AUSLEY & MCMULLENORIGINAL

ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELORS AT LAW

227 SOUTH CALHOUN STREET
P.O. BOX 391 (ZIP 32302)

TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32301
(850) 224-9115 FAX (850) 222-7560

October 18, 2004

HAND DELIVERED

Ms. Blanca S. Bayo, Director Division of Commission Clerk and Administrative Services Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850 COMMISSION COMMISSION

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

Re:

Fuel and Purchased Power Cost Recovery Clause with Generating Performance Incentive Factor, FPSC Docket No. 040001-EI

Dear Ms. Bayo:

Enclosed for filing in the above docket on behalf of Tampa Electric Company are the original and ten (10) copies of the Prehearing Statement.

Also enclosed is a high-density diskette containing the above-referenced Prehearing Statement generated on a Windows 98 operating system and using Word 2000 as the word processing software.

Please acknowledge receipt and filing of the above by stamping the duplicate copy of this letter and returning same to this writer.

Thank you for your assistance in connection with this matter.

Sincerely,

CMP		James D. Beasley	
GCL OPC	All Parties of Record (w/encls	5.)	
RCA SCR SEC OTH		RECEIVED & FILED WWW EPSC-BUREAU OF RECORDS	DOCUMENT NUMBER-CATE

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Fuel and Purchased)	
Power Cost Recovery Clause)	DOCKET NO. 040001-EI
And Generating Performance)	FILED: October 18, 2004
Incentive Factor.)	
)	

TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY'S PREHEARING STATEMENT

A. APPEARANCES:

LEE L. WILLIS
JAMES D. BEASLEY
Ausley & McMullen
Post Office Box 391
Tallahassee, Florida 32302
On behalf of Tampa Electric Company

B. WITNESSES:

	Witness	Subject Matter	Issues	
(<u>Di</u>	rect)			
1.	J. Denise Jordan (TECO)	Fuel Adjustment True-up and Projections	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9	
		Capacity Cost Recovery True-up and Projections	24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29	
		Proposed Wholesale Incentive Benchmark	10, 11	£200
		Proposed Capacity Schedules	12	
		Adjustments to Waterborne Coal Transportation Costs	17C	
		Calculated Interest Amount	17D	

		Incremental Costs of Security Measures Following September 11, 2001 Attacks	33A
2.	David R. Knapp (TECO)	GPIF Reward/Penalty and Targets/Ranges	18, 19
3.	Benjamin F. Smith (TECO)	Tampa Electric's Wholesale Purchases and Sales Activities	17E, 17F
4.	Joann T. Wehle (TECO)	Affiliated Coal Transportation Costs	17A, 17B

C. EXHIBITS:

Exhibit	Witness	Description
(JDJ-1)	Jordan	Fuel Cost Recovery January 2003 - December 2003
(JDJ-1)	Jordan	Capacity Cost Recovery January 2003 – December 2003
(JDJ-2)	Jordan	Fuel Cost Recovery, Projected January 2004 – December 2004
(JDJ-2)	Jordan	Capacity Cost Recovery, Projected January 2004 – December 2004
(JDJ-3)	Jordan	Fuel Cost Recovery, Projected January 2005 – December 2005
(JDJ-3)	Jordan	Capacity Cost Recovery, Projected January 2005 – December 2005
(JDJ-4)	Jordan	Incremental Security Costs
(WAS-1)	Smotherman ¹	Generating Performance Incentive Factor Results January 2003 – December 2003

¹ Adopted by Witness David R. Knapp

(DRK-1)	Кпарр	Generating Performance Incentive Factor Estimated January 2005 – December 2005
(JTW-1)	Wehle	Calculation of 2003 Incremental Hedging Operations and Maintenance Costs
(JTW-2)	Wehle	2003 Transportation Benchmark Calculation 2003 Transportation Market Price Application
(JTW-2)	Wehle	Calculation of 2005 Projected Incremental Hedging Operations and Maintenance Costs

D. STATEMENT OF BASIC POSITION

Tampa Electric Company's Statement of Basic Position:

The Commission should approve Tampa Electric's calculation of its fuel adjustment, capacity cost recovery and GPIF true-up and projection calculations, including the proposed fuel adjustment factor of 3.936 cents per KWH before application of factors which adjust for variations in line losses; the proposed capacity cost recovery factor of 0.302 cents per KWH before applying the 12CP and 1/13th allocation methodology; a GPIF penalty of \$3,678,414 and approval of the company's proposed GPIF targets and ranges for the forthcoming period. Tampa Electric also requests approval of its calculated wholesale incentive benchmark of \$1,222,083 for calendar year 2005.

