POWER COMPANY |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Projected Test Year Ended 5/31/03 |  |
| DOCKET NO.: $010949-E I$ | Prior Year Ended 5/31/02 | Wistorical Year Ended 12/31/00 |


|  |  |  |  |  | BILL UNDER PROPOSED RATES \$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RATE CLASS | KW |  | KWH |  | BASE RATE | FUEL CHARGE | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ECCR } \\ & \text { CHARGE } \end{aligned}$ | ECR CHARGE | PPCC <br> CHARGE | TOTAL <br> FL GRT | TOTAL |
|  | (1) |  | (2) |  | (10) | (11) | (12) | (13) | (14) | (15) | (16) |
| RS |  |  | 1,000 |  | \$53.24 | \$18.15 | \$0.52 | \$0.95 | \$2.05 | \$1.92 | \$76.83 |
| GS |  |  | 1,500 |  | \$93.86 | \$27.23 | \$0.78 | \$1.41 | \$3.05 | \$3.24 | \$129.57 |
| GSD | 20 |  | 11,000 |  | \$284.41 | \$199.65 | \$5.39 | \$9.46 | \$17.38 | \$13.24 | \$529.53 |
| GSD | 25 |  | 11,000 |  | \$310.56 | \$199.65 | \$5.39 | \$9.46 | \$17.38 | \$13.91 | \$556.35 |
| GSD | 50 |  | 11,000 |  | \$441.31 | \$199.65 | \$5.39 | \$9.46 | \$17.38 | \$17.26 | \$690.45 |
| LP | 500 |  | 288,000 |  | \$6,119.84 | \$5,065.92 | \$135.36 | \$233.28 | \$397.44 | \$306.46 | \$12,258.30 |
| LP | 658 |  | 288,000 |  | \$7,488.12 | \$5,065.92 | \$135.36 | \$233.28 | \$397.44 | \$341.54 | \$13,661.66 |
| LP | 1,315 |  | 288,000 |  | \$13,177.74 | \$5,065.92 | \$135.36 | \$233.28 | \$397.44 | \$487.43 | \$19,497.17 |
| LPT | $\begin{aligned} & 5,000 \\ & 5,000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Max } \\ & \text { On } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 600,000 \\ 1,800,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { On } \\ & \text { Off } \end{aligned}$ | \$56,758.00 | \$41,388.00 | \$1,128.00 | \$1,944.00 | \$3,312.00 | \$2,680.25 | \$107,210.25 |
| PX | 10,000 |  | 6,500,000 |  | \$102,261.38 | \$112,125.00 | \$2,925.00 | \$4,940.00 | \$7,670.00 | \$5,895.41 | \$235,816.79 |
| PXT | $\begin{aligned} & 10,000 \\ & 10,000 \end{aligned}$ | Max On | $\begin{aligned} & 1,600,000 \\ & 4,900,000 \end{aligned}$ | On <br> Off | \$102,966.38 | \$109,807.00 | \$2,925.00 | \$4,940.00 | \$7,670.00 | \$5,854.06 | \$234,162.44 |

NOTES: (A) Fuel, ECCR, ECR, AND PPCC factors effective January, 2001, Bill Group 01, were adjusted to remove the embedded FL Gross Receipts Tax.
(B) The Total FL GRT factor for proposed rates is $\mathbf{0 . 0 2 5 6 4 1 .}$

Schedule A-4a
FULL REVENUE REQUIREMENTS BILL COMPARISON - TYPICAL MONTHLY BILLS
Type of Data Shown:
XX Projected Test Year Ended 5/31/03

- Prior Year Ended 5/31/02 _ Historical Year Ended 12/31/00
Witness: J. I. Thompson
DOCKET NO.: 010949-El
EXPLANATION: For each rate, calculate typical monthly bills for present rates and proposed rates.

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { RATE } \\ & \text { CLASS } \end{aligned}$ | KW |  | KWH |  | INCREASE |  | CENTS PER KWH |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | \$ | \% | PRESENT | PROPOSED |
|  | (1) |  | (2) |  | $(17)=(16)-(9)$ | (18) $=(17) /(9)$ | (19)=(9)/(2) | (20) $=(16) /(2)$ |
| RS |  |  | 1,000 |  | \$11.98 | 18.47\% | 6.485 | 7.683 |
| GS |  |  | 1,500 |  | \$9.92 | 8.29\% | 7.977 | 8.638 |
| GSD | 20 |  | 11,000 |  | \$26.13 | 5.19\% | 4.576 | 4.814 |
| GSD | 25 |  | 11,000 |  | \$29.92 | 5.68\% | 4.786 | 5.058 |
| GSD | 50 |  | 11,000 |  | \$48.85 | 7.61\% | 5.833 | 6.277 |
| LP | 500 |  | 288,000 |  | \$475.66 | 4.04\% | 4.091 | 4.256 |
| LP | 658 |  | 288,000 |  | \$511.08 | 3.89\% | 4.566 | 4.744 |
| LP | 1,315 |  | 288,000 |  | \$658.35 | 3.49\% | 6.541 | 6.770 |
| LPT | 5,000 | Max | 600,000 | On | \$4,369.24 | 4.25\% | 4.285 | 4.467 |
|  | 5,000 | On | 1,800,000 | Off |  |  |  |  |
| PX | 10,000 |  | 6,500,000 |  | \$83.64 | 0.04\% | 3.627 | 3.628 |
| PXT | 10,000 | Max | 1,600,000 | On | (\$22.99) | -0.01\% | 3.603 | 3.602 |
|  | 10,000 | On | 4,900,000 | Off |  |  |  |  |



EXPLANATION: For each rate, calculate typical monthly
bills for present rates and proposed interim rates.
COMPANY: GULF POWER COMPANY
DOCKET NO.: 010949-EI

Type of Data Shown:
XX Projected Test Year Ended 5/31/03
_ Prior Year Ended 5/31/02 Historical Year Ended 12/31/00
Witness: J. I. Thompson

