APR 24

## BEFORE THE 1 FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION 2 DOCKET NO. 000075-TP (Phase IIA) 3 In the Matter of 4 INVESTIGATION INTO APPROPRIATE 5 METHODS TO COMPENSATE CARRIERS FOR EXCHANGE OF TRAFFIC SUBJECT TO SECTION 251 OF THE 6 TELECOMMUNICATIONS ACT OF 1966. 7 8 ELECTRONIC VERSIONS OF THIS TRANSCRIPT ARE A CONVENIENCE COPY ONLY AND ARE NOT 9 THE OFFICIAL TRANSCRIPT OF THE HEARING AND DO NOT INCLUDE PREFILED TESTIMONY. 10 11 PREHEARING CONFERENCE 12 PROCEEDINGS: 13 **BEFORE:** CHAIRMAN LILA A. JABER Prehearing Officer 14 15 Friday, April 19, 2002 DATE: 16 Commenced at 9:30 a.m. Concluded at 10:15 a.m. 17 TIME: 18 PLACE: Betty Easley Conference Center 19 Room 152 4075 Esplanade Way Tallahassee, Florida 20 21 22 REPORTED BY: SO JANE FAUROT. RPR Chief, Office of Hearing Reporter Services FPSC Division of Commission Clerk and 23 Administrative Services (850) 413-6732 524 24

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

## 1 APPEARANCES: 2 EARL EDENFIELD, c/o Nancy Sims, 150 South 3 Monroe Street, Suite 400, Tallahassee, Florida 4 32301, appearing on behalf of BellSouth 5 Telecommunications, Inc. participating 6 electronically. 7 JON C. MOYLE, JR, Moyle Law Firm, The Perkins House, 118 North Gadsden Street, 8 9 Tallahassee, Florida 32301, appearing on behalf of 10 Global NAPS, Inc. 11 KENNETH A. HOFFMAN and MARTIN P. 12 McDONNELL. Rutledge, Ecenia, Underwood, Purnell & 13 Hoffman, P. O. Box 511, 215 South Monroe Street, 14 Suite 420, Tallahassee, Florida 32302-0551, 15 appearing on behalf of Level 3 Communications, LLC, and US LEC of Florida, Inc., AT&T Communications of 16 the Southern States, MediaOne, and Allegiance 17 Telecom of Florida, Inc. 18 SUSAN S. MASTERTON, P. O. Box 2214, 19 Tallahassee, Florida 32316-2214, appearing on behalf 20 of Sprint-Florida, Incorporated, and Sprint 21 22 Communications Limited Partnership. 23 KAREN CAMECHIS, Pennington, Culpepper, 24

Moore, Wilkinson, Dunbar & Dunlap, P.A., Post Office Box 10095, Tallahassee, Florida, 32302-0551,

1	appearing on behalf of lime Warner Telecom of
2	Florida, L.P.
3	KIMBERLY CASWELL and DAVID CHRISTIAN, P.
4	0. Box 100, FLTC0007, Tampa, Florida 33601-0110,
5	appearing on behalf of Verizon Florida, Inc.
6	RICHARD D. MELSON and GARY PERKO, Hopping
7	Green Sams Smith, P.A., Post Office Box 6526,
8	Tallahassee, Florida 32302, and DONNA McNULTY,
9	appearing on behalf of MCI WorldCom and Intermedia
10	Communications, Inc.
11	MICHAEL GROSS, 246 East Sixth Avenue, Suite 100,
12	Tallahassee, Florida 32303, appearing on behalf of Florida
13	Cable Telecommunications Association, Inc.
14	J. JEFFRY WAHLEN, Ausley & McMullen, P.O. Box 391,
15	Tallahassee, Florida 32303 and STEPHEN T. REFSELL, appearing
16	on behalf of ALLTEL Florida, Inc.
17	MATTHEW FEIL, 390 North Orange Avenue, Suite 2000,
18	Orlando, Florida 32801-1640, appearing on behalf of Florida
19	Digital Network, Inc.
20	FELICIA BANKS, LINDA DODSON, and BETH
21	KEATING, Florida Public Service Commission, Division
22	of Legal Services, 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard,
23	Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0870, appearing on behalf
24	of the Commission Staff.

