#### State of Florida



## ORIGINAL

# Jublic Service Commission So

CAPITAL CIRCLE OFFICE CENTER • 2540 SHUMARD OAK BOULEVARD
TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32399-0850

-M-E-M-O-R-A-N-D-U-M-OMMISSION CLERK

DATE:

April 19, 2004

TO:

Kay B. Flynn, Chief of Records and Hearing Services, Division of the Commission

Clerk & Administrative Services

FROM:

Marshall W. Willis, Chief of Rate Filings, Division of Economic Regulation

RE:

Docket No. 020896-WS - Petition by Customers of Aloha Utilities, Inc for

Deletion of Portion of Territory in Seven Springs Area in Pasco County

Please file the attached letter, dated April 9, 2004, from Dr. Abraham Kurien, in the above referenced docket.

CAF
CMP
COM
CTR
ECR
GCL
OPC
MMS

AUS

Division of Economic Regulation (Walden) Office of the General Counsel (Gervasi)

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

04656 APR 20 8

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

V. Abraham Kurien, M.D. 1822 Orchardgrove Ave, NEW PORT RICHEY, FL 34655 EMAIL: akurien@attglobal.net

Mr Marshall M. Willis, CPA Chief of Rate Cases Division of Economic Regulation 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard TALLAHASSEE, 32399-0850 OL APR 12 AM 9:855

OLYMPISSION

OLYMPISSION

ECONOMIC REGULATION

APRICAL APRICATION

OLYMPISSION OF 95

OLYMPISSION OLY

Dear Mr Marshall,

First of all, allow me to say a Thank you to the PSC team and Commissioners who came down to New Port Richey to the Hearing of the Customers' Petition Docket No. 020896. It gives us the assurance that you are working on our behalf to find an appropriate solution to the problem of black water and foul smell in the water in our domestic plumbing.

I am forwarding to you with this letter documentation showing that the hydrogen sulfide at well 9 was 4.3 mg/l on May 12, 1994, even before the well was brought on line to relieve the low pressure problems which apparently was the main concern of the customers of Aloha at that time. On May 24, 1994 a report was submitted to Aloha indicating that the "total odor number was <1" with an apparent maximum allowed level of 3. As I pointed out at the Hearing, the level of 4.3 mg/l is at least 40 times the detectable level that can be detected by the human nose! These reports were copied from files at the Tampa Office of the FDEP.

It is necessary to point out that the chlorinator installed subsequently at Well 9 has a maximum injection capacity of only 25 mg of chlorine per liter of raw water. According to Dr Levine's calculations (Phase I report, pages 20 and 21) "for sulfide levels up to about 2.6 mg/L., there is adequate chlorination capacity to completely oxidize the sulfide to either sulfur or sulfate and maintain a chlorine residual of about 3 mg/L. If all the sulfide is oxidized to elemental sulfur, there is adequate chlorination capacity at well 9 to oxidize up to about 11 mg/L of sulfide and still maintain a chlorine residual of about 3mg/L".

What this implies is that whenever sulfide levels are above 2.6 mg/L at well 9, there is obligatory formation of elemental sulfur at that well. Of the 23 samples of raw water hydrogen sulfide levels mentioned in Phase I and II of Dr Levine's report, 21 samples had levels higher than 3.5 mg/L., and processed water delivered on those occasions would have had significant levels of sulfur, resulting in elemental sulfur being delivered into domestic supply, setting up the stage for production of black water (as per the recent guidelines of FDEP)

I am also forwarding to you copies of MOR submitted by Aloha utilities to FDEP, which shows unsatisfactory record entries and submission. Data that should be entered on a daily basis are submitted with one reading for the month, with a line starting from the 2<sup>nd</sup> day of the month to the last day. Does that mean that no data was collected from the 2<sup>nd</sup> to the 3<sup>1st</sup> of May 1999 or that the same number 0.8 mg/L was the number obtained as the lowest residual disinfectant at remote point?

The CAC has attempted over a period of 3 months to get information from Aloha as to where and when this particular data is obtained to determine its relationship to flushing periods, but Aloha has not given a relevant answer so far.

One of the main reasons why customers are unwilling to stay with Aloha as its water provider is the Corporation's stonewalling attitude towards legitimate enquiry that will help to understand why the currently used process does not attain the maximum efficiency it is capable of. Our conclusion is that it is due to inadequate implementation of rigorous schedules and inadequate understanding of the meaning of data obtained resulting in no corrective actions being implemented expeditiously.