NOT APPLICABLE


| Schedule A-5 <br> FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION <br> COMPANY: GULF POWER COMPANY <br> DOCKET NO.: 010949-EI |  |  | EXPLANATION: Provide a summary of all proposed charges in rates and rate classes, detailing current and proposed classes of service, demand, energy, and other service charges. |  | Page 1 of 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Type of Data Shown: <br> xx Projected Test Year Ended 5/31/03 $\qquad$ Prior Year Ended 5/31/02 $\qquad$ Historical Year Ended 12/31/00 <br> Witness: J. I. Thompson and R. M. Saxon |
|  |  | CUSTOMER (\$/CUSTOMER) |  |  | DEMAND (\$/KW) | ENERGY ( $(\mathrm{KWH})$ |  |
| RS | PRESENT CHARGE | \$8.07 | .-. | \$0.03413 |  |
|  | PROPOSED CHARGE | \$12.00 | .-. | \$0.04124 |  |
|  | UNIT COST | \$20.90 | .-. | \$0.03545 |  |
| GS | PRESENT CHARGE | \$10.09 | -- | \$0.05026 |  |
|  | PROPOSED CHARGE | \$15.00 | -.- | \$0.05257 |  |
|  | UNIT COST | \$27.75 | ..- | \$0.04025 |  |
| GSD | PRESENT CHARGE | \$40.35 | \$4.56 | \$0.01195 |  |
|  | PROPOSED CHARGE | \$40.00 | \$5.23 | \$0.01271 |  |
|  | UNIT COST | \$42.47 | \$8.09 | \$0.00393 |  |
| LP | Present Charge | \$226.98 | \$8.57 | \$0.00428 |  |
|  | PROPOSED CHARGE | \$226.00 | \$8.66 | \$0.00543 |  |
|  | UNIT COST | \$160.39 | \$9.59 | \$0.00374 |  |
| PX | PRESENT CHARGE | \$575.01 | \$8.32 | \$0.00308 |  |
|  | PROPOSED CHARGE | \$566.38 | \$8.20 | \$0.00303 |  |
|  | UNIT COST | N/A | N/A | N/A |  |


| Schedule A-5 | SUMMARY OF TARIFFS | Page 2 of 4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION | EXPLANATION: Provide a summary of all proposed charges in | Type of Data Shown: |
|  | rates and rate classes, detailing current and proposed classes | xx Projected Test Year Ended 5/31/03 |
| COMPANY: GULF POWER COMPANY | of service, demand, energy, and other service charges. | Prior Year Ended 5/31/02 |
| DOCKET NO.: $010949-E I$ |  | Witness: J. I. Thompson and R. M. Saxon |


|  |  | CUSTOMER (\$/CUSTOMER) | DEMAND (\$/KW) |  | ENERGY ( $¢ / K W H$ ) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | ON-PEAK | MAX | ON-PEAK | OFF-PEAK |  |  |
| RST | PRESENT CHARGE |  | \$11.10 | --- | --- | \$0.10203 | \$0.00429 |  |  |
|  | PROPOSED CHARGE | N/A | --- | --- | N/A | N/A |  |  |
| RSVP | PRESENT CHARGE | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 8.07 \\ & \$ 4.53 \end{aligned}$ | --- | --- | $\$ 0.01164$ <br> (Low Price) | $\begin{gathered} \$ 0.02301 \\ \text { (Medium Price) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 0.07029 \\ & \text { (High Price) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 0.26746 \\ \text { (Critical Price) } \end{gathered}$ |
|  | PROPOSED CHARGE | \$12.00 | --- | -.. | \$0.01800 | \$0.03021 | \$0.07798 | \$0.29000 |
|  | UNIT COST | \$20.90 | --- | --- | (a) | (a) | (a) | (a) |
| GST | PRESENT CHARGE | \$13.11 | .-- | --- | \$0.15744 | \$0.00410 |  |  |
|  | PROPOSED CHARGE | N/A | --- | --- | N/A | N/A |  |  |
| GStou | proposed Charge | \$40.00 | --- | -- | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 0.15963 \\ & \text { (Summer On) } \end{aligned}$ | $\$ 0.05660$ (Summer Inter) | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 0.02076 \\ & \text { (Summer Off) } \end{aligned}$ | $\$ 0.03086$ (Winter Price) |
|  | UNIT COST | \$42.47 | --- | -- | (a) |  |  |  |
| GSDT | PRESENT CHARGE | \$45.80 | \$2.45 | \$2.17 | \$0.01195 | \$0.01195 |  |  |
|  | PROPOSED CHARGE | \$40.00 | \$2.81 | \$2.49 | \$0.01271 | \$0.01271 |  |  |
|  | UNIT COST | \$42.47 | (a) | (a) | (a) | (a) |  |  |
| LPT | PRESENT CHARGE | \$226.98 | \$7.27 | \$1.83 | \$0.00316 | \$0.00316 |  |  |
|  | PROPOSED CHARGE | \$226.00 | \$6.95 | \$1.75 | \$0.00543 | \$0.00543 | NOTES: (a) <br> (b) | Time differentiated costs were not prepared. Program Participation |
|  | UNIT COST | \$160.39 | (a) | (a) | (a) | (a) |  |  |



Schedule A-6
FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSIO
COMPANY: GULF POWER COMPANY

DOCKET NO.: 010949-EI

EXPLANATION: Compare jurisdictional revenue excluding service charges by rate schedule under present and proposed rates for the test year. If any customers are to be transferred from one schedule to another, the revenue and billing determinant information shall be shown separately for the transfer group and not be included under either the new or old classification.

Type of Data Shown:
xx Projected Test Year Ended 5/31/03
——Prior Year Ended 5/31/02 Historical Year Ended 12/31/00 Witness: J. I. Thompson