## PROCEEDINGS 1 2 CHAIRMAN JABER: All right. Let's go ahead and get 3 started. 4 Ms. Banks, the notice. 5 MS. BANKS: Pursuant to notice issued April 8th, 6 2002, this time and place has been set for a prehearing in 7 Docket Number 000075-TP for Phase IIA, which is investigation 8 to appropriate methods to compensate carriers for exchange of 9 traffic subject to Section 251 of the Telecommunications Act of 10 1996. 11 CHAIRMAN JABER: Let's take appearance. And I 12 understand we have someone on the telephone. 13 MR. EDENFIELD: Yes, Madam Chairman. This is Kip Edenfield from BellSouth. I appreciate your understanding and 14 indulgence. Mr. Meza was en route to Tallahassee and 15 16 apparently because the airport was fogged in could not land, so he had to go back to Miami. But I appreciate you letting me 17 attend by phone. 18 19 CHAIRMAN JABER: No problem. MS. CAMECHIS: Karen Camechis on behalf of Time 20 Warner Telecom of Florida, LP. 21 MR. GROSS: Michael Gross on behalf of the Florida 22

Cable Telecommunications Association.

23

24

25

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

MS. MASTERTON: Susan Masterton on behalf of Sprint.

MR. WAHLEN: Jeff Wahlen of the Ausley McMullen law

1	firm on behalf of ALLTEL Florida, Inc. Also appearing in the
2	case with me will be Stephen Refsell of ALLTEL Corporate
3	Services in Little Rock.
4	MR. McDONNELL: Marty McDonnell from the law firm of
5	Rutledge, Ecenia, Purnell and Hoffman on behalf of AT&T, US LEC
6	of Florida, Inc. and Level 3.
7	MR. PERKO: Gary Perko of Hopping Green & Sams, P.A.,
8	with Rick Melson of the same firm, and Donna McNulty of MCI
9	Communications on behalf of MCImetro Access Transmission
10	Services, MCI WorldCom Communications, and Intermedia
11	Communications.
12	MR. MOYLE: John Moyle, Junior on behalf of Global
13	NAPs with the Moyle Flanigan law firm.
14	MR. FEIL: Matthew Feil on behalf of Florida Digital
15	Network.
16	MR. CHRISTIAN: Madam Chairman, David Christian with
17	Verizon. Kim Caswell is in a similar situation with a flight
18	being delayed. She should be here shortly.
19	THE COURT: Did you know about that, Staff?
20	MS. BANKS: Yes. And Felicia Banks, Linda Dodson,
21	and Beth Keating on behalf of the Commission.
22	CHAIRMAN JABER: All right. Let's see, there are
23	preliminary matters, Ms. Banks. I need officially excuse
24	e.spire Communications from the prehearing conference?
25	MS. BANKS: That is correct. Madam Chair.

Τ,

CHAIRMAN JABER: That request is granted. And have there been any other requests?

MS. BANKS: Yes, Madam Chair. There have been several requests for confidential classification filed in this docket. On April 16th, 2002, Sprint filed a request for confidential classification of its response to Staff's First Request for Production of Document Number 1, and that document number is referenced as 04253-02.

CHAIRMAN JABER: Mr. Christian, was there a request from Verizon for us to wait until she gets here?

MS. BANKS: No, Madam Chair, we are to proceed.

CHAIRMAN JABER: With respect to the confidential classification requests, do you propose to handle that by separate order?

MS. BANKS: Yes, Madam Chair. I think that would probably be the most efficient way of addressing those. Verizon also on April 18th, 2002, filed a request for confidential classification for its responses to Staff's First Request for Production of Document Numbers 4, 5, 6, and 7, and that document number reference is 04303-02. And staff would also note that on April 16th, 2002, BellSouth filed a notice of intent to request confidential classification of its responses to Staff's First Request for Production of Documents Numbers 1, 3, and 4. And that document reference number is 04251-02.

CHAIRMAN JABER: When is the hearing?

