#### BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Petition for rate increase by Progress Energy Florida, Inc.

Docket No. 050078-EI Submitted for filing: July 18, 2005

## <u>PEF'S OBJECTIONS TO STAFF'S NINTH SET OF</u> <u>INTERROGATORIES (NOS. 210-225)</u>

Pursuant to Fla. Admin. Code R. 28-106.206, Rule 1.340 of the Florida Rules of Civil Procedure, and the Order Establishing Procedure in this matter, Progress Energy Florida, Inc. ("PEF") hereby serves its objections to the Staff of the Florida Public Service Commission ("Staff") Ninth Set of Interrogatories to PEF, Nos. 210-225, and states as follows:

### **GENERAL OBJECTIONS**

With respect to the "Definitions" in Staff's Ninth Set of Interrogatories, Nos. 210-225, PEF objects to any definitions or instructions that are inconsistent with PEF's discovery obligations under applicable rules. If some question arises as to PEF's discovery obligations, PEF will comply with applicable rules and not with any of Staff's definitions or instructions that are inconsistent with those rules.

PEF objects to any definition or interrogatory that seeks to encompass persons or entities other than PEF who are not parties to this action and thus are not subject to discovery. No responses to the interrogatories will be made on behalf of persons or entities other than PEF.

PEF must also object to Staff's Ninth Set of Interrogatories to PEF to the extent that they require PEF or PEF's retained experts to develop information or create material for Staff, presumably at PEF's expense. The purpose of discovery, of course, is to obtain information that already exists, not to require the other side to create information or material for the requesting party. PEF, therefore, is not obligated to incur the expense of performing or having its experts perform work for Staff to create information or material that Staff seeks in these interrogatories.

Additionally, PEF generally objects to Staff's interrogatories to the extent that they call for data or information protected by the attorney-client privilege, the work product doctrine, the accountant-client privilege, the trade secret privilege, or any other applicable privilege or protection afforded by law. Further, in certain circumstances, PEF may determine upon investigation and analysis that information responsive to certain interrogatories to which objections are not otherwise asserted are confidential and proprietary and should be produced only under an appropriate confidentiality agreement and protective order, if at all. By agreeing to provide such information in response to such an interrogatory, PEF is not waiving its right to insist upon appropriate protection of confidentiality by means of a confidentiality agreement, protective order, or the procedures otherwise provided by law or in the Order Establishing Procedure. PEF hereby asserts its right to require such protection of any and all information that may qualify for protection under the Florida Rules of Civil Procedure, the Order Establishing Procedure, and all other applicable statutes, rules and legal principles.

PEF also objects to any interrogatory that calls for projected data or information beyond the year 2006 or prior to 2004 because such data or information is irrelevant to this case and has no bearing on this proceeding, nor is such data or information likely to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Furthermore, if an interrogatory does not

2

specify a timeframe for which data or information is sought, PEF will interpret such interrogatory as calling only for data and information relevant to the years 2004-2006.

Finally, PEF objects to any attempt by Staff to exceed the numerical limitations set on interrogatories in the Order Establishing Procedure by asking multiple independent questions within single individual questions and subparts.

By making these general objections at this time, PEF does not waive or relinquish its right to assert additional general and specific objections to Staff's discovery at the time PEF's response is due under the Florida Rules of Civil Procedure and the Order Establishing Procedure. PEF provides these general objections at this time to comply with the intent of the Order Establishing Procedure to reduce the delay in identifying and resolving any potential discovery disputes.

#### SPECIFIC OBJECTIONS

Interrogatory 212: PEF must object to subpart (i) of this interrogatory to the extent it improperly requires PEF to do research for Staff that has not been done for PEF, presumably at PEF's cost. PEF is not required by the rules or Order to create information in order to respond to a discovery request.

Interrogatory 217: PEF must object to this interrogatory because it improperly requires PEF to prepare a study or do work for Staff that has not been done for PEF, presumably at PEF's cost. PEF has not performed the analysis required to respond to this interrogatory. Further, PEF is not required by the rules or Order to create information in order to respond to a discovery request.

**Interrogatory 221:** PEF must object to this interrogatory because it improperly requires PEF to prepare a study or do work for Staff that has not been done for PEF,

3

presumably at PEF's cost. PEF has not performed the analysis required to respond to this interrogatory. Further, PEF is not required by the rules or Order to create information in order to respond to a discovery request.

Respectfully submitted,

N 2 111 C 20

R. ALEXANDER GLENN Deputy General Counsel – Florida PROGRESS ENERGY SERVICE COMPANY, LLC 100 Central Avenue, Ste. 1D St. Petersburg, FL 33701 Telephone: (727) 820-5587 Facsimile: (727) 820-5519 GARY L. SASSO Florida Bar No. 622575 JAMES MICHAEL WALLS Florida Bar No. 0706272 JOHN T. BURNETT Florida Bar No. 173304 DIANNE M. TRIPLETT Florida Bar No. 0872431 CARLTON FIELDS, P.A. Post Office Box 3239 Tampa, FL 33601-3239 Telephone: (813) 223-7000 Facsimile: (813) 229-4133

# **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been record as indicated below.

X Attorney

Jennifer Brubaker	John W. McWhirter, Jr.
Felicia Banks	McWhirter, Reeves, Davidson, Kaufman
Jennifer Rodan	& Arnold, P.A.
Office of the General Counsel	400 North Tampa Street, Ste. 2450
Florida Public Service Commission	Tampa, FL 33601-3350
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard	-and-
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850	Timothy J. Perry
	McWhirter, Reeves, Davidson, Kaufman
	& Arnold, P.A.
Harold McLean	117 South Gadsden Street
Office of the Public Counsel	Tallahassee, FL 32301
c/o The Florida Legislature	Counsel for Florida Industrial Power
111 W. Madison Street, Room 812	Users Group
Tallahassee, FL 32399-1400	
	C. Everett Boyd, Jr.
Mike B. Twomey	Sutherland Asbill & Brennan LLP
P.O. Box 5256	2282 Killearn Center Blvd.
Tallahassee, FL 32314-5256	Tallahassee, FL 32309
Counsel for AARP	
	James M. Bushee
	Daniel E. Frank
Robert Scheffel Wright,	Andrew K. Soto
John T. LaVia, III,	Sutherland Asbill & Brennan LLP
Landers & Parsons, P.A.	1275 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
310 West College Avenue (ZIP 32301)	Washington, DC 20004-2415
Post Office Box 271	
Tallahassee, Florida 32302	Richard A. Zambo
<b>Counsel for Florida Retail Federation</b>	Richard A. Zambo, P.A.
	2336 S.E. Ocean Boulevard, #309
	Stuart, Florida 34996
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

TPA#2064046.1

Alan R. Jenkins	-and-
McKenna Long & Aldridge LLP	
One Peachtree Center	Karin S. Torain
303 Peachtree Street, Suite 5300	PCS Administration, (USA), Inc.
Atlanta, Georgia 30308	Suite 400
	Skokie blvd.
Counsel for the Commercial Group	Northbrook, IL 60062
	Counsel for White Springs