E. STATEMENT OF ISSUES AND POSITIONS

Generic Fuel Adjustment Issues

<u>Issue 1</u>: What are the appropriate final fuel adjustment true-up amounts for the period

January 2003 through December 2003?

<u>TECO</u>: \$39,039,043 over-recovery. (Witness: Jordan)

Issue 2: What are the appropriate estimated fuel adjustment true-up amounts for the period January 2004 through December 2004?

<u>TECO</u>: \$70,023,368 under-recovery. (Witness: Jordan)

<u>Issue 3</u>: What are the appropriate total fuel adjustment true-up amounts to be collected/refunded from January 2005 to December 2005?

<u>TECO</u>: \$30,984,325 under-recovery. (Witness: Jordan)

Issue 4: What is the appropriate revenue tax factor to be applied in calculating each investor-owned electric utility's levelized fuel factor for the projection period January 2005 through December 2005?

<u>TECO</u>: The appropriate revenue tax factor is 1.00072. (Witness: Jordan)

Issue 5: What are the appropriate projected net fuel and purchased power cost recovery amounts to be included in the recovery factors for the period January 2005 through December 2005?

TECO: The projected net fuel and purchased power cost recovery amount to be included in the recovery factor for the period January 2005 through December 2005, adjusted by the jurisdictional separation factor, is \$726,962,183. The total recoverable fuel and purchased power cost recovery amount to be collected, including the true-up and GPIF and adjusted for the revenue tax factor, is \$754,813,815. (Witness: Jordan)

Usue 6: What is the appropriate levelized fuel cost recovery factor for the period January 2005 to December 2005?

<u>TECO</u>: The appropriate factor is 3.936 cents per KWH before the normal application of factors that adjust for variations in line losses. (Witness: Jordan)

<u>Issue 7</u>: What are the appropriate fuel recovery line loss multipliers to be used in calculating the fuel cost recovery factors charged to each rate class/delivery voltage level class?

<u>TECO</u>: The appropriate fuel recovery line loss multipliers are as follows:

Rate Schedule		Fuel Recovery Loss Multiplier	
RS, GS and TS		1.0041	
RST and GST		1.0041	
SL-2, OL-1 and OL-3	٠	N/A	
GSD, GSLD, and SBF		1.0004	
GSDT, GSLDT, EV-X and SBFT		1.0004	
IS-1, IS-3, SBI-1, SBI-3		0.9754	
IST-1, IST-3, SBIT-1, SBIT-3		0.9754	
(Witness: Jordan)			

<u>Issue 8</u>: What are the appropriate fuel cost recovery factors for each rate class/delivery voltage level class adjusted for line losses?

<u>TECO</u>: The appropriate factors are as follows:

Fuel Charge Factor (cents per kWh)
3.936
3.952
4.894 (on-peak)
3.465 (off-peak)
3.679
3.938

GSDT, GSLDT, EV-X and SBFT

4.876 (on-peak)

3.452 (off-peak)

IS-1, IS-3, SBI-1, SBI-3

3.839

IST-1, IST-3, SBIT-1, SBIT-3

4.754 (on-peak)

3.366 (off-peak)

(Witness: Jordan)

<u>Issue 9</u>: What should be the effective date of the fuel adjustment charge and capacity cost recovery charge for billing purposes?

TECO: The new factors should be effective beginning with the specified billing cycle and thereafter for the period January 2005 and thereafter through the last billing cycle for December 2005. The first billing cycle may start before January 1, 2005, and the last billing cycle may end after December 31, 2005, so long as each customer is billed for 12 months regardless of when the factors became effective. (Witness: Jordan)

<u>Issue 10</u>: What are the appropriate actual benchmark levels for calendar year 2004 for gains on non-separated wholesale energy sales eligible for a shareholder incentive?

<u>TECO</u>: \$1,178,388. (Witness: Jordan)

What are the appropriate estimated benchmark levels for calendar year 2005 for gains on non-separated wholesale energy sales eligible for a shareholder incentive?