MS. BANKS: The hearing of Phase IIA is set for May 1 2 8th. 3 CHAIRMAN JABER: Will we have an order issued prior 4 to May 8th? 5 MS. BANKS: Regarding the confidential requests? 6 Yes, Madam Chair. It is Staff's intent to have an order issued 7 prior to the hearing. 8 CHAIRMAN JABER: Are there any objections to just 9 waiting and doing this by separate order? Great. That's what 10 we will do, Felicia. 11 What's next? 12 MS. BANKS: I believe parties had been e-mailed a copy of the draft prehearing order. I got a number of 13 responses, and so with those responses I incorporated those 14 15 changes that were forwarded to me. However there were a couple 16 that I received late yesterday and this morning. I think that 17 if I could just make this suggestion, Madam Chair, of maybe 18 working through the draft prehearing order and addressing those 19 at the appropriate time. 20 CHAIRMAN JABER: Sure, no problem. Mr. Christian, 21 your counsel is not here, and you didn't request for us to wait 22 on her, so let the record reflect that we are moving forward because I don't have that request. 23 24 MR. CHRISTIAN: That's correct.

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

CHAIRMAN JABER: Any changes to the case background?

Seeing none --1 2 MS. BANKS: Madam Chair. if I could interject. 3 the section on appearances --4 CHAIRMAN JABER: Yes. 5 MS. BANKS: -- it is my understanding that the record 6 should reflect or the order should reflect that Ken Hoffman. 7 Marsha Rule, and Marty McDonnell are making appearances also on 8 behalf of US LEC of Florida. Inc. 9 MR. McDONNELL: That is correct. Madam Chairman, as 10 well as Level 3 Communications. LLC. CHAIRMAN JABER: Thank you. 11 12 MR. McDONNELL: Thank you. 13 CHAIRMAN JABER: Ms. Banks, what about -- okay. 14 Mr. Gross, you made appearance for Time Warner -- no, Karen 15 Camechis did. Okay. 16 Ms. Banks. what is next? 17 MS. BANKS: I don't know if we want to, I guess, go 18 by section or --CHAIRMAN JABER: Is there any concern with respect to 19 20 the post-hearing filing page limit? 21 MR. FEIL: Madam Chairman --CHAIRMAN JABER: With two issues we should be able to 22 shorten the page limit. 23 24 MR. FEIL: I don't have a problem with the page limit. I was going ask for clarification, though, with regard 25

to the post-hearing positions, no more than 50 words. I wanted 1 2 to make sure that that pertained to subissues rather than 3 issues, because the issues have several subparts. 4 CHAIRMAN JABER: Yes, I think that is correct, Mr. 5 Feil. 6 MR. FEIL: Thank you. 7 CHAIRMAN JABER: On testimony and exhibits, has there 8 been a request to combine direct and rebuttal? 9 MS. BANKS: There hasn't been a formal request, Madam 10 Chair, but in past times that has been the approach that we have taken in this docket for hearing. 11 12 CHAIRMAN JABER: Now, remind me, parties, you have 13 refiled testimony for the purposes of information. That testimony is already in the record, so we won't be reinserting 14 15 it into the record, Felicia. 16 MS. BANKS: That is my understanding, Madam Chair. 17 However, there has been some testimony that was refiled, and I 18 think the notation indicates for information purposes only 19 because it already has gone to hearing. It is staff's 20 understanding, and I think the parties' understanding that it 21 would just be used as a reference, but it is a part of the 22 record. 23 CHAIRMAN JABER: All right.

Ms Mastartan are you both

24

25

Ms. Masterton, are you bothered by the same thing I'm bothered by?

MS. MASTERTON: I just want to make sure. I had understood -- and we had this discussion, I think, when we had the meeting identifying the issues, that the record from the previous hearing on those issues is going to be part of the record going forward. I think we refiled the testimony at the request of staff, but I just wanted to make clear that it doesn't change the status.

CHAIRMAN JABER: That is my question, that the record from the initial proceeding is still open.

MS. BANKS: That is correct, Madam Chair.

CHAIRMAN JABER: All right.

MR. McDONNELL: I'm sorry, Madam Chair, but I think the words for informational purposes only just kind of set the parties aside a little bit. I don't know if that is referring to the refiled testimony or the testimony, per se.

CHAIRMAN JABER: Let me take a stab at it, and staff needs to correct all of us if we are wrong, but I think that they have asked you to refile it because it is the only way the Commissioners' offices get a copy of that testimony. So, when we receive our file we will have copies of the original testimony now. I think that's why. Staff.

