1

## BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

2

DOCKET NO. 04 0763-TP

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1.0

11

12

13

1.4

15

TIME:

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2!

In the Matter of

REQUEST FOR SUBMISSION OF PROPOSALS FOR RELAY SERVICE, BEGINNING IN JUNE 2005, FOR THE HEARING AND SPEECH IMPAIRED, AND OTHER IMPLEMENTATION MATTERS IN COMPLIANCE WITH THE FLORIDA TELECOMMUNICATIONS ACCESS SYSTEM ACT OF 1991.



ELECTRONIC VERSIONS OF THIS TRANSCRIPT ARE A CONVENIENCE COPY ONLY AND ARE NOT THE OFFICIAL TRANSCRIPT OF THE HEARING, THE PDF VERSION INCLUDES PREFILED TESTIMONY.

ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING

TAKEN AT THE

PROCEEDINGS:

The Staff of the Florida INSTANCE OF:

Public Service Commission

Friday, April 15, 2005 DATE:

Commenced at 1:00 p.m.

Concluded at 3:30 p.m.

Betty Easley Conference Center PLACE:

Room 152

4075 Esplanade Way Tallahassee, Florida

JANE FAUROT, RPR REPORTED BY:

Chief, Office of Hearing Reporter Services

FPSC Division of Commission Clerk and

Administrative Services

(850) 413-6732

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

2	RICK KOTTLER, Deaf Service Center Association of
3	Florida.
4	CHRIS WAGNER, representing Florida Association of the
5	Deaf.
6	NELLIE POHLMEYER, representing Deaf/Blind of Florida.
7	NANCY SCHNITZER, representing Local Exchange
8	Telephone Companies.
9	KATHY BORZELL, representing Self-Help for
10	Hard-of-Hearing People.
11	JIMMY PETERSON, representing Florida Association of
12	the Deaf.
13	RICK MOSES and JASON ROJAS, ESQUIRE,
14	representing the Florida Public Service Commission
15	Staff.
16	
17	
18	
19	INTERPRETERS:
20	Stevie Fenton, Betty Dean and Teresa Richards
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1 APPEARANCES:

1 PROCEEDINGS

2.5

MR. MOSES: Okay. If we can go ahead and get started. I think we have got all the technical difficulties worked out, I hope. If not, if any of you run into problems, please let us know and we will get it corrected for you. My name is Rick Moses with the Public Service Commission. We are here today to have the meeting for TASA to get advice from you on relay and for us to update you on various relay matters and get your input.

To my right is Jason Rojas. He is our attorney that handles the relay matters here at the Commission. Our court reporters are Linda Boles and Jane Faurot over to our right. And if you will, please today when you are making presentations or addressing us or anything, please speak slowly so we can make sure we capture everything. And those that are needing the screen here can get every word and kind of be up to speed with us.

And with that, I would like to do housekeeping matters. Barbara Bailey sitting over here behind you is the one that is going to be responsible for getting all of your expense reports. If you would please make sure you get with her before you leave today so we can get that taken care of for you. And our interpreters today, I'm sorry, I don't know all of your names. If you would please introduce yourselves.

MS. DEAN: My name is Betty Dean.

MS. FENTON: Stevie Fenton. 1 2. MS. RICHARDS: Teresa Richards. MR. MOSES: Okay. We have got a couple of different 3 members with us today. So if we will start over here with 4 5 Nancy, if you will introduce yourselves and the organization that you are with representing the board today. 6 MS. SCHNITZER: Good afternoon. I'm Nancy Schnitzer, 7 8 and I'm employed with Sprint here in Tallahassee. And I'm here 9 today representing all of the local exchange companies in 10 Florida. Thank you. MR. KOTTLER: I'm Rick Kottler. I'm from Stuart, 11 12 Florida, and I am representing the Deaf Service Center Association of Florida. 13 14 MR. WAGNER: I'm Chris Wagner. I'm from Bradenton, Florida, and I am representing the Florida Association of the 15 Deaf. 16 17 MR. PETERSON: I'm Jimmy Peterson, and I'm from 18 Pensacola, Florida. I'm representing the Florida Association 19 of the Deaf.

MS. BORZELL: Is my microphone on?

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. MOSES: Yes. I'm Kathy Borzell, and I am here representing Florida Self-help for Hard-of-Hearing.

MS. POHLMEYER: I'm Nellie Pohlmeyer. I'm sitting in for Harry Anderson who is on a cruise with his wife, and I'm representing the deaf/blind of Florida.

MR. MOSES: Nellie, welcome. We appreciate you coming. Thank you.

As usual with most agendas that I put out, we are not going to stick with the one I put out. There is going to be a change right off the bat. Sprint is here to make their presentations and they are going to go first. There are some flight conflicts that they ended up with.

Mr. Paul Rutowski is here from Sprint. If you will come forward and make your presentation.

MR. RUTOWSKI: Okay. Can everyone see me okay? All right.

Well, first of all, Rick, thank you for being flexible. I originally thought that this was a morning meeting, and so I made my flight reservations accordingly, and then the schedule got changed around. So thank you again for your flexibility. And thank you to Chris and Rick for giving me some of the politics over lunch. I appreciate that.

Okay. Well, it is my pleasure to be here once again, and I'm just going to touch on a bit of the information about the new contracts for Florida and the implementation portion of it. And we can go ahead and go to the next slide.

This is just a rundown of what I'm going to cover today. You can see I'm going to talk a bit about the Sprint team, and I will mention some of the key players that will be working with the state of Florida. And I will also give you

two updates on the recent improvements of our platform. And then next I will focus on the new contract and the requirements that Sprint is able to comply with for the Florida contract, and just touch briefly on what those are. And then also the new call center, the new position, the new features. And, lastly, we will talk about outreach and what we have been doing and what we intend to do. All right.

1.0

Can everyone hear me and see me okay? All right.

Our relay team is growing. We have got hundreds of different employees that we work with, but the names of the people that you see listed here are the ones who work closely with the Florida contract. There are, of course, more people that are not mentioned, but these are the main players.

This is me, and my responsibility is for all of the account managers within my group. I'm responsible for the eastern half of the United States, and I have 15 account managers that report to me. You may be familiar with Greg Gantt. He previously reported to me and then his predecessor, Andy Leffler, who -- this is good news, we will actually be hiring a full time account manager for Florida soon, so that is something that we are very excited about. And next you will see Andrew Brenneman, and he replaced Maggie Schoolar.

We had some small reorganization that happened within our group, and moved some folks around. So Andrew Brenneman

will now be back with Florida. He previously did take care of Florida for a while, but then it went over to Maggie, and now it is back over to Andrew again. I guess he just can't get away from this state. He wasn't able to join us today because of vacation plans.

All of you are familiar with Andy Leffler. He was the interim account manager part-time for Florida until the new person is hired. Okay. And then we have Angie Officer, and she is the program manager. She is the ring leader or ring master for all of the implementations. She has set up the new -- she will be setting up the new call center, scheduling the feature installation, installation of the platform, specifically 711, the ability to be able to call 711, and the routing of 800 numbers within the state of Florida and so forth. Not just Florida, of course. She has several states that she handles on the eastern side of the country. And she handles the product, and she is the program manager that handles the logistics of the contract.

We rely heavily on her for updates on what is happening with the products, what the contract requires, what specifically Florida requires. She gets into the details there. So she will have a pretty significant role that she will play behind the scenes of what happens with the contract.

And lastly you see Mary Cole, and she is our quality manager for the state of Florida. And this is actually the

first time that we are trying this approach. Mary, basically, will be focused on quality issues. And she will work with the relay service. She will be actually housed in the Jacksonville center, and so she will be working there closely with the agents and with the supervisors and trainers and so forth. So she will be working right out of the Jacksonville center making sure that we are not only meeting, but exceeding the quality expectations.

James, do you have a question?

MR. FORSTALL: Did Mary used to work for Sprint?

MR. RUTOWSKI: Yes. Actually I will get into that.

I have a slide that addresses that later. Any other questions so far?

First, just to touch on a couple of the upgrades that we have done to our platform. Caller ID is one of them. And what you have been using is called a work-around solution. And I can't remember exactly which one Florida has or what you have had in the past, but it was a macro that was sent to the caller and then sent over that looked like Caller ID. Some of the states require that there was a macro sent, some don't. That was kind of our old Caller ID solution, and it didn't have the ability to block for privacy or anything like that. But the new system that we have does allow that. So it is like what we -- it is like what we call true Caller ID, a true Caller ID solution. And that was released as of March 8th. And that is

for both local and long distance calls.

1.3

How is my pace, Rick? Am I doing better?

MR. MOSES: You're doing better. Just keep in mind, just keep it nice and slow. I'm an old man. I don't hear so fast, you know.

MR. RUTOWSKI: Okay. So now that we are done with Caller ID, I want to go ahead and hop down to the prison abuse situation. The other new feature that we have installed that many of you are probably not aware of, but we have expanded this just a bit, we have seen an increase of late of prisoners abusing the relay services. And I'm not talking about deaf or hard-of-hearing inmates, I'm talking about regular inmates who have found a loophole to be able to get around and use the relay services. And so what they are doing is they are calling 711 or the 800 number and getting to one of our relay agents.

