VOTE SHEET

MARCH 19, 2002

RE: Docket No. 001097-TP - Request for arbitration concerning complaint of BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. against Supra Telecommunications and Information Systems, Inc. for resolution of billing disputes.

ISSUE 1: Should the Commission grant BellSouth's Motion for Extension of Time to Respond to Supra's Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Subject Matter Jurisdiction?

<u>RECOMMENDATION</u>: Yes. The Commission should grant BellSouth's Motion for Extension of Time to Respond to Supra's Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Subject Matter Jurisdiction.

APPROVED

On its own motion, the Commission reconsidered and subsequently reaffirmed its original vote approximents staggs recommendation.

COMMISSIONERS ASSIGNED: Jaber, Baez, Palecki

\wedge	COMMISSIONERS'	SIGNATURES	
MAJORITY	$\overline{}$		DISSENTING
Haph			
Nurliged A Palenty	·		

REMARKS/DISSENTING COMMENTS:

DOCUMENT HIMPER DATE

03165 MAR 198

YOTE SHEET

MARCH 19, 2002

Docket No. 001097-TP - Request for arbitration concerning complaint of BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. against Supra Telecommunications and Information Systems, Inc. for resolution of billing disputes.

(Continued from previous page)

<u>ISSUE 2</u>: Should the Commission grant Supra's Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Subject Matter Jurisdiction?

<u>RECOMMENDATION</u>: No. The Commission should deny Supra's Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Subject Matter Jurisdiction.

APPROVED

ISSUE 3: Should the Commission grant BellSouth's Motion to Strike Portions of the Direct Testimony of Olukayode Ramos and David Nilson?

RECOMMENDATION: No. Staff recommends that the Commission should deny BellSouth's Motion to Strike Portions of the Direct Testimony of Olukayode Ramos and David Nilson in its entirety.

APPROVED

ISSUE 4: Should this docket be closed?
RECOMMENDATION: No. This docket should remain open pending resolution of
the complaint.

APPROVED