MS. BANKS: Yes, Madam Chair, that was a part of staff's consideration in making the request to refile the testimony. And for administrative ease, as well, have the information to be able to reference it easily. However, as I

just indicated, not all parties refiled the testimony. So there is some that was refiled and some that was not, but that was --

CHAIRMAN JABER: Here is what you should make sure you have done for the Commissioners. Make sure to go back to the original prehearing order and that we have all the copies of the testimony in this case, including the new testimony. And I think the distinction between informational purposes and inserting the testimony in the record is that there should be an understanding by the parties that you will not go back and cross-examine on the testimony that was previously filed. If that's not correct you all need to say something.

MR. EDENFIELD: That is BellSouth's understanding of the agreement.

MR. GROSS: Michael Gross on behalf of FCTA, and we are not concerned about additional cross examination of those witnesses, but just the choice of terminology information purposes only raised a concern for us about whether that testimony being in the record could be considered evidence and considered by the Commission in making its decision in this case. And there was a little bit of ambiguity we found in the choice of terms, so we just wanted some clarification as to what the status of that testimony exactly is. Is it evidence that could be considered by the Commission?

CHAIRMAN JABER: Let's take Mr. Hunsucker for

example. Ms. Masterton, did he file testimony related to the two issues in this case?

MS. MASTERTON: Yes, he did in the Issue 17. We had another witness for Issue 13, so he doesn't have testimony in this case on Issue 13.

CHAIRMAN JABER: So, Staff, did you all contemplate that we would put Mr. Hunsucker on the stand and ask questions related to Issue 17, for example?

MS. BANKS: Only as relates to his additional or new testimony, but not his refiled testimony.

CHAIRMAN JABER: But does the refiled testimony have testimony related to Issue 17?

MS. MASTERTON: I mean, what it is is what he put in the record in the previous portion of the hearing on Issue 17, yes, and Issue 13. So he does have testimony related to that issue. But it was my understanding -- I mean, I agree with BellSouth that the cross examination and all would be on the new testimony that was filed. And I was going to suggest perhaps that we just don't move the refiled testimony into the record at the hearing and that might address the problem. We would just move in the new testimony, and then the refiled testimony will be a part of the old record and not a part of the -- do you think that would --

MS. BANKS: I think, Madam Chair, that probably would be a good approach, given the fact that all the parties did not

refile testimony on those respective issues. For consistency sake I think that probably -- and to reduce or minimize any confusion that might result from it, it probably would be best to address it that way.

MR. EDENFIELD: But, Madam Chair -- I'm sorry to interrupt.

CHAIRMAN JABER: Go ahead.

MR. EDENFIELD: The only issue I see with that is some parties, at least BellSouth, we just filed one set of testimony, and within that testimony the first section is basically a regurgitation of what was filed in the previous docket. And then there is a section in the testimony that says additional testimony, and that is the new testimony. So if you are not going to move in the prefiled, for the parties that incorporated the old and the new into one document that could become an issue.

CHAIRMAN JABER: It is not easily separable.

MR. EDENFIELD: Well, it is, but I just want to make sure that everybody understands that for some parties, at least BellSouth, we just filed one set of testimony that incorporated the old and the new, that there is not two separate pieces of testimony. And I thought that was what staff had asked us to do was to incorporate it all into one document.

MS. BANKS: Mr. Edenfield, you are correct, that was staff's, I guess, initial intent is to have it compiled

together. But as I had just mentioned, because all parties did not address it in that manner, I think Ms. Masterton's approach might be workable, as I think Madam Chair just said if it is severable or if it is clearly marked as such as to the distinction with what the respective filing is or what it relates to.

MR. EDENFIELD: And I have no objection to the approach, I just wanted to make sure that everybody understood that at least for BellSouth we only have one set of testimony, so we will have to make sure we separate it out.

CHAIRMAN JABER: I think when you ask for the testimony to be inserted into the record, if you could just give us page numbers and line numbers.

MR. EDENFIELD: We would be happy to do that, Madam Chairman.

CHAIRMAN JABER: We will do it that way. But, Ms. Masterton, it was always the parties' intent to call all of these witnesses and recognize which ones had their testimony already inserted into the record.

MS. MASTERTON: When you ask that, I'm not sure that I understood how the refiled testimony was going to be handled at the hearing. I mean, it was certainly our intent to call the witnesses for the additional testimony that they filed at this hearing. I did not know what was supposed to happen for the rest of it.

