EXHIBIT B

REDACTED 040604-TL

Consultant Agreement

Between

Telesector Resources Group, Inc. d/b/a Verizon Services Group

And

Wilk & Associates/LECG, LLC.

ENTIRE AGREEMENT IS CONFIDENTIAL PAGES VZ 1-1.1 THRU 1-1.12

EDGC-COMMISSION OF FRE

July 22, 2004

Privileged & Confidential

To: Richard Chapkis

From: Carl Danner & Mitch Wilk

Re: Strategic Considerations for Florida Lifeline Workshop

ENTIRE DOCUMENT IS CONFIDENTIAL PAGES VZ 2-3.1 THRU 2-3.3

7,7

South Carolina State Universal Service Fund Update of South Carolina USF Calculation As of December 31, 2003

	Data as of December 31, 2	003	Residential	Business	Total
1.	Cost Per Line Approved by Public Service Commission of SC	SC USF Order			
2.	Authorized Rate	Per Approved Tariff			
3.	Gross USF Per Line	(Line 1 - Line 2)			
	Federal Per Line Support	FCC FCC			
	Subscriber Line Charge Net State USF Support Per Access Line	(Line 3 - Line			
	Access Line	4 - Line 5)			
7.	State USF Lines as of	Company			
	12/31/2003	Records			
8.	State USF Per Month	(Line 6 * Line 7)	RI	DACTED	
9.	Annual State USF	(Line 8 * 12 Mo.)			
10.	Other Approved Tariff Reductions	Commission Approved			
11.	Annual State USF After Other Tariff Reductions	(Line 9 +			
10		Line 10)			
12.	Annual State USF in Place as of 12/31/2003 (excluding Lifeline)	Commission Approved Amount			
13.	Percent State USF in Place	(Line 12 / Line 11)			
14.	State USF Support Per Line Per Month in Place	(Line 6 * Line 13)			
15.	State Lifeline Support Per Line	Approved Amount			
16.	Number of Lifeline Customers as of 12/31/2003	Company Records			
17.	Annual State Lifeline Support	(Line 15 * Line 16 * 12)			
18.	Total Annual State USF Support	(Line 11 + Line 17)			

South Carolina State Universal Service Fund Update of South Carolina USF Calculation As of December 31, 2002

	Data as of December 31, 20	002	Residential	Business	Total
1.	IDublia Canuaa Cammucaian at - 1	SC USF Order			
2.	Authorized Rate	Per Approved Tariff			
3.	Gross USF Per Line	(Line 1 - Line 2)			
4		FCC			
		FCC			
	Net State USF Support Per	(Line 3 - Line 4 - Line 5)			
7.	State USF Lines as of	Company Records			
8.	12/31/2002 State USF Per Month	(Line 6 * Line 7)	F	REDACTE	D
9.	Annual State USF	(Line 8 * 12 Mo.)	•		
10.	Other Approved Tariff Reductions	Commission Approved			
11.	Annual State USF After Other Tariff Reductions	(Line 9 + Line 10)			
12.	Annual State USF in Place as of	Commission Approved Amount			
13.	Percent State USF in Place	(Line 12 / Line 11)			
14.	State USF Support Per Line Per Month in Place	(Line 6 * Line 13)			
15.	State Lifeline Support Per Line	Approved Amount			
16.	Number of Lifeline Customers as of 12/31/2002	Company Records			
17.	Annual State Lifeline Support	(Line 15 * Line 16 * 12)			
18.	Total Annual State USF Support	(Line 11 + Line 17)			

South Carolina State Universal Service Fund Update of South Carolina USF Calculation As of December 31, 2001