<u>TECO</u>: \$1,222,083. (Witness: Jordan)

Issue 12: Should each investor-owned utility be required to report its capacity charges and costs, estimated and actual, for wholesale capacity sales and purchases in a

schedule similar in format to Schedules E-6, A-6, E-7, A-7, E-8, A-8, E-9, and A-9?

TECO: Tampa Electric believes that there has been very limited discussion on this topic.

Therefore, while the company does not oppose providing estimated and actual capacity charge information, it believes a workshop addressing matters such as the potential benefits of such a requirement, content and structure of data and treatment of confidential contractual information would be helpful to all parties and should be conducted prior to implementing such a requirement. (Witness: Jordan)

Company-Specific Fuel Adjustment Issues

Tampa Electric Company

<u>Issue 17A:</u> What is the appropriate 2003 waterborne coal transportation benchmark price for transportation services provided by affiliates of Tampa Electric Company?

TECO: \$22.96 / Ton. (Witness: Wehle)

Issue 17B Has Tampa Electric Company adequately justified any costs associated with transportation services provided by affiliates of Tampa Electric Company that exceed the 2003 waterborne transportation benchmark price?

TECO: Because the actual affiliated coal transportation cost for 2003 fell below the waterborne transportation benchmark price, no such justification is necessary.

(Witness: Wehle)

Issue 17C: Based on the Commission's decision at the September 21, 2004, Agenda Conference in Docket No. 031033-EI, has Tampa Electric Company made the

appropriate adjustments to its 2004 and 2005 waterborne coal transportation costs for recovery purposes?

TECO: The company has not made any adjustment to its proposed cost recovery amounts in response to the Commission's Agenda Conference decision. The order embodying the decision, Order No. PSC-04-0999-FOF-EI, was issued on October 12, 2004 and is not yet final. Tampa Electric is reviewing the order and will take appropriate action upon the completion of that review process. (Witness: Jordan)

Issue 17D: Has Tampa Electric calculated the appropriate interest on its 2003 over-recovery balance?

Yes, Tampa Electric calculated the appropriate interest on its 2003 over-recovery balance. (Witness: Jordan)

Issue 17E: Are the fuel charges Tampa Electric expects to incur for its wholesale energy purchases from Hardee Power Partners for 2005 reasonable?

Yes. As reported in the testimony of Tampa Electric witness Benjamin F. Smith filed on September 12, 2003, in Docket No. 030001-EI, there were no changes to the contract under which Tampa Electric purchases wholesale energy from Hardee Power Partners when TECO Power Services sold its Hardee Power Partners capacity. Therefore, the expected 2005 fuel charges under this long-term power purchase agreement are still reasonable for cost recovery. (Witness: Smith)

Issue 17F: Should the Commission approve Tampa Electric's purchased power agreement for 150 MW of non-firm energy referenced in Benjamin F. Smith's direct testimony for cost recovery purposes?

The Commission should approve cost recovery of the contractual charges that

Tampa Electric will incur for its 150 MW non-firm power purchase agreement.

The purchase was evaluated for cost-effectiveness and is expected to provide \$7.1 million in customer savings during 2004 and 2005. (Witness: Smith)

Generic Generating Performance Incentive Factor Issues

ISSUE 18: What is the appropriate generation performance incentive factor (GPIF) reward or penalty for performance achieved during the period January 2003 through December 2003 for each investor-owned electric utility subject to the GPIF?

TECO: A penalty in the amount of \$3,678,414. (Witness: Knapp)

Issue 19: What should the GPIF targets/ranges be for the period January 2005 through December 2005 for each investor-owned electric utility subject to the GPIF?

TECO: The appropriate targets and ranges are shown in the Exhibit to the prefiled testimony of Mr. David R. Knapp. (Witness: Knapp)

Generic Capacity Cost Recovery Factor Issues

Issue 24: What are the appropriate final capacity cost recovery true-up amounts for the period January 2003 through December 2003?

TECO: Under-recovery of \$296,014. (Witness: Jordan)

<u>Issue 25</u>: What are the appropriate estimated capacity cost recovery true-up amounts for the period January 2004 through December 2004?

TECO: Under-recovery of \$7,372,965. (Witness: Jordan)

Issue 26: What are the appropriate total capacity cost recovery true-up amounts to be collected/refunded during the period January 2005 through December 2005?