1	CHAIRMAN JABER: So let's establish that right now.
2	BellSouth, Ms. Shiroishi, she filed testimony in the
3	first part of the proceeding, correct?
4	MR. EDENFIELD: Yes, ma'am. What she did is another
5	witness did, but she has adopted that testimony.
6	CHAIRMAN JABER: Right. So there will be parts of
7	her testimony that are original pages and there will be parts
8	that are new?
9	MR. EDENFIELD: That is correct.
10	CHAIRMAN JABER: So when you call her make clear, be
11	able to make clear which pages, which lines will need to be
12	inserted into the record.
13	MR. EDENFIELD: Yes, Madam Chairman, we will do that.
14	CHAIRMAN JABER: Okay. And share that with the
15	parties so that there is no confusion at the hearing.
16	MR. EDENFIELD: I will do that. There should not be
17	any because we have clearly delineated what was from the prior
18	proceeding and what was new.
19	CHAIRMAN JABER: All right.
20	Verizon, you will able to do the same thing with Mr.
21	Trimble and Ms. Ward?
22	MS. MASTERTON: Ms. Ward, she is a new testimony, so
23	her testimony is all to the new issues.
24	CHAIRMAN JABER: All right. Mr. Hunsucker, you will
25	iust call him to the stand.

MS. MASTERTON: Right.

CHAIRMAN JABER: Does

everyone? I don't need to go wi

CHAIRMAN JABER: Does that make it clear for everyone? I don't need to go witness-by-witness, you understand what I'm trying to accomplish, right?

MR. EDENFIELD: Yes, Madam Chairman.

CHAIRMAN JABER: All right. Staff, do you understand what we are going to do?

MS. BANKS: Yes, I believe I understand. If I could just interject, on Witness Trimble, Mr. Trimble had an exhibit, I think it is DBT-2, and that particular exhibit actually included -- I'm sorry, it is DBT-1. It actually included excerpts of the refiled testimony for Witnesses Haynes and Beauvais, so that would be a similar situation. It is just an exhibit, and there is a footnote that is referenced on Page 31 of the draft prehearing order.

CHAIRMAN JABER: Well, since Ms. Caswell is not here, why don't you communicate with her off record and come up with the best approach for handling that exhibit that will not create confusion for the parties or for the Commissioners.

Go ahead, Mr. McDonnell.

MR. McDONNELL: Thank you, Madam Chairman. We filed testimony -- refiled testimony on behalf of Witness Follensbee, Witness Gates, and Witness Selwyn. None of that is new testimony, we just refiled what they previously filed, and they were previously available for cross examination. We did not

1 intend to bring them back. 2 MR. EDENFIELD: Since Mr. Follensbee now works for 3 BellSouth, that might be interesting anyway. 4 CHAIRMAN JABER: He does? 5 MR. EDENFIELD: He does. 6 CHAIRMAN JABER: Well. let's talk about that because 7 I thought they were listed here because they must have filed 8 testimony related to the two issues. MR. EDENFIELD: They did. I believe, Madam Chairman, 9 10 what happened was those witnesses in the last phase had filed testimony on Issues 13 and/or 17, and what AT&T has done is 11 12 just at the request of staff refiled that testimony as being 13 the prior testimony. And it would not be BellSouth's intent to 14 do any kind of cross examination on that because it was our 15 understanding it was being admitted just for informational 16 purposes. I'm not sure if that answers the issue. 17 MS. BANKS: Madam Chair, if I could just interject. 18 Those respective witnesses that Mr. McDonnell referenced are 19 actually in the footnotes indicating that that was refiled 20 testimony and serving as we had indicated for informational 21 purposes only, therefore not subject to cross examination. 22 CHAIRMAN JABER: And they are also not listed as witnesses to be called. 23 24 MS. BANKS: That is correct. 25 CHAIRMAN JABER: So to prevent confusion, can't we