		004	Desidential	D	Total
	Data as of December 31, 2	001	Residential	Business	Total
	Cost Per Line Approved by Public Service Commission of SC	SC USF Order			
2.	Authorized Rate	Per Approved Tariff			
3.	Gross USF Per Line	(Line 1 - Line 2)			
4.	Federal Per Line Support	FCC			
5.	Subscriber Line Charge	FCC			
6.	Net State USF Support Per Access Line	(Line 3 - Line 4 - Line 5)			
	State USF Lines as of 12/31/2001	Company Records			
8.	State USF Per Month	(Line 6 * Line 7)	RE	DACTED	
9.	Annual State USF	(Line 8 * 12 Mo.)			
10.	Other Approved Tariff Reductions	Commission Approved			
11.	Annual State USF After Other Tariff Reductions	(Line 9 + Line 10)			
12.	Annual State USF in Place as of 12/31/2001 (excluding Lifeline)	Commission Approved Amount			
13.	Percent State USF in Place	(Line 12 / Line 11)			
14.	State USF Support Per Line Per Month in Place	(Line 6 * Line 13)			
15.	State Lifeline Support Per Line	Approved Amount			
16.	Number of Lifeline Customers as of 12/31/2001				
17.	Annual State Lifeline Support	(Line 15 * Line 16 * 12)			
18.	Total Annual State USF Support	(Line 11 + Line 17)			

Company GTFL	In-Service In March 2004 Yes No	March 2004 Lifeline (Y/N) N N	Sept 2004 Lifeline (Y/N) N N	Res. Customer Count Sept 2004	Res. Customer Count Sept 2004	CONTINUING CUSTOMER W/O LIFELINE NEW CUSTOMERS W/O LIFELINE
GTFL	Yes No	Y y	N n			CONTINUING CUSTOMERS WHO STOPPED LIFELINE IMPOSSIBLE COMBINATION
GTFL .	Yes No	N N	Y Y			CONTINUING CUSTOMERS WHO STARTED LIFELINE NEW CUSTOMERS WHO STARTED LIFELINE
GTFL	Yes No	Y Y	Y Y			CONTINUING CUSTOMERS WHO HAD LIFELINE SINCE MARCH IMPOSSIBLE COMBINATION

	Mar-03 All Residence	Sep-04 R1	Sep-04 Lifeline	Sep-04 Total	
Access MRC	\$				
Other Local	\$				
LMS/ECS	\$				
Toll	\$				
IXC	\$				
Total	\$				
SLC's	\$				
Total	%\$	RED	AC	ΓED	

Year ended: December 31,2003 Utility No. 2180 - VERIZON NORTH INC - WI OPERATION Copy 1 of Page 50

SERVICE DATA

Access Line—Central office line equipment, or equivalent, and all outside plant facilities, or equivalent, required to connect the serving central office with the customer premises via physical connection, frequency, and/or time slot. From an engineering perspective, a channel connection is at a DS0 level. A DS0 level is a 4 KHz voice or 64 Kilobits/second. Customer--A person who uses a telephone as a subscriber.

Item (a)	FOY (b)	EOY (c)
Business		
1 Party		
2 Party		
4 Party		
PBX Trunks/System Trunks		
Centrex Trunks		
Centrex Lines		
Key System Trunks		
Mobile (Utility Provided Service)		
Paging (Utility Provided Service)		
FX-Out (Switched)		
Pay Telephone		
Coin-Operated Pay Telephone		REDACTED
Independent Pay Telephone Provider		
Other		
Total Business Lines		
Residential (Incl. Emp. Concess.)		
1 Party		
2 Party		
4 Party		
FX-Out (Switched)		
Other		
Total Residential Lines		
Total Bus & Res Lines		
Company Used Lines		
Total Lines Used		

Date Printed: 06/24/2004 10:05:57 AM --- CONF--- = Confidential

12- 1704, 1.32MW, VERTAUN

PSCW Report: LEC Telecommunications

1216 (12 1247

Year ended: December 31,2003 Utility No. 2180 - VERIZON NORTH INC - WI OPERATION Copy 1 of Page 51

SERVICE DATA (cont.)

