ORIGINAL



Susan S. Masterton Attorney

Law/External Affairs

Post Office Box 2214 1313 Blair Stone Road Tallahassee, FL 32316-2214 Mailstop FLTLH00107 Voice 850 599 1560 Fax 850 878 0777 susan.masterton@mail.sprint.com

January 21, 2004

Mrs. Blanca S. Bayó, Director Division of the Commission Clerk and **Administrative Services** Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Blvd. Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

RE: Docket No. 000121B-TP

Dear Mrs. Bayó:

Enclosed is an original and 15 copies of Sprint's January 2004 Root Cause Analysis (RCA) report as required by Order Number PSC-03-0176-CO-TP in Docket 000121B-TP. This order required that any failure in three consecutive months to meet any performance for a given level of disaggregation shall require a RCA by Sprint, which shall then be published on a monthly basis. This report is for results for the period of September 2003 through November 2003 as published in the October, November and December reports.

A copy of this letter is enclosed. Please stamp it to indicate that the original was filed and return the copy to me. Copies have been served to the parties shown on the attached Certificate of Service.

Sincerely,

Susan S. Masterton

Enclosures

cc:

AUS

CAF

CMP COM CTR ECR **GCL**

Lisa Harvey Jerry Hallenstein **David Rich**

Mrs. hother

RECEIVED & FILED

-BUREAU OF REGORDS

DOCUMENT NUMBER PATE 00926 JAN21 8 FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been furnished by U.S. mail to all known parties of record this 21st day of January, 2004.

Felicia Banks Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Blvd Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

AT&T (GA) Virginia C. Tate/Lisa A. Riley 1200 Peachtree St., NE Suite 8100 Atlanta, GA 30309

Florida Cable Telecommunications Assoc., Inc. Michael A. Gross 246 E. 6th Avenue, Suite 100 Tallahassee, FL 32303

Messer Law Firm Tracy Hatch, Esq. P.O. Box 1876 Tallahassee, FL 32302-1876

Pennington Law Firm Peter Dunbar/Karen Camechis P.O. Box 10095 Tallahassee, FL 32301

Time Warner Telecom of Florida, L.P. Ms. Carolyn Marek Time Warner Telecom 233 Bramerton Court Franklin, TN 37069-4002

Susan S. Masterton



January 2004 Root Cause Analysis Report (reflects November 2003 data published December 20) Florida Public Service Commission

Background

If there is noncompliance at the aggregate level in three consecutive months for a given level of disaggregation, Sprint shall provide a report of root cause analysis on a monthly basis. Sprint's root-cause analysis shall include a plan for corrective action with key activities and anticipated completion dates for implementation.

Measure 2: Average FOC Notice Interval Submeasure 2.03.01: Electronic/Manual Mix – Residential POTS									
Description of Issue	Start Date	Projected Improvement	Estimated Impact	End Date	Improvement Plan				
Sprint is experiencing an increase in CLEC projects which require more manual handling of orders and causes an imbalance in workload. For example, one project involved a large CLEC converting from one product to another forcing a significant increase in resources dedicated to this project.	4Q 2003	2Q 2004	TBD		Several initiatives have been implemented to balance the workload in the service centers. These include streamlining current processes and resource management. A new Resource Management Tool was installed in September 2003. With this tool, it is expected that cycle time can be improved. Currently historical data is being analyzed to determine scheduling needs and constraints. This information will enable application of the Resource Management Tool.				

Measure 2: Average FOC Notice Interval								
Submeasure 2.03.02: Electronic/Manual Mix – Busin Description of Issue	Start	Projected	Estimated		Improvement Plan			
	Date	Improvement	Impact	Date				
Sprint is experiencing an increase in CLEC projects	3Q 2003	2Q 2004	TBD		Several initiatives have been implemented to balance the workload			
which require more manual handling of orders and					in the service centers. These include streamlining current processes			
causes an imbalance in workload. For example, one					and resource management.			
project involved a large CLEC converting from one		[_			
product to another forcing a significant increase in	<u> </u>	1			A new Resource Management Tool was installed in September			
resources dedicated to this project.					2003. With this tool, it is expected that cycle time can be improved.			
		İ			Currently historical data is being analyzed to determine scheduling			
	20	101 1101 2 101	, F = 1, 1, , , ,		needs and constraints. This information will enable application of			
	U	COMENT ALL	ntik Ev		the Resource Management Tool.			