1	just take any references to them out? Isn't that the most
2	see, the Commissioners use this as a document to guide them
3	through the hearing. I don't care that staff asked and I
4	really don't know why you asked for it to be refiled for
5	informational purposes, and the Commissioners won't care. They
6	just want to know which witnesses will be called up on the
7	stand. So is it correct that for BellSouth it will just be Ms.
8	Shiroishi?
9	MR. EDENFIELD: Yes, Madam Chairman.
10	CHAIRMAN JABER: And is it correct, Verizon, that
11	your only witness will be Mr. Trimble?
12	MS. BANKS: Madam Chair
13	CHAIRMAN JABER: Hang on, Ms. Banks.
14	MR. CHRISTIAN: Correct.
15	CHAIRMAN JABER: Sprint, you are not calling any
16	other witnesses except Ward and Hunsucker?
17	MS. MASTERTON: Correct.
18	CHAIRMAN JABER: Alltel?
19	MR. WAHLEN: Just Mr. Busbee.
20	CHAIRMAN JABER: AT&T?
21	MR. McDONNELL: Paul Cain.
22	CHAIRMAN JABER: FCTA?
23	MR. GROSS: William Barta.
24	CHAIRMAN JABER: WorldCom?
25	MR. PERKO: Joseph Gillan.

CHAIRMAN JABER: FDN? 1 2 MR. FEIL: Just Mr. McCluskey. 3 4 5 matter, unless I'm missing something. 6 Madam Chair. 7 8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN JABER: So. Ms. Banks, I think the rest of

the references aren't necessary. They may just confuse the

MS. BANKS: I believe that probably would be best,

CHAIRMAN JABER: Okay. Does that satisfy everyone's concern?

MR. EDENFIELD: It is acceptable to BellSouth, Madam Chair. And to the extent no one has asked to combine direct and rebuttal, if this would be the appropriate time to request that. BellSouth would make that request.

CHAIRMAN JABER: I will grant that request. Mr. Moyle.

MR. MOYLE: I just wanted to make sure, I think I understood it. that the footnote in here that references the Mr. Selwyn testimony and the others, that while that was refiled or whatnot, that will be part of the record upon which this case will be decided. So in post-hearing briefs even though it was officially introduced in an earlier phase, it can be cited to, relied on, et cetera, et cetera. I think at least in my mind that is where some of the confusion lies in that it will be afforded full evidentiary weight as if it was introduced in this proceeding.

ΤO

CHAIRMAN JABER: Right. I don't want you to put too much emphasis on the fact that you have refiled it, because that is all you have done if you have given another copy of it to our clerk. What is in the record is what counts, so that's why I don't want to confuse the Commissioners by even referencing the fact that you have refiled it.

Staff will base its recommendation on what is in the record, and we will base our decision on what is in the record. How many times you file the testimony isn't going to give it more weight. So are you okay with my deleting these references?

MR. MOYLE: Yes.

MS. MASTERTON: Commissioner, can I just ask one more question for clarification? I'm sorry. So then what I think you're saying is though when we cite to the previous testimony it will be to the transcript from the previous hearing.

CHAIRMAN JABER: That's right. Mr. Wahlen, did you have a question?

MR. WAHLEN: I don't have a question, I guess I wanted to broach the subject of whether anybody intends to cross-examine Mr. Busbee and whether he needs to come to town. I know people may not be prepared to answer those questions today, but we still have a pretty long witness list and a one-day hearing.

And Mr. Busbee would love to come to Tallahassee, but

if there is a way for us to trim this down, I'm sure for the team he would be willing to have his testimony stipulated into the record and not come to Tallahassee.

CHAIRMAN JABER: Thank you, Mr. Wahlen. I think that is true about all the witnesses. Let's come back to that. Do not let me forget because I actually have an announcement to make about this hearing.

MR. WAHLEN: Okay. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN JABER: All right. Ms. Banks, so you are going to take out some of those references about refiling testimony.

Are there any changes to the basic positions?

MR. FEIL: Madam Chair, if I could go back to the witnesses quickly for one matter. Mr. McCluskey left FDN a little bit more than a week ago to take a job in another state, Minnesota of all places. I am going to have to file a notice of substitution of witness. I intend on doing that next week. I notified the parties yesterday of this problem. Whoever the substitute witness is will just be adopted Mr. McCluskey's testimony and there won't be any changes to the testimony. It will just be a different voice.

CHAIRMAN JABER: All right. Is there any objection or concern about that? We will go ahead and acknowledge that you will be filing a notice for adoption.

MR. FEIL: Thank you.