Access Line--Central office line equipment, or equivalent, and all outside plant facilities, or equivalent, required to connect the serving central office with the customer premises via physical connection, frequency, and/or time slot. From an engineering perspective, a channel connection is at a DS0 level. A DS0 level is a 4 KHz voice or 64 Kilobits/second. Customer-A person who uses a telephone as a subscriber.

ltem (a)	FOY (b)	EOY (c)
Miscellaneous		
WATS Lines - OutWATS		
WATS Lines - 800 Service		
FX-In-intraLATA		
Special Access-intraLATA		
(expressed in equiv. access lines)		
Special Access-interLATA		
(expressed in equiv. access lines)	-	
Feature Group A Lines		
Feature Group B Trunks		
Feature Group C Trunks		
Feature Group D Trunks		
TSPS - Trunks		
EAS and ECC - Trunks		
Cellular Trunks (Pub. Sw. Net.)		REDACTED
Video Distance Learning - discounted (special tariff)		
Customers		
Number of Lifeline customers at FOY (January 1, 2003) and EOY (December 31, 2003):		
Number of Linkup customers connected during		
FOY (Calendar year 2002) and EOY (Calendar year 2003):		
Other		
Total Company Square Miles		
Total Company Route Miles		
Footnotes		

Date Printed: 06/24/2004 10:05:57 AM

---CONF--- = Confidential

PSCW Report: LEC Telecommunications

1 2 3	access lines included in each new calling area and the expected rate impact to consumers"						
4	Verizon NJ's analysis provided in Attachment 7 to our Direct Testimony included						
5	several options that identify the cost of expansion, the number of access lines						
6	included in each new calling area and expected rate impact to consumers, along						
7	with a recommendation. Not a single witness objected to or challenged the						
8	analysis Verizon NJ provided in its scenarios. It was suggested however, by the						
9	DRA, that the Board also consider rate center consolidation as a way to conserve						
10	telephone numbers.						
11							
12	It continues to be Verizon NJ's recommendation that the Board consider Optional						
13	Calling Plan ("OCPs") as the way to address local calling issues in New Jersey.						
14	Verizon NJ presently offers a wide variety of optional intraLATA toll calling						
15	plans which meet the varying calling needs of our customers. [BEGIN						
16	VERIZON NJ PROPRIETARY] [END VERIZON NJ						
17	PROPRIETARY] percent of Verizon NJ's residential intraLATA toll customers						
18	are taking advantage of OCPs. OCPs provide customers with the flexibility to						
19	select the calling plan that best meets their individual needs. Expanding local						
20	calling areas is a "one-size fits all" approach. Furthermore, there are more						
21	effective methods to conserve telephone number resources other than rate center						
22	consolidation.						
23							
24	Should the Board, however, elect to expand local calling areas and/or rate center						
25	consolidation, Verizon NJ suggests that consideration be given to recovery of all						
26	costs and revenue impacts, consolidation of rate groups, implementation time						
27	frames and the effect of such a change on Independent Telephone Companies'						
28	and CLECs' local calling areas.						
29							
30	Please see Attachment A to this testimony for Verizon NJ's analysis of the DRA's						
31	proposal.						
32							

Attachment A
Page 1 of 7

EXPANSION OF LOCAL CALLING AREAS & RATE CENTER CONSOLIDATION

RATEPAYER ADVOCATE'S PROPOSAL

On behalf of the Division of Ratepayer Advocate, Douglas Williams submitted testimony including a proposal to reduce the number of local calling areas to 21 and mirror county boundary lines. As we show below, Mr. Williams' recommendation to expand local calling areas is based on inaccurate and misleading information and incomplete analysis.

First, he presents inaccurate information about the New Jersey situation and rate structures. For example, Table 1 of his testimony (at 8) indicates that he applied a \$0.65 monthly credit and \$1.00 for Touch-Tone Service to the current rates for business message rate service. As stated in publicly available tariffs, the monthly credit only applies to single line business customers and the monthly Touch-Tone rate for business is \$2.01. In addition, the state of New Jersey has a total of 208 rate centers, not 180.

Second, he offers misleading information by assuming that all Optional Calling Plan (OCP) customers pay a monthly fee to obtain savings. Many of Verizon NJ's optional calling plans — Consumer Opportunity Savings Plan, Fixed Rate Toll Plan (commonly known as Sensible Minute) and Weekend Choice — do not require customers to pay a monthly recurring charge to take advantage of intraLATA toll discounts. Accordingly, only [BEGIN VERIZON NJ PROPRIETARY] [END VERIZON NJ PROPRIETARY] [END VERIZON NJ PROPRIETARY] [END VERIZON NJ PROPRIETARY] as Mr. Williams represents. Typically, these customers are high usage customers that are willing to pay a flat monthly rate to obtain even greater savings on intraLATA toll calling based upon their calling patterns.