Measure 2: Average FOC Notice Interval Submeasure 2.03.101: Electronic/Manual Mix – UNI	E Loops – x	DSL Provision	ed		
Description of Issue	Start Date	Projected Improvement	Estimated Impact	End Date	Improvement Plan
Sprint is experiencing an increase in CLEC projects which require more manual handling of orders and causes an imbalance in workload. For example, one project involved a large CLEC converting from one product to another forcing a significant increase in resources dedicated to this project.	3Q 2003	2Q 2004	TBD		Several initiatives have been implemented to balance the workload in the service centers. These include streamlining current processes and resource management. A new Resource Management Tool was installed in September 2003. With this tool, it is expected that cycle time can be improved. Currently historical data is being analyzed to determine scheduling needs and constraints. This information will enable application of the Resource Management Tool.

Measure 2: Average FOC Notice Interval Submeasure 2.03.11: Electronic/Manual Mix – UNE Loops – Non Designed								
Description of Issue	Start Date	Projected Improvement	Estimated Impact	End Date	Improvement Plan			
Sprint is experiencing an increase in CLEC projects which require more manual handling of orders and causes an imbalance in workload. For example, one project involved a large CLEC converting from one product to another forcing a significant increase in resources dedicated to this project.	4Q 2003	2Q 2004	TBD		Several initiatives have been implemented to balance the workload in the service centers. These include streamlining current processes and resource management. A new Resource Management Tool was installed in September 2003. With this tool, it is expected that cycle time can be improved. Currently historical data is being analyzed to determine scheduling needs and constraints. This information will enable application of the Resource Management Tool.			

Description of Issue	Start Date	Projected Improvement	Estimated Impact	End Date	Improvement Plan
Sprint is experiencing an increase in CLEC projects which require more manual handling of orders and causes an imbalance in workload. For example, one project involved a large CLEC converting from one product to another forcing a significant increase in resources dedicated to this project.	3Q 2003	2Q 2004	TBD		Several initiatives have been implemented to balance the workload in the service centers. These include streamlining current processes and resource management. A new Resource Management Tool was installed in September 2003. With this tool, it is expected that cycle time can be improved Currently historical data is being analyzed to determine scheduling needs and constraints. This information will enable application of the Resource Management Tool.



Measure 3:	Average Keje	ct Notice	e Interva	i		
L					 _	-

Submeasure 3.03.02.02: Electronic/Manual Mix - Co	Submeasure 3.03.02.02: Electronic/Manual Mix - Content Errors - UNE Loops and Ports							
Description of Issue	Start Date	Projected	Estimated	End	Improvement Plan			
Sprint is experiencing an increase in CLEC projects	4Q 2003	Improvement 2Q 2004	Impact TBD	Date	Several initiatives have been implemented to balance the workload			
which require more manual handling of orders and	1 4Q 2003	2Q 2004	עמג		in the service centers. These include streamlining current processes			
causes an imbalance in workload. For example, one					and resource management.			
project involved a large CLEC converting from one					J. Control of the con			
product to another forcing a significant increase in			}		A new Resource Management Tool was installed in September			
resources dedicated to this project.	}				2003. With this tool, it is expected that cycle time can be improved.			
	1	ĺ			Currently historical data is being analyzed to determine scheduling			
	-				needs and constraints. This information will enable application of			
	1				the Resource Management Tool.			

Measure 6: Average Jeopardy Notice Interval

Description of Issue	Start	Projected	Estimated	End	Improvement Plan
	Date	Improvement	Impact	Date	•
In some cases, ILEC intervals are longer than CLEC	2Q 2003	1Q 2004	85-95% of		Investigation is being conducted to evaluate the appropriate method
intervals due to advance notice from customers of new			jeopardized		of handling extended prior notifications by ILEC customers.
construction e.g., new home, trailer, etc. This situation			orders		
does not occur with the same frequency for CLEC					A proposed resolution to this issue may include a threshold for long
customers, so it only impacts ILEC (Sprint retail)					intervals, or excluding new construction orders from this
results, which can cause non-parity results. This is not a			l		measurement. For example, Sprint would be willing to exclude any
customer service issue; this is a recurring problem that is					(ILEC or CLEC) jeopardy notice interval that exceeds 30 days.
inherent to new construction.					