| RATE SCHEDULE | REVENUES UNDER PRESENT RATES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) TOTAL | (7) | (8) | (9) $=(7)-(8)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | LESS | ADJUSTED |
|  | TOTAL BASE | TOTAL FUEL | TOTAL ECCR | TOTAL ECR | TOTAL PPCC | UNBILLED BASE | TOTAL OVERALL | $\begin{aligned} & \text { EMBEDDED } \\ & \text { FL GRT } \end{aligned}$ | TOTAL OVERALL |
| RS/RST/RSVP | \$193,451,304 | \$102,723,756 | \$2,646,436 | \$5,490,971 | \$2,618,066 | \$862,630 | \$307,793,163 | \$4,616,899 | \$303,176,264 |
| GS/GST | \$17,547,938 | \$6,151,500 | \$142,089 | \$277,454 | \$113,642 | \$94,801 | \$24,327,424 | \$364,911 | \$23,962,513 |
| GSD/GSDT | \$66,800,824 | \$48,746,171 | \$1,126,510 | \$2,199,176 | \$897,525 | \$404,099 | \$120,174,305 | \$1,802,615 | \$118,371,690 |
| LP/LPT | \$41,060,580 | \$41,150,536 | \$957,957 | \$1,863,405 | \$720,502 | \$156,475 | \$85,909,455 | \$1,288,642 | \$84,620,813 |
| PXPXT | \$2,014,173 | \$2,337,661 | \$54,676 | \$106,110 | \$39,560 | \$0 | \$4,552,180 | \$68,283 | \$4,483,897 |
| OS I \& II | \$8,072,143 | \$2,061,191 | \$48,781 | \$142,221 | \$36,889 | \$50,860 | \$10,412,085 | \$156,181 | \$10,255,904 |
| OS III | \$1,082,585 | \$635,533 | \$14,679 | \$28,664 | \$11,742 | \$8,303 | \$1,781,506 | \$26,723 | \$1,754,783 |
| OS IV | \$177,440 | \$89,030 | \$2,056 | \$4,016 | \$1,645 | \$2,335 | \$276,522 | \$4,148 | \$272,374 |
| SBS | \$1,393,088 | \$953,792 | \$22,308 | \$43,294 | \$16,141 | \$0 | \$2,428,623 | \$36,429 | \$2,392,194 |
| RTP | \$7,135,530 | \$9,193,425 | \$214,705 | \$416,984 | \$157,300 | \$0 | \$17,117,944 | \$256,769 | \$16,861,175 |
| CISR/CSA | \$3,432,727 | \$7,858,405 | \$183,803 | \$356,705 | \$132,988 | \$0 | \$11,964,628 | \$179,469 | \$11,785,159 |
|  | \$342,168,332 | \$221,901,000 | \$5,414,000 | \$10,929,000 | \$4,746,000 | \$1,579,503 | \$586,737,835 | \$8,801,069 | $\underline{\$ 577,936,766}$ |

NOTE: This schedule does not include service charge revenue. Fuel, ECCR, ECR, and PPCC include unbilled as well as billed revenue.

| Schedule A-6 | REVENUE FROM SALE OF ELECTRICITY BY RATE SCHEDULE | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION | EXPLANATION: Compare jurisdictional revenue excluding service charges by rate schedule under present and proposed rates for the test year. If any customers are to be transferred from one schedule to another, the revenue and billing determinant information shall be shown separately for the transier group and not be included under either the new or old classification. | Type of Data Shown: xx Projected Test Year Ended 5/31/03 |
| COMPANY: GULF POWER COMPANY |  | _ Prior Year Ended 5/31/02 |
|  |  | Historical Year Ended 12/31/00 |
| DOCKET NO.: 010949-EI |  | Witness: J. I. Thompson |


|  |  |  |  | REVENUES | UNDER PRO | SED RATES |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (10) | (11) | (12) | (13) | (14) | (15) | (16) | (17) | (18)=(16)-(17) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | TOTAL |  | LESS | ADJUSTED |
| RATE SCHEDULE | TOTAL BASE | TOTAL FUEL | TOTAL ECCR | TOTAL ECR | TOTAL PPCC | UNBILLED BASE | TOTAL OVERALL | EMBEDDED FL GRT* | TOTAL OVERALL |
| RS/RSVP | \$242,742,989 | \$102,723,756 | \$2,646,436 | \$5,490,971 | \$2,618,066 | \$1,098,913 | \$357,321,131 | \$1,718,673 | \$355,602,458 |
| GS | \$19,806,812 | \$6,151,500 | \$142,089 | \$277,454 | \$113,642 | \$108,634 | \$26,600,131 | \$101,900 | \$26,498,231 |
| GSD/GSDT/GSTOU | \$71,539,042 | \$48,746,171 | \$1,126,510 | \$2,199,176 | \$897,525 | \$439,352 | \$124,947,776 | \$801,131 | \$124,146,645 |
| LP/LPT | \$43,830,020 | \$41,150,536 | \$957,957 | \$1,863,405 | \$720,502 | \$169,572 | \$88,691,992 | \$672,930 | \$88,019,062 |
| PX/PXT | \$1,983,960 | \$2,337,661 | \$54,676 | \$106,110 | \$39,560 | \$0 | \$4,521,967 | \$38,070 | \$4,483,897 |
| OS I \& II | \$10,104,493 | \$2,061,191 | \$48,781 | \$142,221 | \$36,889 | \$64,635 | \$12,458,210 | \$35,306 | \$12,422,904 |
| OS III | \$1,261,847 | \$635,533 | \$14,679 | \$28,664 | \$11,742 | \$9,825 | \$1,962,290 | \$10,507 | \$1,951,783 |
| OS IV | \$174,778 | \$89,030 | \$2,056 | \$4,016 | \$1,645 | \$2,335 | \$273,860 | \$1,486 | \$272,374 |
| SBS | \$1,372,192 | \$953,792 | \$22,308 | \$43,294 | \$16,141 | \$0 | \$2,407,727 | \$15,533 | \$2,392,194 |
| RTP | \$7,028,497 | \$9,193,425 | \$214,705 | \$416,984 | \$157,300 | \$0 | \$17,010,911 | \$149,736 | \$16,861,175 |
| CISR/CSA | \$3,381,236 | \$7,858,405 | \$183,803 | \$356,705 | \$132,988 | \$0 | \$11,913,137 | \$127,979 | \$11,785,158 |
|  | \$403,225,866 | \$221,901,000 | \$5,414,000 | \$10,929,000 | \$4,746,000 | \$1,893,266 | \$648,109,132 | \$3,673,251 | \$644,435,881 |