And when our agents receive that call, a few things can happen. Either the inmate will give the agent a hard time with cursing or other inappropriate vocabulary, or even just -- and they are doing it just for the simple pleasure of it. We try to encourage our agents to redirect them and ask them if they need to make a call. They will ask three times. If they are still not able to get the appropriate answer, then they are allowed to disconnect. And so we have seen an increase in this type of situation, and it is really taking our agents away from processing appropriate calls.

Another problem that we are finding is that the inmates will say I do want to make call, they will give them the number, and then once they are connected -- and the agent doesn't recognize the fact that they are not a legitimate caller, but then the inmate will send some messages to whoever is on the other end, to the call-to party, that are not appropriate and cause them to disconnect or hang up.

1.0

And so the inmates are also using VCO services to be able to call another number. And once they connect, then the inmate will find a way to be able to speak directly to that person without even having the agent involved. So it really puts our agents in an awkward position a lot of times when handling these types of calls. So the reason why they are doing it, of course, is because the calls are free.

And so what we have had to do is enhance our platform so that we prevent these calls from taking place and forcing them to have to pay or make a collect call rather than a free call in hopes of discouraging them from using our service for these types of reasons.

MR. MOSES: Paul, this is Rick, can you identify the inmate facilities that this is occurring from for us? If you can provide us with that list.

MR. RUTOWSKI: Well, it kind of depends. Info datings, we do have some information on the screen so that the agents are able to see two lines of information, typically

numbers. It is not part of the phone number, but there is some information that is on there. Like if you are making a pay per call type thing, they would be able to see a code that would show up there on the screen, or if you are calling from a cell phone it would show up. If you are calling from a public place, or you are calling a public service, it would have their -- there are some info digits that are listed on their screen. And there is a variety of different info digits, and one of such is prisons. And so it would identify that somebody is calling from a prison system, and then you know that that is where the call is initiated from. I'm not sure that I answered your question, though, Rick.

MR. MOSES: No, what I'm asking for is can you identify the problems that you are having? In other words, what I want to do from our end of it is we can contact these inmate facilities and find out why the inmates have access to this system to begin with, unless they necessarily need the service, and that might help prevent some of the fraudulent calls.

MR. RUTOWSKI: Okay. Based on our experience -- and it's not just Florida, I mean, this is happening nationwide, so it is not just something that is happening in Florida. We are aware that some of them are coming from particular prisons, but oftentimes what will happen is we will get a number and it will just show prison system. So it just shows it at a state level.

It doesn't necessarily identify the specific location of the prison. And so finding out which particular prisons are being effected by this would be quite a feat.

Now, there are some, of course, that we can tell, but some of them we just honestly do not have any idea. I can follow up with what information I do have about Florida. And if it does identify the particular prisons that have given us trouble, I can certainly pass those along to you.

MR. MOSES: Okay. Yes, Chris.

MR. WAGNER: I have a question. What you said, as well, but you don't have any economic impact on Florida. Can you screen so that it is only deaf prisoners? You know, how are you going to do it so that deaf prisoners are not impacted, and it is not an abuse when deaf people are using the phone for that purpose.

MR. RUTOWSKI: That is a good question. The deaf inmates will make relay calls, and we are able to go ahead and process those calls as normal. It is the hearing inmates that we don't want to allow to make calls, or to be able to terminate the calls that they have been making. So what was happening was that they were going over -- they were voicing in the middle of a call, so we were able to identify that they were not a legitimate call.

MR. KOTTLER: That's where I'm confused as to how exactly are they making these calls? Obviously they don't have

access to the TDDs. At least at the jails that we are dealing with in my area, you know, they keep the TDDs under lock and key and, you know, you have to be escorted to a separate room to do it. But if they are just dialing in voice-wise on 711, then what happens with the call? This is what I'm not understanding.

1.

MR. RUTOWSKI: You would be surprised what they can accomplish. It is not as bad as what you see in the movies. I mean, honestly, prisons are a pretty liberal place. If they want to use the phone, they can use the phone. Most of the time in most places there will actually be a TTY phone booth, and the guards and the prison system really, they don't monitor the usage of that device, and it is just sort of left there to be used as needed. And so that is where the abuse is taking place.

We have also been working with the prison enforcement system to be able to identify if -- well, for most of the states, they are contracted with a phone company to provide phone services for inmates, and the prison guards would point to the phone company having accepted those calls versus them being liable for having had inmates who made the calls. And so as part of the contract of the phone system, they have a certain agreement as to how phone calls can be made.

Now, those aren't always followed. For example, in Texas we have had a bad problem wherein we have worked with a few of the prison systems there and really have had limited success. And what we found was that Southwestern Bell had a contract with them, the state of Texas, so that we found that there was actually one person who works full-time and is dedicated to prison telephone service. And so they are the one who monitors the inmate calling and works for Southwestern Bell. So once we were able to find that person we were able to come to some solution, but finding that person is what is the difficult part.

And working with all of the local and long distance companies, I mean, we have kind of learned this through trial and error with the one phone company, but you can imagine how many could be contracted with the prison systems across the United States, and it just really requires quite a bit of follow-up. Are there any other questions?

Okay. Next. We won the new contract, and we are quite excited to be able to build our second call center located in Jacksonville. And we are continuing to maintain the Miami center, so we will have the Jacksonville center in addition to the Miami center. Construction has already begun as of the beginning of March, and we are subcontracting with Communication Services for the Deaf, CSD.

We have already began to hire there for the staff for the call center, and we also have hired a center manager and we have hired a manager who is deaf, Christy Burlinson (phonetic). She was a group supervisor for the South Carolina call center, and now she has moved up and accepted the center manager position at the Jacksonville center.

2.5

We have also hired an HR person, Hazel -- and there is the last name, Gieotto (phonetic). We will also have 17 leaders, which are, in essence, group supervisors. We will have 105 agents. We will begin training on May 16th, and then we will continue training as needed, and hope to open by June 1st. And that is what we have on the schedule so far.

Actually we are ahead of schedule, which is good news. Things are going along very smoothly and have been to date. And we are actually planning an open house, and this will happen to coincide with the Florida School for the Deaf's homecoming, which is sometime during October, a weekend in October. And we are expecting a large number of people to be around at that time. We are hoping that they will be relay users from St. Augustine. And so because of that event, we are hoping that we will get some folks over to the Jacksonville center that same weekend.

MR. MOSES: James.

MR. FORSTALL: I have a question. Is two weeks the normal time for training to get the agents ready?

MR. RUTOWSKI: Yes. Although I'm not sure if you all have seen our proposal. Within our proposal it is very detailed. It has the training schedule, what training is

involved, what the agents go through and so forth. So I do have that information.

Any other questions?

2.

MS. SCHNITZER: Yes. I am interested in knowing how the calls will be divided between the two calls centers, between Jacksonville and Miami.

MR. RUTOWSKI: That is a good question. The Miami center will continue to function and the Jacksonville center will -- it is not an exact science. But if you are in the call center business, you know that there is no way to really dump all of the calls into one center overnight. That is just not the way it works. And so what we need to do is figure out a way to transfer that traffic there.

Eventually the Jacksonville center will handle most of the Florida calls, and the Miami center will actually become the overflow center. And that will function for the overflow for both Florida and the rest of the United States. And I believe that the contract required that 80 percent of the traffic be handled in-state and 25 percent of the overflow could be out of state.

MR. MOSES: No.

MR. RUTOWSKI: Is that wrong, Rick?

MR. MOSES: We removed that from the requirement.

But it was my understanding that the Jacksonville center was to be up and running and was going to be handling Florida's

traffic, and the Miami center would be strictly acting as an overflow. Now, from what I'm hearing you are saying now that is not going to happen June 1st, this is going to be transitioned?

MR. RUTOWSKI: Right. There will be a transition period. And what it depends upon is how many agents we have at that time as of June 1st, are they ready to handle all of the traffic and to process it at the level we need them to.

Remember, we don't want to put the agents out on the floor if they are not able to meet the minimum standards and requirements, like the 60 words per minute typing speed, the accuracy requirements, and so forth. We are not going to put them out on the floor until they are ready to be able to maintain that quality, and then we will transfer them out onto the floor and be able to increase the numbers of calls that they handle. June 1st, to dump all the traffic for the state onto them, it wouldn't be wise, and so it will require a transition period.

MR. MOSES: Well, we will talk about that a little further later.

MR. RUTOWSKI: Okay.

MR. WAGNER: I have a question for you. Spanish calls, is that going to stay in Miami or will that transition over to Jacksonville, too? Because I know that we have had some ongoing concerns about Spanish relay and where that will

be housed.

MR. RUTOWSKI: You know what, I'm going to have to look at the implementation guide for that and get back with you. I know that there are some specifics in there, but I'm going to have to look for that.

MR. KOTTLER: I'm sure I read this in the proposal, but how many operators do you plan on having at any one time accessible?

MR. RUTOWSKI: Well, there isn't a simple answer to that question. The first thing that we will look at is the historical data, how many calls were processed on that day, and the staffing that the center would need to be according to the number of calls. Like Mondays are typically the busiest days, Sundays are typically the lightest days. And so the exact number of agents really will fluctuate based on call traffic.