_ ⊢	CHAIRMAN JABER: Any other changes to the withess
2	list or to the basic position?
3	MS. BANKS: Madam Chair, I think there was an
4	additional, I guess, change if Mr. Feil could help me out
5	reference he made regarding McCluskey's exhibit.
6	CHAIRMAN JABER: Let's wait until we get to the
7	exhibits.
8	MS. BANKS: Okay. I'm sorry, I thought you were at
9	the end.
10	CHAIRMAN JABER: On Page 14, Issue 13. Any changes
11	to the positions on that issue? On Issue 17, are there any
12	changes to the position? FCTA, I noticed there is no position
13	stated?
14	MR. GROSS: FCTA is not taking a position on 13, but
15	is on 17.
16	CHAIRMAN JABER: All right. So we will change that
17	statement to no position. Alltel.
18	MR. GROSS: That is correct. That's fine.
19	MR. WAHLEN: On Issue 17, our position can be changed
20	to no position. We will not be taking a position at all on
21	Issue 17.
22	MR. EDENFIELD: I'm sorry, who was that, Madam Chair?
23	CHAIRMAN JABER: That was Jeff Wahlen.
24	MR. WAHLEN: Alltel.
25	CHAIRMAN JABER: All right. Before we take up

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

exhibits. let me take a look at my notes, Ms. Banks, and make 1 2 sure I didn't leave anything out. 3 MS. BANKS: I believe all the concerns that were 4 directed to me have been addressed. 5 CHAIRMAN JABER: Great. Exhibits. You had a 6 question? 7 MS. BANKS: There was one, I guess, modification to 8 the exhibit for John McCluskey, which is Page 32 in the draft 9 prehearing order. And the description which says, "Segment LATA 60 ILEC retail calling areas," it should read "460," not 10 "60" LATA. 11 12 CHAIRMAN JABER: So noted. Any other changes? You 13 had a question for Mr. Feil, didn't you? 14 MR. FEIL: That was it. 15 MS. BANKS: That was it. That was the change. CHAIRMAN JABER: On Page 31 there is a reference to 16 17 an exhibit that was refiled. For the sake of consistency, any 18 refiled exhibits you should delete those references, as well, 19 right? 20 MS. BANKS: I think that would be appropriate, Madam Chair, and I would advise Ms. Caswell. 21 22 None CHAIRMAN JABER: Great. Proposed stipulations? yet? There are only two issues. This is a good time to 23 24 reference what Mr. Wahlen brought up earlier. The 25 Commissioners have a special agenda the morning of this

hearing. We don't expect a delay in this hearing necessarily, but you never know. So that will take yet more time from your one-day hearing. My request is that you all keep meeting on stipulation of issues and stipulation of witnesses because you need to recognize we will be done in one day. And you need to also recognize that the Commissioners have already heard testimony on this issue generally, and this proceeding was very refined and focused to the two issues identified here. So don't feel like you have to go back and re-educate us on reciprocal comp. And Mr. Wahlen's good point about witness and travel and efficiencies to be gained by stipulations, I think he is right on the mark, so I encourage you to keep negotiating.

MR. WAHLEN: Chairman, I wonder if we could try to set an informal date, maybe a week before the hearing where we could all sort of get back together and have a discussion. I'm not sure that you would necessarily need to be involved in that, but just sort of raise this question again after people have had plenty of time to think through this. And I don't know what the date is, but that would just be a way to sort of bring it to a point.

CHAIRMAN JABER: I think that is an excellent idea.

And you're right, it doesn't need to rise to the level of a status conference or a second prehearing conference. But,

Staff, certainly you could organize and facilitate a meeting a

2

3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

week before the hearing to streamline some of these issues and see if there are witnesses that could be stipulated.

MS. BANKS: One thing that I was going to add, Madam Chair, potentially it could be before a week prior. Staff just needs an opportunity to go through the discovery. We've got a good number of responses back this week, and once we go through that we will have a better understanding of at least our position on witnesses we feel we would be able to stipulate or join a stipulation.

CHAIRMAN JABER: Is there outstanding discovery or do you all have your responses to discovery?

MS. BANKS: I believe for most of what we sent out we have received. I have not done a checklist of it, but from what I gather in review of the file I believe we have all that lwe have requested.

CHAIRMAN JABER: Wonderful.

MR. McDONNELL: Madam Chairman, there is still a little bit outstanding. AT&T sent some discovery requests to BellSouth that have not been answered, but I think pursuant to the prehearing order April 24th is the cutoff.

CHAIRMAN JABER: Mr. Edenfield, if you expedite some of those responses you may be able to reach agreement on some of the issues and some of the witnesses.

MR. EDENFIELD: That is fine. Madam Chairman. In fact, I think our responses are due Monday, so I will either get them out today or Monday.