Third, Mr. Williams provides an incomplete analysis of national intraLATA toll rates, suggesting expansion of New Jersey's local calling areas because New Jersey has high toll rates when compared to other states. To account for years of public policy rate making, when looking at existing local calling areas in New Jersey, it is necessary to analyze the value customers receive from both intraLATA toll and local exchange services and consider the fact that New Jersey has the lowest flat rate residential basic exchange service in the nation.

Attachment A Page 3 of 7

Table 1. – New Jersey Monthly Basic Exchange Service Rates for unlimited local calling and the number of customers in the local calling area.

	Unlimited Local Calling	Number of Customers in		
Rate Group	Monthly Residence Basic	Local Calling Area		
	Exchange Service			
A	\$6.75	0-40,000		
В	\$7.45	40,001-115,000		
С	\$7.95	115,001-300,000		
D	\$8.19	300,001-600,000		

Mr. Williams refers to local calling areas in other states to justify changes for New Jersey. For example, when comparing New Jersey to California, it is evident that expanding local calling areas is not necessary. With 1,134.5 persons per square mile,³ New Jersey is second only to the District of Columbia in population density and five times denser than California. In terms of reach, customers in two of Verizon NJ's largest local calling areas [BEGIN VERIZON NJ PROPRIETARY] --

[END VERIZON NJ PROPRIETARY] Verizon

NJ lines for the low monthly basic exchange rate of \$8.19. The average local calling area in Verizon NJ contains [BEGIN VERIZON NJ PROPRIETARY] [END VERIZON NJ PROPRIETARY]. Local calling areas in New Jersey are reachable at flat rate monthly rates ranging from \$6.75 to \$8.19 per month. By comparison, Verizon California's monthly basic exchange rate for a relatively small rural local calling area is \$17.25. Table 2 below provides a comparison of the rates for basic local exchange service and intraLATA Toll in New Jersey to those in California.

Table 2. – Comparison of Residence Basic Exchange Service and Average Monthly Residence IntraLATA Toll Revenue Per Presubscribed Line for Verizon as of April 2001.

³ U.S. Census Bureau Information, http://www.census.gov.

Exhibit 7, Attachment A to the Panel Testimony of West and Taylor filed February 15, 2001.

Attachment A Page 4 of '

			rage 4 or
	Highest Flat Rate	Average Monthly	
	Residence Monthly	Residence	
	Rate including TT	IntraLATA Toll	
State	Service	Revenue Per	Total
		Presubscribed Line	
		[BEGIN VERIZON NJ PROPRIETARY]	[BEGIN VERIZON NJ PROPRIETARY]
New Jersey (VZ)	\$9.19		
California (VZ)	\$17.25		
	1	[END VERIZON NJ PROPRIETARY]	[END VERIZON NJ PROPRIETARY]

When viewed objectively and in the proper context, Verizon's residential basic exchange customers in New Jersey enjoy a considerable bargain at today's local and toll rates over customers in California.

EXPECTED RATE IMPACT ON CONSUMERS

If the Board were to adopt a scenario to expand local calling areas, which it should not do, rate structure changes are a necessary prerequisite for a couple of reasons. First, expanding local calling areas would enhance the value of basic exchange service. In addition to extending the area within which customers can make unlimited local calls, customers would continue to realize benefits over time as per minute of use toll billing is replaced with a flat rate monthly basic exchange service charge that would still be priced below its incremental costs.

Second, the recovery of revenue losses and implementation costs provides the Board with a unique opportunity to address the public policy issues associated with pricing of residential basic exchange service in New Jersey with minimal impact on customers as increases in basic exchange service would be offset by decreases in intraLATA toll billing.