A rough number of agents at any one time may be between 20 or 50, maybe even more. And so really there wouldn't be a specific number that I could give you. And I'm not sure that our proposal specified that information or not, but I highly doubt it. Any other questions?

Okay, next slide. Okay. These are some pictures of the new call center. And as you can see, some of the walls haven't been painted yet. These pictures were just taken recently, a few days ago.

Next. The Florida account manager, as I just

mentioned, is something that we are very excited about. We will be hiring a dedicated Florida account manager who will focus on Florida full-time, not like what you have been experiencing over the past several years where we have a part-time account manager that is shared between a couple of states who doesn't reside in Florida.

1.0

So now this is one big change with the account where we will have a permanent resident of Florida being the account manager, and this person will be able to go out to different events, be more visible to the community. And if you have concerns, or issues, or anything that you need to address, that person will be available and ready to address those concerns.

This person will work closely with FTRI. And they will work with outreach, and in support of the outreach efforts that they are doing. And then also there is a job posting that is open for this position. I have received a pretty good number of applications so far, and I'm waiting to offer just a couple more days to make sure I have everything, then I will go ahead and begin interviews and be able to get a person in place before June 1st.

We do have an office ready for this person in the Jacksonville center, but it depends upon the person and their qualifications as to what would be the best for the state of Florida. We are not requiring necessarily that the person live in Jacksonville; it could be in Tallahassee, it could be any of

the other locations throughout the state. We are just leaving that open and seeing who comes along.

Any questions about the account manager for Florida?

Nope. Good.

Next, just a little bit more on the quality manager. As I had mentioned before, you will have a dedicated quality manager focused on quality issues, and she will be based in the Jacksonville center to be able to monitor that activity. It will be Mary Cole. She has been with Sprint operations for 23 years. And to be honest with you, we could not ask for a better person than her. I have worked with her myself and she is fabulous. She is understanding, she is knowledgeable, she knows the business through and through. Mary right now is based out of Texas, in the Dallas area, but she has worked there for a number of years. She also worked in the Kansas City area at our old corporate Sprint center. She has been in several different areas of relay. And, I mean, to be perfectly honest with you, she knows her stuff. We are real excited that she is going to be working with the state of Florida.

As of right now, actually, she is looking for houses in Jacksonville. So she wasn't able to come with us today because she is busy doing that, but she is expecting to come to the rest of the meetings. And she will have the account manager and herself come as representatives for Sprint to be able to hear first-hand any feedback or discussion items that

may come up.

All right, next. Some of the new features that will be provided for the state of Florida. I'm not sure if you are familiar with these. One of them is relay conference captioning. And this is actually a perfect example of this would be if a deaf or hard-of-hearing person is working in an office with primarily hearing people, probably 50 people in that office, and they are not often able to participate in team meetings or join other conference call situations, and this would be a good way as an alternative for the person to be able to log into the web and then they would enter in a code that would allow them to join the conference call, and the conference call would be translated into text so that they could read the conversation as it is happening.

It is really quite cool. The only disadvantage or downside of it is that it is not very participatory. For example, if I use RCC myself and I want to join in on a staff meeting in that way, if I have got a question to ask, my question will come up a little bit later in the conversation. So there is a bit of a lag, of course, because of the time it takes to translate from voice to text. And so if you are typing 150, 175 words per minute and RCC, you know, is trying to keep up with the natural speed of speech, but at the same time there is a bit of a lag.

But there are many people who have really enjoyed

using this, and it really is the best alternative to hiring an interpreter. Sometimes there isn't any other way to be able to join a conference call, and this would allow for that.

MR. PETERSON: And this starts June 1st?

MR. RUTOWSKI: Yes, I don't have it up there. It is not actually ready quite yet. We will have the URL available soon. Right now we are in the process of creating the home page for Florida.

MR. PETERSON: And I am curious, who is funding that?

MR. RUTOWSKI: This is part of the proposal that we had. This is a one-year trial, and so they are giving it to Florida to be able to test it out to see if they like it.

MR. FORSTALL: So after one year Florida is going to decide to add it to the contract? What would the pricing be if we do decide to add it to the contract?

MR. RUTOWSKI: That would be a question for Andrew Brenneman. He works on pricing and figuring out all of those things. I wouldn't be able to say anything to that here.

Okay. Next slide. Part of the new contract requires that we hire a third-party group to be able to do testing and test calls as well as surveys. And that group is the Paisley Group, and they will be focussing on agent quality as well as accuracy. And very similar to what is identified in the contract, we took a look at that and we are making sure that all of the agents are not only meeting the requirements, but

exceeding them if possible. And also they would be testing on a monthly basis and producing results for use on a regular basis. And we will be taking steps towards making improvements, additional training as needed or even dismissal as needed. And those reports will be handed over to the FPSC.

MR. WAGNER: Now, Rick, I remember sometime ago, we met, what, probably a few months ago, and had said something about evaluating the accuracy of the typing of ASL versus English, and we talked about -- oh, no, no, you were sick that time, and I think Beth was here. We did talk something about that, though, quite in-depth, I thought.

Oh, hi.

But we had talked about how the staff would be -whether the staff would be qualified to be able to translate
ASL to English, and as well with the Spanish and the Spanish
calls. Do you remember us talking about that in the past?

MR. MOSES: Chris, I remember.

MR. WAGNER: My question for you then, Paul, is will this company have the training that they need be able to handle those areas? I mean, because those are areas that haven't necessarily been tested, and we have been getting some complaints in those areas in the past about the translation. So what type of experience will the Paisley Group have with those two areas?

MR. RUTOWSKI: First of all, this is the first time

that we have done anything like this, and so this will be a new experience for all of us. That is a good point that you do bring up, though, that we will have to follow up with Mary Cole and our operations group to see if there are some detailed plans in place for those. I do know that they are going -- that they have taken a look at the Florida proposal and they know what services we are supposed to provide and the minimum standards for those, and the scores that are required for provision of the services.

Okay. Anyone else? Is everyone with me so far? All right. Another unique request that we had, which is also something that we are working on, is in-state long distance calling only. For example, if you are calling from Tallahassee to Miami, having a 60 percent discount and having a rate that would be -- oh, actually this is in here.

The MTS rate, there is a schedule that has a listing of rates per call, whether it is a minute or two minute from one point, from Point A to Point B, there actually is a schedule that we would use, a standardized schedule. For example, if you see an advertisement for five cents or a nickel a minute, something like that, that typically is not the MTA service. It would be, like, five cents per minute only for that situation. So this is based on just a regular schedule of -- like, for example, you have your phone company call them, and then you would have a particular rate fee schedule, and it

would be discounted from that schedule, not the MTA schedule.

every situation varies, but it would be 60 percent off of that rate. And, of course, the first three minutes are free to allow the agent to be able to set up the call, educate the call-to party and so forth, so we do waive the first three minutes. And we will start this June 1st, as well. I do want you to keep in mind from Miami to Washington does not apply here. This is only within the state.

Okay. Next. This is just a snapshot of where we have been outreach-wise, just a quick run-down here. Andy Leffler met with FTRI to review the outreach plans and events planned for the year. That was in January. And in February they did have a forum in Sarasota, and I was thinking there was also one in the Tampa area. And then in March the FCCDHH public hearing, we were represented there in Fort Lauderdale. And there was a large event in Miami, Deaf Nation, the deaf EXPO event, and there was, what, 2,000, 3,000 people that attended that. And then in April in Pensacola there was an event, as well.

And plans for the future, next slide. You can see these here. This is just our rough plan for the rest of the year, and it would depend upon community feedback, the account manager, when we get that person into place that would dictate some of the details as to what we will or will not attend or

our presence in those different events. You can see the relay open house is listed in October.

All right. Any questions related to outreach?

Okay. Next slide. Oh, that's it. Jimmy?

MR. PETERSON: The open house, is it planned on a Saturday or a Friday?

MR. RUTOWSKI: I think that we are open both days, but the biggest event will be on Saturday. But we will be open on Friday, as well.

MR. PETERSON: And I would and imagine that a lot of people will be going to the football game, so they are going to have to have it be counter to that.

MR. RUTOWSKI: Right. We will be open Friday and Saturday because we want to get as many people coming as we can. We need to work with the call center staff, though, and make sure -- remember, we don't have everybody onboard yet, so we need to be sure that we get our ducks in a row there, but we will definitely be able to have it during the homecoming weekend.

MR. KOTTLER: Since you asked.

MR. RUTOWSKI: I did.

MR. KOTTLER: This is as much of a comment, I think, as it is a question. In the past, and you have missed a lot of my rantings and ravings when it comes to Sprint, anytime we have had a problem -- and this was Greg and before -- you know,

we got a response more like, "I wasn't there, I didn't do it, and I have an alibi for the whole thing." Instead of saying we have got a problem here we need to address it and let's sit down and try to figure it out. Sprint has always been very defensive. Can you please assure me that our new manager will be a little less defensive and a little more cooperative when it comes to resolving problems?

MR. RUTOWSKI: If you have a problem with the new account manager, I want to be the first one to know about it. I will give you my personal contact information, and you can call me any time. If you have got a concern, if you are not satisfied with something, definitely give me a call. And I will give that to whoever needs it. I want to be sure the people of Florida are happy with our service.