Measure 6: Average Jeopardy Notice Interval Submeasure 6.01.02: Residential POTS - Installation

Description of Issue Start Projected Estimated End Improvement Plan Date Improvement **Impact** Date A representative keying error using the wrong year 4Q 2003 1Q 2004 Less than The error was sent to the appropriate Supervisor for representative caused a 251-day interval, increasing the interval for 1% of coaching purposes. ILEC jeopardized orders. jeopardized ILEC orders



Measure 7: Average Completed Interval Submeasure 7.01.02: Residential POTS – No Field Work

	V				
Description of Issue	Start	Projected	Estimated	End	Improvement Plan
	Date	Improvement	Impact	Date	
Cause analysis is ongoing. One cause that has already	3Q 2003	TBD	TBD		TBD. The lack of "no physical work" orders for CLEC results is
been identified as a contributor to shorter ILEC intervals		İ			inherent to the business. For example: a "no physical work" order

occir identified as a contributor to shorter the cintervals	1	Innerent to the business. For example: a "no physical work" order is
is "no physical work" orders. These orders yield short		a "change of ownership" in which a Sprint retail customer calls
ILEC intervals.		Sprint and requests a change to the person billed for service (for
1		example, when one roommate transfers the bill to another
'		roommate's name). If a CLEC customer called a CLEC with the
		same request, the CLEC would handle this internally and would not
		submit an order to Sprint on their customer's behalf.
		A cross-functional team continues to look for opportunities of reducing CLEC intervals in order to achieve parity with ILEC intervals.

Measure 7: Average Completed Interval

Submeasure 7.02.02:	Business POTS -	· No Field Work
---------------------	------------------------	-----------------

Description of Issue	Start Date	Projected Improvement	Estimated Impact	End Date	Improvement Plan
In some instances, CLEC complex orders requiring manual intervention were keyed with longer provisioning intervals.	3Q 2003	1Q 2004	TBD		Sprint is reviewing several components of the manual intervention process in an attempt to find ways to close the gap between CLEC and ILEC intervals for complex orders; for example, the systems and the user process. New Methods and Procedures and flowcharts on how complex due dates should be handled were developed in September 2003 and distributed to all Operation Center and leaves Breaklets for factors.
					distributed to all Operation Center employees. Results for future months will be analyzed to assess the impact of these revisions. A cross-functional team continues to look for opportunities of reducing CLEC intervals in order to achieve parity with ILEC intervals.



Measure 7: Average Completed Interval
Submeasure 7.11.01: UNE Loops Non-Designed – Field Work

	Submeasure 7.11.01: UNE Loops Non-Designed – Field Work								
	Description of Issue	\Box	Start	Projected	Estimated	End	Improvement Plan		
,			Date	Improvement	Impact	Date	•		
	Certain facilities will not support UNE Loop service. Ir	n	2Q 2003	1Q 2004	70-80% of		Records are being updated to enable these facilities to be identified		
	game again this situation against be ideatiful and it is	- 1		1					

	Date	improvement	ımpacı	Date	
Certain facilities will not support UNE Loop service. In	2Q 2003	1Q 2004	70-80% of		Records are being updated to enable these facilities to be identified
some cases, this situation cannot be identified until a			orders		earlier in the provisioning process so that all work can be completed
technician is dispatched on the due date. Additional	!				by the original due date.
work may be required in which case the service cannot					
be provided on the original due date. Sprint does not					
provide UNE Loops for Sprint retail customers.				<u> </u>	

Measure 7: Average Completed Interval

Description of Issue	Start Date	Projected Improvement	Estimated Impact	End Date	Improvement Plan
Cause analysis is ongoing. One cause that has already been identified as a contributor to shorter ILEC intervals is "no physical work" orders. These orders yield short ILEC intervals.	3Q 2003	TBD	TBD		TBD. The lack of "no physical work" orders for CLEC results is inherent to the business. For example: a "no physical work" order a "change of ownership" in which a Sprint retail customer calls Sprint and requests a change to the person billed for service (for example, when one roommate transfers the bill to another roommate's name). If a CLEC customer called a CLEC with the same request, the CLEC would handle this internally and would no submit an order to Sprint on their customer's behalf. A cross-functional team continues to look for opportunities of reducing CLEC intervals in order to achieve parity with ILEC intervals.

Measure 8: Percent Completed Within Standard Interval
Submassura & 101. UNF Loans v_DSI Provisioned

Description of Issue	Start Date	Projected Improvement	Estimated Impact	End Date	Improvement Plan
Certain facilities will not support UNE Loop service. In some cases, this situation cannot be identified until a technician is dispatched on the due date. Additional work may be required in which case the service cannot be provided on the original due date. Sprint does not provide UNE Loops for Sprint retail customers.	4Q 2003	1Q 2004	70-80% of orders		Records are being updated to enable these facilities to be identified earlier in the provisioning process so that all work can be completed by the original due date.