I hope you will share these documents with technical experts within the confines of the PSC. As part of the discovery process that will be undertaken for the evidentiary hearing, the customers and OPC will request more data from Aloha and FDEP.

If you have any further questions in this matter, please do not hesitate to contact me. I will be out of the country from April 26 to May 25<sup>th</sup>.

Yours sincerely,

V. Abraham Kurien, M.D.

V. abrahamturien

cc. Atty Steve Burgess, OPC.

CLIENT: Ben Lovelace & Company

#### PUBLIC DRINKING WATER ANALYSIS FORM

#### LIC WATER SYSTEM INFORMATION

NAME Aloha Utilities, Inc. ID# 6512214

**ADDRESS** 2514 Aloha Place PHONE# 937-4275

Holiday, Florida C= Community TYPE: C

NTN = Nontransient Noncommunity

N= Non Community

SAMPLE INFORMATION

SAMPLE DATE 5/12/94 SAMPLE TIME 2:30 P.M.

LAB SAMPLE #: see above

SAMPLE LOCATION: Well #9-27

SAMPLER NAME/PHONE# Bonita Lucas (813) 530-5615

SAMPLE TYPE: RW

DIST=Distribution

CL=Clearance

DEP=Distribution Entry Point

RC=Recheck of MCL

TMRT=THM Max Res Times

RW=Raw

RLIS=Resample of Lab Invalidated Sample

PT=Plant Tap CP=Composite

LAB CERTIFICATION INFORMATION

LAB NAME

Haines Testing Laboratory, Inc.

HRS#/EXPIRATION DATE #84123 6/94

**ADDRESS** 

13285 62nd Street North Clearwater, FL 34620

PHONE (813) 530-5615

SUBCONTRACTED LAB HRS#

**GROUPS ANALYZED** 

see below

KNL Laboratory Services #84252 & E84025 Micro Analytical Laboratories, Inc. #82436

ANALYSIS INFORMATION

DATE SAMPLES RECEIVED 5/12/94

SEC 14= Secondaries all 14

NO2 = Nitrite NO3 = Nitrate ASB = Asbestos

P= Partial

PST = Pesticides & PCBs all 29 GI = Group I Unregulateds all 13

**GROUPS ANALYZED Complete 17-550** 

T= Turbidity

GII = Group II Unregulateds all 37

I. W.E. Haines do hereby Certify that all analytical data reported has been

reviewed by me and to the best of my

IN18 = Inorganics all 18 THM4= THMs all 4

RC= Radio chemicals VOC21 = Volatile Organics all 21

knowledge, is correct.

Signature

Title

COMPLIANCE INFORMATION

Sample Collection Satisfactory:

Sample Analysis Satisfactory:

Resample Requested for:

Reason:

Person notified to resample:

Date Notified:

DER/ACPHU Reviewing Official:

## SAMPLE# 88927

CLIENT: Ben Lovelace & Company

#### SECONDARY CHEMICAL ANALYSIS 17-550.320 (PWS031)

WELL 9

Parar	neter	Sample	Analysis	Amaluniani	
ID	NAME	Number	Result (mg/l) WELL 9	Analytical Method	Analysis Date
1002	Aluminum Z	88927	< 0.020	202.1	5/26/94
1017	Chloride 250	# #	4_ Ju	4500B	5/20/94
1022 1025	Copper / Fluoride 2.0	* *	< 0.002	220.1	5/15/94
1023	Iron ,3	" "	0.15	340.2	5/20/94
1032	Manganese .05		0.068	236.1	5/29/94
1050	Silver ./	P P	0.005 < 0.005	243.1	5/18/94
1055	Sulfate 250		10 5 10 5	272.2 375.4	6/14/94
1095	Zinc 5		0.004	375.4 289.1	5/26/94 5/15/04
1905	[Color] (color units) 15	н н	(15) M	110.2	5/15/94 5/12/94
1920	Odor (total odor number) 3	я н	<1	140.1	5/12/94
1925	pH 6.8 - 8.5		7.6	150.1	5/12/94
1930 2905	Total Dissolved Solids 500	** **	(265) = 7	160.1	5/19/94
2303	Foaming Agents 7.5	n n	0.03	425.1	5/12/94