MR. KOTTLER: The other thing I would like is if the account manager could at least come to two, maybe two meetings a year of the deaf service center association just to discuss -- because we are the basic service providers of the group, and just to discuss any problems and what is going on and get him involved in that area.

MR. RUTOWSKI: Yes, we would expect that. And I would expect that the account manager would be traveling throughout the state of Florida and making themselves very visible, not only to your group, but to the other groups, as well. We will definitely give them a good enough travel budget

to be able to support them traveling wherever need be.

2.

б

Now, Florida in the past has been a little bit different, it has been a little different story, because we have our other states that are able to brag about having an account manager that is fully dedicated to that state, and oftentimes the results are very productive and positive. They are happy with our service because the account manager is right there. They are meeting their needs immediately and able to really keep their finger on the pulse of the state and get the information coming in and going out very quickly.

Now, with Florida we haven't had that luxury.

Unfortunately that hasn't been the case here because we are finding out much later that there is a problem rather than finding it out right away because we didn't have strong visibility in the state. And so I tell you, based on my previous experience with other states, having a dedicated account manager really changes things around. Plus, having a quality manager for the state of Florida, which is required in the contract, I am honestly really looking forward to the changes that are going to be made. Chris?

MR. WAGNER: Can you tell me a little bit more specifically about what you expect from the account manager regarding outreach, because I think that -- I know that we are a little bit odd because we already have an outreach that is established, so what are you envisioning will come out of this?

I mean, I understand that they are there to support the other organization, but how are you going to foresee -- how is that going to work?

MR. RUTOWSKI: I don't know that it is odd. It is different. I don't know that I would use the word odd, but different.

MR. WAGNER: Well, I think we are odd. I think we have been considered odd all these years.

MR. MOSES: Can I slow you all down just a little bit.

MR. RUTOWSKI: Okay. And, you're right. You're right, Chris, that I don't expect the Florida account manager to do as much outreach maybe as in another state because that is FTRI's responsibility. And the Florida account manager will be there to support their efforts, whether it is with materials, or information, or contacting people, and anything that is related to the relay and issues that may come up with that we would want them to be available for.

Now, the other thing that I envision for them is to offer visibility in the community. Go out to the community events, have their ear to the ground asking the people what is going on. Hosting events themselves or participating in other groups' efforts.

I know that Florida has this road tour, and I know that there are different events that take place along that road

tour. And so with that, I would imagine that the account manager would participate in most of those, as well. And, you know, if there are several different things happening at the same time, I can't expect one person to split themselves into several different locations, but that they would certainly make themselves available.

1.5

And then that person is also responsible for contract compliance. So they are there to make sure that everything that we had committed to is met. And everything that is in the state of Florida's contract is their top priority. So are our agents meeting the service levels, are the features that we had written in our proposal to the state, are those being provided and are we able to deliver those features? Whatever; whatever it may be. Whatever is asked from us for Florida, making sure that we are following to the letter or even exceeding the expectations that we have as requirements in the contract.

And the small part -- you know, that is, of course, a small part, but, again, a big part as well as the community participation, and participating with the Public Service Commission, and FTRI, and making sure that we are more visible across the state. Is that clear? Okay. Great. All right. Any other questions for me?

MS. BORZELL: I have a major concern about the CapTel, the quality of captioning on CapTel. And when I poll my members, that is our major concern. It doesn't seem to be

moving in the right direction. And I personally use CapTel quite a bit now. I have had a drop in my hearing, and I rely more on text. And the quality is inconsistent and very poor. What can we do to improve this?

2.4

MR. RUTOWSKI: I probably would need some more specifics on that. I'm not sure exactly which areas you are saying are declining. Is it quality or response time or -- I would just need something a bit more specific in order to be able to give you some specific answers on that. I would be happy to work with you on this topic, though.

MS. BORZELL: Errors in captioning, not response time. I don't have a problem with that.

MR. RUTOWSKI: Okay. So accuracy.

MS. BORZELL: It's poor quality, not understandable. And many times I can hear pretty well on a call while I'm seeing all of the inaccuracies. Other calls are good. But I would say overall I think it is poor quality captioning. Does that give you a better idea?

MR. RUTOWSKI: Oh, yes. Yes. It will at least give me something to start with so I can talk with my people and see what we can do to get right on it. Thank you for sharing your concern.

MS. BORZELL: One other question.

MS. SALAK: I got a CapTel for my mother-in-law and so I had the opportunity to observe and use it, and I will

second what she is saying. The spelling is really bad. And many times they misrepresent what is said, and they change words in what is said, and the accuracy is not very good at all. There are some operators where it is fine, but others it is somewhat dismal.

MR. RUTOWSKI: Thank you. James?

2.2

2.4

MR. FORSTALL: Is Ultratec still the provider of CapTel?

MR. RUTOWSKI: Yes. James was asking me if Ultratec is still the provider for that service, and the answer is yes. I don't know that that will continue forever. We are hoping to make some changes, but that doesn't necessarily mean that the quality issue that you are raising is something that we are not going to take a look at. We will definitely look more closely at that and see what areas we can improve there.

MR. WAGNER: (Inaudible).

MR. FORSTALL: This is Paul here. No, not yet. That is still kind of a gray area right now. Sometimes, some days the wind is going one direction and we think we are getting there, and then all of a sudden the winds will change and it seems like we have to put it on hold. So business is very transitional right now, and I don't have any specifics on that. I do know that it is coming, and it will come one day sooner or later. But, no.

MR. PETERSON: And I use a TTY, and I use TTY relay

service occasionally, and I hate using a TTY to call like a company that has an automated system or automated answering system where you have to hang up and call back. It seems we have to do that quite often. Is there some way to resolve that?

MR. RUTOWSKI: Actually for the operators those are the biggest challenge calls. Those are the biggest challenge to their job. You know, with these different companies, if you can tell the agent ahead of time when you get there, press one and then press three, then they can navigate through them more easily and your calling experience will be much easier. But if you call that company for the first time and have to go through the system, it can be quite a challenge.

But, yes, I mean, there are alternatives to using that. If you would go through video relay, of course, then that will resolve the issue, or if you go through Internet relay, with Internet relay it is nice because you can have a large chunk of instructions there, and you can copy and paste it so that you can read it before the call is made. But on a TTY, it really depends upon which TTY you are using and whether or not they would be able to have all of that pre-set within their macro. Most TTYs don't have that feature available. You have to have everything explained as it is happening and maybe if you have an idea of what to expect out of that call that would be different. But we are encouraging users in order to

make their call experience easier to explain what to expect out of that call ahead of time.

MR. WAGNER: I can't remember what was said within the proposal or the contract, I have reviewed it so many times I can't remember the details. But I was thinking to respond to Kathy's concern and Beth's concern, I was wondering, now, is CapTel included in the quality testing? Is that included in the quality testing? Because I thought that you guys had been doing testing but not related to CapTel. But in the new contract is it in the quality testing?

MR. MOSES: No.

MR. WAGNER: Why not?

MR. MOSES: I didn't think to put it in there to be quite honest with you. But that doesn't preclude us from doing testing on it.

MR. WAGNER: Because that is a pretty large population of hard-of-hearing people across the state of Florida, and it is not being fair to them if we are only doing testing for TTY calls. I think we should do testing for the hard-of-hearing community, as well. So we should be addressing that now, not waiting until the next several years down the line when we have a new contract.

MR. MOSES: Okay.

MS. BORZELL: At the last presentation, at the October meeting, Sprint really stated how successful CapTel

was. And I asked the same question: What kind of quality control testing are you doing on CapTel? Why shouldn't that be along with the relay service? We are marketing a wonderful technology here, but if we are not going to stay consistent with testing the quality of the captioning, why have it on the market?

MR. RUTOWSKI: Yes, Beth.

1.

MS. SALAK: It was my understanding that for CapTel operators or whatever you want to call it, that they would keep, if they made mistakes or their software turned up with a misspelled word or wrong word, they would keep correcting it until they hit at least 95 percent accuracy level. Is that still happening?

MR. RUTOWSKI: It is supposed to be. And it was supposed to be better than 95 percent, actually. And I haven't gotten my hands real dirty on this, so I guess I will have to go ahead and get more involved and see what is happening on that front.

I haven't really heard these comments from other states. But, again, they don't have an advisory board like you have here where I hear it directly from all of you, which is really nice. So when I go to other state offices or organizations, working with them, I mean, they really don't have the association with the CapTel users themselves. So that is why I really appreciate your feedback. I will take this

back home and put it on the top of my to-do list and see what I can get taken care of to improve that.

MR. MOSES: Beth.

MS. SALAK: It doesn't take away from all the positive things CapTel offers my mother-in-law. She is ecstatic with it and it has offered her a new way to communicate she didn't have before. It is me standing there looking over her shoulder.

MR. MOSES: Well, I tell you what we can do to maybe help this along. I have got staff that already do test calls. We can do test calls using CapTel and see what the accuracy is and provide that information to the board and also Sprint to get the ball rolling on this to acknowledge any problems that may exist. And it may end up being one or two machines that are not interpreting the person that is speaking into the software, or it may be a software problem, I don't know. But we will be certainly glad to do some testing.