Due to inclement weather conditions, trouble tickets	3Q 2003	1Q 2004	10-20% of	Steps have been taken to change the default due time to 5:00 PM
were weighed higher and dispatched first, causing an			orders	from 7:00 PM to increase parity for trouble ticket and service order
increase in service order carryovers. This impacted both				dispatch. The earlier cut-off time allows more service orders to be
ILEC and CLEC service orders.				scheduled for the day, decreasing the amount of service order
				carryovers for the day.
		\		

Measure 8: Percent Completed Within Standard Interval

Submeasure 3	8.11: UNE	Loops Non-	Designed

Description of Issue	Start Date	Projected Improvement	Estimated Impact	End Date	Improvement Plan
Certain facilities will not support UNE Loop service. In some cases, this situation cannot be identified until a technician is dispatched on the due date. Additional work may be required in which case the service cannot be provided on the original due date. Sprint does not provide UNE Loops for Sprint retail customers.	2Q 2003	1Q 2004	100% of orders		Records are being updated to enable these facilities to be identified earlier in the provisioning process so that all work can be completed by the original due date.
Inclement weather conditions increased the volume of trouble tickets worked each month. Trouble tickets are weighed higher and dispatched first, causing an increase in service order carryovers. This impacted both ILEC and CLEC service orders.	3Q 2003	1Q 2004	10-15% of orders	11/03	Steps have been taken to change the default due time to 5:00 PM from 7:00 PM to increase parity for trouble ticket and service order dispatch. The earlier cut-off time allows more service orders to be scheduled for the day, decreasing the amount of service order carryovers for the day.

Measure 11: Percent (of Due Dates Missed
------------------------------	---------------------

Submeasure 11.101.01: UNE Loops x-DSL Provisioned - Field Work

Description of Issue	Start Date	Projected Improvement	Estimated Impact	End Date	Improvement Plan
Certain facilities will not support UNE Loop service. In some cases, this situation cannot be identified until a technician is dispatched on the due date. Additional work may be required in which case the service cannot be provided on the original due date. Sprint does not provide UNE Loops for Sprint retail customers.	2Q 2003	1Q 2004	30-40% of orders		Records are being updated to enable these facilities to be identified earlier in the provisioning process so that all work can be completed by the original due date.
Inclement weather conditions increased the volume of trouble tickets worked each month. Trouble tickets are weighed higher and dispatched first, causing an increase in service order carryovers. This impacted both ILEC and CLEC service orders.	3Q 2003	1Q 2004	50-60% of orders		Steps have been taken to change the default due time to 5:00 PM from 7:00 PM to increase parity for trouble ticket and service order dispatch. The earlier cut-off time allows more service orders to be scheduled for the day, decreasing the amount of service order carryovers for the day.



Measure 11: Percent of Due Dates Missed Submaggira 11 11 01: UNF Loone Non-Designed Field Work

Description of Issue		Projected Improvement	Estimated Impact	End Date	Improvement Plan
Certain facilities will not support UNE Loop service. In some cases, this situation cannot be identified until a technician is dispatched on the due date. Additional work may be required in which case the service cannot be provided on the original due date. Sprint does not provide UNE Loops for Sprint retail customers.	2Q 2003	1Q 2004	60-70% of orders		Records are being updated to enable these facilities to be identified earlier in the provisioning process so that all work can be completed by the original due date.
Inclement weather conditions in the summer increased the volume of trouble tickets worked each month.	3Q 2003	1Q 2004	20-30% of orders		Steps have been taken to change the default due time to 5:00 PM from 7:00 PM to increase parity for trouble ticket and service order

Measure 17a: Percentage Troubles in 5 Days for New Orders

Trouble tickets are weighed higher and dispatched first, causing an increase in service order carryovers. This

Submeasure	17a.01:	Residentia	I POTS
------------	---------	------------	--------

impacted both ILEC and CLEC service orders.

Submeasure 17a.01: Residential POTS Description of Issue	Start Date	Projected Improvement	Estimated Impact	End Date	Improvement Plan
Troubles are being reported on some non-dispatched orders. Non-dispatchable orders flow through provisioning systems automatically and are completed with no indication of any trouble condition until a customer calls. For example, there may be a disconnected jumper at the site. In these situations, the CLEC will contact Sprint to report a trouble	2Q 2003	1Q 2004	85-95% of troubles		Non-dispatchable orders meeting certain criteria are being dispatched to ensure service is provided. Data are being accumulated to identify actionable causes for troubles. Corrective actions will be implemented as appropriate. Sprint is also investigating potential ideas for ameliorating the impact of small CLEC volumes in cases where low CLEC ticket volume may decrease the effectiveness of the statistical parity comparisons.

dispatch. The earlier cut-off time allows more service orders to be

scheduled for the day, decreasing the amount of service order

carryovers for the day.