NOTE: This schedule does not include service charge revenue. Fuel, ECCR, ECR, and PPCC include unbilled as well as billed revenue.
*From columns (11), (12), (13), (14), and (15)

| Schedula A-6 | REVENUE FROM SALE OF ELECTRICITY BY RATE SCHEDULE | Page 3 of 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION | EXPLANATION: Compare jurisdictional revenue excluding service charges by rate schedule under present and proposed rates for the test year. If any customers are to be transferred from one schedule to another, the revenue and billing determinant information shall be shown separately for the transier group and not be included under either the new or old classification. | Type of Data Shown: <br> xx Projected Test Year Ended 5/31/03 $\qquad$ Prior Year Ended 5/31/02 $\qquad$ Historical Year Ended 12/31/00 <br> Witness: J. I. Thompson |
|  |  |  |
| COMPANY: GULF POWER COMPANY |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| DOCKET NO.: 010949 |  |  |


| RATE SCHEDULE | (19) <br> PRESENT TOTAL BASE | (20)EMBEDDEDFL GRT | $(21)=(19) \cdot(20)$ <br> ADJUSTED PRESENT TOTAL BASE | 0)-(21) $(23)=(22) /(21)$TOTAL BASE |  | (24) $=(18)-(9)$ | $(25)=(24) /(9)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | TOTAL ADJUS | ED OVERALL |
|  |  |  |  | \$ | \% | \$ | \% |
| RS/RST/RSVP | \$193,451,304 | \$2,901,770 | \$190,549,534 | \$52,193,455 | 27.39\% | \$52,426,194 | 17.29\% |
| GS/GST | \$17,547,938 | \$263,219 | \$17,284,719 | \$2,522,093 | 14.59\% | \$2,535,718 | 10.58\% |
| GSD/GSDT/GSTOU | \$66,800,824 | \$1,002,013 | \$65,798,811 | \$5,740,231 | 8.72\% | \$5,774,955 | 4.88\% |
| LP/LPT | \$41,060,580 | \$615,909 | \$40,444,671 | \$3,385,349 | 8.37\% | \$3,398,249 | 4.02\% |
| PX/PXT | \$2,014,173 | \$30,213 | \$1,983,960 | \$0 | 0.00\% | \$0 | 0.00\% |
| OS I \& II | \$8,072,143 | \$121,082 | \$7,951,061 | \$2,153,432 | 27.08\% | \$2,167,000 | 21.13\% |
| OS III | \$1,082,585 | \$16,239 | \$1,066,346 | \$195,501 | 18.33\% | \$197,000 | 11.23\% |
| OSIV | \$177,440 | \$2,662 | \$174,778 | \$0 | 0.00\% | \$0 | 0.00\% |
| SBS | \$1,393,088 | \$20,896 | \$1,372,192 | \$0 | 0.00\% | \$0 | 0.00\% |
| RTP | \$7,135,530 | \$107,033 | \$7,028,497 | \$0 | 0.00\% | \$0 | 0.00\% |
| CISR/CSA | \$3,432,727 | \$51,491 | \$3,381,236 | \$0 | 0.00\% | (\$1) | 0.00\% |
|  | \$342,168,332 | \$5,132,527 | $\underline{\$ 337,035,805}$ | \$66,190,061 | 19.64\% | \$66,499,115 | 11.51\% |

NOTE: This schedule does not include service charge revenue. Fuel, ECCR, ECR, and PPCC include unbilled as well as billed revenue.

Page 1 of 2

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION $\quad$| EXPLANATION: Provide the following statistical data for |
| :--- |
| the company, by calendar year for the most recent 5 years. |

## COMPANY: GULF POWER COMPANY

DOCKET NO.: 010949-EI
Type of Data Shown:
__ Projected Test Year Ended 5/31/03
__ Prior Year Ended 5/31/02
XX Historical Year s Ended 1996-2000
Witness: R. R. Labrato, R. G. Moore,
R. L. McGee

| Line <br> No. |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Year } \\ & 1996 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Year } \\ & 1997 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Year } \\ & 1998 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Year } \\ & 1999 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Year } \\ & 2000 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Average Annual Growth Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 (1) THE LEVEL AND ANNUAL GROWTH RATES FOR: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | Peak Load MW | 2,136 | 2,032 | 2,146 | 2,161 | 2,281 | 1.66 |
| 3 | Peak Load Per Customer (KW) | 6.46 | 5.96 | 6.12 | 6.00 | 6.20 | (1.02) |
| 4 | Energy Sales (MWH) | 11,038,203 | 11,317,844 | 12,502,158 | 13,633,138 | 13,734,978 | 5.62 |
| 5 | Energy Sales (MWH) Territorial | 9,126,266 | 9,264,649 | 9,742,516 | 9,893,213 | 10,465,755 | 3.48 |
| 6 | Energy Sales Per Customer | 27,608 | 27,174 | 27,800 | 27,473 | 28,460 | 0.76 |
| 7 | Number of Customers (Average) | 330,571 | 340,944 | 350,447 | 360,113 | 367,740 | 2.70 |
| 8 | Installed Generating Capacity (MW) | 2,174 | 2,174 | 2,187 | 2,188 | 2,188 | 0.16 |
| 9 | Population of Service Area | 817,570 | 834,300 | 844,590 | 851,090 | 859,230 | 1.25 |
| 10 | (2a) DOLLAR AMOUNTS, IN CURRENT DOLLARS AND ANNUAL GROWTH RATES FOR: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | Fuel Cost per Kilowatt-Hour Generated | 2.02 | 1.99 | 1.69 | 1.60 | 1.68 | (4.49) |
| 12 | O \& M Expense Less Fuel per KWH Sold | 1.71 | 1.63 | 1.72 | 1.68 | 1.86 | 2.24 |
| 13 | Capital Cost per Installed Kilowatt of Capacity | 423.78 | 423.99 | 429.76 | 434.35 | 438.83 | 0.88 |
| 14 | Revenue per KWH Sold | 5.54 | 5.32 | 4.91 | 4.70 | 5.07 | (2.21) |
| 15 | A \& G Expense per KWH Sold | 0.41 | 0.37 | 0.38 | 0.33 | 0.31 | (6.43) |
| 16 | (2b) DOLLAR AMOUNTS, IN REAL TERMS, (CONSTANT 2000 DOLLARS) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 | AND ANNUAL GROWTH RATES FOR: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18 | Fuel Cost per Kilowatt-Hour Generated | 2.21 | 2.13 | 1.77 | 1.65 | 1.68 | (6.70) |
| 19 | O \& M Expense Less Fuel per KWH Sold | 1.87 | 1.75 | 1.81 | 1.74 | 1.86 | (0.13) |
| 20 | Capital Cost per Installed Kilowatt of Capacity | 465.37 | 45460 | 450.41 | 448.94 | 438.83 | (1.46) |
| 21 | Revenue per KWH Sold | 6.09 | 5.70 | 5.14 | 4.86 | 5.07 | (4.47) |
| 22 | A \& G Expense per KWH Sold | 0.45 | 0.40 | 0.39 | 0.34 | 0.31 | (8.60) |
| 23 | Consumer Price Index | 1.569 | 1.607 | 1.644 | 1.667 | 1.723 | 2.37 |
| ppor' | Schedules: | Trules: |  |  |  |  | 3 |


| FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION | EXPLANATION: Provide the following statistical data for <br> the company, by calendar year for the most recent 5 years. | Type of Data Shown: <br> COMPANY: GULF POWER COMPANY |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Projected Test Year Ended 5/31/03 |  |  |