MS. BORZELL: One last comment. I have been told by my regional distribution center to call the customer service number to complain if there are problems with a call. How effective is that? Should I be encouraging SHHH members, hard-of-hearing people in Florida to do that? Does that have any effect on --

MR. RUTOWSKI: (Indicating yes.)

MS. BORZELL: Okay. I will have to say sometimes the

hard-of-hearing population just doesn't advocate for themselves like the deaf do, so I guess we are just going to have to get a fire underneath them. Thank you.

MR. RUTOWSKI: I mean, one complaint probably isn't going to do as much as numerous complaints. But, again, for any service provider, if the people take the time to file a complaint, or the nature of the complaints, or find out what was wrong with the experience that you had, it helps us be able to improve the service. And talking among yourselves and complaining and having it not get to us really doesn't help us improve the product.

Now, when you have the account manager for Florida, I would expect that person will be involved with CapTel complaints or CapTel issues, as well. They would handle any of those types of issues, whether it be relay services, or CapTel, or whatever we are providing for the state of Florida, they would be your contact person for all of those features and all of the different groups that it touches.

MR. KOTTLER: Actually this is a question for Kathy. We in the past had had problems with dialing 711 and getting a CapTel operator. Are you still experiencing those problems, or has that situation been resolved? I know that was a big issue for us. We were told by Sprint that we could call 711 and ask for a CapTel, but the operators weren't informed enough to do that at the time. And I just have lost track of that issue and

whether it has been resolved or not.

MS. BORZELL: I'm not even aware of that. 711 for CapTel?

MR. KOTTLER: You can call in -- you should be able to call 711 and ask the operator to put you into a CapTel operator.

MS. BORZELL: I'm happy I'm here today.

MS. SALAK: I have used that several times. It works.

MR. KOTTLER: It's working? Okay.

MR. MOSES: And I'm pleased to say we did do tests for those.

James.

MR. FORSTALL: Paul, you said that you were going to take the issue back with the CapTel -- what do you mean by doing that. Will you be willing to report back to us maybe in 30 days?

MR. RUTOWSKI: Sure, absolutely. All right.

Are you expecting me to say something? No. Anyone else?

We have taken the 711 issue and we have trained, we did training across the board for all of the agents who received 711 CapTel requests, and all of them have received the necessary training. From what I have heard, everything is going all right. If you experience some disconnects or

something through that, please let me know or call customer service, actually, and let them know that there is still a problem with that.

MR. MOSES: Thank you, Paul.

MR. RUTOWSKI: My pleasure. It is really good to be here again, and I will try to come to these meetings as often as I can. You won't see the last of me. Thank you very much for your time.

And thank you, Chris, for letting me use your computer.

MR. MOSES: Okay. If any of you have not already done so, have gotten the handouts, there are a couple of charts that look similar to this. It is about the testing results that we have done as far as the testing since the last meeting we have had. I think you all should already have them.

Let's take a break for about 15 minutes. We will get started back at 25 after 2:00.

(Recess.)

MR. MOSES: Let's go ahead and get started again. I would just like to briefly talk to you about the results of our service quality testing on typing speed. The charts that you have right there in front of you are fairly self-explanatory. We have continued to do testing, Sprint has done testing, we have each met with each other in their respective work places to try to compare how we are doing testing.

We are using the same scripts, we are doing it the same way, and as you can see in the chart, there is about a five word per minute difference consistently all throughout. If any of you can explain it, you are better than I am. We have tried every way in the world, and we can't come up with why there is a difference, but it is a consistent difference.

So when the new third-party testing program kicks in starting in June, we are going to continue doing some testing and see how our results come up with them. And, hopefully, we will resolve it. We have tried everything we can to determine what the cause is, but as yet we have not been able to find the difference.

The good part is the results have improved continually. The words per minute have improved consistently, and I think we are in the right direction. This is really a statistics problem we are trying to figure out now, but we are continuing to push to improve the service, and I think Sprint has done a good job of improving it. Any of you have any questions on the testing? Yes, Nellie.

MS. POHLMEYER: This is Nellie speaking. I asked Harry before I came here if he had anything he wanted me to voice to you people, and this is concerning 711. And I am reading the e-mail that he wrote to me.

He says 711 is still doing well, although I don't use it much. It seems to me that more and more deaf consumers are

using VRS, VRI, and DLINK. I'm not sure of deaf/blind being able to use such services unless a sighted person is with him or her. Elaine -- that is his wife -- uses such services and often voices to me what the interpreter is saying on the screen. Perhaps TASA may wish to work with us on finding ways to make such services available to deaf/blind consumers.

Perhaps TASA could explore new ways to close the gap in this area.

2.

1.0

MR. MOSES: Okay. Thank you. Any of you have any suggestions in that area or can offer some suggestions or work with Mr. Anderson in this area? Chris.

MR. WAGNER: I know that VRS right now does control with the FCC, and I know that we have also submitted concerns in relation to video relay service to the FCC, and at this point, we have not raised the issue regarding the deaf/blind and Spanish VRS. Those have not been addressed at this time and I don't think that they will at this time. It is not mandated, so we are still pushing for that. And I think it is a national issue.

MR. MOSES: Thank you. Any questions about the testing, or any suggestions on anything on that? Again, like I was going to say earlier, we will add CapTel to this so we can identify quality issues on that, and we will give you the results on that. I'm trying to think how we can capture the data on the screen, but I'm going to work with the manufacturer

of the telephone and see if we can't work out something on that. We will come up with some way of capturing the information and we will report to you on that.

Okay. If there are no further questions on that, next up is Mr. Chris Wagner on the future of wireless.

MR. WAGNER: Hi. I'm here representing the Florida
Association of the Deaf, and I am also the chairperson for the
Coordinating Council for Deaf and Hard-of-Hearing, the
legislation that was passed last year.

We are receiving concerns from the community in the last year, we have been gathering data, and we have submitted several reports to the governor. One report addressed the ADA working group, one was from the coordinating council. So those two reports have been critical. They have been submitted to the governor and the legislature. Included in the report is a lot of this information regarding telecommunications, so I thought I would bring it here to TASA, as well as addressing some of the issues that have been brought up in the community, in the deaf and hard-of-hearing community, and also to include deaf/blind.

Historically, we have had more than five years that the community has been repeatedly asking for assistance. They want to see a cellular wireless program instituted. They have been asking for it, requesting it. They have talked to SHHH, they have talked to FLASHH, FAD. Deaf/blind groups have all

been talking about this issue. They want to have wireless equipment. FTRI clients have been asking, as well. They are wanting to know about wireless devices. They are seeing this as a barrier because of how the law is written that says they can't do it.

We are still facing issues of access to telephone and telecommunication in public entities. So where I go into this area like in Florida, I would be -- if there is construction or some problem in Florida, we can't get to use a relay -- we have no other device to use on the highways to be able to communicate. California has -- are you okay? Yes. Other states have these wireless devices. More and more people are using cell phones to be able to make calls for help if they break down on the highway, for example. We don't have access to that. Hard-of-hearing people can't hear on the cell phone, they can't make calls. So these are concerns that we have. So these two concerns were brought by these two groups and submitted to the legislature. Next slide, please.

Right now we are noticing that there is more and more people making relay calls through cell phones or through pagers. They are making calls to the relay using these devices. My pager, I can call Sprint relay. That is a different feature. It is in the national system, it is not in the state program. It is a national office. I have that opportunity, too.

People with cell phones can make 711 calls from TTY or any other telecommunication devices need to be able to use -- they can use their devices to call 711 to call to a cell phone. We have noticed an increase in these type of calls, VRS calls, where deaf people are using ASL on their relay calls. The number of those calls have significantly increased, but understand that VRS here, the Florida Public Service Commission doesn't handle, doesn't work with those calls.

The law says that there is a surcharge to land lines only, if you have noticed. I'm sure you would all agree that the number of land lines are decreasing while the number of cell phones and wireless devices are increasing. Remember, when we wrote that law in 1991, when TASA, when we wrote this law in 1991, it is completely outdated at this point. It is time for us to look at these issues.

The question is what is the future for us? The technology has significantly changed here. We want to show other states what they can do as well so that they can look at it and say, you know, I know we are different. Okay. We are not odd, we have established that. We are not different, but we don't want to be behind other states. These are some of the issues that I wanted to bring.

Nebraska, you're talking about the cornhusker state.

There is not many deaf people there. Since 1991 they have had
a 20-cents per month for land lines and for wireless since '91.

They were ahead of the curve; they saw it coming. The surcharge has been collected and it is used to pay for relay service.

The state of Wyoming, another state there are very few deaf people. In '91 as well a 16-cent surcharge on all wireless users, and it pays for relay service.

The state of New Mexico, this is a new legislation, 2003, half a cent tax on all land lines and wireless users.

And this has generated \$3 million during this fiscal year 2005. Their entire state -- in their state, their entire population, we have more deaf and hard-of-hearing people in our state than their population as a whole. They provide free distribution, equipment distribution and relay services with those funds.

North Carolina, again, another recent development for them, 11 cents. And with a cap of 25 cents per month, they have a cap on how much they can pay up to 25 cents. And their services have expanded really. I like this program. It has also expanded to emergency warning systems, as well. That is a big issue for us in our community. Within Florida, the Coordinating Council for Deaf and Hard-of-Hearing, FAD, deaf/blind, SHHH are working on the emergency warning systems, and that is included in North Carolina.