EXHIBIT 7

PAGES 1-15

OF OPC POD 21-VZ 21-1

PROPRIETARY AND CONFIDENTIAL

PAGES 1-11 OF OPC POD 21-VZ 21-1

PROPRIETARY AND CONFIDENTIAL

Verizon Top Ten States - Based on the Number of Access Lines - Lifeline State Statistics

	(as of Dece	sidential Subscribe		(as of November 2003)* Average Lifeline Support p	er Line			Lowest Available		netration Rates
	Lifeline	Non-Lifeline	% to Total	Federal		<u>State</u>	Total	Lifeline Rate	Low Income	otal
Florida				\$	10.00	\$ 3.50	\$ 13.50	Retail - 13.50	89.8	95.1
California				\$	7.89	\$ 2.46	\$ 10.35	\$ 2.85	93.1	97.1
Indiana				\$	7.51	\$ -	\$ 7.51	\$ 4.84	87.5	94.1
Maryland				\$	9.27	\$ 3.50	\$ 12.77	\$ 0.66	95.7	98.3
Massachusetts				\$	9.95	\$ 8.45	\$ 18.40	\$ 0.41	93.3	97.3
New Jersey				\$	9.76	\$ 3.41	\$ 13.17	No Charge	91.3	96.5
New York				\$	9.74	\$ 3.30	\$ 13.04	\$ 1.00	90.7	95.7
Pennsylvania				\$	8.38	\$ 1.07	\$ 9.45	See Below	93.9	97.4
East								\$ 1.68		
West								No Charge		
Texas				\$	8.82	\$ 3.18	\$ 12.00	\$ 0.10	88.1	94.5
Virginia				\$ \$	9.21	\$ 2.97	\$ 12.18	See Below	84.9	95.4
East								\$ 2.50		
West								No Charge		

2003 Estimate	of Low Income L	ifeline Support I	From All Carriers
Takal Cantaila	Camerila Asseila	Lawinaama	

	Total Contrib		Contrib Attrib to Low Income		Low Income Receipts		<u>Low Income</u> <u>NET</u>	
Florida	\$	357,951	\$	47,858.00	\$	16,663.00	\$	(31,195.00)
California	\$	567,727	\$	75,905.00	\$	302,892.00	\$	226,987.00
Indiana	\$	103,945	\$	13,894.00	\$	4,522.00	\$	(9,372.00)
Maryland	\$	125,553	\$	16,786.00	\$	492.00	\$	(16,294.00)
Massachusetts	\$	135,598	\$	18,129.00	\$	17,563.00	\$	(566.00)
New Jersev	\$	217,087	\$	29,025.00	\$	10,791.00	\$	(18,234.00)
New York	\$	361,760	\$	48,367.00	\$	53,625.00	\$	5,258.00
Pennsylvania	\$	228,586	\$	30,562.00	\$	13,610.00	\$	(16,952.00)
Texas	\$	346,980	\$	46,391.00	\$	50,140.00	\$	3,749.00
Virginia	\$	165.520	\$	22.130.00	\$	2.265.00	\$	(19.865.00)

Source: Table 1.12 of 2003 JB Monitoring Report. Total Fed Fund was \$5420M, Total Low income Support was \$715M or 13.37%

NOTES

- * Represents the discount off of retail residential rates. In FL there is no Lifeline rate. There is only a discount off of tarrif rates.
- * *Penetration information is made available 4 times a years by the FCC. During the period for the March 2003 report, MD had the highest Total penetration for all states.
- * *MD's Low Income Penetration (95.7%) for March 2003 was second only to Maine which had a penetration of 98,1% for that report period.
- **There was no 2003 average annual state report for the Total. However, Maine was reported to have the highest Total penetration for the average of 2003 with 97.8% penetration.
- **Penetration rates in MD, NJ, PA and VA may appear low because the FCC considers all lines in its calculation. In those states Lifeline service is available only to customers with a single line. Unit information for Verizon Residential Subscribers (as of December 2003) in PA and VA East and West has been averaged.

Dec 03 CT19

FL Dec 03

10/29/07 www. wow-con.com +7:65%

> P2 10/28/07.