## R. L. McGee

| Line <br> No. |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Year } \\ & 1996 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Year } \\ & 1997 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Year } \\ & 1998 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Year } \\ & \underline{1999} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Year } \\ & 2000 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Average Annual Growth Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 24 | (3) DISTRIBUTION OF TOTAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25 | Generation Plant by Type of Prime Mover: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 26 | Steam | 2,135 | 2,135 | 2,135 | 2,135 | 2,135 |  |
| 27 | Other | 39 | 39 | 52 | 53 | 53 |  |
| 28 | Generated Energy by Type of Fuel: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 29 | Nuclear | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% |  |
| 30 | Coal | 99.41 | 99.56 | 97.83 | 97.38 | 98.15 |  |
| 31 | Oil | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.16 | 0.08 | 0.09 |  |
| 32 | Gas | 0.56 | 0.42 | 2.01 | 2.54 | 1.76 |  |
| 33 | Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 34 | Total | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |  |
| 35 | Customers by Customer Type: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 36 | Residential | 87.04 | 86.97 | 86.86 | 86.72 | 86.89 |  |
| 37 | Commercial | 12.82 | 12.89 | 12.99 | 13.13 | 12.94 |  |
| 38 | Industrial | 0.09 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.07 | 0.07 |  |
| 39 | Street Lighting | 0.05 | 0.06 | 0.07 | 0.08 | 0.10 |  |
| 40 | Other Sales to Public Authorities | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
| 41 | Total | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |  |



| FLORID <br> COMPA <br> DOCKE | ILC SERVICE COMMISSION GULF POWER COMPANY : 010949-EI | EXPLANATION: Provide a summary of jurisdictional rate base as requested for the test year as compared to jurisdictional rate base as determined by the Commission in the previous full rate case. |  |  | Type of Data Shown: <br> XX Projected Test Year Ended 05/31/03 $\qquad$ Prior Year Ended 05/31/02 $\qquad$ Historical Year Ended <br> Witness: R. R. Labrato |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Line <br> No. | (1) <br> Rate Base Component | (2) Jurisdictional Rate Base as Requested by Company in Current Case (000) | (3) Jurisdictional Rate Base as Determined by Comission in Last Case (000) | (4) Dollar Difference (2)-(3) (000) |  | (6) <br> Compound Annual Growth Rate (\%) |
| 1 | Plant-in-Service | 1,966,492 | 1,218,287 | 748,205 | 0.61 | 3.93 |
| 2 | Accumulated Depreciation and Amortization | 854,099 | 448,051 | 406,048 | 0.91 | 5.33 |
| 3 | Net Plant in Service | 1,112,393 | 770,236 | 342,157 | 0.44 | 3.00 |
| 4 | CWIP--No AFUDC | 15,850 | 14,949 | 901 | 0.08 | 0.47 |
| 5 | Plant Held for Future Use | 3,065 | 3,790 | (725) | (0.19) | (1.69) |
| 6 | Nuclear Fuel | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 7 | Accumulated Provision for Amortization of Nuclear Fuel Assemblies | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 8 | Total Net Plant | 1,131,308 | 788,975 | 342,333 | 0.43 | 2.94 |
| 9 | Total Working Capital | 67,194 | 72,184 | $(4,990)$ | (0.07) | (0.58) |
| 10 | Other Rate Base Adjustments | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 11 | Total Rate Base | 1,198,502 | 861,159 | 337,343 | 0.39 | 2.70 |


FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION $\quad$ EXPLANATION: List all adjustments made to net operating income or rate base in the previous full revenue requirement case that are not included in the current case, with an explanation for not including these adjustments.

Type of Data Shown:
XX Projected Test Year Ended 5/31/03 Prior Year Ended 5/31/02 Historic Test Year Ended 12/31/00 Witness: R. R. Labrato
(2)

Amount of
Adjustment

## (3)

Explanation and
Supporting Schedule

## RATE BASE ADJUSTMENTS:

PHFU - Sod Farm0Net Plant-in-Service - Leisure Lakes0
4

## Net Plant-in-Service - Reduction in Amount of AFUDC Capitalized

Headquarters
Net Plant-in-Service - Navy House

Net Plant-in-Service - Corporate
Headquarters - (3rd Floor)
Depreciation Reserve - Corporate investigation

8 Plant Scherer Adjustments

9 Appliance Sales Mlsallocation

Caryville Subsurface Study
0

Deferred Rate Case Expenses
to the appliance division. This adjustment is no longer necessary because the misallocation was corrected.

The deferred subsurface study expenses have been fully amortized, therefore eliminating the need for an adjustment.

Commission policy has been to exclude deferred Rate Case Expenses, Gulf feefs that the Company should be allowed to eam a return as it would any other non-interestbearing asset which has been financed with a mix of capital.

During the last rate case an adjustment was made to Plant in Service due to the late closing of two work orders and their effect on AFUDC. This was an isolated case and requires no further adjustment.

No adjustment is necessary since there are no non-utility operations at the Caryville site. The last piece of equipment was sold in 1994.

The disallowed facilities were transferred for use elsewhere in Gulf's system, and the land classified as non-utility property.

The Business Development center equipment was donated and the space is being used by the Corporate Communications dept.

Adjustment not made since Gulf sold this property in the early 1990's.
Adjustment no longer needed since the floor is used and useful for utility operations.

This adjustment is no longer made since adjustments were made to properly account for potentially misappropriated property. (Amounts written off to non-utility.)