In the state of Nevada, it is 8 cents a month. It was really nice when I was reading that. It does relay equipment and two deaf resource centers. It is a small state

compared to us, I understand.

2.

I want you to get an idea of what other states are doing. Montana, we are talking about all these rural states. Since 1989, prior to the ADA, they had a ten-cent surcharge on every line, including wireless, and they get a million dollars a year revenue from that, and they fund their relay and equipment distribution service.

Louisiana, since '94, five cent surcharge on land line and wireless. That tax money originally went to relay and equipment, but now the relay service itself has its own funding. And the Commission, I'm not saying that the Commission here in Florida wants money from the surcharge, but in Louisiana that's how they use their money, for their Commission, as well.

The state of Maine, theirs is a little odd. They use a percentage, .02 percent of each of their companies have an annual revenue that they have to provide.

The state of Indiana is very nice. In '94 they had a surcharge for land line and also for wireless, and they were very successful in fighting with the cellular companies to get funding, as well. They use another percentage for their cell phones, for their cell calls, because they have got such a high percentage of their calls are cell phone calls.

The state of Oregon, since 1995, it started as 25 cents and it went down to 13. Forty-six percent of their

revenue is made up from wireless. They have a big deaf community in Oregon, understand.

In Minnesota, it has been since '94, and they can charge up to 20 cents, and it is land line and wireless.

Forty-six percent of their revenue is from wireless.

Vermont, they follow a percentage procedure, as well.

Two percent of all the charges that are billed to people; 24.4

percent of the revenue is from wireless customers.

The state of California, since 1990, prior to the ADA. Up to 5 percent. They do equipment distribution. And the last thing here, wireless funding is 35 percent of their revenue. It is \$20.7 million of a \$59.5 million budget.

Okay. Now, let's talk about Florida, since that is the point of our meeting. In our entire state we have nearly 16 million people. We have 1.8 million plus deaf, hard-of-hearing, late deaf and deaf/blind people. Thirteen percent of our state is in this population. We are one of the largest populations in the United States. Our state is one of the largest, and we are one of the most active groups, our deaf, deaf/blind groups have been -- you know, my point for today is that the law is needing updating. It is very old-fashioned.

If we look at the benefits, if we could add wireless to our program, to our equipment program and also these surcharges, you know, to wireless, think about what we could do

for our population. And it would also be a fair share. The land line companies are the only ones funding this, and wireless companies are using the services but not funding it. And it would provide equal access to everyone.

It would also be convenient because we would have the equipment. If you break down on the side of the road, you would be able to page to get help. Especially if you think of cell phone for hard-of-hearing people. And I want to emphasize the emergency preparedness, emergency warning. If the phone lines are down, we have access to information through our wireless, and that is information to emergency information.

We just recently had four hurricanes. This was awful to our state. The pagers were our life saver. They were able to tell us what was going on. We were able to get the information that we needed. Unfortunately, less than 20 percent of the people in the deaf and hard-of-hearing communities have these devices. A very small percentage of our hard-of-hearing people have the specialized cell phones to be able to use their cell phones. Those are the issues that we have.

I really think it is time for us to get ahead of the game with our technology. We are still looking at an old-fashioned legislation for this new technology. What we need is support. We need FTRI support and the Public Service Commission support to become active in this issue. We need the

support of deaf and hard-of-hearing, deaf/blind and late deaf consumers. And our state organizations need to be involved; SHHH, FAD, ALDA (phonetic), deaf/blind, all of us, AG Bell, all of us need to cooperate and to push for the legislation for next year. This is something that we want to try for next year. We need to try and educate the legislation. Well, as you can see from the other states, it is not fair. We want to see that we have the technological advances that are available to us.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The Florida Coordinating Council for the Deaf and Hard-of-Hearing, I am the Chairman for that organization, and we strongly support this. We have public hearings every two months, and we have heard over and over and over, Rick sits on our Commission, as well, and he hears these issues, he hears these comments about telecommunications and these devices. we haven't been able to address it because we feel we can't do anything because of the law, the way the law is written. It is preventing us from doing anything. But now it might be possible with the Public Service Commission's support and the community support from the state organizations, we will be able to push for the equal access and get us to add the legislation for wireless and cellular into our surcharge so that it can get into our program and it can be a benefit to the telecommunication programs that we run.

There are two other things. These are results that

may impact. We may see that the land lines and the wireless at the same time decrease. You know, if it goes down by one cent. I'm just saying one, two, three, four, five cents, you know, if everybody went to two cents across cellular and land line, to be honest, people would be able to justify it by the decrease in the land line surcharge by equalizing it across all the bills, and FTRI would be able to meet the communities more equitably to get equal to the hearing people. They get the VCPH equipment or whatever.

1.4

We haven't talked yet about all of that, but we are trying to catch up with all these options that we need. I strongly believe that the deaf and hard-of-hearing, deaf/blind, and late deaf communities need more options. Some people can afford the land lines, but with 77 percent of deaf and hard-of-hearing people unemployed, how can you expect them to afford the land line, let alone any of these devices for wireless, or cell phone, you know, any of those devices?

It is a big challenge, yes, we are expecting a big challenge from the phone companies who have been providing, you know, who provide this, like Sprint and other companies. We expect a challenge from them. We are hoping that we are able to find some resources, some support from here at the PSC, from other organizations, so that when we go to the legislature and we are working with them, we want to develop a partnership and get this whole system moving towards the change.

You know, we are looking at 1992 when it was written. This is 2005, we need to catch up. It could be an initial burden on FTRI because of new equipment, but I'm thinking that they are expert enough, and with their ability to work with all the equipment they have so far, they have had equipment changes. So I feel that, you know, with training --

I'm signing slowly. Maybe they are talking fast.

MR. MOSES: She is talking 90 miles an hour.

MR. WAGNER: She gets so excited when she is voicing for me, what can I say.

It is a burden, yes, but I think we are up for the challenge. I'm really wanting to plant the seeds in your mind so that you will be prepared to face these issues, because we are here sitting on this advisory commission and we are representing the people that we are talking about that need these services.

Beth.

1.8

MS. SALAK: You mentioned that you have done two reports and they discussed telecommunications in those reports. Did you address this issue in those reports that went to the governor?

MR. WAGNER: Yes. The reports include issues of technology, access to communication, yes.

MS. SALAK: Did you mention Statute 427 and that you needed changes in the law, you thought?

MR. WAGNER: No, that is not in the report. No, that was not written into it, no. But that is one of the things that we want to talk about, yes.

MS. SALAK: When you say talk about, are you going to issue another report and will that be included in what goes to the Governor?

MR. MOSES: Beth, could you come up to a mike.

They're having difficulty hearing you. You thought you were going to escape this, didn't you.

MS. SALAK: I'm just trying to figure out where you are in the process. Have you gained any support from representatives or senators at all? Do you have anybody that is willing to sponsor your statutory changes to 427?

MR. WAGNER: We have a legislative committee through the coordinating council, and it will be in the 2006 report that will be sent January of 2006 to the Governor. Part of the concerns for the 2005 and 2004 reports will be carried over to the -- it will be emphasized in the 2006 report, yes. And now our legislative committee has addressed -- is addressing the telecommunication issues and discussing them right now.

MS. SALAK: Have you all discussed the language that you would change in 427? Have you drafted new language?

MR. WAGNER: Oh, I'm not writing the language, no. But right now I am still gathering the data from other states and the language from other states so that we can look at all

of them. I don't want us to proceed without knowing that we are getting the support from the people we need the support from. We want to make sure that we are all on the same page.

2.3

MS. SALAK: I don't know if anyone shared with you, I think there was a survey that was done in all of the states that they collected on wireless that was done. Rick? I don't know, it was the -- what is the national group?

MR. MOSES: The NASRA group, or --

MS. SALAK: It was done by them. I don't know if you have those results. I'm sure we could get those up and share them with you. It was a survey of who collected for wireless or not.

 $$\operatorname{MR}.$$  MOSES: I will see if I can obtain a copy of that report for you.

MR. WAGNER: I would appreciate that. Because we have been finding some of the barriers and getting some of the information. So if I can get it from you, that would be great.

MS. SALAK: We will look for it definitely.

MS. BORZELL: I just want to make sure that I understand all of this. The surcharge that is now applied to land line phones and pays for the relay service, I mean, the FTRI distribution program, you're talking about a similar surcharge to be placed on all wireless devices and cellular phones, is that correct?

MR. WAGNER: Yes.

MS. BORZELL: Okay. Now, the point you made about a potential of a decrease in the surcharge on all lines, that means that a surcharge for cell phones and wireless devices introduced would offset the lowering of the surcharge on land lines; is that what you mean?

MR. WAGNER: Yes.

2.4

MS. BORZELL: Okay. Thank you.

MR. FORSTALL: Can I clarify? Kathy, the funding for the surcharge also pays for the relay service.

MR. WAGNER: Right.

MR. KOTTLER: One of the things that we are noticing -- and this is strictly from the equipment distribution program, is we are getting more calls and it increases monthly from people who want phones and we say, okay, well, you have got to have a land line. I don't have a land line, I have a cell phone. We are finding more and more people are dropping their land lines and only using their cell phones because they can use it for long distance, they can carry it with them. And the expense of a land line and a cell phone gets up there a little bit when you have got to do with one or the other.