TECO: Under-recovery of \$7,668,979. (Witness: Jordan)

Issue 27: What are the appropriate projected net purchased power capacity cost recovery amounts to be included in the recovery factor for the period January 2005 through December 2005?

TECO: The purchased power capacity cost recovery amount to be included in the recovery factor for the period January 2005 through December 2005, adjusted by the jurisdictional separation factor, is \$50,159,408. The total recoverable capacity cost recovery amount to be collected, including the true-up amount and adjusted for the revenue tax factor, is \$57,870,023. (Witness: Jordan)

Issue 28: What are the appropriate jurisdictional separation factors for capacity revenues and costs to be included in the recovery factor for the period January 2005 through December 2005?

<u>TECO:</u> The appropriate jurisdictional separation factor is 0.9641722. (Witness: Jordan)

<u>Issue 29</u>: What are the appropriate capacity cost recovery factors for the period January 2005 through December 2005?

<u>TECO:</u> The appropriate factors are as follows:

Rate Schedule	Capacity Cost Recovery Factor (cents per kWh)
Average Factor	0.302
RS	0.377
GS and TS	0.338
GSD, EV-X	0.278
GSLD and SBF	0.254
IS-1, IS-3, SBI-1, SBI-3	0.023
SL-2, OL-1 and OL-3	0.047
4.0	

(Witness: Jordan)

Company-Specific Capacity Cost Recovery Factor Issues

Tampa Electric Company

Issue 33A: Are Tampa Electric Company's actual and projected expenses for 2003 through

2005 for its post-September 11, 2001, security measures reasonable for cost

recovery purposes?

TECO: Yes. Tampa Electric included \$214,722, \$532,873, and \$377,089, before

jurisdictional separation, for actual and projected incremental security operations

and maintenance expenses for 2003, 2004, and 2005 that arose following the

terrorist attacks of September 11, 2001, as provided by the Commission in Order

No. PSC-02-1761-FOF-EI. These expenses were directly caused by the

extraordinary events of September 11, 2001 and the need for additional security

measures to protect the company's facilities following the attacks. (Witness:

Jordan)

F. STIPULATED ISSUES

TECO: None at this time.

G. MOTIONS

TECO: None at this time.

H. OTHER MATTERS

TECO: None at this time.

11

Respectfully submitted,

EE L. WILLIS

JAMES D. BEASLEY

Ausley & McMullen

Post Office Box 392

Tallahassee, Florida 32302

(850) 224-9115

ATTORNEYS FOR TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true copy of Tampa Electric Company's Prehearing Statement has been furnished by U. S. Mail or hand delivery (*) on this ______ day of October, 2004 to the following:

Mr. Wm. Cochran Keating, IV* Senior Attorney Division of Legal Services Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, FL 32399-0863

Mr. James A. McGee Associate General Counsel Progress Energy Florida, Inc. Post Office Box 14042 St. Petersburg, FL 33733

Mr. Joseph A. McGlothlin Ms. Vicki Gordon Kaufman McWhirter, Reeves, McGlothlin, Davidson, Kaufman & Arnold, P.A. 117 S. Gadsden Street Tallahassee, FL 32301

Mr. Robert Vandiver Associate Public Counsel Office of Public Counsel 111 West Madison Street – Suite 812 Tallahassee, FL 32399-1400

Mr. Norman Horton Messer Caparello & Self Post Office Box 1876 Tallahassee, FL 32302 Mr. John T. Butler Steel Hector & Davis LLP 200 South Biscayne Boulevard Suite 4000 Miami, FL 33131-2398

Mr. William Walker Florida Power & Light Company 215 South Monroe Street, Suite 810 Tallahassee, FL 32301-1859

Mr. R. Wade Litchfield Florida Power & Light Company 700 Universe Blvd. Juno Beach, FL 33408

Mr. John W. McWhirter, Jr.
McWhirter, Reeves, McGlothlin,
Davidson, Kaufman & Arnold, P.A.
400 North Tampa Street, Suite 2450
Tampa, FL 33601-5126

Ms. Susan Ritenour Gulf Power Company One Energy Place Pensacola, FL 32520

Mr. Jeffrey A. Stone Mr. Russell A. Badders Beggs & Lane Post Office Box 12950 Pensacola, FL 32591-2950

ATTORNEY