Plant Scherer, in the last rate case, had 63MW unsold which were requested to be placed in rate base. In a Commission decision, the rate base items associated with this unsold capacity were disallowed. This separate adjustment is not necessary since $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ of Scherer is removed in the UPS adjustments in this filling.

In the last rate filing, Gulf misallocated plant investment and depreciation reserve

0 0 0

| FLOAIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION COMPANY: GULF POWER COMPANY | EXPLANATION: List all adjustments made to operating income or rate base in the previous full revenue requirement case that are not included in the current case, with an explanation for not including these adjustments. | Type of Data Shown: <br> XX Projected Test Year Ended 5/31/03 <br> Prior Year Ended 5/31/02 <br> Historic Test Year Ended 12/31/00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DOCKET NO. 010949-EI |  | Witness: R. R. Labrato |


| Line <br> No. | (1) <br> Nature of Adjustment | (2) Amount of Adjustment (000's) | (3) <br> Explanation and Supporting Schedula | (4) <br> Increase/(Decrease) in Revenue Requirement (000's) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RATE BASE ADJUSTMENTS (Continued) |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | Working Capital - Heavy Oil Inventory | 0 | No adjustment was necessary, since this fuel stock was sold off. | 0 |
| 13 | Working Captal - Lighter Oil Inventory | 0 | No adjustment was necessary, The Company feels that the inventory level in this filling is necessary and reasonable. | 0 |
| 14 | Working Capital -Coal Inventory | 390 | No adjustment was necessary, The Company feels that the inventory level in this filing is necessary and reasonable. | 49 |
| 15 | Working Capital -PIP Expense Adjustment | 0 | The current salaries and benefits package was deemed reasonable by the Commission in the last rate case. The adjustment made in the last rate case was made due to a revised budget amount. No adjustment is necessary. | 0 |
| 16 | Working Capital -Blackwater Cooling Lake | 0 | This adjustment has been discontinued since the Special Fund set up for the cooling lake at Plant Daniel in Mississippi no longer exists. | 0 |
| 17 | Working Capital -Accounts Receivable Sod Farm \& Vision Design | 0 | This adjustment no longer is made since these entities no longer exist. | 0 |
| 18 | Working Capital -Leasehold Improvements Merchandising | 0 | There are no Leasehold Improvements in the Test Year since the balance has been fully amortized. | 0 |
| 19 | Working Capital -Air Products Bill Credit | 0 | This adjustment no longer is made, since this program no longer exists. | 0 |
| 20 | Working Capital -Plant Daniel Coal Buyout | 0 | This adjustment is no longer made, since the balance has been fully amortized. | 0 |
| 21 | Working Capital -Peabody Prepayment | 0 | This adjustment is no longer made, since the balance has been fully amortized. | 0 |
| 22 | Working Capital -Monsanto Advance | 0 | This adjustment no longer is made, since this program no longer exists. | 0 |




Supporting Schedules: B-3; B-4

| FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION COMPANY: GULF POWER COMPANY DOCKET NO. 010949-EI | EXPLANATION: List all adjustments made to operating income or rate base in the previous full revenue requirement case that are not included in the current case, with an explanation for not including these adjustments. | Type of Data Shown: <br> XX Projected Test Year Ended 5/31/03 <br> Prior Year Ended 5/31/02 <br> Historic Test Year Ended 12/31/00 <br> Witness: <br> R. R. Labrato |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Line $(1)$ <br> No. | (2)  <br> Amount of (3) <br> Adjustment  <br> (000's) Explanation and <br> Supporting Schedule | (4) <br> Increase/(Decrease) <br> in Revenue Requirement (000's) |

## NET OPERATING INCOME ADJUSTMENTS:

37 IRS, Grand Jury Expenses 0

38 Research and Development Projects 0

39 Scherer Transmission Rents

40

Productivity Improvement Plan

Employee Relocation Program

Caryville Subsurface Study

Pension Expense

Appliance Sales function Depreciation

Tallahassee Office Depreciation

Leisure Lakes

There are no longer any expenses of this nature.

Amounts expended are reasonable and prudent.

There are no Scherer transmission rents in this filing.

There are none in the Test Year.

Gulf's Compensation Plan was considered to be reasonable in the last case 0 The amount in this filing is also considered reasonable and prudent.

Relocation expenses are considered to be reasonable and prudent.

This amount was fully amortized.
Pension expenses were adjusted due to an incorrect budget amount. The amount ..... 0

0shown in this filling is correct and reasonable.

This adjustment relates to a misallocation of square footage used to determine the
Appliance Sales usable space. The misallocation was corrected.
Currently there is no depreciable property at this location.

The disallowed (depreciable) equipment was transferred and is currently
in use at another facility.

The Business Development Center no longer exists. Gulf considers the third floor to be
used and useful.

0
0

0

0

0

0

0
(Business Dev Center,3rd Floor Corp. Office)

Supporting Schedules:

| FLOAIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION | EXPLANATION: Provide a summary of jurisdictional capital <br> structure as requested for the test year as compared to the <br> jurisdictional capital structure as determined by the <br> Commission in the previous rate case. | Type of Dala Shown: <br> COMPANY: GULF POWER COMPANY |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |


|  | LINE NO. | (1) <br> Capital Structure Item | (2) <br> Jurisdictional Capital Structure in Current Case Test Year Ended 5/31/03 (000) | (3) <br> Jurisdictional Capital Structure as Determined by Commission in Last Case - Test Year Ended 12/31/90 (000) | (4) <br> Dollar Difference (000) | (5) <br> Percentage Difference (\%) | (6) <br> Compound Annual Growth Rate (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | Long-Term Debt | 437,913 | 311,950 | 125,963 | 40.38\% | 2.77 |
|  | 2 | Short-Term Debt | 17,801 | 3,971 | 13,830 | 348.27\% | 12.84 |
|  | 3 | Preferred Stock | 99,565 | 51,358 | 48,207 | 93.86\% | 5.47 |
| $\begin{aligned} & N \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ | 4 | Common Equity | 491,919 | 264,857 | 227,062 | 85.73\% | 5.11 |
|  | 5 | Customer Deposits | 13,249 | 14,134 | (885) | -6.26\% | (0.52) |
|  | 6 | Accumulated Deferred Income Taxes - Zero Cost | 121,471 | 175,796 | $(54,325)$ | -30.90\% | (2.93) |
|  | 7 | Investment Credit - Zero Cost | 0 | 823 | (823) | -100.00\% | 0.00 |
|  | 8 | Investment Credit - Weighted Cost | 16,584 | 38,270 | $(21,686)$ | -56.67\% | (6.51) |
|  | 9 | Total Capital | 1,198,502 | 861,159 | 337,343 | 39.17\% | 2.70 |


| FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION | EXPLANATION: Provide a summary of jurisdictional capital | Type of Data Shown: |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| COMPANY: GULF POWER COMPANY | cost rates as requested for the test year as compared to | 置 |


| Line No. | (1) <br> Capital <br> Structure Item | (2) Jurisdictional Cost Rates in Current Rate Case Test Year Ended 05/31/03 (\%) | (3) Jurisdictional Cost Rates per Last Rate Case Test Year Ended 12/31/90 (\%) | (4) <br> Difference (\%) | (5) <br> Percentage Difference (\%) | (6) Compound Annual Growth Rate (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Long-Term Debt | 7.08 | 8.72 | (1.64) | -18.81\% | (1.66) |
| 2 | Short-Term Debt | 6.02 | 8.00 | (1.98) | -24.75\% | (2.26) |
| 3 | Preferred Stock | 5.01 | 7.75 | (2.74) | -35.35\% | (3.45) |
| 4 | Customer Deposits | 5.98 | 7.65 | (1.67) | -21.83\% | (1.96) |
| 5 | Common Equity | 13.00 | 12.55 | 0.45 | 3.59\% | 0.28 |
| 6 | Investment Credit - Zero Cost |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | Investment Credit - Weighted Cost | 9.70 | 10.26 | (0.56) | -5.46\% | (0.45) |
| 8 | Accumulated Deferred Income Taxes - Zero Cost |  |  |  |  |  |


| Schedule | A-12c | SUMMARY OF | TY INDICA |  | Page 1 of 1 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FLORIDAP <br> COMPANY <br> DOCKET | UUBLIC SEERVICE COMMISSION : GULF POWER COMPANY VO.: 010949-EI | EXPLANATION <br> (1) the requeste <br> (2) the test year | icators for: case. | Type of Data Shown: <br> XX Projected Test Year Ended 05/31/03 $\qquad$ Prior Year Ended 05/31/02 <br> Historical Year Ended 12/31/00 <br> Witness: R. R. Labrato |  |  |
| Line No. | (1) <br> Indicator |  | (2) Test Year This Rate Case $5 / 31 / 03$ |  | (4) <br> Difference (2)-(3) | (5) <br> Percent Dilference (4)/(3) |
| INTEREST COVERAGE RATIOS: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. | Including AFUDC in Income Befor | rest Charges | 2.04 | 3.28 | (1.24) | -37.80\% |
| 2. | Excluding AFUDC from Income | Interest Charges | 2.02 | 3.28 | (1.26) | -38.41\% |
| 3. | AFUDC as a Percent of Income | le for Common | 1.96\% | 0.01\% | 0.0195 | 19500.00\% |
| 4. | Percent of all Funds Generated |  | 34.83\% | 132.83\% | (0.9800) | -73.78\% |
| PREFERRED DIVIDEND COVERAGE: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5. | Including AFUDC |  | 146.86 | 10.38 | 136.48 | 1314.84\% |
| 6. | Excluding AFUDC |  | 143.59 | 10.38 | 133.21 | 1283.33\% |
| RATIO OF EARNINGS TO FIXED CHARGES: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7. | Including AFUDC |  | 2.04 | 3.18 | (1.14) | -35.85\% |
| 8. | Excluding AFUDC |  | 2.02 | 3.18 | (1.16) | -36.48\% |
| EARNINGS PER SHARE: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9. | Including AFUDC | Not Applicable |  |  |  |  |
| 10. | Excluding AFUDC | Not Applicable |  |  |  |  |
| 11. | Dividends Per Share | Not Applicable |  |  |  |  |


| FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION | EXPLANATION: Provide a description of all parent, <br> subsidiary and affiliated company relationships, with <br> a discussion of investments, transactions, pricing policies <br> and proposed treatment for ratemaking purposes. | Type of Data Shown: <br> COMPANY: GULF POWER COMPANY |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| DOCKET NO.: 010949-EI |  | XX Prioc Year Ended 05/31/02 |

Gulf Power Company is a wholly owned subsidiary of Southern Company, which is the parent company of five integrated Southeast utilities, Southern Company Services (SCS), Southern Communications Services (Southern LINC), Southern Management Development, Inc. - formerly Southern Company Energy Solutions, Southern Nuclear Operating Company (Southern Nuclear), Southern Telecom, other direct and indirect subsidiaries, effective January 2001 - Southern Power Company (SPC) and until April 2001 - Mirant Corporation (Mirant) - formerly Southem Energy, Inc. The integrated Southeast utilities - Alabama Power, Georgia Power, Gulf Power, Mississippi Power, and Savannah Electric--provide electric service in four states. Gulf Power Company provides electric service to the northwest panhandle of Florida. Contracts among the integrated Southeast utilities-related to jointly owned generating facilities, interconnecting transmission lines, and the exchange of electric power--are regulated by the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) and/or the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC). The system service company provides, at cost, specialized services to Southern Company and subsidiary companies. Southern LINC provides digital wireless communications services to the operating companies and also markets these services to the public within the Southeast. Southern Management Development develops new business opportunities related to energy products and services. Southern Nuclear provides services to Southern Company's nuclear plants. Southern Telecom provides wholesale fiber optic solutions to telecommunication providers in the Southern United States. SPC will be the primary growth engine for Southern Company's market-based energy business. Mirant acquires, develops, builds, owns, and operates power production and delivery facilities and provides a broad range of energy-related services to utilities and industrial companies in selected countries around the world. Mirant businesses include independent power projects, integrated utilities, a distribution company, and energy trading and marketing businesses outside the southeastern United States. In April 2000, Southern Company announced an initial public offering of up to 19.9 percent of Mirant and its intention to spin off the remaining ownership of Mirant within 12 months of the initial public offering. On April 2, 2001, the spin-off of Mirant was completed.