So we are really looking, I think, in the next two years -- I will bet you, easy, 50 percent of the phone users in this state are going to be cell phone users and they are not going to have land lines. So if we don't tap into that, I

think we are going to lose out.

MR. WAGNER: Also, what is more here is that the cell and wireless devices are cheaper than the land line devices. For example, the TTY, you think is like \$400 for that device. But if you look at some of the devices, you can get them for \$150 to \$200 or less. Cell phones, as well. Well, depending on the VCPH, of course. Some of the phones, people just want options, that's all.

MS. SALAK: There was a statistic you threw out earlier about the percentage of people that don't have wireless -- I mean, don't have phones, period, or land lines at all. It was, like, 80 percent.

MR. WAGNER: That is from NIDRR, the National
Institute of Deafness Rehabilitation and Research. NIDRR. It
is very interesting. I was just learning last week when I was
in Chicago, I found that 23 percent of deaf and hard-of-hearing
people have Internet access. Only 23 percent have Internet
access according to -- this is deaf, deaf population. NIDRR
says that -- has the statistics to say this, 23 percent.

MS. SALAK: But did I get the 80 percent correct, that only 20 percent have a telephone connection?

MR. WAGNER: I'm sorry, say that again.

MS. SALAK: Twenty percent do not have a telephone connection. Is it because of --

MR. WAGNER: I said 23 percent have Internet

connection, and that is a national statistic. And national statistics shows that 20 percent of deaf people can afford to have pagers and, therefore, they can't afford to have Internet, a land line and --

MS. SALAK: You are saying they can't afford a land line, and I'm curious to know if our outreach -- I will call it the Commission's, the companies', they have a Lifeline program where you get discounted basic service relatively inexpensively, and I was wondering if they are aware of the Lifeline program. Is there awareness?

MR. WAGNER: No, they don't.

2.3

MR. KOTTLER: We give out that information when somebody comes to the RDC, and I think most of the RDCs are aware of that program. And if this situation arises, we hook them up with it. I have not come across that much of a need for it, to be very honest, in our neighborhood.

MS. SALAK: There is no need because people can afford telephone service, or there is no need because they are using wireless service or something?

MR. KOTTLER: No, we have not come across that many people that can't afford phone service that don't have phone service.

MS. SALAK: Okay. Thanks.

MR. KOTTLER: And I think this is a question for Rick and Beth. From our perspective on the Commission, the

coordinating council, what do we need to provide the Public Service Commission to get them on board? I mean, what would we need to do, I think, is where we need to go.

MR. MOSES: Well, as far as you are saying get us on board, do you mean to get us on board to push legislation?

See, we work for the legislature, and we don't normally initiate legislation or anything by that nature. We certainly give them feedback whenever we are asked by them to interpret what has been written as to what effects it will have on whatever it is written about, but we don't traditionally initiate legislation.

MR. KOTTLER: I understand. I guess what I'm asking is what do we need to provide to the Commission so that when the situation does occur and they say, well, what do you think about this, that the Commission is going to go for it?

MR. MOSES: Any data that you would have available, such as the decline of land lines like you suggested or the increase of the wireless lines, any statistics that would support the reason for making the legislation happen, I think would be very helpful. Certainly it would help us if we are asked to do an analysis of a bill to have that information available so we can include that in the analysis and supporting documentation back to the legislature, if it is asked for.

MS. SALAK: I will tell you most of the legislative decisions, and there is a specific legislative group at the

Commission and they work on our legislative issues and they do the analysis and provide the information that is requested. I mean, certainly this is an issue that we have actually mentioned to them before that we thought would be rising and coming to the forefront.

1.0

I mean, just through knowing the people that are asking for wireless equipment from FTRI, understanding that there is a pressure being placed on the surcharge, especially with the increase in the surcharge that we have seen and the increase in the use in CapTel, which is an expensive service. And we understand that we have the quarter cap, and we understand that it only goes to wireline, and we understand that wireless customers are using it. And we also understand that other states have it. So we feel your pain. How's that?

MR. WAGNER: I don't want you to expect that you are going to be taking the lead. No. We are definitely taking the lead. But we wanted you to be aware so that while we are doing this, and we are hoping that you will provide us with the support, the technical support. We have lined up some legislators who are interested in this issue, and they have got a lot of consumers that they have met with their legislators and they have asked their office and they have asked our office, asked FTRI, why aren't you charging the wireless? And they can say it is because of the way the law is written. So, no, we have to look at it. Okay. Thank you.

MR. MOSES: Thank you, Chris.

James, would you like to come up and make your presentation, please.

MR. FORSTALL: I need just a minute to make a switch.

MR. MOSES: Certainly.

(Pause.)

MR. FORSTALL: Good afternoon. Thank you for inviting me to be here to share this information with you, the PSC staff, and, of course, the TASA Advisory Committee members. Today I plan on talking about the budget for fiscal year 2005/2006.

Based on the information that was made available to us, the board of directors has approved a recommendation to maintain the surcharge at the current level of 15 cents for the next fiscal year. We estimate that this surcharge will allow us to maintain the services, maintain the services that are currently provided.

I also wanted to point out that we are required to submit this budget to the Public Service Commission on April 1st every year, who will then review the budget and present it to the Commission for approval. Now, anytime between now and that time the budget can change, but right now this is the way we propose it, and it is what I'm going to present to you. We are projecting total revenues to be \$17,114,765, and total expense to be 18. The difference will be offset by the

surplus.

As of March 31st, we have over 315,000 individuals in the client database. It is evident that FTRI and the regional distribution partners are working closely together to serve and meet the telecommunication access needs of the Florida residents who are deaf, hard-of-hearing, deaf/blind and speech-impaired. Outreach continues to play a major role in reaching the audience.

Surcharge revenue. Revenue is derived from a monthly surcharge assessed to all land lines in the state of Florida. The telephone companies are responsible for collecting the surcharge and submitting it to FTRI. The number of access lines that we use to generate or project what the revenue will be comes from the reports that the telephone companies submit to us. As of April 13th, there were 460 telephone companies that have been certificated by the Public Service Commission. Out of those 460 companies, 117 submit or remit surcharges to us every month. It is obvious that not all the telephone companies that have been certificated provide telephone service. All these companies that do report report based on land lines and not wireless.

As you can see here, as Chris had mentioned already, we are seeing a decrease in the number of land lines which can be contributed to the increase in wireless, e-mail, faxes, different other ways that people can use to communicate.

However, since 2001/2002, we have seen a consistent decrease in the number of access lines that are reported to us.

This is the breakdown of how the surcharge is collected. We have total access lines of 114 million, and that is per year, times 15 cents, less 1 percent cost for the telephone companies for administrative costs for collecting the surcharge and submitting it to us.

Now, I wanted to mention also that of all the companies that are certificated to provide this service, every quarter we do a follow up. We contact each company asking if they are providing telephone services and land lines, and if they do, then submit a report and the collection of the surcharge to us. If they are not collecting any surcharge, then we ask them to sign a document and send it to us letting us know that they are not. That is the only way we can assure that the company is providing the information accurately to us.

Relay. The information that we used to project the budget is based on the information that the relay provider gives us. And this year the projection is total billable minutes of 10,822,670 minutes, which is broken down to both the regular relay service and CapTel. The new contract which begins June 1st, the rate will go up from 70 cents a minute to 75 cents. However, CapTel has dropped from \$1.45 a minute to \$1.40 a minute for the first 100,000 billable minutes, and then the second 100,000 is \$1.37, and then anything after 200,000

minutes is \$1.33.

2.4

Equipment and repairs. We are projecting an overall decrease in the budget amount compared to last year's budget; however, we are not seeing a decrease in the number of equipment total distributed or purchased for that matter. And the reason being is because the XL40 or the volume control phone for the hearing impaired continues to be the number one product. We have seen an increase in that. But as Chris mentioned earlier, the number of TTYs are decreasing as well as other equipment.

The TTY, the VCO phone, HCO, TTY and the Telitalk, which is the telephone for persons with laryngectomy, those particular equipment costs more. So the number or the budget decrease in that particular area, the numbers will pretty much remain the same or increase a little bit, and that is why you will see the decrease just for that particular category. As you can see, we distribute, we will have distributed over 76,000 pieces of equipment at the end of this fiscal year.

Distribution and training. We are projecting a 6.6 percent increase for the services overall for that category, and the reason being is because we are seeing an increase in new clients total, plus we have added two new RDCs, CIL in Gainesville and CIL in Tamarac, which is in the Fort Lauderdale area. And we currently have nineteen centers, RDCs that are contracting with us to provide the services. And one other

line item in this particular category that will increase is the training and workshops.

recently we have decided to conduct some regional workshops which we have found to be very beneficial because it provides for a closer training environment for the centers in the area that do come, and we are finding also that this just keeps us in contact with the centers on a regular basis. And some centers have turnover, so we are able to provide that training information to them quicker. So we are planning three regional training workshops in a year, plus the annual conference.

Category four is outreach. Pretty much the outreach services will remain as currently provided; however, we are experiencing or we will have a decrease in the budget because a lot of the projects that we proposed last year have been completed so, therefore, we won't have to do them again this year. However, that is not to say that we won't bring them back in the future.