#### RADIOLOGICAL ANALYSIS 17-550.310(5) (PWS033)

	•	· (PWS033)				
Param	eter	Sample Number	Analysis Result (pCi/l)	Analytical Method	Analysis Error	Analysis Date
4000 4012 4020 4030 4100 4101	Gross Alpha Photon Emitters Radium-226 Radium-228 Gross Beta Man-made beta & photon emitters	88927	2.0 <u>+</u> 0.9	900.0		5/24/94
4102 4172 4174 4264 4270	Tritium Strontium-89 Strontium-90 Iodine-131 Cesium-134		•	•		

### HAINES TESTING LABORATORY, INC.

ASA OBIAIPA medica (Esilas testeraturas a mi

13243 62ml STREET NORTH CLEARWATER, FLORIDA 34620 June 24, 1994

TELEPHONE (\$13)330-3815

AFFORT NO B8927

Fig. Ren Lovelace & Company 6501 Orient Road Tampa, FL 33610

ANALYSIS.

CHLORINE DEMAND

SAMPLE MARKINGS.

Water sample taken 5/12/94 @ 2:30 P.M., received 5/12/94 @ 3:30 P.M.

### LABORATORY FINDINGS

# HYDROGEN SULFIDE 4.3 milligrams per liter

milligrams/liter Dose	pll after Dose	milligrams/liter CHORINE DEMAND O I Hour	pH @ 18 Hour Contact	milligrams/lifet CHLORINE DEMAND 6 18 Hours
()	7.5		7.6	
	7.7	> 10	7.7	> 10
15	7.7	14.7	7.8	14.6
20	77	17.5	7.8	18.2
25	7.8	20 9	7.9	21.1
30	7.85	20.2	7.95	20.6
<u>4</u> ti	8.1	19.1	8.05	22.0
50	8.2	18.1	8.1	22.0
Blank				
0	6 3		7.3	
_ 1.0	6.8	0	7.7	0.00
2 0	7.2	0 15	7.7 .	0.10

HAINES TESTERG LABORATORY, INC. FL CHINGHON 184123 & E84039

W.E. HAHRES, Ph.D.

Monthly Operation Report for Public W	later Systems that Use Ground Wate	r and for Consecutive Public Water
Systems that Treat Their Water	•	
System PWS Identification Number:	6512214	
Treatment Plant Name:	Garage A Care	*0

### IL SUMMARY OF DALLY WATER TREATMENT DATA FOR THE MONTHLY EAR OF May 1999

•Type of Residual Disinfectant Maintained in Distribution System Served by Plant free chlorine.

□ combined chlorine (chloramine); □ chlorine dioxide

• Summary of Daily Water Treatment Data for Month:

·	S Cally	Water Treatment Data					
Dáý of	Hours	Quantity of Finished Water	Residual Districctantin Distribution Systems  Lowest Residual  Concentration at  Concentration at  Residual Districtantin Distribution Systems  Residual Distribution Systems  Resid			Stowast Residual	THE PARTY OF THE P
.lhe. Month	Plant in Operation	Produced by Plant (gallons)	Concentration at Entry to Distribution ::	Cowest Residual  Olsinfectant  Concentration at	White Respond	Distribution at	Abnormal Contract
		Quantity of Finished Water Produced by Plant (gallons)	System (mg/L)	Remole Point (mg/L)	Disingciants  Meastigments Taken  at Total Collornia  Sampling Points	Total Collorn Sampling Points	Condillons
1	S	191000	١. ل	0.8	•	•	
2	1.	41.3000	3.0	1			
3		494000	2.5				
4		45000	2.5				
5		592000	<i>ఎ</i> .5		204	0.8*	
6		343000	2.5				
7		263m	2.8				
8		27000	ఎ.క				
9		270000	2.5	·			
10		472000	1.7				
11		353000	2.6				
12		633000	ع.ل				
13		576000	3.0				
14		484000	3.0				
15		494000	1.5				
16		796000	3.5				
17		75am	3.5				
18		523000	3.5				
19		519000	1.6				
20		347000	1.5				
21		24000	1.5	1 1			
22		208000	2.5	1	•	· .	
23		365000	2.5				
24		298000	1.7			·	
25		285000	0.5	1.			
26		372000	1.8				
27		244000	1.7				
28		311000	1.7		1.00		
29		442000	1.5				
30		M81000	2.5				
31	I V	64000		17.	しつどき が. ♡	4: 1.49	
Total	1////	1 12279000	V////////	X////////	1 20*	1//////	MIII
Avg.	11111	396096		7//////////////////////////////////////	111111111111111111111111111111111111111	1//////	7////
Max.	1////	794000	VIIIIII			27777777	

manufaly wax

7 max capubility -

500 × 14 40

~ 420'UV

837 1000 - 164031