Southem Company is registered as a holding company under the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935 (PUHCA). Both Southern Company and its subsidiaries are subject to the regulatory provisions of the PUHCA. The Company is also subject to regulation by the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) and the Florida Public Service Commission (FPSC). The Company follows accounting principles generally accepted in the United States and complies with the accounting policies and practices prescribed by the FPSC and the FERC.
Suppor Schedules: Recap jedules:

| FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION | EXPLANATION: Provide the most recent Financial and | Type of Data Shown: |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Statistical Report furnished as a supplement to the | XX Projected Test Year Ended 5/31/03 |
| COMPANY: Gulf Power Company | Annual Report to Shareholders (or similar document). | XX Prior Year Ended 5/31/02 |
| DOCKET NO.: 010949-EI |  | XX Historical Test Year Ended 12/31/00 |

Gulf Power Company stopped preparing the Financial and Statistical Report for years subsequent to December 31, 1991.

## Notice to Customers:

For the first time since 1989, Gulf Power Company has asked the Florida Public Service Commission for an increase in base rates. The increase would pay for the Company's newest and largest generating unit and ocher increasing operation and maintenance expenses.

If approved by the PSC, the cost of 1,000 kilowatt-hours would increase from $\$ 64.85$, based on current rates, to $\$ 7.6 .83$ for residential customers. The increase would take effect around June 1, 2002, when the generator comes on line.

The Company is building the generator to meet customer growth across Northwest Florida. Since Gulf Power lasi filed for an increase to base rates, Gulf Power's customer base has increased 32 percent with the addition of more than 100,000 new.customers. In addition, existing individual customers are buying 14 percent more electricity than in 1989. To meet customer growth the Company has had to construct more than 1,400 miles of new distribution lines and has upgraded another 90 miles of transmission lines to carry more power to population centers.

Gulf Power has gone 10 years without a base rate increase. Our rates have remained among the lowest in the nation, and even with this increase we will still be well below the national average of $\$ 85.70$.

To keep you informed of our actions regarding this rate request, we are making available to you a variery of information. Minimum Filing Requirements (MFRs) for the rate case, along with copies of the rate petition and rate case synopsis; are available at the following Gulf Power locarions:

| Panama City | Pensacola | Fort Walton Beach |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1230 E. 15th Ave. | 75 N. Pace Boulevard | 140 Hollywood Boulevard |

In addition, the rate case synopsis:alone is available at the following libraries:

City of Fort Walton Beach Public Library Northwest Florida Regional Library 105 Miracle Strip Parkway, SW
Fort Walton Beach, FL 32547 25 W. Government St. Panama City, FL 32401

West Florida Regional Library 220 W. Gregory St.<br>Pensacola, FL 32501

The estimated time schedule established for the rate case with the key dates, times and locations of public hearings is:

- Filing
- Service Hearings
- Pre-hearing Conference
- Hearing
- Seaff Recommendation Filed Revenue Requirements
- Ágenda Conference Revenue Requirements
- Staff Recommendation Filed Rates
- Agenda Conference Rates
- Effective Date of Revised Rates

Seprember 10, 2001
January 16, 2002 10:00 A.M. Pensacola ${ }^{1}$ January 16, 2002 4:00 P.M. Panama City ${ }^{2}$
February 8, 2002 Tallahassee
February 25 - March 1, 2002 Tallahassee
April 18, 2002

April 30, 2002 Tallahassee
May 6, 2002
May 7, 2002 Tallahassee
June 6, 2002

1. Pensacola City Hall, 180 Governmental Center.
2. Holiday Inn Select, 2001 North Cove Boulevard.

Persons who wish to present testimony are urged to appear at the beginning of the hearing since the hearing may be adjourned early if no witnesses are present to testify.

The following two charts represent comparisons between current rates and service charges and proposed rates and service charges:

## Comparison of Current Rates and Service Charges and Proposed Rates and Service Charges

## RATES

| Rate Class | kW | kWh | Present Rate | Proposed Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RS |  | 1,000 | \$64.85 | \$76.83 |
| GS |  | 1,500 ${ }^{\circ}$ | \$119.65 | \$129.57 |
| GSD | 20 | 11,000 | \$503.40 | \$529.53 |
| GSD | 25 | 11,000 | \$526.43 | \$556.35 |
| GSD | 50 | 11,000 | \$641.60 | \$690.45 |
| LP | 500 | 288,000 | \$11,782.64 | \$12,258.30 |
| LP | 658 | 288,000 | \$13,150.58 | \$13,661.66 |
| LP. | 1,315 | 288,000 | \$18,838.82 | \$19,497.17 |
| LPT | $\begin{gathered} 5,000 \mathrm{Max} \\ 5,000 \mathrm{On} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 600,000 On } \\ & 1,800,000 \text { Off } \end{aligned}$ | \$102,841.01 | \$107,210.25 |
| PX | 10,000 | 6,500,000 | \$235,733.15 | \$235,816.79 |
| PXT | 10,000 Max | 1,600,000 On | \$234,185.43 | \$234,162.44 |
|  | 10,000 On | 4,900,000 Off |  |  |

## Semice Fees

| Name | Present Charge | Proposed Charge |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Connecrion of Initial Service | \$20.00 | \$30.00 |
| Connection of Existing Service | \$16.00 | \$30.00 |
| Restoration of Service (After Violation of Rules) | \$16.00 | \$35.00 |
| Restoration of Service After Hours (After Violation of Rules) | \$16.00 | \$55.00 |
| Restoration of Service at Pole (After Violation of Rules) | \$16.00 | \$95.00 |
| Premise Visit | \$6.00 | \$20.00 |
| Connection of Temporary Service | \$60.00 | \$110.00 |
| Investigation of Unauchorized Use | \$55.00 | \$75.00 |
| Returned Item Charge < \$50 | \$20.00 | \$25.00 |
| Returned Item Charge.>\$50 and < \$300 | \$20.00 | \$30.00 |
| Returned Item Charge > \$300 | \$20.00 | \$40.00 |

Customer comments regarding electric service or the proposed rate increase should reference Docket Number 010949-E1 and be addressed to:
Director, Division of the Commission Clerk and Administrative Services Florida Public Service Commission
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850
Whether it's providing reliable, low-cost energy, offering several valuable services or operating our business in an environmentally sound manner, Gulf Power Company is at your service.
For additional information or answers to your questions, please contact us at 1-800-225-5797.