And the last category is general and administrative, we are projecting to be about 7 percent of the overall expenses, which is about \$1.3 million. That covers the administrative office, staff, insurance, the office, et cetera. That's it for my presentation.

Anybody have any questions?

MR. MOSES: Thank you, James.

MR. FORSTALL: You're welcome.

MR. MOSES: Okay. Now we come to the section of the meeting here on open discussion of any topics that you may want to discuss, or if you have got any future topics that you would like to see discussed at the next meeting, which will probably be in October which we will discuss in a little bit.

Chris?

MR. WAGNER: I would like to see a follow-up on the testing of the calls, not only the CapTel, but also with the ASL testing and the Spanish to find out if there is more in that situation. Because I am concerned about what I'm being told, that these two issues have not been addressed. So I would like to find out more discussion regarding ASL to English testing and the CapTel testing and the Spanish testing of calls.

MR. MOSES: I can answer your question on CapTel. I think we can easily do that. I do not have any idea how we can do any testing on the ASL to English or the Spanish.

MR. WAGNER: That's why we are here. I'm happy to give you some new ideas.

MR. MOSES: I'm not sure -- have you got suggestions how we can do that type of testing?

MR. WAGNER: You can ask deaf people to once a month go through a testing using ASL and see how it is coming out in the English voice. They need to look at how the translation is

happening. They need to develop something. It would be easy, I bet, to find deaf people, like certified deaf interpreters, people who themselves have training in, how should I say, interpreting minimal language. I'm sure there are people here in the state of Florida we can find. Find people in Miami who, through the Deaf Service Bureau, who can test the Spanish relay.

MS. SALAK: Are you talking about people volunteering to help us test; are you talking about people volunteering to do testing; or are you talking about -- I'm not understanding your proposal, I'm sorry.

MR. WAGNER: Yes. I was saying that you need to find people and ask them to go ahead and become testers so that they can give you the reports of their tests. And we can help you identify those people, you know, the people who would be good to do the ASL to English, and also identify people who would be good to do the Spanish relay.

MR. MOSES: Is this something that you could do when you interface with these people in your activities of the RDC Centers, asking them to do some tests and give us the results where we can then interface for you with Sprint?

MR. WAGNER: We can discuss it next week, right?

MR. MOSES: I think that would be a better way of going about it.

MR. WAGNER: We have a meeting on Friday, so I don't

think that will be a problem. We could do that.

MR. MOSES: Because you are in the trenches with everyone out there that uses these services, and you know more about these people than we certainly do, and I think that would be very beneficial.

MR. WAGNER: I understand. But I am wondering if you will accept our testing. You know, like we are doing tests for the emergency warning system, but we are just wondering will you accept our results.

MR. MOSES: Well, as you can see by the typing results, we haven't been able to match Sprint, so certainly we will take your tests and forward it on to them and see what they can do in reaction to it.

MR. KOTTLER: We have a DEC meeting next Friday and we will bring it up. Because everybody is in the RDC there, and see what they would like to do. Maybe we can come up with a script or something and try to run some tests and see what happens.

MR. MOSES: We have got scripts that we can provide to you to save you that trouble, and you can use those for your testing, if you so desire. We have got a book of them like you wouldn't believe.

MR. KOTTLER: That will be fine.

MR. MOSES: But I think if you could discuss this with the people. And if they are willing to do the tests, and

if you can provide us with the results we would certainly be glad to forward it on to Sprint and work with them to get improvement in that area.

MS. SALAK: May I ask, so are we talking about just translation or are we talking about -- what are you talking, accuracy, speed, what are you talking about for testing?

MR. WAGNER: Okay. Let me see if there is an easy way to explain it. While someone is typing ASL, it doesn't match the English grammar. So many times deaf people will be misunderstood when the relay operator -- there are many misunderstandings between the operator doesn't understand what the deaf person has really meant by what he types, so the communication to the person on the other end of the line -- there is a lot of frustration, it builds in those calls. So I'm wondering if there is some way -- Jimmy, help me explain this. You know what I'm talking about. Help me explain this. I'm trying to think of a perfect example.

MS. SALAK: But you are talking about translation, just getting the message across accurately.

MR. WAGNER: Not just translation. It is not signed English to written English. It is actually interpretation.

MS. SALAK: Right. So you want your message to be conveyed as you mean it essentially.

MR. WAGNER: Right.

MS. SALAK: We are not talking timing, we are not

talking any of those sort of things, it is strictly getting your message across, which is a lot easier than other types of testing.

MR. WAGNER: Yes, that exactly.

2.1

MS. SALAK: Okay. I'm just wondering if Sprint has a reaction to this idea of testing. Any thoughts?

MR. RUTOWSKI: This is Paul here. And I have missed some of the discussion. I'm sorry, I couldn't see what everyone was saying. Could someone summarize briefly what was said?

MR. WAGNER: For two or three years we faced an issue of concerns that have been brought here to the panel that there has been ASL typed and there has been major miscommunication from the CA to the hearing person. So I'm wondering why there has only been testing for TTY. They are using their own staff on the TTY, and so they are typing English, but you know how often that really happens. You know the acronyms that are used, there is a lot of miscommunication with the CAs. I'm very concerned that their testing are for people whose English would be quite good on the TTY.

We have been hearing quite a bit that things have been coming up again and again about this ASL translation. I would go to the Miami center, and I would look at their training, and their deaf culture training was quite limited. So I've been trying to emphasize that issue here that we have

this concern. There may be something that you can give us to do test calls to address this issue.

MR. RUTOWSKI: In other states we have had this issue as well, and we have researched to see what other states are doing and what resources they may have. I can follow up with you, and make that an item that I follow up with you for.

MR. WAGNER: Thank you.

2.

2.3

2.4

MR. MOSES: Okay. Any other subjects or discussions you would like to discuss?

MR. KOTTLER: Yes. Just a quick thing with the Sprint presentation. There was a lot of gray on, you know, when certain things were going to kick in after the first of June as far as when it would be up and fully operational. I guess from my point, are you going to address those and try to nail them down?

MR. MOSES: Absolutely.

MR. KOTTLER: Okay. Because I think that is an important thing to do.

MR. MOSES: Me, too. We will be having further discussions with them to make sure that they implement the contract as the contract is written.

Are there any future topics that you would like to see on the next agenda? I know this is kind of ludicrous asking six months in advance. But if there are any at any time, I think all of you have got my e-mail address and my

contact information. Please feel free to contact me and let me know. And the earlier I can get it the better. Sometimes we can do some research and have more information available for you.

And if there are no other topics, if you would like to set the date for the next meeting, is October a good month? That's when we had it last time. Yes, Jimmy.

MR. PETERSON: It is the same time. Why not do it the same time as the open house?

MR. MOSES: That was in the latter part of October?

MR. PETERSON: The third weekend in October.

MR. MOSES: So that would be the 21st.

MR. PETERSON: I'm not sure of the exact date.

MR. MOSES: Friday the 21st is the third weekend in October.

MR. WAGNER: So we would have our meeting in Jacksonville, for example, in the morning, and then we can go to the open house in the afternoon. Is that what the suggestion is?

MR. MOSES: That I'm not sure we are going to be able to do just due to budget constraints we have here at the Commission. We would prefer having it here in Tallahassee, if possible. So maybe coinciding with that open house may not be the best weekend to have it. We can certainly check into it, but we will have to see.

As an alternative date in case that doesn't work out, 1 would the first week of October work out for everyone? 2 MR. WAGNER: Chris offers October 28th. 3 Everybody in agreement with that? Okay. 4 MR. MOSES: MR. KOTTLER: Is that the 21st? 5 MR. MOSES: That was what I understood. Is that what 6 7 he said? Did I misunderstand? Chris, is it the 28th that you are proposing? 8 MR. WAGNER: Yes. The 28th would be fine. My book 9 says I'm open. 10 MR. MOSES: Okay. Is that okay with everyone else? 11 Okay. We will tentatively set it for the 28th. And if there 1.2 13 is no further discussion or any topics you would like to discuss, we will conclude. 14 Thank you for coming. We appreciate it. 1.5 16 And, Ms. Pohlmeyer, we appreciate you coming. 17 Thank you. (The meeting concluded at 3:30 p.m.) 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

1	
1	STATE OF FLORIDA )
2	: CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
3	COUNTY OF LEON )
4	
5	I, JANE FAUROT, RPR, Chief, Office of Hearing Reporter Services, FPSC Division of Commission Clerk and
6	Administrative Services, do hereby certify that the foregoing proceeding was heard at the time and place herein stated.
7	IT IS FURTHER CERTIFIED that I stenographically
8	reported the said proceedings; that the same has been transcribed under my direct supervision; and that this
9	transcript constitutes a true transcription of my notes of said proceedings.
10	I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative, employee, attorney or counsel of any of the parties, nor am I a relative
11	or employee of any of the parties' attorney or counsel connected with the action, nor am I financially interested in
12	the action.
13	DATED THIS 29th day of April, 2005
14	
15	JANE FAUROT, RPR
16	Chief, Office of Hearing Reporter Services  FPSC Division of Commission Clerk and
17	Administrative Services (850) 413-6732
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	

25