Measure 18: Average Completion Notice Interval

Submeasure 18.01: All Electronic					
Description of Issue	Start Date	Projected Improvement	Estimated Impact	End Date	Improvement Plan
A communication issue between ARC (Automatic Routing System) and SOE (Service Order Entry) caused orders to fail completion.	4Q 2003	1Q 2004	20-30% of orders		A code change is planned for ARC in January, 2004 to attempt completion more than once.
When a remark in the assignment section of the order mirrors a keyword also found in CLAS (Customer Loop Assignment System) orders fail to complete.	4Q 2003	4Q 2003	10-20% of orders	11/03	A table change was made to ARC that will allow remarks to be populated by Engineers or Line Assigners when there is a need to change a remark that mirrors a CLAS keyword.
A representative was using an incorrect time zone when manually completing orders.	4Q 2003	4Q 2003	10-20% of orders	11/03	Examples were provided to the Supervisor and coaching and counseling were conducted with the representative.
When CIRAS (Circuit Administration System) orders are completed beyond the due date, SOE (Service Order Entry) due dates are not being revised to match the completion date of CIRAS orders.	4Q 2003	1Q 2004	5-10% of orders		A new process will be implemented in 1Q 2004 to revise due dates for SOE orders when CIRAS orders are completed beyond the due date.
ARC (Automatic Routing System) had been receiving a specific error message that prevented automatic completion notification.		1Q 2004	1-10% of orders	11/03	A code change was made to ARC to keep attempting to complete these orders until successful.
Multiple system outages caused delays in order completion.	3Q 2003	4Q 2003	10-20% of orders		System owners analyzed outages to determine root causes and developed improvement plans to prevent future system outages. Root cause analysis indicates a significant improvement since the development of these plans.
Some Sprint technicians have delayed uploading tasks into their handheld device after completion. This does not delay order completion but it does delay the completion notification.	3Q 2003	4Q 2003	20-30% of orders		Specific examples have been sent to the field management team for corrective action. A report is also being developed that will capture information daily so that any issues can be addressed with the technicians in a more timely basis.



i	M	easure	19:	Custo	mer	T	rouble	R	ep	ort	Rate

Sut	measur	e 19.01:	Residential	POTS

Description of Issue	Start	Projected	Estimated	End	Improvement Plan
	Date	Improvement	Impact	Date	
Troubles are being reported on some non-dispatched	2Q 2003	1Q 2004	70-80% of		Non-dispatchable orders meeting certain criteria are being
orders. Non-dispatchable orders automatically flow	İ	Į.	trouble		dispatched to ensure service is provided. Data was accumulated to
through provisioning systems and are completed with no			tickets		identify causes for troubles and provided to the field teams for
indication of any trouble condition until a customer calls.			l		appropriate corrective action plans.
For example there may be a disconnected jumper at the					
site. In these situations the CLEC will contact Sprint to					
report a trouble.					

Measure 19: Customer Trouble Report Rate Submeasure 19.04: Centrex

Description of Issue	Start	Projected	Estimated	End	Improvement Plan
	Date	Improvement	Impact	Date	
A significantly low percentage of the total tickets were	3Q 2003	1Q 2004	85%-95%		Sprint is investigating potential solutions for ameliorating the impact
CLEC tickets. The disproportionately low CLEC	1		of trouble		of small CLEC volumes in cases where low CLEC ticket volume
volumes may have impacted parity comparisons.			tickets		may decrease the effectiveness of the statistical parity comparisons.

Measure 19: Customer Trouble Report Rate

Submeasure 19.147: EELS					
Description of Issue	Start	Projected	Estimated	End	Improvement Plan
	Date	Improvement	Impact	Date	-
Lines were impacted by miscellaneous maintenance	3Q 2003	4Q 2004	50-60% of		There is currently a process in place to replace all HDSL units when
issues related to High-Bit-Rate Digital Subscriber Line			trouble		there is an outage affecting one. The same applies to ONEAC
(HDSL) equipment failure and ONEAC (brand name of			tickets		protectors on Central Offices for lightning protection. They are
lightning protector device) protectors on Central Offices					being replaced as the old protectors fail. Sprint continues to
for lightning.					investigate maintenance issues and resolve them as they occur.

Measure 20: Percentage of Customer Trouble Not Resolved Within Estimated Time

Submeasure 20.11.01: UNE Loops Non-Designed - Dispatched

Description of Issue	Start Date	Projected Improvement	Estimated Impact	End Date	Improvement Plan
Unusually high workload related to weather caused some troubles to be carried over past the original commitment time. This had a greater impact on CLEC results than on ILEC results.	2Q 2003	1Q 2004	85-95% of trouble tickets		Changes to systems, processes and procedures to reduce carrying over CLEC tasks are being investigated and corrective actions will be implemented as appropriate.