CHAIRMAN JABER: Thank you. Any other requests?

MR. FEIL: Madam Chair, if I may, with regard to the question of openings, the draft indicates it was going to be limited to 15 minutes per side. In light of what you have said regarding the hearing perhaps starting late on the 8th, and actually the question as I originally had in my mind when I looked at the draft prehearing order is the sides aren't exactly strictly organized by party, there are multiple facets, and I guess what I'm leading up to is to the extent the parties deem openings necessary, I would like to ask whether or not that is the case; and if it is the case, I would also suggest that we coordinate on the multiple facets of the sides in this case.

CHAIRMAN JABER: And, of course, you could make it completely easy by waiving opening statements.

MR. EDENFIELD: BellSouth would certainly be willing to entertain that if the other parties would, Madam Chairman. I don't know see what the Commission is going to gain from two issues having to listen to the lawyers drone on for awhile.

CHAIRMAN JABER: Well, let the record reflect I saw some nodding of heads. Is that a yes, you all waive opening statements?

MR. MOYLE: I would like to visit with my client on it, but I sure wouldn't object to taking it from 15 minutes to

five minutes.

0.4

CHAIRMAN JABER: Ms. Caswell.

MS. CASWELL: I'm sorry, I'm late. Kim Caswell with Verizon. As to the matter of opening statements, I don't think we want to waive it.

CHAIRMAN JABER: I think you lost that opportunity because you were late.

MS. CASWELL: Okay.

CHAIRMAN JABER: Go ahead.

MS. CASWELL: We could limit it to five minutes. I'm not sure, I saw that it said 15 minutes per side in the prehearing order, I'm not sure what a side is here because our position is not entirely consistent with BellSouth's. So if we could have five of the 15 minutes we would be happy.

CHAIRMAN JABER: What Mr. Feil was just saying as you were walking in is that it is not so easy to determine the sides. Talk about waiving opening statements and how much is really necessary. To the degree the parties do not reach an agreement on the waiver of opening statements, it sounds like they do have -- there is consensus on five minutes, but obviously it is not going to be per side, it will be per party. And maybe the statement that a party makes is I agree with Verizon.

MS. CASWELL: That would be a good statement. (Laughter.)

CHAIRMAN JABER: All of your issues were stipulated 1 2 in your absence. I just wanted --3 (Laughter.) CHAIRMAN JABER: The rulings are as set forth in the 4 transcript. The pending confidentiality matters are the ones 5 we discussed. And there are no pending motions, Felicia? 6 MS. BANKS: That is correct. Madam Chair. 7 CHAIRMAN JABER: BellSouth, anything else? 8 MR. EDENFIELD: Nothing from BellSouth, Madam 9 Chairman. But I would thank you again for letting me attend by 10 11 phone. CHAIRMAN JABER: No problem. Verizon? 12 MS. CASWELL: No. The only thing I had to point out 13 on decisions that may impact Commission's resolution of issues, 14 I think our statement may have been left out, and the only 15 thing we wanted to put in there is the notice of proposed 16 rulemaking on the unified intercompensation mechanism. So we 17 can just copy that Number 2 from Sprint and we would be fine. 18 Thank you. 19 CHAIRMAN JABER: Mr. Gross? 20 21 MR. GROSS: We're fine. 22 CHAIRMAN JABER: Ms. Masterton? 23 MS. MASTERTON: Sprint has nothing. CHAIRMAN JABER: Mr. Wahlen? 24 25 MR. WAHLEN: Nothing further.

1	CHAIRMAN JABER: Mr. McDonnell?
2	MR. McDONNELL: Nothing further.
3	CHAIRMAN JABER: Mr. Moyle?
4	MR. MOYLE: We're fine.
5	MR. PERKO: Nothing further.
6	MR. FEIL: And on Page 34, Commissioner, I would
7	cross out, "at the time of serving this filing," and other than
8	that I don't have anything else.
9	CHAIRMAN JABER: You would cross out what?
10	MR. FEIL: At the time of serving this filing. But I
11	have nothing further.
12	CHAIRMAN JABER: Staff?
13	MS. BANKS: Staff has nothing further.
14	CHAIRMAN JABER: This prehearing is adjourned. Thank
15	you.
16	(The prehearing conference concluded at 10:15 a.m.)
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	